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Abstract

Objective: To study the impact of absolute uterine factor infertility (AUFI) and uterus transplantation (UTx) on women, and UTx recipients’ perceptions of UTx and reproductive autonomy Design: Convergent mixed-methods study. Setting: UTx program in a large academic medical centre in the United States. Population/Sample: 20 UTx recipients Methods: A medical chart review was conducted to collect patient demographic information, and clinical outcomes. Semi-structured interviews collected information regarding participants’ experience. Main Outcome Measure(s): The outcomes of interest were participants’ experience of infertility, experience with UTx, and general perceptions of UTx. Results: 7 participants were pregnant (one with a second child), 6 had experienced early graft failure and removal, 5 had delivered a healthy baby, and 4 had a viable graft and were awaiting embryo transfer. The primary themes identified were: the negative impact of AUFI diagnosis on psychological wellbeing, relationships, and female identity; the positive impact of UTx on healing the emotional scars of AUFI, female identity, and value of research trial participation; and the perception of UTx as an expansion of reproductive autonomy. All participants reported UTx was worthwhile, regardless of individual outcome. On bivariate analysis, disease aetiology, having a child after uterus transplantation, experiencing graft failure and current pregnancy were not significantly associated with the impact of AUFI or of UTx on participants’ identities. Conclusion: AUFI has a negative impact on women from a young age, affects multiple relationships, and challenges female identity. UTx helps reverse this impact, transforming women’s life narrative of infertility and enhancing female identity.
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Capsule

Absolute uterine factor infertility (AUFI) has multiple negative impacts on women. Uterus transplantation helps reverse the impact of AUFI, by transforming women’s life narrative of infertility and enhancing female identity.
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Objective: To study the impact of absolute uterine factor infertility (AUFI) and uterus transplantation (UTx) on women, and UTx recipients’ perceptions of UTx and reproductive autonomy

Design: Convergent mixed-methods study.

Setting: UTx program in a large academic medical centre in the United States.

Population/Sample: 20 UTx recipients

Methods: A medical chart review was conducted to collect patient demographic information, and clinical outcomes. Semi-structured interviews collected information regarding participants’ experience.

Main Outcome Measure(s): The outcomes of interest were participants’ experience of infertility, experience with UTx, and general perceptions of UTx.

Results: 7 participants were pregnant (one with a second child), 6 had experienced early graft failure and removal, 5 had delivered a healthy baby, and 4 had a viable graft and were awaiting embryo transfer. The primary themes identified were: the negative impact of AUFI diagnosis on psychological wellbeing, relationships, and female identity; the positive impact of UTx on healing the emotional scars of AUFI, female identity, and value of research trial participation; and the perception of UTx as an expansion of reproductive autonomy. All participants reported UTx was worthwhile, regardless of individual outcome. On bivariate analysis, disease aetiology, having a child after uterus transplantation, experiencing graft failure and current pregnancy were not significantly associated with the impact of AUFI or of UTx on participants' identities.

Conclusion: AUFI has a negative impact on women from a young age, affects multiple relationships, and challenges female identity. UTx helps reverse this impact, transforming women’s life narrative of infertility and enhancing female identity.
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Introduction

Uterus transplantation (UTx) is the only treatment for absolute uterine factor infertility (AUFI) that allows women to carry a pregnancy. AUFI affects 1-5% of women of childbearing age and is caused by either congenital (e.g., Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome [MRKH]) or acquired (e.g., hysterectomy) absence of the uterus.\(^1\) To date there have been at least 60 UTx reported throughout the world and 20 live births.\(^2-4\) Baylor University Medical Center (BUMC) has the largest worldwide experience with UTx, having performed 20 UTx resulting in 14 live births to date.\(^5\) The goal of UTx is to improve the quality of life for women with AUFI by offering them the opportunity to experience pregnancy and childbirth. UTx has been shown to be reproducible, safe, and successful in terms of live births in multiple studies across the world. Despite the clinical success of UTx, many questions remain about the value of UTx given that its purpose is to improve patients’ quality of life.

Three qualitative studies about uterus transplantation have examined the motivations of women with AUFI to consider UTx, the impact of UTx on the lives of recipients, and recipients’ perceptions of informed consent and decision-making for UTx. Richards and colleagues interviewed 19 women with AUFI who expressed interest in UTx clinical trial participation but had not undergone uterus transplantation. They found that the diagnosis of AUFI negatively impacted self-identity in terms of perceiving themselves as less female and relationships with family, peers, and partners at different life stages. Participants conceptualised UTx as a way to regain reproductive autonomy that was not feasible with adoption or surrogacy.\(^6\) Jarvholm and colleagues interviewed 9 UTx recipients up to 5 years following UTx to examine the impact of UTx on recipients’ lives in terms of how UTx changed self-perception, body-image, and sexuality.\(^7\) Wall and colleagues interviewed 20 UTx recipients about their perceptions of informed consent and decision making.\(^8\) Participants were well informed, motivated primarily by the desire to achieve motherhood through pregnancy, and felt that surrogacy and adoption did not offer the same perceived value as UTx. Wall and colleagues also reported a case series of the pregnancy experiences of the first two UTx recipients at their centre to successfully deliver a child.\(^9\) These UTx recipients perceived their pregnancies as similar to other women, and derived significant personal value from their experiences.

To date, no studies have compared how women who chose to undergo UTx experience AUFI and UTx or how UTx recipients perceive their experience with UTx in terms of reproductive autonomy. To examine these aspects of UTx, we conducted a mixed methods study of 20 UTx recipients from the Dallas UTerus Transplant Study (DUETS) focused on advancing our understanding of how AUFI impacts women who undergo UTx, how UTx impacts women with AUFI, and how UTx recipients view UTx in terms of their reproductive autonomy.

Materials and Methods

The study protocol was approved by Baylor Scott & White Research Institute IRB (Study #019-216). The study was developed and conducted using the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) checklist to ensure complete and transparent reporting.\(^10\)

Study Design

We performed a convergent mixed-methods study of uterus transplant recipients that concurrently gathered qualitative data through open ended interview questions and quantitative data from medical records and closed ended interview questions.\(^11\) Semi-structured interviews were conducted using an interview guide that was grounded in two theoretical frameworks: Leventhal’s illness representations and Kleinman’s explanatory models of disease.\(^12, 13\) These frameworks were chosen to assess how UTx recipients understand, experience, and cope with infertility and approach the decision-making process for UTx. The interview guide was reviewed by content experts in solid organ transplantation, ethics, ob-gyn, psychology, and uterus transplantation at BUMC, as well as members of the International Society of Uterus Transplantation and the United States Uterus Transplant Consortium.\(^14\) The final interview guide consisted of 13 questions that addressed
the experience of infertility, the experience with UTx, and general perceptions of UTx.\(^8\) (Appendix 1) It was pilot tested with two uterus transplant nurse coordinators. Additionally, participants were asked to add any comments on topics that were important to them but not addressed in the interview.

**Setting and Study population**

Eligible participants included adult (age 18 years +), English-speaking women who had undergone UTx at Baylor University Medical Center (BUMC). Inclusion and exclusion criteria for UTx at BUMC are described elsewhere.\(^{15-18}\) BUMC is the largest UTx program in the US, having performed 20 UTx resulting in 14 live births since the program began in 2016.\(^{15-18}\)

**Recruitment**

Participants were recruited either face-to-face in clinic or through email communication from a convenience sample of women who underwent UTx at BUMC. All participants had undergone an informed consent process prior to UTx, which involved meetings with the medical team and the provision of a binder with information about UTx and copies of consent forms.

**Data collection**

Interviews were conducted either in person or by telephone by one researcher (AW), a female transplant surgeon and a PhD bioethicist, who did not have a clinical relationship with any participants. Participants were informed of the interviewer’s role and research interest in understanding the experiences of women who undergo UTx. One-on-one interviews were conducted over the phone, audio-recorded and lasted 30 to 60 minutes. Audio recordings were transcribed using NVivo transcription services\(^{19}\) and verified by one researcher (AW). Field notes were made during and immediately after each interview. A medical chart review was also conducted to collect patient demographic information (e.g., age, education level, and number of children), and clinical outcomes (e.g., graft failure, miscarriage, failed embryo transfer, pregnancy, and live births after UTx). In addition, closed-ended interview questions (e.g., “Was UTx worth it?”; “How risky was your experience on a scale of 1-100%?”) were treated as categorical variables.\(^{20-22}\)

**Analysis**

Thematic analysis of the transcribed interviews was performed through an iterative process of inductive and deductive coding.\(^{23}\) Inductive codes were identified through topics emerging from transcripts, while deductive codes were identified a priori from prior research and the interview guide.\(^8\) Each transcript was independently coded by two researchers (AW/MS) and memos were made to identify emerging themes and data interpretation. (Appendix 2) All coding discrepancies were resolved via consensus discussions between the coders and final coding assignments were made using NVivo 12.\(^{19}\) Although thematic saturation was achieved at 12 interviews, we continued conducting interviews because we had a finite number of participants, all of whom had unique clinical experiences with UTx and whose insights, we believed, were additive to the study results.

Quantitative data analysis entailed descriptive statistics (e.g., frequencies, medians, interquartile ranges, and means) for patient demographic and outcomes data. In addition, qualitative codes were transformed into categorical variables (e.g., perceived high or low risk of UTx, information needs, and expectations of UTx). Bivariate analysis, performed using R, tested associations between transformed qualitative data and quantitative demographic and outcomes data.\(^{24}\) Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

**Results**

**Participant Demographics**

All twenty women who were invited to participate agreed to take part. Participant demographic and clinical characteristics have been previously reported and are detailed in Table 1.\(^8\) Participants received a uterus from a living, unknown donor (n=17), a deceased, unknown donor (n=2), or from a living, known donor (n=1). Interviews were conducted at least two months after UTx. At the time of the interview, 7 participants were
pregnant with the transplanted uterus in place (one of whom was pregnant with a second child), 6 had experienced early graft failure and removal, 5 had delivered a healthy baby, and 4 had a viable graft and were awaiting embryo transfer.

Primary Themes

We identified three primary themes related to AUFI, UTx and reproductive autonomy. The first theme was the negative impact of the diagnosis of AUFI on psychological wellbeing, relationships with family, peers, and partners, and on participants' female identity. The second theme was the positive impact of UTx on healing the emotional scars of AUFI, enhancing female identity and the value of research trial participation. The third theme was the perception of UTx as an expansion of reproductive autonomy.

Experiences with Absolute Uterine Factor Infertility

Participants described their experiences with absolute uterine factor infertility in terms of three factors: the immediate emotional impact of the diagnosis on their psychosocial wellbeing, the effect of the diagnosis on family, peer and partner relationships and the impact of the diagnosis on their female identity. The immediate emotional impact of the AUFI diagnosis was overwhelmingly negative. Because most participants had MRKH, they were diagnosed during their teenage years when they did not start having menstrual periods. Participants were given the diagnosis and told that because of AUFI, they would not be able to carry their own child. Words used to describe the emotional impact of the AUFI diagnosis included “devastating” (UTR14_GF), “difficult” (UTR02_CP) and “shocking” (UTR20_VG). One participant described her experience with the diagnosis of AUFI as follows: “When you’re told at a young age that it’s just not an option for you to have your own child – it feels like part of you is just ripped out and completely taken away.” (UTR20_VG)

Participants also described how AUFI impacted their relationships with peers, family members and romantic partners. AUFI made participants feel different and isolated from other teenage girls because they were not part of the common experience of menstruation. One participant described her experience as a teenager with AUFI in the following way: “You kind of close yourself off to other girls early from my experience because you couldn’t talk about when you got your first period. You weren’t the girl with a pad or a tampon.” (UTR02_CP)

Family members, particularly the parents of our participants, were also affected by the AUFI diagnosis. Participants described parental guilt whereby their parents thought that they had done something wrong to cause the patient to have AUFI. Participants also described how the AUFI diagnosis affected their experiences of dating, marriage, and starting a family. One participant talked about the challenge of disclosing her diagnosis to a person she was dating, her fear that she would not be accepted, and how the burden of AUFI altered her outlook on life from a very young age.

Whereas when you’re dating, you’re like, oh, I have to tell somebody I can’t ever have kids. And that’s a really big thing to accept. I mean, I would put myself in other people’s shoes and was like, could I accept that if the guy that I was dating told me he could never have children? Because I feel like most people would like to have a family. So I think that’s probably the biggest thing with my infertility experience is I was just really young. It progressively got worse with age. I figured I would probably be single my entire life. I really didn’t see myself getting married. It kind of closed off this door of what I’d always imagined – getting married and having a family. (UTR10_SP)

Participants described the diagnosis of AUFI as a challenge to their core female identity, resulting in a personal perception of being “not a real woman” (UTR04_GF). One commented:

For a really long time I felt maybe not good enough, like I wasn’t fully a woman. I felt like there was this huge piece of me missing, and I would never relate to having a period or being pregnant or just ... all these huge things that are just attached to being a woman, even just having to buy pads and tampons. There is this huge part of being a woman that I would never get to experience. (UTR10_SP)

The impact of uterus transplantation on recipient’s lives
Participants described the impact of uterus transplantation in terms of four factors. First, uterus transplantation was perceived as a healing process that allowed them to begin the recovery from the negative impact of AUFI. Second, participants described the positive psychological impact that uterus transplantation had on their lives as a contrast to the negative impact of AUFI. Third, uterus transplantation allowed participants to regain the opportunity to be a part of common female experiences, which had been lost with the diagnosis of AUFI. Finally, participants related the value of participation in uterus transplantation as an opportunity to help others and actively advocate for women with AUFI.

The value of the option of uterus transplantation was empowering for participants and for many, began a healing journey. Participants described the theme of healing in terms of changing their life trajectory which was dominated by infertility and its consequences to a life with more control over their reproductive choices. Moreover, healing was not merely realised by those participants who had a successful pregnancy, but was a stepwise process that started with transplantation and continued with each new experience in the UTx process: menstruation, embryo transfer, clinical pregnancy, delivery and motherhood. One participant described how she conceptualised the value of UTx as an option as follows:

I was never born with this option [UTx]. So, for it to even be on the table was an instant like, wow, I have the choice. Do I want a uterus? Do I want to try for this? I had a choice which I never had when I was first diagnosed... So that was healing in itself. (UTR08_SP)

UTx had a strong healing effect on participants. UTx transformed participants’ self-identity and perceptions of their life trajectory as women with infertility who would never have the option to have their own children. One participant said that with UTx, this was the first time in her life she could envision herself becoming a mom and be excited about the prospect of starting a family.(UTR09_SP) Another described her reaction to learning about the uterus transplant clinical trials as “my soul kicked back”.(UTR15_CP) Even those participants who did not have a successful transplant described a positive psychological impact of having gone through the UTx procedure. They were able to walk away with a new perception of personal resilience and strength. One participant talked about how she was incredibly proud of having attempted UTx and that this will be part of the story that she tells her daughter (through surrogacy) one day about how hard she worked to become her mother.

Whereas participants perceived that AUFI took away a part of their female identity, uterus transplant enhanced that facet of their identity in multiple ways. First, participants described how having a menstrual cycle was a normalizing experience. One participant commented that she would now be able to relate to her adopted daughter when she starts her menstrual cycle.(UTR18_CP) Second, the fact that participants had a uterus and were trying to get pregnant was also normalizing. They were now a part of this larger cohort of women who were dealing with the trials and tribulations associated with assisted reproductive technologies and were better able to relate to and identify with the reproductive struggles of other women through this shared experience.

Participants also took pride in the impact of their participation in the UTx clinical trial on other women with AUFI. Beyond the personal value of the UTx experience, participants believed that their participation in UTx was helping to make UTx an option for other women with AUFI in the future. It was a way to give young women with a new diagnosis of AUFI hope for their future in terms of having another option for parenthood. When describing her perception of the value of undergoing UTx, one participant commented:

And there is now that sixteen or seventeen-year-old finding out, that is getting diagnosed, and now has hope for the future. Now she has options. So, was it worth it? Yes. (UTR05_GF)

**Impact of UTx on Reproductive Choice**

Participants were asked about the impact of UTx on reproductive choice, specifically about if it expands options or puts pressure on women to pursue UTx instead of alternatives. They uniformly believed that: uterus transplantation expanded parenthood options for women with AUFI, reproductive decisions including the choice to pursue uterus transplantation are highly personal, and the option of uterus transplantation
does not create pressure on women with AUFI.

Participants strongly believed that the choice to pursue uterus transplantation was personal and should not be judged by others, especially those who do not have problems with infertility. One commented: “It makes me crazy because they [people without AUFI] are not us. They cannot put themselves in our shoes for a second because they don’t understand and they won’t fully ever comprehend what it’s like to have infertility like we do.” (UTR03_GF)

Participants also felt that the option of uterus transplantation did not pressure them to pursue this course. If anything, they felt that there was more pressure to pursue the alternative option of adoption. One participant described her frustration with the question of why she did not opt for adoption as follows:

I’m like, why don’t you adopt? … What makes my situation different from yours? The same children need a home and the same children are out there. There’s a bigger pressure, bigger stigma [to UTx] because of adoption. (UTR05_GF)

**Bivariate analysis**

All participants were asked if AUFI affected their identity, if UTx affected their identity, and if UTx was worthwhile. Because all participants responded that UTx was worthwhile, no statistical differences emerged among participant characteristics for this variable. Disease aetiology, having a child after uterus transplantation, experiencing graft failure and current pregnancy were also not significantly associated with the impact of AUFI or of UTx on participants’ identities.

**Discussion**

In this study we describe the contrast between participants’ overwhelmingly negative experiences with the diagnosis of AUFI with the positive transformative experience of UTx. Specifically, AUFI challenged the female identity of participants while UTx enhanced it. Moreover, we found that participants conceptualised UTx as an expansion of reproductive freedom. They emphasised the intensely personal nature of reproductive decisions and did not believe that the option of UTx created undue pressure on them to choose UTx over alternative parenthood options. Our findings inform the ethical discourse on the value of UTx, the role of UTx in discussions of reproductive autonomy, and strategies for expanding the field of UTx.

**Impact of AUFI on life**

In our study, we found that the diagnosis AUFI negatively impacted participants from a young age, in their personal lives and their relationships, that AUFI was isolating and that it fractured the female identity. Our findings are similar to prior studies of women with AUFI. For example, Richards and colleagues found that AUFI presented challenges at the time of diagnosis as well as throughout different life stages, and with different relationships. Their participants conceptualised AUFI as a life-framing experience that affected how participants viewed themselves. Our findings provide a richer description of how AUFI affected self-identity of participants by making them feel as though something was missing from their female identity.

**Impact of UTx on Recipients lives**

Our findings suggest that women with AUFI derive value from UTx that goes beyond their experiences with pregnancy and motherhood. UTx is a healing process that helps recipients overcome the negative impact of AUFI and provides an opportunity to transform their social identity by enabling women to share common female experiences of menstruation, pregnancy, and childbirth. The concept of UTx as an avenue to the common female experience is similar to the findings of an interview study of the first 9 UTx recipients in Sweden. One unique theme that we identified was the value of participation in the UTx clinical trial in helping women in the future.

**Reproductive autonomy**

Similar to the study by Richards and colleagues, we found that our participants believed that the option of UTx is highly personal and that, along with other considerations about reproductive options. Participants
felt that people, especially those who had not experienced challenges with infertility, should not pass negative judgment on women or couples who chose to pursue UTx because these individuals do not have a personal understanding of how it feels to struggle with infertility. Moreover, participants did not believe that the option of UTx created undue pressure. Echoing Richards and colleagues, we also found that choice and privacy were central to how women conceptualized UTx within their and experiences of reproductive decision-making. Because UTx is now a proven therapy, participants believed that it should become a routine part of providers’ discussions about parenthood options with women diagnosed with AUFI.

**Limitations**

Our study advances understanding of the impact of AUFI and UTx in women who undergo this procedure as well as how they perceive UTx in the context of reproductive autonomy. Although 20 participants is an acceptable number for achieving thematic saturation in qualitative research, this study is limited by the homogeneity of the sample. All women in this study had undergone UTx, so they were positively biased toward this procedure and had chosen it over other parenthood options. However, not all participants had a successful outcome so there was heterogeneity in the post-transplant course which provided different insights into the impact of UTx.

**Conclusion**

UTx is now a technically successful and reproducible procedure that offers the option of motherhood through gestation and childbirth to women with AUFI. We found that AUFI has a negative impact on women from a young age, affects multiple relationships, and challenges the female identity. UTx helps reverse the impact of AUFI through healing, by transforming women’s life narrative of infertility and enhancing their female identity. These findings advance the ethical discourse on the value of uterus transplantation and its role in reproductive autonomy for women with AUFI.
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### Tables

**Table 1: Participant Demographic and Clinical Characteristics (N=20)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>31.9 (26-38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index, mean (range)</td>
<td>24.5 (19-34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from UTx to interview in months, mean (range)</td>
<td>18.9 (2-41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>19 (95) 1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>16 (80) 3 (15) 1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>16 (80) 3 (15) 1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-year degree</td>
<td>10 (50) 4 (20) 3 (15) 2 (10) 1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school 2-year degree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetiology of AUFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRKH Acquired</td>
<td>18 (90) 2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children prior to UTx by participant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No children</td>
<td>15 (75) 3 (15) 1 (5) 1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step-child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrogate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current UTX stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
<td>7 (35) 4 (20) 6 (30) 3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viable graft awaiting embryo transfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early graft loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft hysterectomy after pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy live birth</td>
<td>5 (25) 4 (20) 3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed ET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living, unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceased, unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living, known</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (85) 2 (10) 1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTx – Uterus transplant; AUFI – absolute uterine factor infertility; MRKH – Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser Syndrome; ET – Embryo Transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Appendix 1: Interview guide for uterus transplant recipients

Diagnosis of infertility, effect on the recipient’s life and decision to pursue uterus transplantation

What was it like to be diagnosed with infertility? What did that diagnosis mean to you? How has the diagnosis of infertility affected your life?

Experience with uterus transplantation

What do you wish you had known prior to uterus transplantation? How did your experience of UT compare to what you were told? How did participation in the uterus transplant trial affect your identity as a person with infertility?

General perceptions of uterus transplantation

Women undergoing uterus transplant and medical researchers have many ideas of what counts as a successful transplant. What do you think is a successful transplant?

Appendix 2: Example codes from UTx recipient interviews

Life experience with absolute uterine factor infertility

Events surrounding diagnosis Effects on life and life stages Effect of AUFI on identity Cause of AUFI Effect on others Reproduction

Experience with uterus transplant

Motivations for transplant Conceptualization of risks Uterus transplant trial involvement process Reactions of others to UTx Conceptualization of the uterus transplant enterprise

Measurements of success Reproductive choice Financial considerations in clinical utx The importance of stories and sharing Living versus deceased donor approaches

Donor preference Experience with donor Knowledge gap Living donor motivation