

# Riemann Zeta Invariance Under Composed Integral Transform

Benedict Irwin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Cambridge

January 7, 2021

From a question I asked online [1], I had deduced that the Laplace transform could be absorbed into the inverse Mellin transform as

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi] = -\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi^*] \tag{1}$$

and the Mellin transform could be absorbed into the inverse Laplace transform as

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\psi] = \Gamma(q)\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\psi^*] \tag{2}$$

where

$$\phi^* = \Gamma(t)\phi(1-t) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\psi^* = \psi(-e^{-s})e^{-s} \tag{4}$$

the term of  $\phi(1-t)$  reminded me of the Riemann function equation for  $\zeta(s)$  which is

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s) \tag{5}$$

the question I was then interested in was **what other transform when applied to the inverse Mellin transform of a function, would result in this functional equation**, or what is the transform such that  $\zeta(s)$  is invariant to?

The more fundamental quantity in terms of Mellin transforms is  $\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)$  which has the integral (Mellin transform) representation:

$$\Gamma(s)\zeta(s) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx = \mathcal{M}\left[\frac{1}{e^x - 1}\right] \tag{6}$$

we would like to find an integral transform of a function  $f$   $\mathcal{Q}[f]$  such that

$$\mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi(s)]] = \mathcal{M}^{-1}[2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(s)\phi(1-s)] \tag{7}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)]] = \mathcal{M}^{-1}[\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)] \tag{8}$$

by virtue of the integral equation 6 we should have something like

$$\mathcal{Q}\left[\frac{1}{e^x - 1}\right](s) = \frac{1}{e^s - 1} \tag{9}$$

we expect  $\mathcal{Q}$  to somewhat resemble a Laplace transform because of the equation

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi(s)] = \mathcal{M}^{-1}[\Gamma(s)\phi(1-s)] \tag{10}$$

Seems that we want something such that

$$\mathcal{Q}[x^{-s}] = \left(\frac{q}{2\pi}\right)^{s-1} \frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(1-s)\right) \Gamma(1-s) \tag{11}$$

the trick seems to be using an inverse Mellin transform on the above to get the relationship

$$\mathcal{Q}[x^{-s}] = \int_0^\infty x^{-s} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{qx}{2\pi}\right)}{\pi x} dx = q^{-s} 2^s \pi^{s-1} \Gamma(s) \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \tag{12}$$

this is still not quite right as we want to invert the  $s \rightarrow 1-s$ . It does seem (numerically) for a small region of  $s$  values (between 0 and 1?) that

$$\mathcal{Q}[x^{-s}] = \int_0^\infty x^{-s} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{qx}{2\pi}\right)}{\pi} dx = \left(\frac{q}{2\pi}\right)^{s-1} \frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(1-s)\right) \Gamma(1-s) \tag{13}$$

as required. Hence our transform becomes (note the minus sign)

$$\mathcal{Q}[f] = - \int_0^\infty f(x) \frac{\sin\left(\frac{qx}{2\pi}\right)}{\pi} dx \tag{14}$$

which should (formally) satisfy

$$\mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)]] = \mathcal{M}^{-1}[\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)] \tag{15}$$

or then 'fixing' the inverse Mellin transform as given,  $\Gamma(s)\zeta(s)$  is some kind of eigen-function of the transform  $\mathcal{Q}$ ...

## Checking This Follows Through

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] &= \mathcal{Q}\left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} x^{-s} \phi(s) ds\right] \\ \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \mathcal{Q}[x^{-s}] \phi(s) ds \\ \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{q}{2\pi}\right)^{s-1} \frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(1-s)\right) \Gamma(1-s) \phi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

by letting  $s-1 \rightarrow -t$  we get

$$\mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c'-i\infty}^{c'+i\infty} q^{-t} 2^t \pi^{t-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) \Gamma(t) \phi(1-t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c'-i\infty}^{c'+i\infty} q^{-t} \phi^*(t) dt = \boxed{\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi^*]}$$

where  $\phi^*(t) = 2^t \pi^{t-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) \Gamma(t) \phi(1-t)$ . If we set  $\phi(t) = \Gamma(t)\zeta(t)$  according to the Riemann functional equation we have

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s) \tag{16}$$

thus  $\phi^*(t) = \phi(t) = \Gamma(t)\zeta(t)$ .

## Conclusion

It is formally possible to define such an integral transform. This may be possible and have better convergence for other functional relationships.

## References

[1] - <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2501698/a-pair-of-composed-integral-transforms-from-mellin-and-laplace-transforms>

## Appendix

If we define the forward transform as

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[f(x)](k) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{e^{kx} - 1} dx \tag{17}$$

we find that

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[x^{s-1}](k) = k^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s), \quad s > 1, t > 0 \tag{18}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[x^{s-1}](k) = k^{-s} 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s), \quad s > 1, t > 0 \tag{19}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] = \mathcal{Q}_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} x^{-s} \phi(s) ds \right]$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \mathcal{Q}_1[x^{-s}] \phi(s) ds$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} q^{s-1} \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s) \phi(s) ds$$

by letting  $s - 1 \rightarrow -t$  we get

$$\mathcal{Q}_1[\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi]] = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c'-i\infty}^{c'+i\infty} q^{-t} \Gamma(t) \zeta(t) \phi(1-t) dt = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{c'-i\infty}^{c'+i\infty} q^{-t} \phi^*(t) dt = \boxed{-\mathcal{M}^{-1}[\phi^*]}$$

where  $\phi^*(t) = \Gamma(t) \zeta(t) \phi(1-t)$ . Although this is cool, it's not quite what we want.