GAIT SPEED AND HOSPITAL REINTERNATION AFTER CORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFTING
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Abstract

Introduction: Gait speed can be applied, predicting outcomes associated with hospital stay such as length of stay and/or discharge. Despite these studies that correlate gait speed with the aforementioned outcomes, when we deal with cardiac surgery there is a gap. Objective: Verify whether gait speed is associated with the risk of hospital readmission in the postoperative period of coronary artery bypass grafting. Methodology: This is a prospective cohort study. In the preoperative period, all patients underwent a 10-meter gait speed test and repeated at hospital discharge. After the repetition of the gait speed test, patients were divided into two groups: slow and non-slow. Those who were not discharged walked less than 1.0 m/s occupied the slow group and those who were above 1.0 m/s were classified as not slow. Patients were followed for six months to observe the primary outcome, which was the need for hospital readmission. Results: The 6 months rate of readmission was 58% (14/24; 95% CI 49% to 80%) among slow walkers and 17% (6/36; 95% CI 13% to 46%) among non-slow walkers (p = 0.002). In univariate analysis, gait speed, treated as a continuous variable, was associated with the primary outcome (HR 0.6; 95% CI 0.2 to 0.9), while age, gender, BMI, MV and CPB time were not. In the multivariate model including age, gender, BMI, MV and CPB time, gait speed remained the only variable associated with readmission (multivariate HR 0.5, 95% CI 0.1 to 0.7, p = 0.02). Conclusion: Our data suggest that gait speed is associated with hospital readmission in patients undergoing to coronary artery bypass grafting.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, heart disease has increased considerably, causing a large number of surgical procedures that aim to increase patient survival¹. There are several risks and complications of this form of treatment that can interfere in pulmonary capacity and physical performance, reducing the functionality of patients. The decrease in daily activities of life due to prolonged hospitalization can also lead to several neuromuscular, pulmonary, functional and quality of life problems².

Patients after cardiac surgery tend to have muscle weakness due to lack of movement, loss of physical conditioning, inflammation, use of drugs such as corticosteroids, muscle relaxants, neuromuscular blockers, antibiotics and in the presence of associated neuromuscular syndromes³. This weakness may be associated with decreased walking speed in the postoperative period, with this variable being associated with worse outcomes such as mortality and hospital readmission in other profiles such as hypercapnic heart and respiratory failure⁴,⁵.

Gait speed can be assessed using the 10-meter test⁶. This test can be applied preoperatively, predicting outcomes associated with hospital stay such as length of stay and/or discharge⁷,⁸. Changes in the organism with the surgical procedure cause a decline in the patient’s functional activities in the postoperative phase,
making walking a complex skill, as gait speed tends to decrease due to the physiological changes that occur such as the reduction of muscle strength. Despite these studies that correlate gait speed with the aforementioned outcomes, when we deal with cardiac surgery there is a gap. This answer can be useful for making decisions and directing appropriate intervention to minimize these adverse results. The aim of this study was to verify whether gait speed is associated with the risk of hospital readmission in the postoperative period of coronary artery bypass grafting.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study design**

This is a prospective cohort study that was conducted between January 2018 and July 2019, in patients undergoing to CABG at the Instituto Nobre de Cardiologia / Santa Casa de Misericórdia, in the city of Feira de Santana (BA). The research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Faculdade Nobre, under number 796,580 and the patients were included after signing the informed consent form.

**Eligibility criteria**

Patients aged over 18 years, of both sexes, submitted to coronary artery bypass graft surgery who used cardiopulmonary bypass and median sternotomy were included. Individuals with some physical limitation, such as sequelae of stroke and lower limb amputation, uncontrolled arrhythmias, changes in blood pressure at the time of the test (SBP < 80 or > 160 mmHg), angina, electrocardiographic changes were excluded.

**Study protocol**

In the preoperative period, all patients included in the survey underwent a 10-meter walk test. The following day, everyone underwent a surgical procedure, was referred to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and, being discharged, was directed to the inpatient unit. In all these moments, they received routine care from the unit without any influence from the researchers. All patients were assisted by the physiotherapist on duty and performed breathing exercises, orthostasis training on the first postoperative day, sitting in the chair and ambulation on the second postoperative day when there was no clinical contraindication (use of vasoactive drugs, uncontrolled pain or infectious changes). On the day of hospital discharge, patients repeated the 10-meter test.

After the repetition of the gait speed test, patients were divided into two groups: slow and non-slow. The cutoff point used was 1.0 m / s based on the study by Odonkor et al. Those who were not discharged walked less than 1.0 m / s occupied the slow group and those who were above 1.0 m / s were classified as not slow. Patients were followed for six months to observe the primary outcome, which was the need for hospital readmission. There was a comparison between the groups of the initial, final butch speed, delta velocity, cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), mechanical ventilation (MV), Intensive Care Unit (ICU) time and hospital stay. It is worth mentioning that readmission in any hospital was counted for this study, patients were contacted by telephone seeking this type of information.

**Measuring instruments**

The 10-meter speed test took place in a corridor with no movement of people. A space of 14 meters was used, the first two for acceleration and the last two for deceleration. Therefore, the 10 meters were used to assess speed. The test was performed three times and an average of the three values was calculated. Before and after each repetition, the patients had their vital signs checked.

For the test, a chronometer was also used, evaluating the time the patient needed to walk for 10 meters and expressed in meters/second (Figure 1). All repetitions were performed by a single examiner.

**Statistical analysis**

We then adjusted the association of gait speed with the primary outcome by using a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model adjusting for age, BMI and sex, and additionally adjusting for clinically relevant
covariates (MV and CPB time). All tests for statistical significance were two-tailed with an alpha level of 0.05. Analyses were conducted using SPS version 20.0 and R version 2.14.113.

RESULTS

During the research period 71 patients were admitted, and fifteen were excluded due to physical limitations. Thus, 56 patients were evaluated, of these 38 (63%) were male, mean age 61 ± 9 years, with an average BMI of 27 ± 5 km/m², with the most prevalent comorbidity being sedentary with 19 (63%). The other data are shown in table 1.

The average walking speed in the slow group was 0.6 m/s, while in the non-slow group it was 1.2 m/s. Twenty patients (40%) were readmitted to the hospital during the observation period of 6 months. Of those, 14 (70%) were slow walkers, as defined by a gait speed of less than 1.0 m/s, and 6 (30%) were non-slow walkers. The 6 months rate of readmission was 58% (14/24; 95% CI 49% to 80%) among slow walkers and 17% (6/36; 95% CI 13% to 46%) among non-slow walkers (p = 0.002). In univariate analysis, gait speed, treated as a continuous variable, was associated with the primary outcome (HR 0.6; 95% CI 0.2 to 0.9), while age, gender, BMI, MV and CPB time were not (table 2). In the multivariate model including age, gender, BMI, MV and CPB time, gait speed remained the only variable associated with readmission (multivariate HR: 0.5, 95% CI 0.1 to 0.7 p=0.02; table 2).

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of this prospective cohort study, gait speed was associated with hospital readmission of patients undergoing to coronary artery bypass grafting. Walking more slowly (<1 m/s) was associated with hospital readmission, but variables such as age, male gender, BMI, MV and CPB team were not related to the outcome in six months.

Previous studies suggest that gait speed is an independent variable for mortality and hospital readmission in survivors of acute hypercapnic respiratory failure and heart failure. Being an extremely simple and feasible test for the application of the practice, we suggest its adoption by hospital services aiming at reducing hospital readmissions, thus minimizing costs, improving the survival and quality of life of these patients.

Afilalo et al. demonstrated that patients with low gait speed in the preoperative period have a higher rate of morbidity and mortality during the ICU stay. They also found that factors such as female gender and diabetics made up the slow speed group. In our study, we did not find any difference regarding gender, age or comorbidities, which may be associated with a smaller sample size in the present study. This result only reinforces the need to stratify patients with a higher risk of complications or hospital readmission, and gait speed is a useful tool.

In this rationale Sawatzky et al. found that the application of a program in the preoperative period can increase gait speed, with this effect remaining for up to three months after the procedure. On the other hand, Cerqueira et al. did not demonstrate any impact when applied to neuromuscular electrical stimulation in the postoperative period.

A possible explanation for reducing gait speed and increasing the risk of hospital readmission is fragility. It is considered a multidimensional syndrome resulting from the reduction of physiological reserves and an increase in physical and functional decline when exposed to external stressors. After cardiac surgery, factors such as cardiopulmonary bypass, surgical incision, pleurotomy and duration of mechanical ventilation generate pulmonary dysfunction and decrease in physiological reserves.

Bed restriction time and contributes to physical and functional decline. Our group demonstrated that after myocardial revascularization it generates a decrease in functional capacity, observed through the six-minute walk test. We found that performing inspiratory muscle training helps to minimize this decline and improve clinical outcomes such as length of hospital stay.
Lal et al.\textsuperscript{22} demonstrated that the frailty assessed using the Edmonton scale is a predictor for the length of hospital stay and risk of readmission up to twelve months in elderly patients undergoing cardiac surgery. The combination of frailty assessment with gait speed will give the therapist fundamental information for the organization of an intervention protocol.

In Castro et al.\textsuperscript{23}, it was evidenced that the greater distance covered in the 6MWT was associated with a shorter hospital stay, as a quick recovery after the surgical procedure allows walking autonomy that allows the transfer of this patient earlier for rehabilitation, and consequently reduce hospitalization time. In the study by Aikawa et al.\textsuperscript{24}, they say that immediate post-surgical rehabilitation can be a means that enables the more agile development and recovery of these patients and found in the 6MWT that there was a significant increase in the distance covered and gait speed of these patients. Thus, comparing the studies presented, it can be understood that an early rehabilitation of patients after CABG is linked to a shorter hospital stay, consequently generating an improvement in gait speed when evaluated by the 6MWT.

According to Oliveira et al.\textsuperscript{25}, it was evaluated that the CPB time has minimal influence on the patient’s ability to walk, the research shows that despite the occurrence of muscle inefficiency, generating a loss of postoperative strength, it does not interfere in the gait of patients themselves. Reinforcing with the results of this study, we analyzed that the CPB time had no correlation with the patients’ gait, as it statistically had no influence.

Borges et al.\textsuperscript{26} showed that patients undergoing cardiac surgery suffer adverse risks during hospitalization in the postoperative period, where they become more fragile due to some physiological changes that occur during the intervention, such as: motor disabilities and physical limitations that can be prolonged, and consequently, which may lead to future readmissions, the author also identified a vicious cycle of slow gait after surgery. The results of this study were similar to ours, in which it showed that patients undergoing CABG showed a decrease in gait speed due to several associated and already mentioned factors, and that it may possibly be associated with the risk of a hospital readmission.

The limitations of this study include the sample calculation, limiting the extent of its findings, absence of information on pulmonary function, which may have an influence on the performance of the gait speed test and the lack of a spirometric test.

CONCLUSION

Our data suggest that gait speed is associated with hospital readmission in patients undergoing to coronary artery bypass grafting.
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Figure 1. 10-meter speed test

Table 1. Clinical, surgical and functional data of the studied patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Slow Walk (n = 20)</th>
<th>Non-Slow Walk (n = 36)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Male Female</td>
<td>12 (60%) 8 (33%)</td>
<td>22 (61%) 14 (39%)</td>
<td>0.72\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60 ± 9</td>
<td>62 ± 10</td>
<td>0.53\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
<td>0.32\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>12 (60%) 11 (50%) 11</td>
<td>22 (61%) 15 (42%) 20</td>
<td>0.69\textsuperscript{a} 0.43\textsuperscript{a} 0.51\textsuperscript{a} 0.41\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>(50%) 14 (70%) 8</td>
<td>(56%) 22 (61%) 14</td>
<td>0.76\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>(40%)</td>
<td>(39%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary lifestyle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>4 (20%) 4 (20%) 8</td>
<td>7 (19%) 7 (19%) 17</td>
<td>0.76\textsuperscript{a} 0.64\textsuperscript{a} 0.53 \textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40%) 4 (20%)</td>
<td>(48%) 5 (14%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32 \textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA I II III IV</td>
<td>88 ± 20</td>
<td>90 ± 22</td>
<td>0.45\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB time (min)</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>6 ± 3</td>
<td>0.58\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV time (hours)</td>
<td>42 ± 7</td>
<td>45 ± 4</td>
<td>0.23\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.66\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}. Chi-square; \textsuperscript{b}. Independent Student’s T test; BMI - Body Mass Index; SAH - Systemic Arterial Hypertension; AMI - Acute Myocardial Infarction; NYHA - New York Heart Association; CPB - cardiopulmonary bypass; MV - Mechanical ventilation.

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate associations between predictive variables and readmission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Univariate HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6
### Table 2. Univariate and multivariate associations between predictive variables and readmission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gait speed</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2 to 0.9)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1 to 0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7 to 1.6)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.7 to 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9 to 1.3)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV time</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8 to 1.5)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI – Body Mass Index; MV – Mechanical Ventilation; CPB – Cardiopulmonary bypass.