Health effects of exposure to chlorination by-products in swimming pools: Position Paper
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Abstract

Concerns have been raised regarding the potential negative effects on human health of water disinfectants used in swimming-pools. Among the disinfection options, the approaches using chlorine-based products have been typically preferred. Chlorine readily reacts with natural organic matter that are introduced in the water mainly through the bathers, leading to the formation of potentially harmful chlorination by-products (CBPs). The formation of CBPs is of particular concern since they have been epidemiologically associated with the development of various clinical manifestations. The higher the concentration of these volatile CBPs in the water, the higher their concentration in the air above the pool, and different routes of exposure to chemicals in swimming-pools (water ingestion, skin absorption and inhalation) contribute to the individual exposome. CBPs may affect the respiratory and skin health of those who stay indoor for long periods, such as swimming instructors, pool staff, and competitive swimmers. Whether those who use chlorinated-pools as customers, particularly children, may also be affected has been a matter of debate. In this article, the EAACI Joint Task Force of the Working Group of Allergy, Asthma & Sports and the Interest Group of Environmental & Occupational Allergy discusses the current evidence regarding the health effects of both acute and chronic exposures in different populations (work-related exposures, intensive sports and recreational attendance) and identify the main recommendations and unmet needs for research in this area.

INTRODUCTION

The quality of the water and the air of swimming-pools is currently a topic of interest in occupational and environmental health. Even though the beneficial effects of swimming on increasing physical activity, cardiopulmonary fitness and improving lung function are undeniable¹, concerns have been raised regarding the potential negative effects on human health of water disinfectants used in swimming-pools.

The World Health Organization (WHO) highlights the need of adequate water disinfection of swimming-pools to prevent microbial proliferation. Disinfectants are the principal management-derived chemicals
added to minimize the risk of microbial contaminants, and although several options are available (bromine, ozone, copper-silver, UV irradiation, electrochemically generated mixed oxidants, UV/hydrogen peroxide, etc) chlorine-based products have been typically preferred due to their effectiveness and lower overall relative cost, in spite of possibly leading to unwanted effects.

Indoor swimming-pools are of particular concern since they are used regularly all year round, and volatile chlorination by-products (CBPs) can become trapped within the swimming-pool complexes indoor air. The higher the concentration of these volatile CBPs in the water, the higher their concentration in the air above the pool. Besides inhalation of volatile or aerosolized solutes, there are two other main routes of exposure to chemicals in swimming-pools: water ingestion, and skin absorption (which may represent a source of mucocutaneous symptoms). These several routes add to the individual “exposome”, which comprises all environmental exposures that a person experiences from conception throughout the lifespan.

CBPs may affect the respiratory and skin health of those who stay indoor for long periods, such as swimming instructors, pool staff, and competitive swimmers. Whether those who use chlorinated-pools as customers, particularly children, may also be affected has been a matter of debate. We aimed to review this topic, by describing the chemical and toxicity of these compounds given the types of exposure and reviewing health effects of such exposures in different populations considering also personal and environmental risk factors, in order to propose recommendations and to identify unmet needs in this area.

**THE SWIMMING POOL ENVIRONMENT**

Swimming-pool environment is a complex and dynamic ecosystem that can be affected by the type of swimming-pool (indoor, outdoor, …) and by other factors, including water temperature, ventilation, climate, location, purpose of use (competition, relaxation, recreational activities) and swimming habits, particularly swimmer’s hygiene. In the case of an indoor swimming-pool, the environment consists of the water in the pool, the air above the pool within the natatorium, and the people in the pool (biota).

Both organic and inorganic compounds are continuously entering this ecosystem via filling waters (tap water, seawater, thermal water…), disinfectant addition (chlorine, bromine, ozone, UV…), pharmaceuticals and personal care products (analgesics, antibiotics, sunscreens, lotions, cosmetics, soaps…) and human body excretions (urine, sweat, saliva…). Interactions between all these compounds generates CBPs, some of which are of health concern.

The addition of chlorine-based disinfectants (chlorine gas, sodium or calcium hypochlorite, di- or trichloroisocyanurates) to the swimming-pools water releases hypochlorous acid (HClO), which is the active biocide. HClO is a weak acid with a pKa of 7.5 at 25°C that reversely dissociates into hypochlorite (ClO) and hydrogen ion. The sum of HClO and ClO is referred to as free chlorine. HClO is a non-specific biocide that inactivates most waterborne pathogens but also reacts with organic matter to produce a wide range of CBPs. Compared to tap water, CBPs formation in pools is much more important due to the higher input of organic matter and the constant addition of disinfectants. In chlorinated-pools, major groups of CBPs include chloramines, trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetics acids (HAAs), haloacetaldehydes (HALs) and haloacetonitriles (HANs) (Figure 1).

Chloramines are formed as a result of the reaction of HClO with urea and other nitrogenous compounds brought by swimmers. This group comprises monochloramine (chloramide, NH2Cl), dichloramine (chlorimide, NHCl2) and trichloramine (nitrogen trichloride, NCl3). Monochloramine and dichloramine (the sum of which is referred to as combined chlorine) are mainly found in water. Trichloramine, which is 400 times more volatile than its two congeners, is mainly found in the air at levels that are inversely proportional to the ventilation rate of indoor swimming-pools. The odour and taste of water are mainly affected by the monochloramine/dichloramine ratio and the trichloramine concentration. This last compound is also responsible for the distinctive odour of indoor pools, wrongly attributed to chlorine.

THMs represent between 5 to 10% of total organohalogens in swimming-pool water and air, with chloroform (CHCl3) being the dominant species. THMs are generated from the complex reaction between active chlorine
and naturally present or imported carbonaceous organic matter. Parameters influencing the formation of THMs include: organic matter concentration, chlorine concentration, contact time, water pH, temperature, and bromine ion concentrations\(^1\). THMs are generally well absorbed by inhalation, ingestion or skin contact.

Haloacetics acids (HAAs), haloacetaldehydes (HALs) and haloacetonitriles (HANs) are less frequent CBPs and therefore described in the online supplementary material.

**EFFECTS OF ACUTE EXPOSURES**

Pool chemical–associated health events result in >4,500 emergency department visits annually during 2008-2017 in US, as reported by the CDC, and over one-third were children or teenagers. Irritated eye, nose and throat symptoms, and asthma, are the most frequent symptoms in swimmers and workers of indoor swimming-pools caused by CBPs; particularly NCl\(_3\) causes the most irritative symptoms\(^1\). A significant concentration-response relation was found between NCl\(_3\) exposure concentrations and irritant eye, nasal, and throat symptoms\(^1\).

**Respiratory symptoms**

Cold and sneezing are the most frequent self-reported complaints (65.4% and 52.6%, respectively) while only 7.5% of the total indoor swimming-pools workers reported an asthmatic condition\(^1\). It is discussed whether recreational swimming or working in indoor swimming-pools may aggravate asthma or actually cause it\(^1\). Irritant-induced asthma (IIA) is defined as development of asthma, non-specific bronchial hyper-responsiveness (BHR), and airway inflammation induced by irritant mechanisms, as opposed to occupational asthma (OA) caused by immunologic mechanisms\(^1\). Three cases of OA in swimming-pool workers have been documented\(^1\), two of them had a positive bronchial provocation test to chloramine. Another study with a large sample of swimming-pool workers (n=624) also showed increased risk of respiratory symptoms indicative of asthma upon NCl\(_3\) exposure\(^1\).

**Short-term changes in respiratory biomarkers**

CBPs have a strong oxidizing potential and may contribute to airway damage through opening tight junctions, causing barrier disruption\(^1\). A summary of the studies describing short-term changes in respiratory biomarkers is presented in Table 1. It is important to note that some of these studies did not measure NCl\(_3\) and/or did not compare with a non-chlorinated-pool, which precludes drawing clear conclusions. The role of physical activity should not be neglected in this type of studies measuring biomarkers before and after swimming.

**Non-respiratory symptoms**

Concerning self-reported ocular symptoms, indoor swimming-pools employees declared frequently having red (48.9%) and itchy (44.4%) eyes, mostly lifeguards and trainers\(^1\). Regarding the skin, both water itself and CBPs have negative effects due to a dilution or flushing out of the natural moisturizing. An increasing risk of irritative skin symptoms was demonstrated dependent on the NCl\(_3\) concentrations\(^1\). Recreational swimming leads to transient but significant changes in skin surface properties of women with healthy skin\(^1\); skin dryness, itch and erythema are non-specific complains in swimming-pool attendants\(^1\). Additionally, both allergic contact dermatitis and contact urticaria due to chlorinated-pool water have been identified\(^1\). Swimming in public pools/spas in the current or previous week has been associated with dermal symptoms (rash, generalized itching, dermal infection)\(^1\). Besides, more verrucas, mycosis, eczema and rash have been identified in lifeguards and trainers compared to other workers at swimming pools\(^1\).

Three different disinfection systems (chlorine, chlorine/ozone and bromine/ozone) were investigated to assess adverse skin and eye effects\(^1\): compared with the bromine/ozone pool, the odds ratio (OR) of having a rash <24 h after pool use was 1.91 (CI 0.71-5.10) for the chlorine-pool and 1.88 (CI 0.61-5.81) for the chlorine/ozone pool. Goma et al.\(^1\) reported the efficacy of a new disinfection method (based upon replacement of the strong HCl by CO\(_2\), inclusion of a low concentration salt electrolysis system, and ultraviolet
radiation phase) to markedly reduce the irritant substances levels in the pool atmosphere and significantly reduce eye, nose, skin and cough complaints, both in recreational and competitive swimmers.

**EFFECTS OF CHRONIC EXPOSURES**

Studies based on serum pneumoproteins show that not only acute but also chronic exposure to NCl\textsubscript{3} can increase the lung epithelium permeability and thereby perhaps facilitate the transepithelial delivery of allergens to dendritic cells\textsuperscript{30} and contribute to a T2-dependent immune response\textsuperscript{31,32}. Recent experimental evidence in mice has shown that chronic chlorine inhalation contributes to exacerbate airways inflammation in asthma by mobilizing pro-inflammatory macrophages into the lung as well as stimulating group 2 and 3 ILC\textsubscript{3}. The barrier disruption effect may occur also on the dermal layer. The high temperature of the water, the hydration of the skin and the disrupting effects of CBPs on the skin barrier are all factors that in combination decrease the water-holding capacity of the skin stratum corneum\textsuperscript{34} and greatly facilitate the permeation of CBPs across the skin, especially thin areas such as the scrotum.

**Work-related exposure**

Lifeguards, swim teachers and physiotherapists have the highest CBPs cumulative exposure when compared to other swimming-pool workers\textsuperscript{21}. In order to protect workers but also swimmers, WHO has imposed a reference value for NCl\textsubscript{3} of 0.5 mg/m\textsuperscript{3}. However, airborne NCl\textsubscript{3} is not regularly monitored in most European countries.

Dose-response relationships between NCl\textsubscript{3} levels and different respiratory (runny nose, blocked nose, voice loss) and ocular (red or itchy eyes) symptoms have been reported from a level of 0.5 mg/m\textsuperscript{3} onwards\textsuperscript{35}. Interestingly, another study demonstrated increasing risk of respiratory symptoms up to a level of 0.2-0.3 mg/m\textsuperscript{3} of NCl\textsubscript{3}, urging to revisit the WHO occupational exposure limit\textsuperscript{21}. This is also in line with other study, where very low levels of NCl\textsubscript{3} in indoor swimming-pools (0.017-0.15 mg/m3) were detected with increased risk for sore throat (OR: 11.28; 95% CI: 1.44–88.33) and phlegm (OR: 4.22; 95% CI: 1.16–15.4)\textsuperscript{36}.

Upper and lower respiratory symptoms while on duty were related to duration of lifetime exposure. Lifeguards exposed > 500 hours in the previous 12 months experienced more cough, throat and eye irritation than non- or less-exposed lifeguards, and those with prior asthma had a significantly higher risk of suffering from asthma attack(s) than non-exposed asthmatic subjects\textsuperscript{37}. Physician-diagnosed asthma was high among lifeguards (23%)\textsuperscript{37}.

**Intensive sports**

**Respiratory symptoms**

Competitive and synchronized swimming ranked second among sport disciplines associated with increased prevalence of asthma symptoms\textsuperscript{38}. The long-term exposure to CBPs in a sport setting underlines some aspects: 1) the role in inducing and sustaining airway inflammation; 2) the contribution to airway remodeling; and 3) possible promotion of allergic sensitization in regularly exposed competitive swimmers.

A significantly higher rate of BHR with increased inflammatory parameters have been observed in elite swimmers. Long-term swimming-pool exposure effects included increase in both eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation, as reflected not only in sputum cell counts but also in higher concentration of sputum eosinophil peroxidase and human neutrophil lipocalin, respectively\textsuperscript{39}. Inflammatory and remodeling changes reported in bronchial biopsies of competitive swimmers were similar to non-exercising mild asthmatics and were present also during off-training period. Discontinuation of a swimming career decreases eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation and reduces BHR, although these findings should be interpreted with caution given the small sample sizes\textsuperscript{40,41}. These inflammatory changes did not seem, however, to correlate with BHR. A study carried out in a mixed population of competitive athletes including mostly non-asthmatic swimmers and speed skaters indicated that the baseline pattern of proinflammatory cytokine TNF-\textgreek{z} and anti-inflammatory
IL-1ra concentrations in the lower airways appear to be similar in top level athletes and asthmatic patients, but different in healthy controls\textsuperscript{42}.

Increased airway edema due to CBPs-induced vascular leakage has been also hypothesized for airway inflammation in swimmers. A significant association of vascular permeability index (quotient of albumin levels in sputum and in serum) with increased sputum eosinophil and neutrophil counts was found\textsuperscript{43}, although vascular leakage did not correlate with lung function and BHR. In synchronized swimmers no evidence was found for negative influence of CBPs on the pulmonary function\textsuperscript{44}.

A study assessing rhinitis in swimmers showed 44% presented baseline allergic rhinitis and 35% had inflammation with neutrophilic predominance\textsuperscript{45}. Neutrophilic influx is mainly attributed to irritation through CBPs exposure and is possibly reversed – contrarily to eosinophil infiltration – after nose clip introduction\textsuperscript{45}. Mucociliary transport impairment and reduced ciliary beats frequency have been described in swimmers and also attributed to irritation by CBPs. Nasal lavage fluid (NLF) collected immediately after competitive swimming contains more neutrophils with decreased phagocytic activity. However, NLF changes tend to subside in swimmers shortly after training cessation or introduction of protective measures, such as nose clip\textsuperscript{46}. In the study\textsuperscript{42} involving swimmers and speed skaters, TNF-\textalpha\textsuperscript{35} concentrations in nasal secretions were similar between athletes, asthmatics and healthy controls. However, IL-1ra levels in upper airways were higher in athletes and asthmatics than healthy subjects. Seemingly paradoxical, this fact may reflect a local anti-inflammatory response of the nasal mucosa.

**Non-respiratory symptoms**

A wide spectrum of dermatoses of various etiologies (infectious, traumatic, irritant, allergic, neoplastic etc.) are listed in the context of regular swimming-pools use or aquatic sports performance\textsuperscript{47}. Xerosis is one of the most frequent condition experienced by swimmers\textsuperscript{48}, and especially among those with sensitive and eczematous skin\textsuperscript{49}. The dryness effect is particularly pronounced in atopic skin, since the threshold residual chlorine concentration required for considerable drop in water-holding properties is significantly lower than in healthy subjects (0.5 mg/l vs. 2.0 mg/l, respectively)\textsuperscript{44}, possibly explaining why the higher probability of skin symptoms compared to other disinfection methods (bromine, ozone, UV lamps and salt electrolysis)\textsuperscript{49}. Also eye symptoms are more significantly associated with chlorine-disinfected pools, comparing with other disinfection methods\textsuperscript{49} and often listed as a common problem in competitive swimmers. The risk of otitis externa in swimmers and polo players was higher than in soccer players\textsuperscript{50}.

**Recreational attendance**

Table 2 summarizes studies that have investigated the respiratory effects of NCl\textsubscript{3} and other inhalable CBPs among recreational swimmers. The first study was conducted among schoolchildren in Belgium\textsuperscript{51}. While assessing the effects of ambient air pollution, the authors unexpectedly found that indoor chlorinated-pools attendance correlated with lung epithelium hyperpermeability and asthma prevalence. Further studies in Belgium revealed that the asthma risk among adolescents and children using chlorinated-pools stems from an interaction with atopic status\textsuperscript{52,53}. Of interest, also the risk of allergic asthma was most strongly linked to pool attendance during early childhood\textsuperscript{52}. Two studies in Sweden confirmed these observations while showing that the risk of allergic asthma correlated with the cumulative inhalation exposure to NCl\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{54,55}. Associations between asthma and recreational swimming in chlorinated-pools were also reported in Ireland\textsuperscript{56}, Italy\textsuperscript{57} and Portugal\textsuperscript{58}. Several other studies, however, provided no evidence of an increased asthma risk in recreational swimmers\textsuperscript{59-62}. Of these, one of the most contradictory but also the most influential owing to its large size is the UK prospective birth cohort study (ALSPAC study)\textsuperscript{61}, which was suggestive, if anything, of a protective effect of swimming towards asthma risk. There are several possible explanations for these contrasting observations. A first explanation is that children examined in these negative studies\textsuperscript{59-62} were too young to detect associations with allergic asthma. Another possible explanation is an underestimation of the exposure with consequently a risk of miss-classifying some categories of swimmers\textsuperscript{63,64}.

Associations have also been reported between indoor chlorinated-pool attendance and the risks of allergic
rhinitis, autonomic changes and airway inflammation assessed by the exhaled NO test. Further complicating the issue, some studies suggest that early swimming in chlorinated-pools may increase the risks of allergic sensitization in particular to perennial allergens.

Last, respiratory risks when swimming in chlorinated-pools are probably not limited to the exposure to NCN₃. Swimming in indoor chlorinated-pools during infancy has also been associated with a higher risk of bronchiolitis or recurrent respiratory infection mainly in children with family history of atopic diseases.

Biomarkers studies have revealed that the attendance of chlorinated-pools correlates with a disruption of airway epithelial barrier as reflected by altered serum levels of lung epithelium-specific proteins (pneumoproteins). Several studies have shown that early swimming in chlorinated-pools is associated with decreased serum levels of CC16. Lower levels of circulating CC16 in children are associated with subsequent decreased lung function and increased risks of developing asthma and other respiratory diseases.

Other health effects

Many in vitro and in vivo toxicological studies have provided evidence about genotoxic and cytotoxic effects induced by CBPs and the WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer acknowledges sufficient evidence for the carcinogenicity of chloroform and other widespread CBPs in animals.

In humans, however, the epidemiologic evidence overall has generally been considered insufficient to declare CBPs to be carcinogenic, with bladder cancer presenting the most consistent evidence by providing the greatest likelihood of causality. It is worth noting that the majority of the studies evaluate the risk of cancer related to drinking water and not exposure in swimming-pools, so this lack of evidence should be considered with concern and not extrapolated. In fact, a recent study showed that for elite swimmers and their coaches, the levels of THMs in a Portuguese swimming-pool exceeded the limits for cancer risk.

Regarding bladder cancer, several epidemiological studies have evaluated its risk in relation with CBPs, of which some are of sufficient quality to provide meaningful evidence towards causal inference, including meta-analysis and two pooled analyses. While the majority were related to drinking water, there are also studies finding increased risk of bladder cancer related to showering, bathing, or swimming exposures to THMs.

Associations with other types of cancer have been suggested, namely melanoma risk associated with a history of swimming and colorectal cancer risk with CBPs exposure in drinking water.

Regarding reproductive effects, there is no clear evidence linking CBPs exposure to poor pregnancy outcomes, except for a slight association with fetal growth-related outcomes and sporadic associations with some categories of congenital anomalies.

Potential impact of CBPs on male fertility has been much less studied and is of great concern given the remarkable permeability of the scrotal skin. Most studies have addressed mainly the risks related to drinking water, while one study addressed the exposure through swimming-pool water and found that adolescents having attended indoor chlorinated-pools for > 250 h before the age of 10 years or > 125 h before the age of 7 years were three times more likely to have an abnormally low serum inhibin B and/or total testosterone than their peers who never visited these pools and those who attended outdoor chlorinated-pools or a copper–silver pool.

RISK FACTORS

There is a complex interaction between the building environment, infectious agents, allergens, occupational exposures, school environment and recreational exposures, which contribute to shape the exposome.
by several factors (Figure 2). Some conditions related to competitive swimmers are highlighted in Table 3.

Inhalation – Swimming-pool attendants inhale from the atmosphere just above the water’s surface, and the volume of air inhaled is a function of the effort intensity and time. In indoor swimming-pools, individuals also breathe air in the wider area of the building housing the pool. A correlation between physical activity and THM concentrations has been identified.

Ingestion – Inadvertent water intake in the swimming-pool varies according to age and sex, with adult women ingesting the least and male children ingesting the most, especially babies.

Dermal/mucosal absorption - Chlorination of bathing water showed to change the dermal barrier function, especially of atopic skin, the same being expected for chlorination of the swimming-pool water. Infant swimming practice combined with atopy has been shown to increase the prevalence of eczema.

Age seems to be the most relevant personal risk factor for respiratory and reproductive health effects of CBPs exposure in swimming pools. The first years of life may be seen as a “window of sensitivity” given the progressive increase in maturation of respiratory tract and reproductive system.

Age also directly impacts the other risk factors, including the surface areas of both the head and the body, the breathing rate and body weight. The contribution from each exposure route changes dramatically for each age group, and the time spent swimming must also be taken into consideration. Adjusted for body weight, the uptake of CBPs by all routes is clearly higher in infants or children and decreases with age. A schematic representation is presented in Figure 3.

As previously mentioned, the use of indoor chlorinated-pools especially by young children interacts with atopic status to promote the development of childhood asthma, and a synergistic action of exposure to pets and environmental tobacco smoke in chlorinated-pools attendants predisposes to the development of asthma.

RECOGNIZING CBPs EXPOSURE-ASSOCIATED HEALTH EFFECTS

Depending on the exposed epithelium, the level of exposure, the past medical history and/or certain individual characteristics, different signs and symptoms associated with CBPs exposure will be observed. These symptoms will be exacerbated in those patients with an underlying chronic inflammatory disease (asthma, eczema, allergy, dermatosis, etc.).

The diversity of tissues exposed to CBPs in swimming-pools attendants offers a wide variety of clinical conditions (summarized in Table 4), which require a differential diagnosis with other disorders that present similar symptoms and signs, although their history is different. More detailed information on this topic is provided as online supplementary material.

MANAGEMENT

Although evidence is lacking, there is consensus on the recommendation to assure that water treatment processes prevent CBPs formation in order to minimize the chance of an increased risk of cancer from its long-term exposure. Current standards for the assessment of THM exposure are mostly defined for the THM content in swimming-pool water, although THM are quite volatile and likely to be present in appreciable concentrations also in the air of indoor swimming-pool facilities. Though it has been progressively acknowledged that airborne THM levels have a central role in inducing CBP-related adverse health effects, there are presently no standards or guidelines for controlling THM levels in indoor air of swimming-pool amenities. There is currently no international standard for the treatment of swimming-pools, with different regulations often provided by state or local governing bodies.

Inhalation of airborne THM and other CBPs seems to be the predominant route of exposure for competitive swimmers, who have an increased breathing rate throughout their regular and prolonged sports and training actions and for coaches and other pool workers and staff, who experience an intense occupational exposure
to the THM-rich environment that surrounds the pool. In fact, data on the real long-term exposure to CBPs and the risks that this exposure may represent for the health of competitive swimmers and coaches over the course of their careers is currently lacking. Nevertheless, a few easy and effective steps that all can take to maintain water and air quality are presented as a checklist in Table 5.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND UNMET NEEDS

The expansion of indoor aquatic activities resulted in a major focus of public authorities and local legislators on the prevention of water-borne infectious diseases, through the implementation and inspection of water disinfection practices. Comparatively, much less attention has been dedicated from health authorities to the indoor air quality (IAQ) of aquatic facilities, and there are still unmet needs to guarantee a generally safe and healthy indoor sport environment for both recreational and professional swimmers, and to those involved in their instruction, training, safety vigilance and pool maintenance.

There is still very limited information regarding additional hazardous pollutants, such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs) other than CBPs and ultrafine particulate matter (UFPs), and their possible long-term and cumulative health effects on swimming-pool attendants. No guidelines are defined for UFP or THM, except for occupational chloroform exposure.

Due to the presence of both indoor THM and non-THM VOC, effective ventilation and acclimatization systems are a particular need for indoor swimming facilities to prevent the accumulation and promote the effective elimination of these harmful chlorine-derived volatile compounds.

Among the available options for water disinfection, other than chlorine-derived solutions should be considered in the planning and development of new public indoor swimming-pools. For existing facilities, avoiding any factors that promote the development, introduction and retention of air pollutants, i.e. controlling pollutant sources and ventilation levels, constitute the major action plans proposed for IAQ improvements of swimming-pools.

Proper maintenance schedules and ventilation conditions are needed to guarantee a stable indoor environment – temperature and relative humidity - in the areas of water activities. Water-related factors – air/water temperature ratio and pH, the number of swimmers within the pool – also explain variations of the VOC levels found in the water-surface air, the air zone that is regularly inhaled by swimmers. Thus, maintaining water pH between 6.9 and 8.0 and air temperature 2°C above the pool water are recommended to avoid level fluctuations and the undesired volatilization of CBP, particularly during periods of high attendance.

Recommendations concerning prophylactic procedures during occupational exposure are lacking but given the fluctuations of indoor pollutants concentrations found throughout a working day, it is advisable that pool maintenance staff minimize occupational exposure by carrying in the early morning activities that require a prolonged stay in the swimming-pool surrounding area.

Checking declared indoor sources of VOC emissions, and the heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems (particulate matter filtration capacity and efficient removal of indoor pollutants), should also be considered, as well as the HVAC system design, in order to guarantee that fresh air supply and exhaust airflows do not mix by proximity.

Findings suggest that early and chronic exposure to swimming-pool CBP may have a promoting effect not only on airway inflammation and hyperreactivity, but also on the process of allergic sensitization itself. Other health outcomes, namely male fertility and bladder cancer, are of particular concern, as the majority of published studies evaluate these risks in relation to drinking water and not swimming-pools exposure. Early age exposure (baby and pre-school swimming) may turn to be a relevant personal risk factor for respiratory and reproductive health effects of BP exposure in swimming pools, given the progressive increase in maturation of respiratory tract and reproductive system.

There is still need of more environmental and epidemiological research data, to ascertain the health risk associated with the exposure of different swimming pool users, namely babies, infants and children, lifeguards.
and swimming pool maintenance staff, coaches and elite swimmers. Additional prospective and intervention studies are also needed to confirm the relationship between exposure to pollutants in swimming-pool environments and the risk of certain health effects.

Contribution by each author
MC, AB, LD; FD, MK, AM, RRA, MR, SS and MW each prepared and wrote one section of the manuscript. MC and SQ were responsible for collecting and integrating all sections and prepare the final versions. All authors reviewed and approved the last version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES


64. Klootwijk T, Krul M. Some concerns remain about the proposed association between swimming and asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011;183:570-2


75. IARC. International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Some chemicals that cause tumors of the kidney or urinary bladder in rodents and some other substances, Lyon 1999.


86. Nickmilder M, Bernard A. Associations between testicular hormones at adolescence and attendance at chlorinated swimming pools during childhood. Int J Androl 2011;34:e446-58


104. Gabriel MF, Felgueiras F, Mourão Z, Fernandes EO. Assessment of the air quality in 20 public indoor swimming pools located in the Northern Region of Portugal. Environ Int 2019;133(Pt B):105274

Table 1: Studies describing short-term changes in respiratory biomarkers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Biomarkers</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbonnelle et al. 2002 (30)</td>
<td>Chlorinated-pool (NCl₃ mean concentration: 490 μg/m³) for recreational swimmers. Chlorinated-pool (NCl₃ mean concentration: 355 μg/m³) Vs copper/silver pool for trained swimmers</td>
<td>Serum SP-A, SP-B and CC16</td>
<td>CC16 was not increased in recreational swimmers In trained swimmers CC16 peaked immediately after strenuous exercise, both in the copper/silver and in the chlorinated pools SP-A and SP-B were unaffected by strenuous exercise in the copper/silver pool SP-A and SP-B were significantly increased in a time-dependent manner in recreational and trained swimmers attending the chlorinated-pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonnelle et al. 2008 (91)</td>
<td>Chlorinated-pool (NCl₃ concentration: 160-280 μg/m³ ) Vs copper/silver-pool (NCl₃ &lt;20 μg/m³)</td>
<td>FeNO; serum SP-A, SP-B, CC16, KL-6</td>
<td>FeNO increased in the copper/silver pool, whereas it did not change in the chlorinated-pool, suggesting that chlorination might inhibit NO-induced vasodilation in exercise Serum pneumoproteins were unchanged excepted SP-A which decreased after exercise in the chlorinated pool (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Font-Ribera et al. 2010 (92)</td>
<td>Chlorinated indoor pool</td>
<td>FeNO; serum SP-D and CC16; 8-isoprostane, several cytokines* and VEGF in EBC</td>
<td>CC16 slightly increased after a swimming session No significant changes in lung function, SP-D, 8-isoprostane, cytokines, or VEGF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Conditions</td>
<td>Biomarkers</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernández-Luna et al.</td>
<td>Chlorinated-pool Vs ozone-treated pool</td>
<td>Serum SP-D and CC16</td>
<td>No change was observed in lung function and SP-D in swimmers attending both pools. CC16 was significantly increased in subjects attending the chlorinated-pool but not in those using the ozone-treated pool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Font-Ribera et al.</td>
<td>Chlorinated-pool (NCl₃ mean concentration: 473 μg/m³)</td>
<td>Serum CC16; exhaled breath THMs; urinary TCAA; genotoxicity biomarkers#</td>
<td>Creatinine-adjusted urinary TCAA increased by 3.1 μmol/mol Urine mutagenicity, MN-PBL, MN-Ret and serum CC16 levels remained unchanged after swimming. No correlation between CBP exposure and MN-PBL, urine mutagenicity and CC16 levels. Moderate associations observed for MN-Ret and CBP exposure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* RANTES, Ip10, TNF, IL-12p70, IL-10, IL-8, IFN-γ, IL-4.

# Urine mutagenicity, micronuclei in peripheral blood lymphocytes (MN-PBL) and micronuclei in reticulocytes (MN-Ret).

CC: Clara cell protein; CBP: chlorination by-products; EBC: exhaled breath condensate; FeNO: fractional exhaled nitric oxide; KL-6: Krebs von den Lungen-6 protein; TCAA: Trichloroacetic acid; THMs: Trihalomethanes; SP: Surfactant-associated protein; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor.

**Table 2:** Risks of respiratory diseases, aeroallergen sensitization and airway epithelial defects associated with recreational swimming in indoor and/or outdoor chlorinated-pools.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>N (age, yrs)</th>
<th>NCl₃ mg/m³</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2003 (51)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>226 (8-12) 1,881 (7-14)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dose-effect relation between CCPA and serum SP-A and SP-B (n=226). Correlations between the prevalence of asthma and the CCPA (n=1881).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nystad et al. 2003 (68)</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2,862 children</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Increased risk of recurrent respiratory tract infections in baby swimmers from atopic parents (aOR, 2.08, 95% CI: 1.08-4.031).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagerkvist et al. 2004 (70)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>57 (10-11)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Significant decrease of serum CC16 in children (n=20) regularly visiting indoor chlorinated-pools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohlhammer et al. 2006 (65)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2,006 (35-74)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Dose-related associations between the risk of hay fever and the current and school-age pool attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>N (age, yrs)</td>
<td>NCl₃ mg/m³</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2006 (52)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>341 (10-13)</td>
<td>0.25-0.54</td>
<td>Increased risk of asthma (doctor-diagnosed or EIB) with CCPA in children with serum IgE &gt; 100 kIU/L (aOR for each 100-hr increase in CCPA = 1.79; 95% CI, 1.07-2.72).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickmilder and Bernard, 2007 (95)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Ecological</td>
<td>(13-14) (6-7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>In both age groups, the prevalence of ever asthma across Europe correlated with the availability of indoor chlorinated-pools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2007 (9)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>341 (10-13)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Decrease of serum CC16 and higher risks of asthma and bronchitis in 43 children who swam in indoor chlorinated-pools during infancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>N (age, yrs)</td>
<td>NCl$_3$ mg/m$^3$</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2008 (96)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>847 (13-18)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Use of outdoor pools was associated with higher risks of elevated FeNO, cat or HDM sensitization and asthma in subjects with serum IgE &gt; 25 kIU/L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nystad et al. 2008 (97)</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>30,870 (0.5-1.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Increased risk of wheeze (aOR, 1.24, 95% CI 1.11, 1.39) in baby swimmers from atopic mothers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoefer et al. 2008 (98)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2,196 (6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Baby swimmers had higher risk of asthma (aOR 2.15 95% CI 1.16-3.99) due potentially to reverse causation and respiratory infections in the 1st yr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotter and Ryan 2009 (56)</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>97 (6-12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Associations between CCPA and the risk of diagnosed asthma and wheezing in the last 12 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>N (age, yrs)</td>
<td>NCl₂ mg/m³</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2009 (53)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>847 (13-18)</td>
<td>0.30-0.50</td>
<td>Among atopic adolescents, aOR for asthma, hay fever or allergic rhinitis increased with CCPA but not with the Cu/Ag pool attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voisin et al. 2010 (69)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>430 (5-7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Infant swimming in indoor or outdoor chlorinated-pools is associated with increased risks of bronchiolitis and later of allergic sensitization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Font-Ribera et al. 2011 (61)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>5,738 (7 and 10)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Swimming was associated with increased lung function and lower risk of asthma symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrari et al. 2011 (57)</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>1,136 (18-55)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Higher risk of new-onset asthma associated with CCPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>N (age, yrs)</td>
<td>NCl3 mg/m³</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs et al. 2012 (62)</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2,359 (6-13)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Association of HDM sensitization with frequent baby swimming and lower serum CC16 (n=419). No association with asthma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Font-Ribera et al. 2013 (60)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2,205 (0-1.17)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No association between indoor or outdoor swimming pool attendance during the 1st year of life and LRTI, wheezing, atopic eczema or otitis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voisin et al. 2013, 2014 (66, 67)</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>196 (5.7 and 7.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Swimming at indoor or outdoor chlorinated-pools before the age of 3 yrs was associated with higher risks of HDM sensitization and increased FeNO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schuez-Havupalo et al. 2014 (99)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>1,827 (0-1.42)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Association between infant swimming and rhinovirus-associated wheezing among children with atopic eczema (n=635, p = 0.006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Type of study</td>
<td>N (age, yrs)</td>
<td>NCl3 mg/m³</td>
<td>Main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Font-Ribera et al. 2014</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2,758 (6-12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No associations between regular indoor pool attendance before 2 yrs and asthma, wheezing, eczema.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2015</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>835 (13-18)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Associations of serum CC16/SP-D with CCPA, allergic sensitization (especially to HDM) and allergic diseases among sensitized adolescents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersson et al. 2015</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1, 866 (11-12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Asthma associated with indoor pool attendance (≥1 week) only among sensitized subjects (n=1,652; aOR1.9, 95% CI 1.09-3.32). Low CC16 in NALF associated with CCPA predicts persistent sensitization to aeroallergens, especially to HDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard et al. 2017</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>121 (5.8, 7.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cavaleiro-Rufo et al. 2018 (58)  
Portugal Portugal Cross-sectional 858 (7-12) -  
Indoor pool attendance associated with autonomic changes and baseline bronchoconstriction and before 3 yrs with functional asthma  

Andersson et al. 2018 (54)  
Sweden Sweden Prospective 970 (16-17) 0.15  
Early indoor pool attendance (< 3 yrs) associated with asthma onset. Risks are particularly high for atopics. Dose-response relationships with NCl$_3$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>N (age, yrs)</th>
<th>NCl$_3$ mg/m$^3$</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cavaleiro-Rufo</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>858 (7-12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Indoor pool attendance associated with autonomic changes and baseline bronchoconstriction and before 3 yrs with functional asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersson et al.</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>970 (16-17)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Early indoor pool attendance (&lt; 3 yrs) associated with asthma onset. Risks are particularly high for atopics. Dose-response relationships with NCl$_3$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Conditions inherent to the competitive swimmers and the respective consequences on their exposure to disinfection by-products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High physical effort</td>
<td>The internal dose of THMs increases significantly with intensity of physical activity (101).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral inhalation of water droplets</td>
<td>Stronger impact of the inhalation route compared to ingestion or dermal absorption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater swimming skills</td>
<td>Increases the amount of exposure and may also alter the lung microbiome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower rate of ingestion in a comparable time than for less skilled users.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4**: Differential diagnosis of the Chlorine exposure-related clinical conditions in pool attendants.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>organ or system</th>
<th>Pathology (SIGNS &amp; symptoms)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Differential diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>Hair coloration/ discoloration, fragile and/or dry</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical examination</td>
<td>Other abrasive agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Corneal epithelial erosions or dysfunction</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical examination</td>
<td>Corneal abrasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conjunctivitis</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical examination</td>
<td>Recurrent corneal erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Swimmer’s Ear”</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical examination</td>
<td>Allergic conjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear</td>
<td>External Otitis</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical examination</td>
<td>Irritative conjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Swimmer’s Ear”</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin injuries, foreign bodics, chronic injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nails</td>
<td>Surface damage of the nail plates</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical examination</td>
<td>Fungal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth</td>
<td>Enamel erosion</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical Examination</td>
<td>Attrition and abrasion of the enamel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Dry, itching, fragile skin</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical Examination</td>
<td>“Primary” eczema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eczema</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dermatoses and systemic illnesses with dry skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory system</td>
<td>Nose itching</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical Examination Skin prick tests</td>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anosmia</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical Examination Olfactive tests Imaging</td>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dyspnea, respiratory fatigue, cough, wheezing, chest tightness, muscular fatigue</td>
<td>Clinical History Medical Examination</td>
<td>Sensorineural diseases of the olfactive tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complementary test:</td>
<td>Dyspneic pathologies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spirometry pre/post-exposure, Monitored exercise test, pre/post-exercise DLCO, Skin prick tests</td>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory Hematology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oncology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rheumatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immunology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental stress . . .</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Healthy swimming water and air quality checklist, based on the recommendations of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the guidelines from the World Health Organization.

**Swimmers checklist**

- Stay out of the water if you have: - diarrhea (for patients with cryptosporidiosis, don’t swim for an additional 2 weeks after diarrhea has resolved) - a gastrointestinal (stomach) upset or skin or respiratory infection - an open wound (for example, from surgery or a piercing) that is not covered with a waterproof bandage Keep ears as dry as possible and dry ears thoroughly after swimming.
Shower before you get into the water, rinsing off in the shower for just 1 min removes most of the dirt or anything else on your body. Remove make-up.

Don’t pee or poop in the water.

Don’t swallow the water.

Check the pool’s latest inspection results.

Check the free chlorine level and pH before getting into the water: proper free chlorine level (1–3 mg/L or parts per million) and pH (7.2–7.8) maximize germ-killing power.

Swimming pool checklist

Figures legends:

**Figure 1**: Chlorination by-products presented at the water and the air of chlorinated swimming-pools - adapted from Bernard A (20).

**Figure 2**: The routes of exposure to disinfection by-products in swimming-pools and the factors affecting each route - adapted from Dyck et al (2).

**Figure 3**: Schematic representation of the relation between water ingestion and surface area/body mass and respiratory rates throughout different ages (based on data from WHO Training package for the Health sector – Children’s health and the environment).