

Growing body of evidence supporting the use of Bivalirudin as alternative anticoagulation during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Renato Bernardini¹, Carlo Bellanca¹, Giuseppe Aiello¹, Micol Cuocina¹, Rosaria Di Mauro², and Giuseppina Cantarella¹

¹Università degli Studi di Catania Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia

²Università degli Studi di Catania Scuola Facoltà di Medicina

June 24, 2022

Growing body of evidence supporting the use of Bivalirudin as alternative anticoagulation during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Carlo Maria Bellanca¹⁻²; Giuseppe Aiello¹⁻²; Micol Cuocina¹⁻²; Rosaria Di Mauro¹; Renato Bernardini ¹⁻²; Giuseppina Cantarella¹

Affiliations

¹ Department Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences (BIOMETEC), Section of Pharmacology, University of Catania, Catania, 95123, Italy

² Unit of Clinical Toxicology, University Hospital Policlinico “G. Rodolico-San Marco”, Catania, 95123, Italy.

Corresponding author: Renato Bernardini.

Letter on the article : Bivalirudin vs. heparin in paediatric and adult patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: A meta-analysis. Li MJ, et al. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2022 Jan 30.

Dear Editor,

We congratulate Li et al. for their meta-analysis investigating the value of an alternative strategy of anticoagulation for patients supported by extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)¹. The authors divided the ECMO patients according to the use of unfractionated heparin or bivalirudin. A previous systematic review has been conducted² and bivalirudin use has increased in perioperative cardiothoracic practice³. However, the present study represents one of the first attempts to address quantitatively the benefits of bivalirudin over standard anticoagulation. The authors pooled data from 9 retrospective studies and analysed results on almost 1000 patients¹.

We applaud the authors for their balanced interpretation of the results; indeed, they suggested that bivalirudin may be a potential alternative to heparin in paediatric and adult patients requiring ECMO. We agree that the inclusion of retrospective studies warrant caution in drawing conclusions, but we would like to make some remarks.

The first one is that the authors have probably missed some relevant studies according to their inclusion criteria. In our opinion, at least five potentially eligible studies⁴⁻⁸ deserved inclusion as they compared the use of bivalirudin and heparin in patients undergoing ECMO. These studies are listed in Table 1.

First author, Year	Study Design Population	Some Outcomes of interest
Giuliano K et al. 2021	Retrospective Adult ECMO	Bleeding, transfusions, thrombosis, mortality
Kaushik S et al. 2021	Retrospective Pediatric ECMO	Bleeding, hemolysis, thrombosis, mortality
Macielak S et al 2019	Retrospective Adult ECMO	Bleeding, thrombosis
Ljajikj E et al 2017	Retrospective Adult ECMO and LVAD	Bleeding, transfusions, mortality
Pappalardo F et al. 2014	Retrospective Adult ECMO	Bleeding, transfusions, thrombosis, mortality

Table 1. Five studies comparing bivalirudin and heparin anticoagulation that may have deserved inclusion in the meta-analysis by Li et al. ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. LVAD: Left Ventricular Assist Device.

It happens to miss relevant studies in systematic reviews⁹, and our intention is just to make aware the community of a greater number of data available. Moreover, even if all the studies were of retrospective design and thus likely to be biased (selection and reporting bias very likely), the greater number of studies may help in conducting a Trial Sequential Analysis. Although such analyses do not overcome the limitations due to the retrospective study design, their results may inform on the robustness of the meta-analysis findings¹⁰, eventually supporting the idea of conducting prospective studies with randomized design.

In summary, we commend the authors for their balanced conclusions mainly prompted by the design of the included studies. The inclusion of the five missed studies may confirm (or not?) the author’s findings, and a Trial Sequential Analysis may add further valuable information on the topic.

REFERENCES

1. Li MJ, Shi JY, Zhang JH. Bivalirudin vs. heparin in paediatric and adult patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: A meta-analysis. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2022.
2. Sanfilippo F, Asmussen S, Maybauer DM, et al. Bivalirudin for Alternative Anticoagulation in Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation: A Systematic Review. *Journal of intensive care medicine*. 2017;32(5):312-319.
3. Erdoes G, Ortmann E, Martinez Lopez De Arroyabe B, Reid C, Koster A. Role of Bivalirudin for Anticoagulation in Adult Perioperative Cardiothoracic Practice. *Journal of cardiothoracic and vascular anesthesia*. 2020;34(8):2207-2214.
4. Giuliano K, Bigelow BF, Etchill EW, et al. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Complications in Heparin- and Bivalirudin-Treated Patients. *Critical care explorations*.2021;3(7):e0485.
5. Pappalardo F, Agracheva N, Covello RD, et al. Anticoagulation for critically ill cardiac surgery patients: is primary bivalirudin the next step? *Journal of cardiothoracic and vascular anesthesia*. 2014;28(4):1013-1017.
6. Kaushik S, Derespina KR, Chandhoke S, et al. Use of bivalirudin for anticoagulation in pediatric extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). *Perfusion*.2021:2676591211034314.
7. Macielak S, Burcham P, Whitson B, Abdel-Rasoul M, Rozycki A. Impact of anticoagulation strategy and agents on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy. *Perfusion*.2019;34(8):671-678.
8. Ljajikj E, Zittermann A, Morshuis M, et al. Bivalirudin anticoagulation for left ventricular assist device implantation on an extracorporeal life support system in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia antibodies. *Interactive cardiovascular and thoracic surgery*. 2017;25(6):898-904.
9. Sanfilippo F, Tigano S, Palumbo GJ, Astuto M, Murabito P. Importance of inclusion criteria in systematic reviews. *British journal of anaesthesia*. 2020.

10. Sanfilippo F LVL, Tigano S, Morgana A, La Rosa V, Astuto M. Trial sequential analysis: the evaluation of the robustness of meta-analyses findings and the need for further research. *Euromediterranean Biomedical Journal*.2021;16(25):104-107.