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Abstract

Sea levels in the Western North Pacific (WNP) are presented with anomalous intraseasonal variations. This study examines the response of sea level in the WNP to the atmospheric Intraseasonal Oscillation modes, namely the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) and the Boreal Summer Intraseasonal Oscillation (BSISO), using the 25 years (1993-2017) of satellite altimetry and barotropic model output. In winter, the MJO has significant effects on the component of sea level due to the instant wind and atmospheric pressure effects (high-frequency), showing an eastward propagation pattern in most regions, with the strongest effects in the western marginal seas. The MJO-associated pattern of dynamical (low-frequency) component of sea level propagates southward, with the significant effects mostly in the tropics. In summer, the BSISO-associated pattern of the high-frequency component of sea level moves from southwest to northeast, with the largest anomalies in the middle of WNP ($20\degree \text{N}-30\degree \text{N}$), while the strongest BSISO effects on the low-frequency component are detectable mostly in the coasts of China and east of the Philippines. The MJO and BSISO can also modulate the probability of extreme sea level events. In winter, during phases 2-5, MJO increases the chance of extreme high events in the high-frequency component of sea level by 100-200\% in the western coasts and the tropics. In summer, in BSISO phases 6-7, the chance of extreme high events in the high-frequency component of sea level is enhanced by $>300\%$ in the South China Sea and east of the Philippines.
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Key Points:
- MJO strongly affects the subseasonal variation of winter sea level over the WNP, with the strongest effects in the western coasts and the tropics.
- BSISO has significant effects on the summer sea level over the WNP, with the largest effects in the middle of WNP.
- MJO and BSISO alter the occurrence of extreme sea level events.
Abstract
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Plain Language Summary

The coastal regions of the Western North Pacific (WNP) are densely populated areas, which are exposed to tremendous oceanic hazards. The intraseasonal variability of sea level may alter the occurrence of extreme sea level events when superimposing on other factors under extreme conditions. Thus, understanding the variations of sea level in the WNP on intraseasonal timescales could be helpful for marine disaster prevention and mitigation. The Intraseasonal Oscillation (ISO) is one of the dominant modes of climate variability on intraseasonal timescales, including the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) and the Boreal Summer Intraseasonal Oscillation (BSISO). In this study, we investigate the relationship between the atmospheric ISO and sea levels over 1993–2017 in the WNP by using sea levels data and ISO indices. Our analysis
suggests that the atmospheric ISO significantly modulates the intraseasonal variations of sea level both in winter and summer seasons, including the probability of extreme sea level events, through the convections and surface wind circulations associated with ISO. These findings also imply the potential for developing a statistical approach to predict the intraseasonal variability of sea level.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric and oceanic phenomena can vary on intraseasonal timescales. Intraseasonal variability provides a bridge between weather variability, which usually occurs on timescales of a few days, and seasonal variability, which occurs with a period of > 60 days. Understanding these intraseasonal variations is of great interest to research and forecast communities as this may help to develop and improve the skills in predicting oceanic and atmospheric fields a few weeks ahead. The intraseasonal variability of sea level can have a crucial impact on the coastal flooding risk, as it may alter the occurrence of extreme sea level events when superimposing on other factors under extreme conditions.

The Intraseasonal Oscillation (ISO) is one of the dominant modes of climate variability especially in the tropics. It has significant seasonality in frequency, intensity, location and propagation (Madden, 1986; Wang & Rui, 1989; Hartmann et al., 1992). In boreal winter (December-February), the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO; Madden & Julian, 1971, 1972) is known as the dominant mode of intraseasonal variability in the tropical atmosphere, and it is quasi-periodic on timescales of 30-90 days (Wheeler & Hendon, 2004; Zhang, 2005). The MJO normally originates in the tropical Indian Ocean and then propagates eastward along the equator. After the Maritime Continent, it starts weakening but continues to travel eastward before finally dissipating in the western Hemisphere. MJO is associated with planetary-scale convections, which could have a strong impact on extreme rainfall in the tropics (e.g., Xavier et al., 2014; Anandh & Vissa, 2020). In boreal summer (June-August), the Boreal Summer Intraseasonal Oscillation (BSISO) is the prevailing ISO mode in the tropics (Wang & Xie, 1997; Lee et al., 2013), for which the large-scale circulation and propagation could be more complicated than the MJO. Apart from an eastward movement of related convections in the tropics, the BSISO is also
featured with an additional northward propagation. The BSISO consists of two major modes, the 30-60-day and the 10-20-day oscillations (Kikuchi et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013). The 30-60-day oscillation is the dominant mode, featured with prominent northeastward propagation (Wang & Xie, 1997), while the 10-20-day mode is weaker and mainly progressing northwestward (Lee et al., 2013).

The ISO of the atmosphere can significantly influence the ocean. Due to the strong modulations on heavy rainfall (e.g., Xavier et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2018; Anandh & Vissa, 2020) and tropical cyclones (e.g., Maloney & Hartmann, 2000a, 2000b; Hall et al., 2001), the atmospheric ISO can reduce the sea surface temperature after a few days of lag (e.g., Shinoda et al., 1998; Woolnough et al., 2000; Roxy & Tanimoto, 2012; Feng et al., 2018). Relatedly, Han et al. (2001), Iskandar et al. (2005) and Nagura & McPhaden (2012) found a robust relationship between the atmospheric ISO and the Indian Ocean circulation. By analyzing the tide gauge records and satellite altimetry, studies also suggested that the MJO activities can affect sea level variability in some marginal seas, including the west coast of the American continent, the Gulf of Carpentaria, and the northeastern part of the Indian Ocean (Oliver & Thompson, 2010, 2011). In the Gulf of Carpentaria, the MJO could be responsible for sea level variations up to 6 cm (Oliver & Thompson, 2011). The MJO-related surface wind was thought to be responsible for the observed MJO-sea level relationships (Oliver, 2014). Note that in Oliver & Thompson (2010, 2011) and Oliver (2014) the inverted barometer (IB) effect was removed from the analyzed sea level data. The response of sea level to MJO due to the IB effect has not been examined. In addition, as far as we know, no studies have evaluated the effects of BSISO on sea level variability in the summer season, when the tropics-originated weather systems (e.g., tropical cyclones) can cause oceanic hazards on the coasts of the ocean basins.

The coastal regions of the Western North Pacific (WNP) are densely populated areas, which are exposed to tremendous oceanic hazards, such as storm surge and ocean waves (e.g., Feng et al., 2012; Feng and Tsimplis, 2014). In the WNP, sea level variability is affected by both oceanographic (e.g., the western boundary currents) and atmospheric dynamics (e.g., the monsoon and tropical cyclones) on varying timescales. Marcos et al. (2012) identified the interannual variations of mean sea level in the marginal seas of WNP and associated them with the
longer-term climatic variability. Feng et al. (2015) explored the decadal variations and changes in sea level associated with tides. These sea level components were found to ultimately alter the occurrence of sea level extremes on the WNP coasts on timescales from seasonal to decadal (Feng & Tsimplis, 2014). However, the intraseasonal variations of sea level in the WNP, including the distribution of extreme sea level events, have not been well studied.

In this paper, we evaluate the response of sea level to the atmospheric ISO conditional on different seasons by analyzing the 25 years (1993-2017) of satellite altimetry data, with a focus on the WNP. The observed MJO and BSISO indices are used to indicate the atmospheric ISO in boreal wind and summer seasons, respectively. To distinguish the effects on the barotropic and baroclinic components of sea level, our analysis also includes the sea level data produced by the two-dimensional barotropic ocean model. Three questions will be addressed. First, what are the spatial features of the intraseasonal sea level variability in the WNP; second, how much of the intraseasonal variations of sea level, including the occurrence of extremes, are modulated by the ISO of the atmosphere; and third, what are the drivers for the ISO-sea level relationships?

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2, the data of sea levels and ISO indices are described together with the methodologies. In section 3, the intraseasonal variations of sea levels are first diagnosed in winter and summer seasons. The MJO and BSISO are then linked to intraseasonal sea level variations. Finally, in this section, the effects of MJO and BSISO on the probability of extreme sea level events are identified. Conclusions and discussion are provided in section 4.

2 Data and methodology

2.1 Data

Sea level

Our analysis is based on the daily sea level data in the WNP (0°-40°N, 90°E-140°E) during 1993-2017. The dynamic atmospheric correction (DAC) data were used to analyze the variable sea levels forced by high-frequency (less than 20 days) atmospheric wind and pressure, and by low-frequency pressure (more than 20 days) from the static inverted barometer (IB) effect. The
monthly average of DAC is equivalent to the isostatic IB effect (Pascual et al., 2008). The DAC data are produced by CLS Space Oceanography Division using the Mog2D model from Legos, with a spatial resolution of 1/4° × 1/4° (Carrère & Lyard, 2003), and distributed by the Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data program (AVISO), with support from CNES (http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/duacs/).

Multimission gridded satellite radar altimeter data were used to analyze the sea level anomalies (SLA), which are the sea surface heights with respect to a long-term mean profile (1993-2012). The spatial resolution of the gridded altimeter data is 1/4° × 1/4°, which permits resolving the sea level variability related to the mesoscale eddies. SLA daily gridded data for the study area over 1993-2017 were obtained from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/). Note that in the mission track for SLA products oceanic and atmospheric dynamics are routinely removed, including ocean tides, pole tide and DAC (Carrère & Lyard, 2003). Adding DAC back to SLA will yield the total sea level (TSL).

Seasonal cycle and longer-term variability (including the linear trend) of sea level are removed from the daily data of DAC, SLA and TSL, to retain sea level anomalies on intraseasonal timescales. This is done through subtracting the daily climatology and the annual mean from the original data. The above data-processing procedures are also applied to the atmospheric data to have their intraseasonal variability.

ISO indices
MJO indices for the period 1993-2017 were obtained from the Bureau of Meteorology of Australian Government (http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/mjo/). The strength and phase (1–8) of the MJO are defined by the real-time multivariate MJO index (RMM) developed by Wheeler & Hendon (2004). Only the RMM amplitudes \((RMM1^2 + RMM2^2)^{1/2}\) greater than 1.0 are considered in our composite analysis, where RMM1 and RMM2 are the leading pair of principal components. Generally, phases 2-3 of MJO are concurrent with strong convections in the Indian Ocean, phases 4-5 in the Maritime Continent, phases 6-7 in the western Pacific and phases 8-1 in the West Hemisphere and Africa. Detailed descriptions of the RMM index computation can be seen in Wheeler & Hendon (2004).
BSISO indices were obtained from the APEC Climate Center of the Korea Meteorological Administration (https://apcc21.org/ser/moni.do?lang=en). The BSISO indices are derived from the first four multivariate empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs) of outgoing longwave radiation and 850-hPa zonal wind anomalies in the Asian summer monsoon region (Lee et al., 2013). BSISO indices include BSISO1 and BSISO2, which are defined by the first four principal components (PCs) of the EOF analysis. BSISO1 represents the canonical northward/northeastward propagating feature of the ISO, with a period of 30–60 days; BSISO2 is primarily active during the premonsoon and monsoon-onset seasons with a relatively shorter period. In our analysis, only the BSISO1 amplitudes \((PC1^2 + PC2^2)^{1/2}\) greater than 1.0 are considered. Phases 1-3 of BSISO1 are concurrent with strong convections in the equatorial Indian Ocean, phases 4-5 reaches the Maritime Continent and the South China Sea (SCS) and phases 6-8 in the extropical Pacific. Further details of the BSISO indices can be seen in Lee et al. (2013).

To interpret the ISO-sea level relationships, the 10-meter wind velocity and mean sea level pressure (MSLP) for the same period of time were also composited. The daily atmospheric data are from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) re-analysis Interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim) (Dee et al., 2011), which were also used to drive the Mog2D model for producing DAC data (Carrère & Lyard, 2003).

### 2.2 Methodologies

The relationships between ISO indices and sea levels are evaluated by composite analysis, conditional on the phase and strength of ISO indices (Wheeler & Hendon, 2004; Lee et al., 2013). MJO and BSISO indices are used for boreal winter (DJF) and summer (JJA) seasons, respectively. Over 1993-2017, there are 1506 and 1409 days identified for active MJO and BSISO, which are 66.76% and 61.26% of total days, respectively. The number of days for each phase of ISO are listed in Table 1. The significance of composite anomalies was tested at 95% confidence level.
### Table 1. Number of days of active MJO and BSISO for each phase over 1993-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>90 (5.98%)</td>
<td>140 (9.30%)</td>
<td>207 (13.75%)</td>
<td>212 (14.08%)</td>
<td>215 (14.28%)</td>
<td>228 (15.14%)</td>
<td>262 (17.40%)</td>
<td>152 (10.09%)</td>
<td>1506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MJO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>160 (11.36%)</td>
<td>224 (15.90%)</td>
<td>193 (13.70%)</td>
<td>158 (11.21%)</td>
<td>175 (12.42%)</td>
<td>129 (9.16%)</td>
<td>172 (12.21%)</td>
<td>198 (14.05%)</td>
<td>1409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BSISO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effects of ISO on extreme sea levels (ESLs) were evaluated as well. The 95th and 5th percentiles (R95 and R5) of the daily sea level distribution over 1993-2017 are defined as the thresholds for extreme high and low events. The thresholds are estimated at each grid point for winter and summer, separately. The cumulative probabilities of ESL events conditional on the ISO phases are calculated; the changes of ESL probability relative to the defined probability (0.05) represent the effect of the ISO on ESL occurrence. Following Xavier et al. (2014), this can be expressed as:

$$\Delta P_{ISO_i} = \left( \frac{P_{phase_i} - P_{all}}{P_{all}} \right) \times 100\%$$

where $\Delta P_{ISO_i}$ is the percentage change in the probability of ESL allocated to ISO in phase $i$ (from 1 to 8); $P_{phase_i}$ is the cumulative probability of daily sea level exceeding the defined R95 or below R5 for the given ISO phase $i$; $P_{all}$ is the reference probability for ESL, i.e., 0.05 in this study. Ideally, the minimum $\Delta P_{ISO_i}$ is -100% when $P_{phase_i} = 0$, and the maximum $\Delta P_{ISO_i}$ is 1900% when $P_{phase_i} = 100%$.

Sea level composites, conditional on ISO phases as described above, can be further fitted into an empirical harmonic function through a least squared regression:

$$\eta(i) = \beta_0 + A_{amp} \times \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{8} \times (i - A_{phase})\right)$$

where $\eta(i)$ is the regressed sea level composites in phase $i$, $\beta_0$ is the mean value, $A_{amp}$ is the regressed amplitude corresponding to the phase lag of $A_{phase}$. The significance of the estimated harmonic parameters was tested through the student’s t-test at 95% confidence level.
3 Results

3.1 Intraseasonal variance of sea level

The intraseasonal variance of DAC, SLA and TSL in winter and summer over the study period is mapped in Figure 1. For DAC, the variance is large in the northwest and coastal regions of the basin (Figure 1a, d). The largest DAC variance is seen in the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea, with > 200 cm$^2$ in winter and 50-100 cm$^2$ in summer. In contrast, the largest SLA variance is found in regions with strong ocean dynamics, such as in the ocean interior with energetic ocean eddies (Figure 1b, e). Large SLA variability also appears in the Luzon and Taiwan Straits where ocean currents are strong. In the southeastern part of the SCS (around the Philippines), the SLA variability is weaker in summer than in winter. For TSL (Figure 1c, f), in the open ocean, the spatial and seasonal variations of its intraseasonal variance have features similar to SLA, suggesting the dominance of ocean dynamics in the open ocean. In the northwestern and coastal regions of the basin, TSL is largely attributed to DAC, i.e., the barotropic effects due to atmospheric forcing.

The percentage of intraseasonal variance explained by the atmospheric ISO is calculated by subtracting the percentage of non-ISO signals from 100% and shown in Figure 1 (contour lines). For DAC, in winter, MJO explains 5-20% of the intraseasonal variance in the vast majority of regions except in the north regions, the Gulf of Thailand and the Beibu Gulf (Figure 1a). In contrast, in summer, 20-40% of the variance at 10°N-30°N can be attributed to BSISO (Figure 1d). For SLA, in winter, the percentage of variance explained by the ISO is low, with <5% in most areas; in summer, the explained percentage is 5-10% in the coastal regions of China and 10-25% in the southeastern part of SCS (Figure 1b, e). For TSL, in winter, MJO can explain 5-10% of the variance around the south SCS, while in summer BSISO can capture 10-30% of the variance along the coasts of China and the Philippines (Figure 1c, f).

3.2 Effect of atmospheric ISO on daily mean sea level

Winter

In boreal winter, the composite mean of DAC for each MJO phase is shown in Figure 2a. DAC in the WNP is significantly modulated by MJO. The effect of MJO propagates from west to east,
following the movement of atmospheric convections related to MJO. In phases 2-3, MJO has the strongest impact in the western marginal seas, where the induced DAC anomalies are 2-3cm. With MJO propagating eastward, these positive anomalies move to the east as well. In MJO phases 7-8, negative DAC anomalies are found in the vast majority of regions, with the largest magnitude of 2-3cm in the Taiwan Strait.

MSLP and surface wind velocity are composited for each phase of MJO (Figure 3). In MJO phases 2-3, there is a large-scale low-pressure system with convergent surface wind in the western part of SCS. In contrast, in phases 7-8, a high-pressure system with divergent wind is located in the SCS. Thus, MJO-related DAC anomalies are consistent with the atmospheric forcing (Figure 2a). To further understand the relative contributions of surface wind and MSLP to the MJO-related DAC anomalies, the isostatic IB effect was subtracted from composited DAC (supporting information Figure S1, with values passing the significance test provided in supporting information Figure S2). After removing the IB effect, the MJO-related anomalies of DAC are largely reduced in most regions. Surface wind only has strong impact on DAC in the coastal regions of China and the Gulf of Thailand.

Figure 2b shows the composite mean of SLA for each phase of MJO. MJO-related SLA has an amplitude of 2-6cm, with larger values in the tropics (0°-15°N). A distinct feature in MJO-related SLA is the large spatial variability, e.g., along the western boundary currents and in the regions with rich eddies. During MJO phases 1-2, positive SLA anomalies appear in the subtropics, while negative anomalies are found in the tropics. In contrast, in MJO phases 5-6, positive anomalies appear in the tropics and negative values are prone to appear in the subtropics. The large-scale patterns in composites of SLA can be explained in part by the surface wind anomalies (Figure 3). In MJO phases 1-2, the anti-cyclonic wind anomaly in the southern and western WNP, accompanied with a high-pressure to the right, could drive local geostrophic currents, leading to an increase of SLA towards the East China Sea (ECS) and Sea of Japan. In the tropics, related to the easterly wind, water is divergent in the Andaman Sea and Malaysia Strait, causing the largest reduction of SLA (4-6cm). In MJO phases 5-6, when MJO-related convections are in the basin, there is cyclonic wind anomaly. This causes seawater convergence
and the eastward geostrophic currents in the tropics, which all increase SLA; conversely, SLA in the subtropics tends to reduce.

The composite mean of TSL for each phase of MJO in winter is mapped in Figure 2c. As the combination of DAC and SLA, TSL exhibits a canonical northwest-southeast propagation from the coasts of China to the east of Philippines, with amplitude of 2-6cm. In MJO phases 2-3, the largest positive TSL anomalies are found in northwestern WNP when the convection of MJO is located in the Indian Ocean. In MJO phases 7-8, MJO tends to produce negative anomalies of TSL in the vast majority of regions, consistent with the impact on DAC.

We further fitted the MJO-allocated composite means to the harmonic equation (2) by assuming the MJO effect is anti-symmetric along the eight phases. The regressed harmonic parameters are shown in Figure 4, with values passing the significance test provided in supporting information Figure S3. Overall, the harmonic cycle of DAC regressed on MJO has an amplitude of 1-2cm, with an eastward tilt. The largest amplitudes are found in the Taiwan Strait (Figure 4a). Figure 4a also shows the phases when the DAC anomalies reach the peak, indicating that the MJO effect distinctly propagates eastward. In the western marginal seas, the DAC anomalies peak in MJO phase 3, when MJO-related convections are in the Indian Ocean. In the eastern WNP, the DAC anomalies peak in phase 4, when atmospheric convections are active in the Maritime Continent.

For SLA, the harmonic parameters are inhomogeneous in space (Figure 4b), consistent with the composite means (Figure 2b), likely related to the mesoscale ocean dynamics. There is a hint that the effect of MJO on SLA is propagating southward. In the subtropics, SLA tends to peak in MJO phases 1-3, whilst in the tropics SLA tends to reach its maxima in MJO phases 5-7, confirming the dynamical response of SLA to MJO-related surface wind (Figure 3).

The regressed harmonic phases suggest that with MJO progressing, its effect on TSL propagates southeastward (Figure 4c). In the subtropics (e.g., the Bohai Sea and ECS), TSL anomalies reach their maxima in MJO phases 2-3; in the tropics (e.g., east of the Philippines and north of Indonesia), TSL anomalies peak in MJO phases 4-5.
Summer

The composite means of different sea level components for eight BSISO phases in boreal summer are shown in Figure 5. Intraseasonal variability in DAC is significantly modulated by BSISO in most regions of WNP except in the Gulf of Thailand, the Bohai Sea and the Sea of Japan. There is a clear southwest-northeast propagation in DAC intraseasonal anomalies (Figure 5a), from the east of Vietnam to the south of Japan. The largest effect of BSISO is located between 20°N-30°N (east of Taiwan), with negative anomalies of ~4cm in BSISO phases 3-4 and positive anomalies of ~6cm in BSISO phases 7-8. In the Gulf of Thailand, in phases 2, 3, 6 and 7, BSISO has a significant impact on DAC anomalies, but they are opposite to that in the central of the basin (east of Taiwan).

MSLP and surface wind velocity allocated to BSISO phases are shown in Figure 6. In the open ocean, the BSISO-related DAC anomalies can be mostly explained by MSLP. After removing the IB effect from DAC, the remaining anomalies are only significant in the western marginal seas of WNP (supporting information Figure S4, with values passing the significance test provided in supporting information Figure S5), with amplitude of ~2cm. In BSISO phases 2-3, the north-easterly wind in the tropics, accompanied with an anti-cyclonic circulation, pushes water westward to the Gulf of Thailand, resulting in ~2cm of DAC anomalies increase. Conversely, in BSISO phases 6-7, the south-westerly wind on the equatorial side of the cyclonic circulation pushes water away from the Gulf of Thailand, causing ~2cm of DAC anomalies reduction. The impact of BSISO-associated atmospheric forcing on sea level is not anti-symmetric along the eight phases, with a relatively weaker anti-cyclonic circulation in phases 3-4 and a relatively stronger cyclonic circulation in phases 7-8 (Figure 6). Consequently, the BSISO-related DAC anomalies are larger and more robust in phases 7-8 than in phases 3-4 (Figure 5a).

The compositied SLA for BSISO is shown in Figure 5b. The most pronounced effects of BSISO on SLA are found in the coastal regions and east of the Philippines, in the range of 2-6cm. This can be explained by the surface wind anomalies allocated to BSISO (Figure 6). The wind anomalies east of Philippines could drive inward (outward) ocean surface currents, leading to a significant seawater convergence (divergence) in BSISO phases 1-3 (6-8). On the Chinese coasts (e.g., Taiwan Strait), the convergence (divergence) of seawater could be caused by coastal
Ekman transport related to the longshore wind. Similar to the composite for MJO, the BSISO-related SLA also presents small-scale features.

The composite mean of TSL for each BSISO phase is shown in Figure 5c. Overall, the BSISO-related TSL has an obvious southwest-northeast propagation, during the northeastward propagation of the large-scale wind circulation related to BSISO. The largest anomalies are found east of China and south of Japan, exceeding 6cm.

The phases of BSISO in which DAC and SLA on intraseasonal timescales reach the peak are shown in Figure 7a-b. Values passing the significance test are provided in supporting information Figure S6. The BSISO-related anomalies in DAC have an amplitude of ~1cm in the Gulf of Thailand, 1-3cm in the SCS and east of the Philippines, and 3-4cm in the ECS and south of Japan, gradually peaking in BSISO phases from 3 to 8. Those phase changes are less homogenous in SLA, especially around the Maritime Continent, likely due to the small features of ocean dynamics (e.g., eddies and coastal wind-driven currents). The response of TSL (the combination of SLA and DAC) to BSISO is more southwest-northeast oriented. From the tropics to middle latitudes, TSL anomalies depending on BSISO are peaking in phases from 2 to 8.

3.3 Effect of atmospheric ISO on extreme sea level

Winter

Probability changes of extreme sea levels (ESLs) during MJO phases 1-8 are shown in Figure 8. For ESL high (R95) in winter DAC, its probability is significantly modulated by MJO, with an increase of 100-200% in the western part of the basin in MJO phases 2-3 and in the southern part in phases 4-5, relative to the reference probability for ESL (Figure 8a). Reduction of 50-100% of ESL high probability is seen in the same regions in MJO phases 6-7 and 8-1. The effects of MJO on ESL high are consistent with those on daily mean sea level (Figure 2a). The opposite patterns are found in ESL low (R5) (Figure 8b).

The timing of the probability changes of ESLs regressed to MJO lifecycle for each grid is shown in Figure 9, with values passing the significance test provided in supporting information Figure
S7. It is seen that the MJO modulations of the probability of DAC extremes are propagating eastward, with larger regressed amplitudes in the equatorial region. For winter DAC, the amplitudes regressed to MJO lifecycle for the probability changes of the ESL high events are 50% in the coasts of China and 100% over the Maritime Continent; for the ESL low events, the amplitudes are up to 150% east of the Philippines.

For the probability of DAC extremes, the strength of suppressing effect of MJO is usually weaker than that of the encouraging effect, either in ESL high or ESL low. The same results are found in the probability of SLA extremes (supporting information Figure S8). This is related in part to the definition of $\Delta P_{ISO_i}$ in equation (1). In equation (1), the ideal minimum percentage is -100%, whilst the maximum value is 1900%. This means that the values of $\Delta P_{ISO_i}$ are not equally distributed around 0. Another point worth noticing is that the MJO effect on the probability of ESL high is stronger than the effect on the probability of ESL low (phases 3-4 in Figure 8a and phases 7-8 in Figure 8b). This might be related to the fact that in the convective regions MJO has a more profound impact on the low-pressure systems (e.g., tropical cyclones and equatorial waves) (e.g., Bessafi & Wheeler, 2006; Ho et al., 2006; Klotzbach & Oliver, 2015). With appearance of low-pressure systems in MJO phases 3-5, due to convergent surface wind and low-pressure, the chance of ESL high event is largely increased. The happening of ESL low event is more likely related to the high-pressure systems. In phases 7-1 when high-pressure is dominating in the WNP, the chance of ESL low event becomes high. During the eastward propagation of MJO, the difference between the amplitude of atmospheric anomalies in phases 7-1 and 3-5 leads to the difference of encouraging effect.

The relationships between MJO and SLA extremes are shown in Figure 9 (b, e). MJO tends to modulate the occurrence of ESL high from north to south and ESL low from south to north. However, this is not very conclusive, related to the small-scale features. For the occurrence of ESL high events of TSL (Figure 9c), in the north the highest probability occurs in MJO phases 2-3, while in the equatorial region the highest probability occurs in phases 4-5. In contrast, for the occurrence of ESL low events of TSL (Figure 9f), in the north the highest probability occurs in phases 6-7, and in the equatorial region it occurs in phases 8-1.
Summer

The teleconnections from BSISO to summer ESL are shown in Figure 10. For ESL high events (R95) in summer DAC, BSISO alters its probability in most of the WNP. In BSISO phases 6-7, the probability of ESL high events is increased by >300%, relative to the reference probability for ESL, in the SCS and east of the Philippines (10°N-30°N). In contrast, in BSISO phases 2-3, the increased probability is lower in these regions for ESL low (R5) events in DAC, in the range of 200-300%.

There are two points worth further noticing. The first point is that in BSISO phases 1 and 5, the effect of BSISO on ESL events is out of phase in the tropical and subtropical regions (Figure 10). This is related to the two anomalous wind circulations at the surface (Figure 6). In BSISO phase 1, an anti-cyclonic system is in the tropics and a cyclonic system in the subtropics. Conversely, in phase 5, the cyclonic circulation appears in the tropics and the anti-cyclonic circulation moves to the subtropics. And the second one is that the encouraging effects of BSISO on the probability of ESL high are stronger than that of ESL low (phases 6-8 in Figure 10a and phases 2-4 in Figure 10b). The non-antisymmetric effects of BSISO are related to the stronger cyclonic circulation in phases 6-8 and the weaker anti-cyclonic circulation in phases 2-4 (Figure 6).

The timing of the highest probability changes of ESL regressed to BSISO lifecycle is shown in Figure 11, with values passing the significance test provided in supporting information Figure S9. In the tropics (0°-10°N), ESL high events of DAC are most likely to happen in BSISO phases 3 and 6, with an increase of 50-200% in the probability; in the subtropics (10°N-30°N), they are most likely to happen in BSISO phases 6-8, with an increase of 200-250% in the probability. For ESL low events, in the tropics, the probability increases by 50-150% in BSISO phases 1-2, while in the subtropics their probability increases by 100-200% in BSISO phases 3-4. Again, the occurrence of extremes in SLA is not conclusively modulated by BSISO. The occurrence of ESL events for TSL has a relationship with BSISO that is similar to DAC.
4 Conclusions and Discussion

In this study, we investigated the intraseasonal variability of daily sea levels in the WNP by using 25 years (1993-2017) of satellite altimetry data for sea level anomaly (SLA) and the barotropic model output (DAC) for sea level forced by the high-frequency atmospheric forcing. The relationships between the ISO of the atmosphere (MJO and BSISO) and sea levels were examined.

Our analysis suggested that the atmospheric ISO significantly affects the composite mean of DAC in the vast majority of the WNP. During boreal winter (DJF), with the atmospheric convection related to MJO propagating to east, there is an apparent eastward propagation of DAC anomalies, in the range of 2-3cm. In MJO phases 2-3, positive DAC anomalies appear in the western marginal seas, with the largest values of 2-3cm in the Taiwan Strait. Significant negative anomalies are found in these regions in MJO phases 7-8. In summer (JJA), the northeastward propagation of two large-scale wind circulations associated with BSISO leads to the southwest-northeast movement of DAC anomalies, in the range of 4-6cm. The largest effects of BSISO are found east of Taiwan, with negative anomalies in BSISO phases 3-4 and positive anomalies in phases 7-8. The variability of DAC is the result of MSLP and surface wind. We further confirmed that MSLP plays a dominant role in the open ocean for ISO associated variability of DAC, while surface wind makes a significant contribution mostly in the coastal regions, such as the Taiwan Strait.

MJO-related SLA in winter has an amplitude of 2-6cm in the WNP, with the larger values in the tropics. In the tropics, the largest positive SLA values are found in MJO phases 5-6, whereas the largest negative values of SLA are found in the subtropics. Conversely, the largest positive SLA anomalies in the subtropics appear in MJO phases 1-2, when the largest negative SLA anomalies are found in the tropics. In summer, the largest values of BSISO-associated SLA are found in the coastal regions and east of the Philippines, in the range of 2-6cm. In BSISO phases 2-3, negative SLA values are in the subtropics and positive values in the tropics. In contrast, in BSISO phases 6-7, positive SLA values are presented in the subtropics and negative values are in the tropics. The MJO-SLA and BSISO-SLA relationships can be interpreted by the geostrophic currents and
convergence (divergence) of seawater driven by the surface wind circulations on intraseasonal timescales.

We also evaluated the effect of MJO and BSISO on the probability of extreme sea level (ESL) events. The most robust effect was found in DAC. In winter, the probability of ESL high events increases by 100-200% from the western coasts to the central tropics, allocated to MJO phases 2-3 and 4-5, respectively. This is likely related to the dominating low-pressure weather systems, which are responsible for ESL high events. In MJO phases 7-8, the chance of ESL low events in the tropics increases by 200-300%. Similarly, this could be attributed to the MJO effects on the distribution of the high-pressure weather systems. In summer, BSISO can largely alter the probability of ESL events. In the SCS and east of the Philippines, in BSISO phases 6-7, the probability of ESL high increases by >300%; in phases 2-3, the chance of ESL low events increases by 200-300%. This could be related to the stronger cyclonic wind circulation in phases 6-7 and the weaker anti-cyclonic wind circulation in phases 2-3.

Our analysis implies the potential for developing a statistical approach to predict sea level (including the ESL events) on intraseasonal timescales in the WNP, as some dynamical climate models can well predict the MJO and BSISO a few weeks ahead (e.g., Hudson et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2017). Studies suggested that the ISO variability can change with longer-time climate variability. For example, the MJO intensity in warm phases of ENSO is weaker than in the cold phases (Li and Zhou, 1994; Chen et al., 2016; Pang et al., 2016). The compound effects of ISO and other climate variability on the WNP sea level, e.g., conditional on different combinations of climate variability, will be investigated in our future work.
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Figure 1. (a-c) Intraseasonal variance of sea level in winter, and the percentage of intraseasonal variance explained by MJO. (a), (b) and (c) are for DAC, SLA and TSL, respectively. Colors indicate the variance (units: cm$^2$) and contours represent the percentage (units: %). The contours are drawn for every 5% increase in variance explained by ISO. (d-f) as in (a-c), but for intraseasonal variance of sea level in summer, and the percentage of intraseasonal variance explained by BSISO.
Figure 2. (a-c) Composite means of DAC, SLA and TSL in MJO phases, respectively. Blank areas indicate the composite means that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.
Figure 3. Composite means of mean sea level pressure and surface wind in MJO phases, in winter. Blank areas indicate the composite means that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.

Figure 4. (a-c) Harmonic parameters of MJO-related signals for DAC, SLA and TSL in winter, respectively. Colors indicate phase and contours represent amplitude (units: cm). In (a), thin dash line for 1, thin solid line for 1.2, thick dash line for 1.4, thick solid line for 1.6; in (b) and (c), thick dash line for 2, thick solid line for 4.
Figure 5. As in Figure 2, but for BSISO and in summer season.
Figure 6. As in Figure 3, but for BSISO and in summer season.

Figure 7. As in Figure 4, but for BSISO and in summer season. Colors indicate phase and contours represent amplitude (units: cm). Thin dash line for 1, thin solid line for 2, thick dash line for 3, thick solid line for 4).
Figure 8. Probability changes of ESL events in MJO phases for DAC: (a) extreme high events (R95); (b) extreme low events (R5).
Figure 9. (a-c) Harmonic parameters of probability changes in ESL high events due to MJO modulations for DAC, SLA and TSL, respectively. (d-f) as in (a-c), but for ESL low events. Colors indicate phase and contours represent amplitude (units: %). Thin dash line for 50, thin solid line for 100, thick dash line for 150, thick solid line for 200.
Figure 10. As in Figure 8 but for BSISO and in summer season.

Figure 11. As in Figure 9, but for BSISO and in summer season.
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Introduction

This file provides the effect of atmospheric forcing on sea level, the results of significance test that are mentioned in the main text, the variations of probability changes of extreme sea level events and composite means of sea levels and atmospheric forcing.
The effect of wind and atmospheric pressure

Composite means of sea level forced by mean sea level pressure (MSLP) over 1993-2017 were estimated by calculating the inverted barometer (IB) effect (Figure S1a, S4a), while the effect of surface wind was extracted after removing the IB effect from the composited DAC.

During boreal winter (December-February), the amplitudes of sea level variability driven by surface wind are large near the coastal areas of the Western North Pacific, which is 2-3 cm in the Bohai Sea, 1-2 cm in the coasts of China and ~1 cm in the Gulf of Thailand (Figure S1b). The amplitudes are about 2 cm in these coastal regions in summer (June-August) (Figure S4b).

**Figure S1.** (a-b) Composite means of sea level forced by MSLP and surface wind in winter, respectively.
Figure S2. (a-b) Composite means of sea level forced by MSLP and surface wind in winter, respectively. Blank areas indicate the composite means that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.
Figure S3. (a-c) Regressed phases of MJO-related signals for DAC, SLA and TSL in winter, respectively. Blank areas indicate the regressed phases that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.

Figure S4. As in Figure S1, but in summer season.
Figure S5. As in Figure S2, but in summer season.

Figure S6. As in Figure S3, but for BSISO and in summer season.
Figure S7. (a-c) Regressed phases of probability changes in ESL high events due to MJO modulations for DAC, SLA and TSL, respectively. (d-f) as in (a-c), but for ESL low events. Blank areas indicate the regressed phases that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.
Figure S8. Probability changes of ESL events in MJO phases for SLA: (a) extreme high events (R95); (b) extreme low events (R5).
Figure S9. As in Figure S7, but for BSISO and in summer season.
Figure 1. (a-c) Intraseasonal variance of sea level in winter, and the percentage of intraseasonal variance explained by MJO. (a), (b) and (c) are for DAC, SLA and TSL, respectively. Colors indicate the variance (units: cm$^2$) and contours represent the percentage (units: %). The contours are drawn for every 5% increase in variance explained by ISO. (d-f) as in (a-c), but for intraseasonal variance of sea level in summer, and the percentage of intraseasonal variance explained by BSISO.
Figure 2. (a-c) Composite means of DAC, SLA and TSL in MJO phases, respectively. Blank areas indicate the composite means that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.
Figure 3. Composite means of mean sea level pressure and surface wind in MJO phases, in winter. Blank areas indicate the composite means that are not passing the significance test at 95% confidence level.

Figure 4. (a-c) Harmonic parameters of MJO-related signals for DAC, SLA and TSL in winter, respectively. Colors indicate phase and contours represent amplitude (units: cm). In (a), thin dash line for 1, thin solid line for 1.2, thick dash line for 1.4, thick solid line for 1.6; in (b) and (c), thick dash line for 2, thick solid line for 4.
Figure 5. As in Figure 2, but for BSISO and in summer season.
Figure 6. As in Figure 3, but for BSISO and in summer season.

Figure 7. As in Figure 4, but for BSISO and in summer season. Colors indicate phase and contours represent amplitude (units: cm). Thin dash line for 1, thin solid line for 2, thick dash line for 3, thick solid line for 4).
Figure 8. Probability changes of ESL events in MJO phases for DAC: (a) extreme high events (R95); (b) extreme low events (R5).
Figure 9. (a-c) Harmonic parameters of probability changes in ESL high events due to MJO modulations for DAC, SLA and TSL, respectively. (d-f) as in (a-c), but for ESL low events. Colors indicate phase and contours represent amplitude (units: %). Thin dash line for 50, thin solid line for 100, thick dash line for 150, thick solid line for 200.
Figure 10. As in Figure 8 but for BSISO and in summer season.

Figure 11. As in Figure 9, but for BSISO and in summer season.
**Figure S10.** (a-c) Composite means of DAC, SLA and TSL in MJO phases, respectively.
Figure S11. Composite means of MSLP and surface wind in MJO phases, in winter.
Figure S12. As in Figure S10, but for BSISO and in summer season.
**Figure S13.** As in Figure S11, but for BSISO and in summer season.
Figure S14. Probability changes of ESL events in MJO phases for TSL: (a) extreme high events (R95); (b) extreme low events (R5).
Figure S15. As in Figure S8, but for BSISO and in summer season.
Figure S16. As in Figure S14, but for BSISO and in summer season.