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Abstract

Background: allergic rhinitis is a common childhood disease responsible for a major impact on quality of life and health care resources. Many hypotheses have been proposed to explain the link between allergy and otitis media, although a definitive mechanism has not been identified yet. One of the major critical points is that authors failed in distinguishing among different phenotypes of middle ear inflammation. This review pointed out evidence from the laboratory and clinical experience to link allergy to different phenotypes of otitis media in children. Methods: we performed a systematic review in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) process. Our search yielded 3010 articles that were finally screened. This resulted in 20 publications of which the full texts included for the qualitative analysis based on different phenotypes of otitis media. Results: clinical evidences and analyses of biomarkers suggested that allergy may be linked to some phenotypes of otitis media and, in particular, to otitis media with effusion and acute re-exacerbations in children with middle ear effusion. It was not possible to perform the analysis for allergy and acute and chronic otitis media because of paucity and heterogeneity of data. Conclusion: Allergy should be considered in the diagnostic work up of different phenotypes of otitis media. Clinicians should evaluate prompt and accurate treatment of allergy in improving outcomes, although futures studies are required to increase evidence supporting that anti-allergy treatment may be effective in the recovery and outcome of otitis media with effusion.

INTRODUCTION

Allergic rhinitis (AR) is the most frequent chronic condition in childhood and adolescence, its prevalence varies from 1.5% up to 42%, reaching 8% in 6–8-year-old children and up to 35% in 13–14-year-old children, with a continuous increase in the developed world.

Pediatric AR may have a significant impact on global quality of life, including school performance, sleep disorders, and emotional health. Furthermore, over the years many authors tried to correlate allergy with the onset of the most frequent upper airway disorders in children and in particular of otitis media. It has been estimated that more than 75% of AR children develop concomitant conditions, including conjunctivitis,
asthma, atopic dermatitis, upper respiratory tract infections (URTI), rhinosinusitis, adenotonsillitis, and otitis media, indicating that AR is not an isolated condition, but it is part of a systemic disease, even though conflicting results are frequently reached.\textsuperscript{6,7}

AR is an inflammatory condition of the nasal mucosa provoked by environmental allergens interacting with immunoglobulin (Ig)E in sensitized subjects. Repeated exposure to allergens may lead to long-term changes in systemic and local inflammation, including up-regulation of nasal eosinophils and allergen-specific IgE, increased levels of adhesion molecules in airway mucosa and enhanced systemic response to allergen challenge.\textsuperscript{8} Consequently, it is not surprising that AR has been historically associated with comorbid upper airway diseases, among which otitis media.\textsuperscript{9}

The association between AR and otitis media is still controversial. However, AR can cause swelling of nasal mucosa resulting in Eustachian tube (ET) dysfunction and subsequently otitis, in particular otitis media with effusion (OME) characterized by inflammation of the mucous membrane with fluid collection in the middle ear in the absence of acute infection. If untreated, OME may be complicated with hearing loss especially conductive type, poor school performance, behavioral problems, and reduced the quality of life.\textsuperscript{10}

The aim of the present systematic review was to evaluate clinical and laboratory evidence linking allergy to different phenotypes of otitis media in children. Allergy in these conditions may be evocated not only as risk, but also as a worsening factor. Poorly controlled AR might exacerbate comorbidities, whereas early diagnosis and its adequate treatment might improve these disorders having a substantial impact on the quality of life of children.\textsuperscript{8}

**METHODS**

**Search strategy**

This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) process to identify published experimental and clinical articles about allergy and otitis media in children. Manuscript were screened primarily by Ovid Medline and EMBASE and from other sources (Pubmed Central, Cochrane review, Web of Science, and Google Scholar) and published from January 2000 to September 2020. Literature searches were performed in September 2020.

We performed two different searches using MeSH-terms. One group of authors focused on experimental studies matching the term as follow: [(Eustachian tube disfunction) OR (middle ear cytokine) OR (middle ear inflammation)] AND [(allergy) OR (allergic rhinitis) OR (atopy) OR (atopic) OR (allergic children)] AND [(children) OR (childhood) OR (pediatric)]. The second group of authors focused on clinical studies, matching the term as follow: [(acute otitis media) OR (chronic otitis media) OR (otitis media with effusion) OR (recurrent acute otitis media) OR (middle ear effusion) OR (chronic suppurative otitis media) OR (otitis)] AND [(allergy) OR (allergic rhinitis) OR (atopy) OR (atopic) OR (allergic children) OR (non-allergic children) OR (immunoglobulin E) OR (immunotherapy) OR (antihistamine) AND (children) OR (childhood) OR (pediatric)].

**Study selection**

In the first screening authors read the title and abstract of articles selecting those being as inclusive as possible. The abstracts were screened independently by reviewers of the two groups. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were established before the selection of relevant studies. The inclusion criteria were primary research (including descriptive studies, observational studies, randomized trials, and basic science articles), published after January 2000, addressing allergy and otitis media in children. Furthermore, we questioned whether anti-allergy treatment may prevent the occurrence of otitis media or improve its specific management.

We excluded secondary research studies (e.g., review articles or systematic review), case studies, newspaper article, lecture, letter, comment, personal narrative, consensus conference, editorial. Only articles with full text available were included. Additional studies were manually identified from the reference lists of retrieved
literature. We excluded all the article that did not meet the inclusion criteria or deal directly with the issue investigated. Based on our review was not possible differentiate between atopy or sensitization and allergy. We included only English-language peer-reviewed papers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The details of the systematic search performed are shown in figure 1. In total, our search yielded 3010 articles after duplicates removal. We excluded 1169 articles due to time of publication and type of article, then 1841 were finally screened. This resulted 20 publications included in a qualitative analysis. We summarized in tables the included studies per each phenotype classifying evidence using GRADE methodology. No studies were included in a quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis).

**Allergy and middle ear inflammation in children**

Based on signs and symptoms, otitis media (OM) can be subclassified into different phenotypes: acute otitis media (AOM), otitis media with effusion (OME), chronic OME (cOME) and chronic suppurrative otitis media (CSOM). AOM is generally characterized by rapid onset of signs and symptoms of inflammation in the middle ear accompanied by middle ear effusion (MEE); OME is defined as MEE without signs and symptoms of acute inflammation. AOM episodes are self-limited, but some children are at high risk for frequently recurring AOM episodes (RAOM) and in a sub-group of patients, MEE may be delayed for months to years and may evolve in cOME. Eventually, CSOM may occur with or without cholesteatoma and clinical history of both conditions can be very similar in children.

There are many predisposing factors for otitis in children, including genetic predisposition, young age, male gender, very low birth-weight, pre-term birth, immature function of the immune system, little or no breastfeeding, upper airway-infections, day-care attendance, older siblings, tobacco smoke exposure, adenoid hypertrophy, craniofacial abnormalities, dysfunction of the ET, use of a pacifier or push-and-pull plastic bottle caps, and allergy. Among risk factors, the role of allergy has been thoroughly investigated and has been clearly implicated in pathogenesis of OME, whereas more controversial findings are available for AOM.

**Allergy and AOM or OME**

*Physiopathologic theory linking allergy to increased risk of middle ear inflammation.*

Multiple hypotheses may explain the link between allergy and otitis media, even if a definitive mechanism has not been identified. The “shock organ” hypothesis speculates that the middle ear may act as a shock organ responding to antigen similar to nasal and bronchial mucosa, according to the unified airway theory hypothesizing that the respiratory tract may behave as one integrated unit. The “Eustachian tube dysfunction” theory speculates that allergy may lead to edema of nasal mucosa, mucociliary impairment, and ET ostium blockage, reducing middle ear ventilation and continuous negative pressure, and promoting otitis. Eventually, the most recent hypothesis is that ET dysfunction may be related to chronic inflammation of lymphoid tissues such as adenoids with retrograde aspiration of bacteria and nasopharyngeal secretion into the middle ear cavity.

*Laboratory Evidence and biomarkers linking allergic inflammation to increased risk of middle ear inflammation.*

Several authors have tried to show an association between allergy and middle ear inflammation by animal studies as well as experimental human models. The phenotype more frequently involved in these studies was OME as reported in table 1. The inflammatory substrate observed in atopic OME patients seems to be similar to that of the late phase of allergic response in AR and asthma patients. Several authors have demonstrated a higher percentage of TH-2 mediators, (eosinophils, T-lymphocytes, IL-4 and IL-5), as well as a hyper-expression of major basic protein and eosinophilic cationic protein in the middle ear fluid, adenoid tissue, and middle ear mucosa in atopic versus non-atopic children with OME. Several other cytokines as tumor necrosis factor alphas, IL-1b, IL-13, IL-6, and IL-8 are elevated in middle ear fluid in children with OME. Smirnova et al. have demonstrated that these cytokines might play a role as molecular regulators.
of middle ear inflammation switching the acute phase of inflammation to the chronic stage.\textsuperscript{19} Furthermore, histologic studies have demonstrated that there is an increased level of IL-4, IL-5, and eosinophils not only in middle ear fluid but also at both ends of the ET suggesting again the possibility of activating an allergic mechanism in sensitized children.\textsuperscript{20} Accordingly, some authors observed a significantly lower rate of neutrophils and IFN-gamma (predominant in TH-1 inflammatory response) in atopic vs non-atopic OME.\textsuperscript{16}

Previous authors have demonstrated that allergic inflammation in the ear of children with OME is similar to that of other target organs confirming the hypothesis that ear is part of unified airway.\textsuperscript{21, 22} In addition, animal models demonstrated that middle ear effusion can be prevented by inhibiting allergy cytokines.\textsuperscript{22}

Experimental evidence showed that trans-tympanic histamine challenge compromise muco-ciliary clearance and ventilating function of ET in rat models.\textsuperscript{23} In particular, Hardy et al.\textsuperscript{24} demonstrated that late-phase allergy leads to significant ET dysfunction and subsequent formation of effusion by impairing the ventilatory and clearance function of the ET. Furthermore, Pollok et al.\textsuperscript{25} demonstrated that the late-phase allergic inflammatory response and middle ear effusion may be prevented by pre-treatment with soluble interleukin (IL)-4 receptors (sIL-4R) and more modestly with IL-5 antibodies (IL-5Ab).

The most relevant articles included in the qualitative analyses are summarized in table 1. The majority of articles supports the link between allergy and otitis media with effusion.

**Clinical evidence linking allergy to increased risk of middle ear inflammation**

From a clinical point of view, it is extremely important to distinguish among different phenotypes of otitis media, AOM, RAOM and OME. Clinical evidence from the literature seems to be in favor of an association between allergic rhinitis/atopic status and OME; whereas results about a hypothetical correlation with AOM and RAOM are contradictory and inconclusive because one of the major critical points is that some authors fail to distinguish among the different phenotypes of middle ear inflammation in children (articles included in the qualitative analyses are reported in table 2). Salah et al. did not find evidence linking atopy or allergy to AOM/RAOM\textsuperscript{26}, whereas other authors\textsuperscript{10, 27, 28} demonstrated that children with AR had a significantly higher risk of otitis, even if they did not distinguish among different phenotypes of OM. Accordingly, authors\textsuperscript{29, 30} demonstrated that atopic children may have a significantly increased risk of recurrent or persistent OM needing repeated tympanostomy tube insertions without distinguishing between AOM and OME. Finally, in a recent study authors demonstrated that RAOM was significantly associated with turbinate hypertrophy in children suffering from allergic rhinitis.\textsuperscript{31}

On the other hands most authors seem to be in agreement with experimental data confirming also a significant clinical association between allergy and OME. Several manuscripts\textsuperscript{32-35} suggested that AR may specifically influence the onset of OME. Authors demonstrated that AR prevalence was notably higher among OME patients than in the controls, with remarkable differences in eosinophil counts and serum IgE concentrations in the allergic rhinitis patients, compared to other OME patients.\textsuperscript{36} Cheng et al. in a metaanalysis including cross-sectional and case-controlled studies confirmed that OME and AR are prevalent in pre-school and school-aged children and that allergy is a risk factor for OME.\textsuperscript{32} Roditi et al. interestingly demonstrated that allergic rhinitis was significantly associated to OME even age was demonstrated as an effect modifier of the association; indeed a significant relationship was observed in children 6 years of age and older, whereas there was no significant association in younger children.\textsuperscript{37} Furthermore, studies have documented that AR patients have a higher risk of ET dysfunction, particularly during childhood, than non-allergic ones.\textsuperscript{27, 38} Therefore, AR seems to be a common risk factor in children with OME and there is significant hearing loss compared to non-AR children with OME; in addition, the hearing level improved significantly with medical therapy.\textsuperscript{10}

Recently, Torretta et al.\textsuperscript{39} demonstrated that allergy or atopy were significantly higher in otitis-prone children with OME than in those with simple RAOM: atopy was found in 73% of the children with both RAOM and OME versus 39.5% of those with simple RAOM, while allergy was found in 60% and 36%, respectively. The authors\textsuperscript{39} suggested that children with both RAOM and OME are clinically different from children with simple RAOM, as they have a more complex clinical presentation that may be sustained by underlying allergy or atopy. Interestingly, in the same manuscript, children with both RAOM and OME were more
frequently affected by adenoidal hypertrophy (AH) \((p = 0.016)\). This is the second critical point that makes it difficult to analyze data in this regard, since ET dysfunction and AH are frequently associated with OME. Quaranta et al.\(^4\) analyzed children with AH showing that 60\% had OME and that AR was rarely present in this group. AH may constitute a concomitant clinical entity associated with allergy that exponentially promotes the development of OME and may be a confounding factor in the analysis. Accordingly, some authors demonstrated that adenoid tissue and middle ear mucosa share the same inflammatory pattern in allergic children affected by OME.\(^3\)

Most relevant clinical articles\(^33-37,39-42\) investigating specifically association between OME and allergy and included in the qualitative analyses are summarized in table 3.

Does anti-allergy treatment prevent middle ear inflammation?

The large amount of experimental and clinical data supports the hypothesis that treatment of atopy can have a beneficial effect on some phenotype of otitis, and in particular in OME. Accordingly, Mariño-Sánchez et al. supported the concept that AR is associated to a higher severity and duration of OME and that anti-allergy treatment may improve outcomes in OME patients.\(^4\) However, it is difficult to demonstrate that treatment of allergy can affect OME outcomes due to the contributing factor of ET dysfunction and AH in the pathophysiology of OME.

Several studies have evaluated the role of oral steroids in OME describing a potential benefit\(^43\), although long term outcomes are not as clearly established as short term ones.\(^44\) Children treated with intranasal mometasone experienced higher resolution rates of OME compared with control subjects, and the size of the adenoid tissue decreased more in atopic children.\(^45\) Tracy and Demain\(^46\) compared the effects of prophylactic antibiotics alone or in combination with intranasal beclomethasone or placebo and demonstrated that subjects in the beclomethasone plus antibiotic group improved more rapidly than those in the antibiotic alone or placebo group. Nevertheless, no differences were seen among subjects with and without allergy. Chimona et al.\(^47\) assessed the role of antihistamines in OME in an animal experimental model, but no clinical efficacy has been demonstrated for antihistamine alone or in association with topical decongestants in humans\(^48\), as confirmed by a meta-analysis.\(^49\)

Allergy and CSOM

An association between CSOM and allergy has long been debated, and only a few studies have been performed. Interestingly, some authors observed elevated IgE levels in the middle ear secretions of children with CSOM, suggesting that allergy may play a contributory role in pathogenesis of CSOM.\(^50\)

Fliss et al., in a case control study in children, observed that history of acute and recurrent OM, parental history of chronic otitis media, larger families, and more siblings were associated with higher risk for CSOM, whereas sex, parental age and education, allergy, sinusitis, and recurrent URTI were not.\(^51\) There is paucity of data in literature regarding the role of allergy or atopy as a significant risk factor for CSOM in children and it was not possible to perform a qualitative analysis. Additional studies evaluating are strongly required.

Conclusions

AR is a common disease in childhood in industrialized countries and has a major impact on quality of life and health care resources. Recent studies pointed out main differences between allergic children and adults. For instance, AR in children seems to be more intermittent and severe than in adults, with less symptoms but with a higher number of comorbidities.\(^52\) Furthermore, children suffering from AR often present additional conditions that may decrease response to medical treatment, worsening QoL. So, AR in children should be considered a disease with a high multimorbidity.

Experimental and clinical evidence strongly supports the existence of an association between allergy and OME, although clear evidence is still debated. Otherwise, the evidence linking atopy or allergy to AOM/RAOM or CSOM is poor and contradictory. Further studies are needed, paying particular attention to the inclusion criteria, the methodology of research and, above all, the accurate phenotyping of otitis media.
From a clinical point of view, children with persistent moderate to severe AR should be screened for otitis media and in particular, OME. We summarized in a practical algorithm our conclusions per phenotype of otitis in order to elucidate when prompt accurate diagnosis and treatment of allergy is recommended (Fig. 2). Reviewing the data about allergy and middle ear inflammation, we concluded that a clear link exists to some phenotypes of middle ear otitis, and in particular OME and acute re-exacerbation in patients with middle ear effusion.

IMPACT STATEMENT

Improving the understanding of the pathophysiology of allergy and relationship with otitis media is important to develop preventive measures as well as perform adequate monitoring and treatment of children with allergy and otitis media with effusion, although future studies are required to increase evidence supporting that anti-allergy treatment may improve resolution and outcome of OME. The evidence linking atopy or allergy to other phenotypes is poor and contradictory and further studies are needed, paying particular attention to accurate phenotyping of otitis media.

TABLE 1. Laboratory evidence and biomarkers linking allergy to OME.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year (ref)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Experimental models</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Relevant results</th>
<th>Association (Level of evidence)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sobol et al.</td>
<td>2002 (15)</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>N=26</td>
<td>Middle ear fluid specimens collected during myringotomy in OME patients (Children).</td>
<td>Fluid specimens were assessed by means of immunocytochemistry for T lymphocytes (CD3), eosinophils (major basic protein), neutrophils (elastase), mast-cells (tryptase) and basophils (BB1). IL-4, IL-5, and IFN-γ were assessed by using in-situ hybridization.</td>
<td>Higher percentage of eosinophils, IL-4, IL-5 and T lymphocytes in patients with atopic OME compared with non-atopic ones. Higher percentage of neutrophils and INF-gamma in non-atopic patients with OME.</td>
<td>Yes (Level V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen et al.</td>
<td>2004 (16)</td>
<td>N=55</td>
<td>N=55</td>
<td>Human torus tubarius and adenoid tissues collected during adenoidectomy (children).</td>
<td>Cellular and cytokine profiles were measured by immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization.</td>
<td>Predominant Th2 cytokine expression and infiltration of eosinophils in atopic patients compared with the non-atopic ones.</td>
<td>Yes (Level V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Tissue or Model</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al. 2000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Middle ear biopsy from children with persistent OME (children)</td>
<td>Expression of CD3, major basic protein (immunocytochemistry), IL-5 mRNA (in situ hybridization) in mucosa of persistent OME patients vs controls.</td>
<td>Predominant expression of CD3, major basic protein, and IL-5 in atopic persistent OME vs controls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downs, et al. 2001</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rat model</td>
<td>Measure of mucociliary clearance and passive opening and closing pressures of ET after challenge with either transtympanic or intranasal histamine</td>
<td>Increase in mucociliary clearance times and passive opening and closing pressures with transtympanic histamine vs control solution.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardy et al. 2001</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Rat model</td>
<td>Brown Norway rats were sensitized to ovalbumin and later challenged transtympanically.</td>
<td>ET ventilatory function and mucociliary clearance were assessed 2, 4, 8, 24, 28- and 32-hours post-challenge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exposure to transtympanic allergen induces ET dysfunction and subsequent formation of effusion and a decreased ability to actively clear middle ear pressure in allergic animals.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yes (Level V)
Brown-Norway rats were sensitized to ovalbumin (OVA) and challenged trans-tympanically. Two groups of rats received soluble IL-4 receptors (sIL-4R) and IL-5 antibodies (IL-5Ab) trans-tympanically 1 hour before challenge. sIL-4R-pre-treated rats showed no significant changes in ventilatory or clearance functions of the ET, whereas IL-5Ab group showed significant late ventilatory and clearance dysfunction as well as inflammatory mucosal changes.

OME: otitis media with effusion; ET: Eustachian tube; IL: interleukin.

Table 2. Articles investigating clinical association between allergy and otitis media.

Clinical evidence linking allergy to risk of middle ear inflammation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type of article</th>
<th>N (mean age)</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Relevant results</th>
<th>Association (Level of evidence)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byeon, 2019</td>
<td>(27)</td>
<td>Epidemiologic observational study</td>
<td>N=472 (9.6yr ± 0.4)</td>
<td>Survey data</td>
<td>Children with AR had twice significantly higher risk of OM.</td>
<td>Yes (Level IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martines et al.</td>
<td>2016 (28)</td>
<td>Case-control study</td>
<td>N=204 children and 204 controls</td>
<td>To investigate the main risk factors for AOM and OME and their prevalence in Sicilian children</td>
<td>Allergy and urban localization increased the risk of OM in children exposed to smoke, respectively of 166% and 277%.</td>
<td>Yes (Level III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salah et al.</td>
<td>2013 (26)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>N=340 infants with RAOM</td>
<td>To analyze the risk factors that are likely to be responsible for RAOM in children.</td>
<td>Authors did not find a significant association between RAOM and allergy</td>
<td>None (Level IV)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hardani et al. 2020 (10)  
Case-control study  
N=625 children (6 months – 7 years)  
To investigate the relationship between the incidence of otitis media (acute, chronic and with effusion) and risk factors.  
Allergic rhinitis was identified as one of the most important risk factors.  
Yes (No distinction between phenotypes)

AR: allergic rhinitis; OM: otitis media; AOM: acute otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; RAOM: recurring acute otitis media episodes; AH: adenoid hypertrophy.

Table 3. Articles investigating specifically clinical association between allergy and otitis media with effusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author Year</th>
<th>Type of article</th>
<th>N (mean age)</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Relevant results</th>
<th>Association. (Level of evidence)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al. 2016 (32)</td>
<td>Metanalysis</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Metanalysis cross-sectional and case-controlled studies</td>
<td>OME and AR are prevalent in pre-school and school-aged children. The study suggests allergy is a risk factor for OME.</td>
<td>Yes (Level I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gultekin et al. 2004 (33)</td>
<td>Epidemiologic study</td>
<td>N=1800 school-children</td>
<td>To determine the impact of environmental, epidemiologic and familial factors in the development of persistent OME.</td>
<td>Allergy history was a statistically significant factor among children with OME compared to normal children.</td>
<td>Yes (Level IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreiner-Moller 2012 (34)</td>
<td>Cohort study.</td>
<td>N= 291 children (6yr)</td>
<td>To investigate the association between atopic disease and OME.</td>
<td>OME was diagnosed in 39% of the cohort and was associated with AR (OR = 3.36, CI = 1.26-8.96, P = 0.02).</td>
<td>Yes (Level III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwon et al. 2013 (35)</td>
<td>Case-control study</td>
<td>N=370 children with OME and 100 controls</td>
<td>To assess the relationship between OME and allergic diseases</td>
<td>The incidence of AR alone was significantly higher in children with OME (33.8%) than without OME (16.0%);</td>
<td>Yes (Level III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author et al. Year</td>
<td>Type of article</td>
<td>N (mean age)</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Relevant results</td>
<td>Association, (Level of evidence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharifian et al. 2019 (36)</td>
<td>Case-control study</td>
<td>N=37 OME children and 52 controls (2-15 years)</td>
<td>To investigate immunoglobulin E (IgE) mediated hypersensitivity as a causative factor in the development of OME.</td>
<td>Allergic rhinitis prevalence was notably higher among OME patients than in the control group; there were no differences in eosinophil counts, serum IgE concentrations and nasal smear eosinophils in the two groups.</td>
<td>Yes (Level III) no correlation with biomarkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roditi et al. 2015 (37)</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis</td>
<td>N=133 (&lt;18 years)</td>
<td>To determine whether there is a significant relationship between allergic rhinitis and OME and whether age is an effect modifier of any such association</td>
<td>Age is an effect modifier of the association between allergic rhinitis and OME; a significant relationship is observed in children 6 years of age and older, whereas there is no significant association in younger children.</td>
<td>Yes (Level IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torretta et al. 2018 (39)</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>N=153 (59.4±16.4months)</td>
<td>A retrospective chart review evaluating the effect of allergy in the development of recurrent AOM with or without OME.</td>
<td>Higher prevalence of allergy or atopy in children with RAOM with OME than in those without OME.</td>
<td>Yes (Level IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Type of article</td>
<td>N (mean age)</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Relevant results</td>
<td>Association. (Level of evidence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaranta et al. 2013 (40)</td>
<td>Epidemiologic study</td>
<td>N=81 children, Mean age 6.9 yr.</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of the different forms of chronic rhinitis in the pathogenesis of OME in children with AH.</td>
<td>70% of children had a chronic rhinitis with AH and 60% of them had OME. Nasal cytology together with Skin prick test showed that AR was rarely present in this group.</td>
<td>Yes (Level IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norhafizh et al. 2020 (41)</td>
<td>Prospective cross-sectional study</td>
<td>N=130 children (4-18 years)</td>
<td>To determine the prevalence of allergic rhinitis in children with persistent OME</td>
<td>High prevalence of allergic rhinitis in children with persistent OME (80.3%); dust mites was the most common allergen. There was a statistically significant improvement of the hearing threshold after anti-allergy therapy.</td>
<td>Yes (Level II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Songu et al. 2020 (42)</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>N=539 patients undergoing surgery for AH</td>
<td>To determine the most important risk factors in the development of OME in children with adenoid hypertrophy.</td>
<td>Atopy and allergic rhinitis resulted as main risk factors for OME.</td>
<td>Yes (Level III)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AR: allergic rhinitis; OM: otitis media; AOM: acute otitis media; OME: otitis media with effusion; RAOM: recurring acute otitis media episodes; AH: adenoid hypertrophy.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Flowchart of article search and selection.

Figure 2: Practical algorithm based on different phenotypes of otitis in children.

Abbreviations: OME: otitis media with effusion; AOM: acute otitis media; RAOM: recurrent acute otitis media; CSOM: chronic suppurative otitis media.
Records identified about experimental studies (n=1206) → Records identified about clinical studies (n=1878)

Records after duplicates removal n=3010 → Records excluded due to time of publication n=925

Records screened n=2085 → Records excluded due to type of article n=244

Records screened n=1841 → Records excluded for irrelevant content n=1781

full text articles assessed for eligibility n=60 → 40 studies excluded for wrong patients population and study design

Studies included in qualitative analysis. n= 20
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Accurate phenotyping of otitis is recommended
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Consider allergy as soon as possible. If association is confirmed, anti allergy treatment is strongly recommended.

No evidence linking allergy to these phenotypes. Future studies are required to support a link between allergy and these phenotypes.