

# Surgical reconstruction of the right ventricular outflow tract – The clock is still ticking

Filippo Rapetto<sup>1</sup>, Massimo Caputo<sup>1</sup>, and Gianni Angelini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Bristol

<sup>2</sup>Bristol University

May 21, 2021

## Editorial commentary

### Surgical reconstruction of the right ventricular outflow tract – The clock is still ticking

Filippo Rapetto MD, Massimo Caputo MD, Gianni D Angelini MD\*

Bristol Heart Institute, University of Bristol, United Kingdom

#### Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

#### \*Corresponding Author

Gianni D Angelini

Bristol Heart Institute

Bristol Royal Infirmary

Bristol BS2 8HW

United Kingdom

Tel +441173423165

Email address: [g.d.angelini@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:g.d.angelini@bristol.ac.uk)

The optimal device for heart valve replacement is yet to be developed. If this is certainly true for adult patients with acquired pathologies, the need for better materials is even more pressing in the setting of congenital heart disease, where any valve replacement activates *aticking clock* towards the next procedure.

In this issue of the *Journal of Cardiac Surgery*, Selcuk and coworkers report their single center experience with the BioIntegral Biopulmonic Conduit?, implanted as a right ventricle-to-pulmonary artery (RV-PA) conduit in 48 pediatric patients over a period of 13 months<sup>1</sup>. This is a stentless porcine heart valve covered with a 10 cm-long porcine pericardial sleeve, and it is available in relatively small sizes (starting from 15 mm). The conduit is initially treated with formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde and then detoxified with the No-React® treatment, with the aim of reducing the risk of endocarditis and structural degeneration<sup>2</sup>.

The No-React® is just one of several different treatments that bioproshtesis producers have developed over the last few decades; all of them released with promises of improved durability, freedom from structural deterioration and infection. Despite unquestionable innovations in engineering and treatment of the available biomaterials, with the current technology, surgical reconstruction of the right ventricular outflow tract

(RVOT) in the pediatric population still exposes patients to a cumulative risk of multiple interventions, morbidity and potentially mortality <sup>3</sup>.

The study by Selcuck and colleagues is the first to focus on the use of the BioIntegral Biopulmonic Conduit? on pediatric patients. The Authors' main finding is a high incidence of fever in the early postoperative period (>30%), not previously described in the literature. The pathophysiology of the fever in these patients was not clarified. No clinical or echocardiographic evidence of prosthetic endocarditis was found in those who experienced fever; however, the Authors point out that this triggered potentially inappropriate antibiotic use and caused prolonged hospital stay. Furthermore, there seems to be a correlation between fever and early conduit stenosis.

To put these findings into the correct perspective, a few aspects must be mentioned. This is a retrospective, single center study with no control group and a relatively small number of patients; the indirect evidence that no patient had fever after switching to bovine jugular vein conduit does not come from a statistical analysis and it must be considered at best as speculative. Comparison with the current literature is not straightforward, as the only published paper focusing on the use of this particular conduit on congenital patients is the study from Marianeschi and colleagues from 2001 <sup>4</sup>; all previous papers investigating BioIntegral devices mainly concentrated on mid-term rather than short-term results <sup>5-9</sup>. Finally, the median follow-up of 14.5 months is short and a trend towards higher gradients in patients who experienced fever is the only follow-up information provided by the Authors.

However, other aspects must also be considered, and the Authors' concerns regarding the Biopulmonic Conduit? performance should not come as a surprise. Even though the literature is highly heterogeneous in terms of patient population, device used, and study protocols, a few common key points can be identified. This is not the first study raising questions about early deterioration and early infection of devices treated with the No-React(r) protocol. Multiple papers focusing on the aortic BioIntegral Bioconduit? in the adult population or on similar devices implanted in the pulmonary position with a long enough follow-up, reported significant rates of adverse valve-related events<sup>5-9</sup>. Moreover, bioprostheses typically degenerate earlier in pediatric patients compared to adult patients, and a similar trend can be expected even in devices undergoing the No-React(r) treatment. This is even more relevant if we consider that in the present study, all conduits were implanted in extra-anatomic position (i.e. in a non-Ross setting), which is a recognized risk factor for early structural deterioration <sup>10,11</sup>. Finally, even if fever does not always correlate with infection, it always correlates with inflammation, which has been strongly linked to structural deterioration of bioprostheses <sup>12</sup>.

Where do we go from here? Better quality research (both pre-clinical and clinical) in congenital cardiac surgery is needed: a significant proportion of our clinical practice still derives from single center experiences and suboptimal observational studies. Outcomes for the available biological conduits for RVOT reconstruction are rather unsatisfactory <sup>13-15</sup>. This, combined with the limited availability of small size homografts, is the main reason that pushes our interest towards new devices when they become available. However, valve replacement in the pediatric age is the ultimate task for any biomaterial: size, anatomy and metabolism all plot together against durability. If there are concerns regarding the performance of a biomaterial in the adult population, it is very likely that results in children will be worse.

One may argue that continuing with the current approaches will only provide small improvements. The future is more likely to come from development on the use of biomaterials which act as scaffolds, and which we can re-populated with the patient's own cells. Theoretically, this would be expected to improve durability, but also be the biological substrate for growth, and ultimately reduce morbidity, reoperations and mortality. Tissue engineering technologies and regenerative medicine have expanded considerably over the last decade, and it may not be long before the translation of this knowledge into clinical practice.

Regretfully till then, after every pediatric RVOT operation, the clock will start ticking.

## References

1. Selcuck A, Kilic Y, Korun O, et al. High incidence of fever in patients after biointegral pulmonic valved

conduit implantation. *J Card Surg*. DETAILS TO BE CONFIRMED

2. Abolhoda A, Yu S, Oyarzun JR, McCormick JR, Bogden JD, Gabbay S. Calcification of bovine pericardium: Glutaraldehyde versus No-React biomodification. *Ann Thorac Surg* . 1996;62(1):169-174. doi:10.1016/0003-4975(96)00277-9
3. Raissadati A, Nieminen H, Haukka J, Sairanen H, Jokinen E. Late Causes of Death After Pediatric Cardiac Surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* . 2016;68(5):487-498. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.038
4. Marianeschi SM, Iacona GM, Seddio F, et al. Shelhigh No-React porcine pulmonic valve conduit: A new alternative to the homograft. *Ann Thorac Surg* . 2001;71(2):619-623. doi:10.1016/S0003-4975(00)02456-5
5. Grohmann J, Hohn R, Fleck T, et al. No-React(r) Injectable BioPulmonic™ valves re-evaluated: Discouraging follow-up results. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* . 2015;21(5):657-665. doi:10.1093/icvts/ivv214
6. Ishizaka T, Ohye RG, Goldberg CS, et al. Premature failure of small-sized Shelhigh No-React porcine pulmonic valve conduit model NR-4000. *Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg* . 2003;23(5):715-718. doi:10.1016/S1010-7940(03)00080-0
7. Sahin A, Muggler O, Sromicki J, et al. Long-term follow-up after aortic root replacement with the Shelhigh(r) biological valved conduit: A word of caution! *Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg* . 2016;50(6):1172-1178. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezw167
8. Reineke DC, Kaya A, Heinisch PP, et al. Long-term follow-up after implantation of the Shelhigh(r) No-React(r) complete biological aortic valved conduit. *Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg* . 2016;50(1):98-104. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezv452
9. Kaya A, Heijmen RH, Kelder JC, Schepens MA, Morshuis WJ. Stentless biological valved conduit for aortic root replacement: Initial experience with the Shelhigh BioConduit model NR-2000C. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* . 2011;141(5):1157-1162. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2010.07.009
10. Tweddell JS, Pelech AN, Frommelt PC, et al. Factors affecting longer of homograft valves used in right ventricular outflow tract reconstruction for congenital heart disease. *Circulation* . 2000;102(19). doi:10.1161/01.cir.102.suppl\_3.iii-130
11. Niwaya K, Knott-Craig CJ, Lane MM, et al. Cryopreserved homograft valves in the pulmonary position: Risk analysis for intermediate-term failure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* . 1999;117(1):141-147. doi:10.1016/S0022-5223(99)70479-4
12. Kostyunin AE, Yuzhalin AE, Rezvova MA, Ovcharenko EA, Glushkova T V., Kutikhin AG. Degeneration of Bioprosthetic Heart Valves: Update 2020. *J Am Heart Assoc* . 2020;9(19):e018506. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.018506
13. Mery CM, Guzman-Pruneda FA, De Leon LE, et al. Risk factors for development of endocarditis and reintervention in patients undergoing right ventricle to pulmonary artery valved conduit placement Read at the 95th Annual Meeting of the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Seattle, Washington, April 25-29, 2015. In: *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* . Vol 151. Mosby Inc.; 2016:432-441.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2015.10.069
14. Beckerman Z, De Leon LE, Zea-Vera R, Mery CM, Fraser CD. High incidence of late infective endocarditis in bovine jugular vein valved conduits. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* . 2018;156(2):728-734.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2018.03.156
15. Groning M, Tahri NB, Sondergaard L, Helvind M, Ersboll MK, Orbaek Andersen H. Infective endocarditis in right ventricular outflow tract conduits: A register-based comparison of homografts, Contegra grafts and Melody transcatheter valves. *Eur J Cardio-thoracic Surg* . 2019;56(1):87-93. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezy478

**Acknowledgement:** *This study was supported by the British Heart Foundation and the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of*

*Bristol.*