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Abstract

Rising ocean temperatures are the primary driver of coral reef declines throughout the tropics. Such declines include reductions in coral cover that facilitate the monopolisation of the benthos by other taxa such as macroalgae, resulting in reduced habitat complexity and biodiversity. Long term monitoring projects present rare opportunities to assess how sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTAs) influence changes in the benthic composition of coral reefs across distinct locations. Here, using extensively monitored coral reef sites from Honduras (in the Caribbean Sea), and from the Wakatobi National Park located in the centre of the coral triangle of Indonesia, we assess the impact of global warming on coral reef benthic compositions over the period 2012-2019. Bayesian Generalised Linear Mixed effect Models revealed increases in sponge, and hard coral coverage through time, while rubble coverage decreased at the Indonesia location. Conversely, the effect of sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTA) did not predict any changes in benthic coverage. At the Honduras location, algae and soft coral coverage increased through time, while hard coral and rock coverage were decreasing. The effects of SSTA at the Honduras location included increased rock coverage, but reduced sponge coverage, indicating disparate responses between both systems under SSTAs. However, redundancy analyses showed intra-location site variability explained the majority of variance in benthic composition over the course of the study period. Our findings show that SSTAs have differentially influenced the benthic composition between the Honduras and the Indonesia coral reefs surveyed in this study. However, large intra-location variance which explains the benthic composition at both locations indicates that localised processes have a predominant role for explaining benthic composition over the last decade. The sustained monitoring effort is critical for understanding how these reefs will change in their composition as global temperatures continue to rise through the Anthropocene.
Introduction

Coral reefs harbour the highest levels of biodiversity of all marine ecosystems (Fisher et al. 2015), performing paramount roles in the stability of ocean life (Oliver et al. 2015; Benkwitt et al. 2020). In addition, the extraordinary complexity of coral reefs sustain a range of key ecosystem services to human wellbeing, including food security, storm protection, and economic benefits relevant to hundreds of millions of people around the globe (Moberg and Folke 1999; Foale et al. 2013; Norström et al. 2016; Woodhead et al. 2019). However, rising ocean temperatures linked to increased anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases have been identified as a key threat for coral reef persistence (Hughes et al. 2017).

A robust body of evidence has shown that global warming acts as the key driver of coral reef declines throughout the tropics. Pulse events such as marine heatwaves are widely documented to induce bleaching of corals, a process where photosynthetic endosymbionts are expelled from the cnidarian host (Warner et al. 1999; Fitt et al. 2001; Douglas 2003; Boilard et al. 2020; Suggett and Smith 2020). Bleaching is occurring over large spatial scales, resulting in mass mortality of entire coral colonies (Hughes et al. 2018a, 2018b).

Additionally, the continued rise in ocean temperatures are preventing coral reefs from recovering before further pulse events occur (Hughes et al. 2018a; Harrison et al. 2019). Rising ocean temperatures also inhibit the recruitment on coral reefs by causing mortality to juvenile corals (Hughes et al. 2019), highlighting the multifaceted process of coral reef decline via global warming. Thus, global warming will continue to transform coral reefs into taxonomically, physically and functionally more homogenous environments (Hughes et al. 2018b), reducing biodiversity and impacting ecosystem function (Pratchett et al. 2011; Oliver et al. 2015; Brandl et al. 2019).

As global warming continues to degrade coral reefs across the globe, monopolisation by other taxa such as macroalage where reef corals previously resided can occur rapidly (Hughes et al. 2007; Graham et al. 2013; Bozec et al. 2019; Fulton et al. 2019). Additionally, other taxa may also monopolise space previously inhabited by hard corals, such as sponges (Bell et al. 2013; Pawlik et al. 2016; Lesser and Slattery 2020) and soft corals (Inoue et al. 2013). Yet these taxa do not provide equal ecological complexity to support biodiversity and provision of ecosystem services as reef building corals (Friedlander and Parrish 1998; Hughes et al. 2017; Woodhead et al. 2019). Furthermore, a combination of biotic interactions and abiotic effects
can prevent taxa from monopolising uninhabited space for a period of time, resulting in an increased prevalence of sand or rock across the reef scape, further reducing habitat heterogeneity (Alvarez-Filip et al. 2009). Finally, other non-living benthic components such as coral rubble can inhabit reef space, a clear indication of hard coral mortality, and thus substratum homogenisation. These changes in benthic and taxonomic compositions of coral reefs ultimately represent a phase shifts of coral reefs, which are becoming more common under global warming in the Pacific (Ledlie et al. 2007; Bozec et al. 2019), along the great Barrier Reef (Hughes et al. 2007) and especially in the Atlantic ocean (Roff and Mumby 2012).

Coral reefs in the Wakatobi National Park (WNP) of Indonesia, and Honduras in the Caribbean, represent two extensively monitored locations since 2012, providing an ideal case study for understanding long term benthic compositional change under sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTAs). At the Honduran reef systems, coral cover has been stable between sites (Titus et al. 2015). Meanwhile, depths between 5 and 15m are associated with divergent responses between hard coral and macroalgae cover, but not sponge and soft coral cover at Utila, an island north of the Honduras coast (Andradi-Brown et al. 2016). At the Indonesia location, fine scale site variability has been reported for key benthic components, such as Sponge dominance on the turbid reefs (Powell et al. 2014; Biggerstaff et al. 2017; Rovellini et al. 2019), while algae coverage shows temporal variability across reefs at this location (Marlow et al. 2020). In contrast hard coral cover has appeared relatively stable at the WNP (Marlow et al. 2020), despite observed general global declines since the turn of the century owing to anthropogenic heating (Bruno and Selig 2007). However, coral community composition did change in the WNP, with a reduction of ~20% in hard coral cover linked to an intense bleaching event in 2010 (Watt-Pringle et al. 2022).

While previous findings have identified spatial and temporal variations of benthic cover at these extensively monitored locations, the change in benthic composition has not been assessed with satellite derived temperature metrics related to SSTAs. Here we assess the relative role of elevated sea temperatures from remote sensing data for influencing the benthic composition two coral reefs from distinct bioregions from 2012-2019.
Methods

Survey locations

Our study aims to compare two major coral reef systems of Honduras and Indonesia (Fig 1) where long term monitoring by Operation Wallacea has been carried out.

In Honduras, data were collected from multiple reef sites in three distinct locations. Cayos Cochinos Marine Protected Area (CCMPA) is a small archipelago close to the Honduran mainland with an extensive network of gently sloping coral reefs and heavily restricted access (Titus et al. 2015). Utila Island is the smallest of the Bay Islands chain and home to a major dive tourism industry and surrounded by a fringing reef ranging from slopes to steeper walls (Andradi-Brown et al. 2016). Finally, Banco Caprio is a recently discovered reef system in the mainland bay of Tela, comprising an offshore bank that is home to an unusually high percentage cover of live coral for the region (Bodmer et al. 2015) as well as a uniquely high density population of the keystone herbivorous urchin Diadema antillarum (Bodmer et al. 2021).

The study sites in Indonesia were located in the Wakatobi National Park (WNP), South-east Sulawesi. The park encompasses 1.39 million hectares (https://wakatobinationalpark.id/petakkerja/) in the centre of the Coral Triangle, harbouring over 390 species of hard coral, and 590 fish species across the 50k hectares of coral reefs (Clifton et al. 2010). Approximately 100k people reside within the WNP, many of which directly rely on coral reefs for their daily livelihoods (Cullen et al. 2007; Exton et al. 2019). Monitoring efforts in the WNP have focused on reefs from the Kaledupa Island, and the smaller adjacent Hoga Island (Fig 1). Surveys were taken across 6 established study sites encompassing various types of coral reefs. Buoy 3 and Ridge 1 are steep walled sites, Pak Kasims, Kaledupa, and KDS are gentle slope reefs, while Sampela is a gentle sloped highly sedimented and turbid reef (Crabbe and Smith 2002; Marlow et al. 2018).

Benthic data

Benthic surveys took place during the months of June, July, and August from 2012-2019. The 6 reef sites in Indonesia were replicated each year, while multiple sites in Honduras were randomly surveyed throughout the distinct locations over the 8-year study period. Benthic data were collected by trained underwater surveyors using SCUBA. Survey teams were made
up of university-level volunteers led by trained experienced scientists in underwater
surveying. The standardised methodology required surveyors to perform 50m line intercept
transects, where data were collected every 0.25m along the transect, recording data on
benthic biotic or abiotic classification under the transect tape at that point. Transects were
replicated at 5m, 10m, and 15m at the Honduras location only. Whereas at the Indonesia
location, surveys were triplicated at the key reef zones, being the reef crest (~5m), the reef
flat (~2-3m) and the reef slope (~12-15m). Using a variety of depths at the Honduras sites,
and variety of reef zones at the Indonesia sites which encompasses a wide depth range of
shallow reefs (2-15m) allows us generalise the shallow reef benthic compositions at these
sites. Categories for the benthic classifications are identified in Table 1.

Environmental data
Heat stress was quantified as sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTA) measured in °C over
the last 52 weeks preceding surveying at a 5km resolution, extracted from Coral Reef Watch
(CRW) v3.1 5km product suite (Liu et al. 2014). The 5km daily SSTA product uses the daily
climatology (DC) derived from the monthly mean (MM) climatology interpreted from linear
interpolation. The MM value is assigned to the 15th day of each corresponding month, where
individual days are derived from the linear interpolation. The SSTA value is thus calculated
as follows

\[ SSTA = SST - DC \]

Where the SST (sea surface temperature) is the value for the day, and DC is the
corresponding DC for that specific day of the year.

The CRW products are highly robust for accurately measuring thermal stress, especially in
tropical latitudes (Liu et al. 2014), with many different products utilised for various types of
study (e.g. Hughes et al. 2018; McClanahan et al. 2019, 2020). Given the discrepancies in
accuracy between satellite derived temperature data, and actual temperature of a given region,
small values between -0.2 and +0.2 °C are considered climatologically normal for the SSTA
product, exemplifying the robustness of CRW data (Liu et al. 2014). These satellite-derived
temperature data are primarily an excellent tool for predicting coral responses to heat stress in
shallow water (Sully et al. 2019; Johnson et al. 2022a, 2022b). Additionally, they are also
ideal predictors of coral responses of up to 18m depth for changes in coral assemblages (Hughes et al. 2018b), and coral mortality (Donovan et al. 2021).

The CRW product SSTA values were extracted as a summarised values on a weekly time series. SSTA values were extracted at a 5km resolution for each location over the previous 52 weeks from when the benthic surveying commenced (i.e. June 1st-May 31st) for each year from 2012-2019. Benthic surveys where SSTA data were not available were excluded from the analysis, leaving a total of 1,088 surveys over the 8 year time period. While there are potential issues (Ferguson et al. 2017) for using mismatched time series (i.e., values over the last 52 weeks before the commencement of survey) which do not capture fine-scale variability taxa with fast life histories, such as macroalga and some sponges (Rovellini et al. 2021), this approach has been successfully employed for assessing coral responses over the time period used (Donovan et al. 2021), which are indicative of coral reef compositional change. Average SSTA values for each location over the course of the previous 52 weeks are summarised in Fig 2. The number of temperature cells used to extract temperature values for the surveys from CRW at each location are in Table 2.

Statistical analyses
Firstly, a Generalised Linear Model (GLM) with a quasi-poisson distribution was used to determine whether the average SSTA was increasing through time at each location, as data were Poisson distributed and over-dispersed.

Bayesian generalised linear models
To assess the response of benthic components to rising ocean temperatures, we used Bayesian GLMs from the ‘brms’ package (Bürkner 2017) which utilises the STAN language (Carpenter et al. 2017) in R 4.1.0 (R Core Team 2021). The cover of each benthic component was run as a response variable at each location (Indonesia and Honduras), specified with a beta distribution, as survey data reflected proportions. Time (the year of survey – 2012) and SSTA were the explanatory effects in the model, run with the random effect of site. Priors were fitted for each model using the ‘get_prior’ function in the package ‘brms’ which specifies priors for the beta coefficients, intercept, and random of effects each model (Bürkner 2017). Models were run for 4,000 iterations with 3,000 burnins, across 4 chains. To ensure convergence was achieved trace plots were assessed (Figures S1-14). Posterior
predictive checks were also used to assess model performance (Figures S1-14), in addition to each model achieving Gelman-Rubin statistic (Rhat) of 1 (Bürkner 2017).

**Ordination analysis**

To assess the relative influence of our predictors of time and SSTA for predicting benthic composition at each location over the entire survey period (2012-2019) we used Redundancy analysis (RDA) from the ‘vegan’ package (Oksanen et al. 2013) in R. RDA is analogous of ordinary least square regression to the multivariate response variable, which expects a linear response of each benthic component to the environmental variables (Year, SSTA & site). Using RDA allowed us to extract the constrained inertia (variance explained) from each model at the two locations to assess the relative influence of time and SSTA for driving benthic composition.

Further analysis to assess changes in the benthic components of coral reefs our locations were assessed using non-Metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (nMDS) from the ‘vegan’ package (Oksanen et al. 2013). Each benthic component was ordinated in 2-dimensional space grouped by the first 4 years of sampling (2012-2015) and the last 4 years of sampling (2016-2019) with a Euclidean dissimilarity matrix. Grouping the composition of coral reefs this way coincided with the 2016-2017 back-to-back bleaching events where marine temperatures were exceedingly high (Hughes et al. 2018a), devastating many corals around the globe (Hughes et al. 2018a; Harrison et al. 2019; McClanahan et al. 2019; Sully et al. 2019), even leading to transformed coral reef assemblages on the Great Barrier Reef (Hughes et al. 2018b). To assess the change in composition of each site between the 2 grouped time periods, the entirety of the ordination space occupied by each location were plotted, along with their pairwise distances.

**Results**

**Sea surface temperature anomalies from 2012-2019**

The average SSTAs over the last decade were highly divergent between the Honduras and Indonesia locations, with peak SSTAs preceding the survey years of 2016 and 2017 for Honduras (Fig 2). Comparatively, the SSTA peak for Indonesia over the last decade only occurred for one year, preceding 2017 surveying (Fig 2). Overall, the average SSTA was higher for every survey year at the Honduras location compared to the Indonesia location.
The SSTA also showed a significant increase through time for both the Indonesia location (GLM, Estimate = 3.644, $t = 0.025$, $p<0.001$) and the Honduras location (GLM, Estimate = 0.052, $t = 3.905$, $p < 0.001$).

**Response of benthic components to SSTA over the last decade**

Changes in the benthic composition between locations varied from 2012-2019 (Fig 3). Thus, as expected, the response of benthic components to sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTA) also varied between locations (Fig 4). Time (year) was a strong predictor of an increase in hard coral cover and sponge cover at the Indonesia location, while also predicting a decrease in coral rubble. At the Honduras location, time predicted an increase in algae and soft coral cover. However, hard coral cover and rock cover are predicted to decrease through time. Over the last decade, SSTA did not predict any changes in benthic cover at the Indonesia location. Conversely, SSTA predicted an increase in bare rock cover at the Honduras location, while also predicting a reduction in sponge coverage.

Redundancy analysis identified low variance explained from the effects of time (year of survey) and SSTA at the Indonesia (5.9%) and Honduras (4.7%) location. However, when adding site in the RDA model (Fig 5), 69.5% of the variance is explained at the Indonesia location while 81.8 is explained at the Honduras location, indicating site variability is the strongest predictor of benthic composition at both these locations.

**Change in the benthic community composition from 2012-2019**

The relative contribution of individual benthic components to the reef benthic composition are shown from 2012-2015 (Fig 6a) and 2016-2019 (Fig 6b), with only slight changes to the benthic components at the Indonesia sites between these time groups. Furthermore, potential simplification/stabilisation of the benthic composition at the Indonesia location can be observed based on the entirety of the ordination space occupied pre-2016 compared to 2016-2019 (Fig 6c). However, at the Honduras location a drastic change in the benthic components which drives the community composition was observed from 2012-2015 compared to 2016-2019 (Fig 6d,e). This coincided with a shift in the ordination space occupied by each site (Fig 6f).

**Discussion**
Our findings reveal dichotomous responses between the two locations of coral reef sites of the Honduras and Indonesia location under SSTAs from 2012-2019. Benthic composition varied over time at both locations, but the changes in benthic composition were location specific. Meanwhile, intra-location variability (i.e. the composition at each site) explained the largest proportion of variance for the benthic composition at both the Indonesia and Honduras locations, indicating fine-scale variability as a key factor for explaining the benthic composition of these coral reefs.

**The relative role of SSTA for driving compositional change**

Elevated sea surface temperatures appear to predict coverage of benthic components at the Honduras location only, and not the Indonesia location, indicating that the Honduran reefs surveyed in this study are more susceptible to compositional change under marine heatwaves. This can be seen with the increase in bare rock coverage at the Honduras location and a decrease in sponge coverage in association with SSTAs (Fig 4), but note temporal variations in cover (Fig 3). The increase in bare rock coverage associated with temperature could indicate global warming driving biotic declines of the reef scape through direct and indirect cascading processes (Alvarez-Filip et al. 2009). Meanwhile, the decrease in sponge coverage identified at the Honduras location is convoluted in the literature. Coral loss attributed to global warming leads to increase in seaweed abundance, which results in an increased production of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) that is consumed by sponges. Consequently, nutrients released by sponges enhance seaweed abundance, further inhibiting coral cover (Pawlik et al. 2016). Yet, this process is likely constrained in the long term owing to cascading trophic processes (Lesser and Slattery 2020). Our findings suggest that this increase will not occur at this location under rising sea temperatures. At the Indonesia location, none of the benthic components were predicted to either increase or decrease from the effect of SSTA, suggesting other factors are driving the benthic composition of these reefs.

In contrast to the effects of SSTAs, temporal patterns of variation predicting the benthic composition of reefs at both the Indonesia and Honduras location are prominent. These temporal patterns which predict compositional changes at the Indonesia location have been previously recorded for sponges, which showed the strongest temporal increase of all the benthic components. This stark increase is most strongly related to the Sampela site where high sedimentation has driven sponge dominance (Biggerstaff et al. 2017). However, fine
scale temporal variation in sponge and algae coverage on the coral reefs of the WNP are well documented (Rovellini et al. 2019; Marlow et al. 2020), along with interannual variability of algae coverage (Marlow et al. 2020; Rovellini et al. 2021) which are likely overlooked based on our findings (e.g. Fig 3). The increase in hard corals at the Indonesia location contradicts the assumed temporal stability of hard coral at this reefs (Marlow et al. 2020; Rovellini et al. 2021), but may be a consequence of recovery from a lower baseline because of the 2010 bleaching event (Watt-Pringle et al. 2022), or a natural cycle where hard corals are increasing owing to temporal variation (Rovellini et al. 2021). This is also indicated by a decrease in coral rubble at the Indonesia location through time, suggesting hard coral cover has displaced dead corals over time. At the Honduras location, increased algae coverage and decreased hard coral cover conform to previous to the expectation of decline for coral reefs in this region where multiple stressors are compounding coral reef transitions into alternative states (Contreras-Silva et al. 2020). The decrease in bare rock cover at this location likely relates to the observed increased in algae monopolisation, and the increase in soft coral cover through time. Soft corals are a taxa assumed to increase on reefs under global warming, as reduction in hard corals from warming and acidification should allow for soft corals to outcompete hard corals (Inoue et al. 2013), which may be occurring at these Honduran reefs.

**Other drivers of reef composition**

Given that intrinsic site variability between these two locations appears to be the strongest predictor of benthic composition compared to SSTA and time, it is critical to note other potential drivers of composition at these locations. Firstly, the use of mismatched time series methodology does not capture fine-scale temporal dynamics of species with faster life histories, such as macroalgae and some sponges (Rovellini et al. 2021). These faster life history traits will also influence rock coverage as bare substrate will be quickly monopolised by these taxa, yet grazing and/or displacement could occur before sampling between years takes place. However, the general effects of using SSTA over the 52 week period have been well validated for coral cover (Donovan et al. 2021) which is the most important component for coral reef complexity. The influence of depth was also not considered within our models owing to the dearth of sufficient data. Yet, coral and algae cover at the Honduras location vary by depth (Andradi-Brown et al. 2016), which is also often assumed to be refuge for some corals under warming oceans (Bridge et al. 2014). Surveying at both locations encompassed a variety of reef types, zones, and depths, but these data were not specifically recorded during collection so were not included in analyses. However, for corals specifically,
depth certainly does not equal refuge, as temperature sensitivity increases with depth
(Bongaerts et al. 2017). Furthermore, at the Honduras location, the impacts of grazing
herbivores such as *Diadema antillarum* which support ecosystem function by reducing algae
coverage, thus facilitating coral cover increase, was not considered as a driver of benthic
composition in this study despite their known positive impacts (Bodmer et al. 2015, 2021).

Our analysis also did not consider prevailing ocean currents, such as the influence of the
Banda and Flores Sea (Gordon et al. 1994), which at the Indonesia location, is hypothesised
to provide cooling waters to corals of the WNP, potentially alleviating bleaching during
thermal stress. Finally, lack of information on at the level of coral reef species are also not
available from monitoring data, which are likely to be an influential factor for assessing
changes to the benthic composition under SSTAs. However, data at this resolution on coral
reefs are unlikely feasible with citizen science techniques, therefore a trade-off between
accuracy and resolution must be considered (Done et al. 2017; Gouraguine et al. 2019).

**Conclusions**

In conclusions, our analyses reveal the composition of reefs at both locations have changed
over the last decade, with increased evidence of changes at the Honduras during SSTAs
compared to the Indonesia location. At the Indonesia location, temporal variation predicts
changes in the benthic composition far more than the effect of elevated sea surface
temperatures. However, high variance explained of the benthic composition by adding site to
RDA models indicates other fine-scale inter-location factors are likely driving the benthic
composition of both these locations. Consequently, continued monitoring of these reefs with
higher taxonomic resolution of data may be beneficial, along with in-situ temperature
recordings. Ultimately, however, the monitoring effort is critical for understanding local scale
composition dynamics of these coral reefs, and how they will change under anthropogenic
heating.
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Figure legends
Fig 1. Locations of reefs where field surveys were undertaken for benthic data collection by Operation Wallacea citizen scientists. The top panel shows the location of surveyed reef sites.
from Honduras, the bottom panel shows the surveyed reef sites of Indonesia, located in the Wakatobi National Park.

**Fig 2.** Average sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) in °C and standard errors (whiskers) from 2012-2019 at the Indonesia and Honduras sites surveyed in this study. Temperatures were quantified from the 52 weeks preceding the survey period which began at the 1st of June for each site, each year. Points represent the mean SSTA, while error bars are standard error.
**Fig 3.** Temporal dynamics of each benthic cover for each category at the Indonesia (top) and Honduras (bottom) location.

**Fig 4.** Bayesian GLM coefficient estimates for the response of selected major benthic components under elevated sea surface temperatures (SSTA) and time (Year). Coloured points correspond to the specified benthic component, representing the mean model.
coefficient. Horizontal bars represent 95% credible intervals, which are considered ‘significant’ when they do not cross zero (grey line). The models ran separately for Indonesia and Honduras locations. The components were selected based on preliminary analysis as the most dominant components of reefs from the field surveys undertaken by Operation Wallacea volunteers from the years of 2012-2019. Colours are from *Centropyge loricula* using the ‘fishualize’ package (Schiettekatte et al. 2022).

**Fig 5.** Redundancy analysis of the benthic community composition at each location and their relationship with environmental variables. The left plot are data from Indonesia, while Honduras is shown on the right. The blue text within the plots indicates the individual benthic components (Table 1), while the red text specifies the environmental drivers considered in the model which includes individual sites. The arrows correspond to the relative influence of environmental variables.
Fig 6. nMDS analysis of the benthic community composition at the Indonesia sites (A-C) and Honduras sites (D-F). A. and D. are the composition of individual benthic components from 2012-2015. B. and E. are response of individual benthic components from 2016-2019 (i.e. showing the response of the global marine heatwaves which took place in 2016/2017 (Fig. 2)). Letters represent the individual taxa which are specified in Table 1. C. and F. represent the entire ordination space of the benthic composition at each individual reef, where the red polygon bounds the sites from 2012-2015, while the blue polygon bound sites from 2016-2019.

Tables

Table 1. Categorisation of biotic and abiotic benthic components collected from benthic transect surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Benthic category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Algae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>Anemone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>Ascidian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA</td>
<td>Coralline Crustose Algae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Dead Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Hard Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYD</td>
<td>Hydroids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INV</th>
<th>Other Invertebrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Millipora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEY</td>
<td>Peysonnellia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>Rubble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCK</td>
<td>Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Soft Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>Sea Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Sponge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>Zooanthid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sites sharing a CRW cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>B3 &amp; PK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sampela, KDS, &amp; KAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>BCW, CV, LB, &amp; SB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>El Avion, Peli0, Peli2, &amp; Peli4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BF, KG, MM, Aldrids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canyon, Rotanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jenna 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The Coral Reef Watch (CRW) temperature cells used for each site at each location in the study.
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