

Innate immunity during SARS-CoV-2: evasion strategies and activation trigger hypoxia and vascular damage

Sandra Amor^{1,2}, Laura Fernandez Blanco², and David Baker³

¹Amsterdam UMC

²VU medisch centrum School of Medical Sciences

³Queen Mary University of London

August 4, 2020

Abstract

Innate immune sensing of viral molecular patterns is essential for development of antiviral responses. Like many viruses SARS-CoV-2 has evolved strategies to circumvent innate immune detection including low CpG levels in the genome, glycosylation to shield essential elements including the receptor binding domain, RNA shielding and generation of viral proteins that actively impede anti-viral interferon responses. Together these strategies allow widespread infection and increased viral load. Despite the efforts of immune subversion SARS-CoV-2 infection does activate innate immune pathways inducing a robust type I/III interferon response, production of proinflammatory cytokines, and recruitment of neutrophils and myeloid cells. This may induce hyperinflammation or alternatively, effectively recruit adaptive immune responses that help clear the infection and prevent reinfection. The dysregulation of the renin-angiotensin system due to downregulation of angiotensin converting enzyme 2, the receptor for SARS-CoV-2, together with the activation of type I/III interferon response, and inflammasome response converge to promote free radical production and oxidative stress. This exacerbates tissue damage in the respiratory system but also leads to widespread activation of coagulation pathways leading to thrombosis. Here, we review the current knowledge of the role of the innate immune response following SARS-CoV-2 infection, much of which is based on the knowledge from SARS-CoV and other coronaviruses. Understanding how the virus subverts the initial immune response and how an aberrant innate immune response contributes to the respiratory and vascular damage in COVID-19 may help explain factors that contribute to the variety of clinical manifestations and outcome of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Abbreviations

ACE2 - angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; AIM - absent in melanoma; ALR—; ARDS - Acute respiratory distress syndrome; BST - bone marrow stromal antigen 2; CHOP - C/EBP homologous protein; CNS - Central nervous system; COVID-19 - coronavirus disease 2019; DAMPs - damage-associated molecular patterns; DPP4 - dipeptidyl peptidase 4; DMV - double membrane vesicles; DUB - deubiquitinating; E - envelope; ER - endoplasmic reticulum; ERGIC - ER Golgi intermediate compartment; HCoV - human coronaviruses; HMGB1 - high mobility group box 1; IFN - interferon; IFIT - interferon-induced proteins with tetratricopeptide repeats; IKK - inhibitor- α B kinase; MAC - membrane attack complex; MBL-mannose binding protein; IRF-interferon-regulatory factor; ISG - interferon stimulated genes; M - membrane; MDA5-melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5; MERS-CoV - Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; MAV - mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein; N - nucleocapsid; NET - neutrophil extracellular trap; NK α B-nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; NLR - nod like receptor; Nod-like receptor family, pyrin domain-containing 3 - NLRP3; ORF - open reading frame; PAMPs pathogen-associated molecular patterns; PERK - PRKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; PLPro - papain like protease; PPR - pattern recognition receptors; RAGE - receptor for advanced glycation end products; RBD - receptor-binding domain; RIG-1 - retinoic acid-inducible gene I; RLR - retinoic acid-like receptors; RTC - replicase-

transcriptase complex (RTC); SARS-CoV - Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; SARS-CoV-2 - severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, STAT - signal transducer and activator of transcription; STING - stimulator of interferon genes; TBK-1 - TANK-binding kinase-1; TLR - Toll-like receptors; UPR - unfolded protein response; URTI - upper respiratory tract infection; vWF - von Willebrand factor ZAP - zinc finger antiviral protein.

Introduction

The emergence in Wuhan China of a novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) triggered an epidemic of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). As of August 3rd2020, the confirmed 18,238,195 cases including 692,872 deaths have been reported worldwide (worldometers.info/coronavirus). At the end of January 2020, the WHO declared COVID-19 a pandemic and a global health emergency.

The family *Coronaviridae* is subdivided into *Torovirinae* and *Coronavirinae* that contains the genera *Alphacoronavirus*, *Betacoronavirus*, *Gammacoronavirus*, and *Deltacoronavirus*. The human coronaviruses (HCoV) belong to the α -CoV (HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63) and beta-CoV (Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus -MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1) [Table 1;(1–11)]. In comparison with most HCoVs that cause mild upper respiratory tract infections, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 induce severe pneumonia (12). The clinical presentation of COVID-19 ranges from mild ‘flu-like’ symptoms to severe respiratory failure and death although the majority of SARS-CoV-2 infections (80%) are asymptomatic. Common symptoms include fever, cough, fatigue, shortness of breath, headache and pneumonia. In addition, some patients develop gastrointestinal problems (13), neurological manifestations, including headache, dizziness, hyposmia and hypogeusia. Age and comorbidities i.e., hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes, obesity and cardiovascular disease predispose to more severe manifestations including severe respiratory failure, septic shock, coagulation dysfunction, strokes, cardiovascular problems (14) and neurological manifestations (15). Although the origin and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is unclear genome sequencing reveals marked similarities with SARS-CoV (16). However, in comparison, SARS-CoV-2 spreads more quickly than SARS-CoV, likely due to the 10-20% fold higher in infectivity and transmissibility during the initial non-symptomatic period (4-5 days). In some cases, transmission been reported after development of initial symptoms despite the presence of antibodies (17) indicating that both neutralising antibodies and T cell responses are necessary to prevent reinfection and for protection (18). This is further supported by studies showing PD1⁺CD57⁺ T cell exhaustion, depletion or inactivation is associated with viral persistence in severe cases (19).

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense RNA (29,903 nucleotides) enveloped virus of 60 to 140 nm diameter. The envelope is studded with homotrimers spike proteins of 8-12 nm length that are heavily decorated with N-glycans [figure 1]. Similar to other HCoVs, SARS-CoV-2 genome encodes for four structural proteins: the spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E) and the nucleocapsid (N) protein. The 5' end of the genome is comprised of ORF_a/ab encoding 2 large polyproteins including the replicase protein crucial for self-generation of the non-structural proteins (nsp) while ORFs 2-10 encode the viral structural proteins - spike, envelope, membrane and nucleocapsid, and the accessory proteins [figure 1b]. Differences between the structural, non-structural and accessory proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses help to explain the high infectivity rate and the range of pathologies observed (16,20). While knowledge of SARS-CoV2 is rapidly emerging parallels with SARS-CoV, as well as ongoing sequencing data and antigenic typing will be crucial to understand the dynamics of the pandemic. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry is similar to SARS-CoV being mediated by the binding of the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the S1 protein, to the angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2), although other receptors such as CD147 and CD-SIGN have been reported (7,8) [Table 1]. Docking of the RBD to the receptor and the action of furin, a serine protease that separates the S1 and S2 proteins and exposes a second binding domain on S2 that is essential for membrane fusion. Binding of the S protein to ACE-2 requires priming by cell proteases - primarily TMPRSS2, however, TMPRSS2 is expressed by a subset of ACE2⁺ cells supporting the notion that the virus likely uses other host enzymes such as TMPRSS4, lysosomal cathepsins and neuropilin-1 (21) to augment the impact of furin and expose the RDB thus promoting SARS-CoV-2 entry (3). The structural proteins M, E and N are crucial for stability

of the viral genome, viral replication. The nsp and accessory proteins encoded by 10 open reading frames (ORFs) have differing functions during viral replication [Table 2] and many also act to deviate the innate immune response thus augmenting viral replication and spread. The degree to which the innate immune system is suppressed and evaded clearly determines the viral load and the host's outcome to infection, the clinical symptoms and the severity of the disease.

Evading Pattern Recognition Receptors

Following infection viral RNA is sensed by several classes of pattern recognition receptors (PPRs). The retinoic acid-like receptors (RLRs) include retinoid inducible gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), Toll-like receptors (TLR) – classically 3, 7 and 8 that trigger IFN pathways and cytokines production [figure 2]. Once engaged these PPRs act downstream via the kinases TANK-binding kinase-1 (TBK1) and inhibitor- κ B kinases (IKKs). Such triggering leads to activation of the transcription factors interferon-regulatory factor-3 (IRF3) and 7 (IRF7), and nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF κ B). These subsequently induce expression of type I IFNs (IFN α/β) and interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) [figure 2] many of which have potent antiviral activities, as well as other proinflammatory mediators e.g., cytokines, chemokines and antimicrobial peptides that are essential to initiate the host innate and adaptive immune response. In addition, the absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2)-like receptors and NOD-like receptors (NLRs) trigger the inflammasome and IL-1 β and IL-18 production leading to pyroptosis [figure 2]. Other PPRs and downstream factors relevant to SARS-CoV infection subversion of innate immune responses include C-type lectins and the stimulator of interferon genes (STING). While the cGas/STING pathway is commonly associated with sensing cytosolic DNA (22), it is also activated following binding of enveloped viruses to host cells, and cytosolic viral RNA (23,24). Similar to TLRs and RLR, downstream STING engages TBK1 to active IRF3 and/or NF κ B inducing type I IFN and/or proinflammatory cytokines [figure 2].

Coronaviruses have evolved several strategies to escape such innate immune recognition allowing widespread replication. Such evasion includes evolution of low genomic CpG, RNA shielding, masking of potential key antigenic epitopes as well as inhibition of steps in the interferon type I/III pathways. Generally, the zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP) specifically binds to and degrades CpG motifs in genomes of RNA viruses. In comparison with other viruses SARS-CoV-2 has evolved the most extreme CpG deficiency of all beta-coronavirus [Table 2, (25)] thereby evading ZAP action. This suggests that SARS-CoV-2 may have evolved under selective pressure in either a new host or tissues expressing high levels of ZAP (25). Another strategy to protect mRNA used by the host and many viruses is the processing of capping the 5' end. For both host and virus RNA capping limits degradation and importantly blocks recognition by cytosolic PPRs. Like many RNA viruses SARS-CoV-2 has exploited several mechanisms to protect the 5' ends by a cap structure of RNA generated during replication. While some viruses snatch the caps from host RNA, SARS-CoV-2, like other coronaviruses uses its own capping machinery composed of nsp10, nsp13 and the dedicated enzyme nsp16 to generate 2'-O-methyltransferase caps [suppl figure 1, (26)]. SARS-CoV-2 yields RNA caps indistinguishable from cellular mRNAs caps thereby evading detection by MDA5, and IFIT activity that target RNA for degradation [figure 2]. The importance of such capping and viral replication is supported by studies of SARS-CoV in mice lacking 2'-O-MTase activity underscoring that MDA5 and the IFIT family are critical for IFN signalling (27). While counter-intuitive, SARS-CoV uses its endoribonuclease (nsp15) to cleave its own viral RNA in the cytosol that would otherwise acts as PAMPs thus evading MDA5, protein kinase R (PKR), and OAS/RNase L (28,29). Yet another strategy used by SARS-CoV-2 to protect the viral RNA and proteins generated during replication [suppl figure 1] is the use of replicase-transcriptase complex (RTC) or replication organelle, formed of double-membrane vesicles (30). The RTCs link with the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) and Golgi apparatus shielding the virus during maturation [suppl figure 1]. Another immune evasion strategy utilized by coronaviruses is the use glycans, and likely other post translational modifications to mask immunogenic viral protein epitopes [figure 1C and D]. The envelope of SARS-CoV-2 is studded with glycoprotein spikes comprised of homotrimers spike proteins of 8-12 nm length that are heavily decorated with glycans. Each spike protein comprises of two subunits (S1 and S2) that each bear 22 glycan groups (31). Cell entry of the highly glycosylated S protein of SARS-CoV is promoted by

DC-SIGN possibly augmenting virus uptake or aiding capture and transmission of SARS-CoV by DCs and macrophages (3,7,8). Similar to the spike protein the other structural, non-structural and accessory proteins are also modified by glycosylation, palmitoylation, phosphorylation, SUMOylation and ADP-ribosylation (32). Conversely, some viral proteins e.g. nsp3, possess deubiquitinating (DUB) and deISGylation activity thereby interfering with host functions targetting those that are critical for signalling transduction of innate immunity (33). Insertion of the spike protein into cell membranes during replication is a key step for virus budding. Whilst this takes place in the RTC [suppl figure 1], receptor-bound spike proteins interact with TMPRSS2 expressed on the uninfected cell surface mediate fusion between infected and uninfected cells promoting the formation of syncytia allowing the virus to spread to adjacent uninfected cells while evading detection by the immune response (32).

SARS-CoV-2 subversion of innate immune responses

In addition to strategies to evade PPR recognition SARS-CoV-2 has also evolved strategies to inhibit steps in the pathway leading to type I/III IFN production. This may be especially relevant in the lungs where type IFN III (λ) is considered to be more effective in controlling viral infections, and critically affected in COVID-19. Knowledge arising from the study of other coronaviruses, especially SARS-CoV and MERS has shown that many of the non-structural, structural and accessory proteins interfere with elements of the IFN pathway [Table 2, figure 2] essential for the development of effective immunity. IFN antagonism has been attributed to several of the structural, non-structural and accessory proteins that interfere with stimulator of interferon genes (STING)-TRAF3-TBK1 complex thereby blocking STING/TBK1/IKK ϵ -induced type I IFN production, STAT-1/2 translocation to the nucleus, IRF3, NF κ B signalling as well as interfering with the actions of the ISG products including IFITs [Table 2]. As examples, nsp1, 4 and 6, and ORF6 interfere with STAT-1/2 signalling (34–36,39,53) while nsp 10, 13 and 16 cap viral RNA (26,45–47,49) prevent recognition by RIG-I, MDA5 and IFITs. Nsp3 also acts by DUB proteins thereby preventing their activity such as RIG-I and other steps in the IFN pathways for which ubiquitination is essential. CoV PLPro (nsp 3) also interrupts the stimulator of interferon genes STING.TRAF3.TBK1 complex thereby blocking STING/TBK1/IKK ϵ -type I IFN production (40). As well as subversion of the IFN pathway, SARS-CoV ORF7a (also present in SARS-CoV-2) blocks the activity of tetherin also known as bone marrow stromal antigen 2 (BST2) (60). BST2 acts by tethering budding viruses to the cell membrane thus preventing its release from the cells, thus ORF7a removes this inhibition aiding the release of mature virions.

In summary, emerging evidence from SARS-CoV-2, and comparison with other SARS-Cov and MERS, reveals many strategies used to evade the innate immune response and subvert the interferon pathway. While this facilitates widespread viral replication increasing the viral load also promotes the viral cytopathic effects leading to tissue damage described below likely leads to exacerbation and hyperinflammation of the innate immune response once triggered.

Triggering Innate Immunity

Despite immune evasion and subverting innate immune responses during early infection SARS-CoV-2 effectively initiates immune signalling pathways. This is likely due to the increased viral load that exponentially produces viral RNA and viral proteins (pathogen associated molecular patterns – PAMPS), also induces cell damage that release damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPS) both of which trigger innate immune pathways.

Like SARS-CoV and NL63, SARS-CoV-2 uses the angiotensin (Ang)-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) as a cell receptor [Table 1] expressed on epithelia in renal, cardiovascular and gastrointestinal tract tissues, testes and on pneumocytes and vascular endothelia (66), and is increased in diabetes possibly explaining the increased susceptibility in this population. ACE2 regulates the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) by balancing the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin 1–9 and angiotensin II to angiotensin 1–7. Binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 leads to endosome formation reducing ACE2 expression on the cell surface (51) [figures 3 and 4] pushes the RAS system to a pro-inflammatory mode triggering production of reactive oxygen species, fibrosis, collagen deposition and a proinflammatory environment including IL-6 and IL-8 production by macrophages

and recruitment of neutrophils [figure 4]. Thus, binding and entry of SARS-CoV-2 via the ACE2 is likely to be the first step in a line of augmented and detrimental immune responses in COVID-19, that involves complement activation, innate immune activation via PAMPS and DAMPS, inflammasome activation and pyroptosis, NK cell activation, hyperactivation of macrophages, neutrophils and innate T cells and induction of a cytokine storm as discussed below.

Complement

SARS-CoV-2 is heavily decorated with glycans [figure 1] that recognised by DC-SIGN and other lectins that facilitate viral uptake by dendritic cells. Glycans also activate the lectin complement pathway following binding of mannose binding protein (MBP) to SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins expressed on infected cells [figures 1 and 2]. Pathology studies and transcriptional profiles of tissues from COVID-19 cases reveal robust activation of the complement system with deposition of MBL, C4d, C3 and C5b-9 forming the membrane attack complex (MAC), in alveolar and epithelial cells (67,68) [figure 4]. In addition, C4d and C5b-9 deposits in lung and skin microvasculature co-localised with spike glycoproteins indicates systemic complement activation supporting the role of complement in tissue damage (67). Importantly, activation of the lectin, as well as the classical pathway following antibody binding to viral proteins, likely contributes to cell damage [figure 4, (69)] by either direct complement mediated lysis or via antibody dependent cell mediated cytotoxicity. Of relevance to the coagulation dysfunction, thrombosis and vascular damage observed following SARS-CoV-2 infection is that complement components induce secretion of von Willebrand factor (70) but also promotes monocyte and neutrophil recruitment as well as stimulates NET formation (71) that in turn perpetuates complement activation [figure 4]. Complement may thus contribute to widespread tissue damage in SARS-CoV2 infected cases. The pathogenic role of complement in disease is supported by findings in mice. For example, mice deficient in C3 had similar viral load as wildtype mice but lacked the overt pathology with fewer neutrophils and macrophages in the lung (72). Thus, while complement activation is not required for control of virus infection it likely plays a key role in the tissue damage.

PAMPS and DAMPS mediate innate immune signalling

Infected pneumocytes and other permissible cells undergo cell damage and cell death releasing virally-associated molecules so-called ‘pathogen associated molecular patterns’ (PAMPS). In addition, intracellular components released due to damage so-called ‘damage or danger associated molecular patterns’ (DAMPS) include ATP, oxidized lipids, heat shock proteins and other components associated with regulated cell death programmes including apoptosis, autophagy, necroptosis, and pyroptosis [figure 3 and 4]. Thus, both DAMPS and PAMP contribute to innate immune activation in COVID-19.

RNA viruses trigger several TLRs including TLR7/8 and TLR3, and elegant molecular *in silico* docking studies show that the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 can bind to TLR1, TLR4, and TLR6 (73) (figure 3) whereas *in vitro* the SARS-CoV spike protein triggers NF κ B activation and IL-8 production via TLR2 signalling in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (74). In mice in which specific points in the TLR pathway were deleted i.e. TLR3^{-/-}, TLR4^{-/-}, and TRAM^{-/-}, animals were more susceptible to SARS-CoV infection although the clinical severity of disease was dramatically reduced. This was in direct contrast to deficiency in TRIF, the TLR adaptor protein [figure 3] in which TRIF^{-/-} mice developed severe disease, exacerbated influx of macrophages and neutrophils, and lung pathology indicative of COVID-19 pathology. Thus, a balanced response to infection via TLR3 pathway is essential to trigger a protective response to SARS-CoV (75). This study also supports the idea that in addition PAMPS, immune pathways triggered by DAMPS such as oxidised phospholipids, high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), histones, heat shock proteins and adenosine triphosphate released by damaged cells may contribute to COVID-19 outcome [figures 3 and 4]. In addition to RIG-I, MDA5 and MAVS RNA viruses are also sensed by the stimulator of interferon genes (STING) that is activated by cGAMP when enveloped RNA viruses interact with the host membranes (76). Downstream STING engages TBK1 that activates IRF3 and/or NF κ B inducing type 1 IFN and/or proinflammatory cytokines. That hyperactivation of STING contributes to severe COVID-19 has been hypothesised by Berthilot and Lioti (77) who present several lines of evidence the strongest being that gain of function mutations of STING associated with hyperactivation of type I IFN induces

the disease SAVI (STING-Associated Vasculopathy with onset in Infancy). Affected children with SAVI present with pulmonary inflammation, vasculitis and endothelial-cell dysfunction that mimics many aspects of COVID-19 (78). Furthermore, STING polymorphisms are associated with ageing-related diseases such as obesity and cardiovascular disease possibility explaining the impact of co-morbidities and development of severe COVID-19 (79). Also, in bats in which SARS-CoV-2 may have arisen, STING activation and thus consequently IFN β is blunted (80), likely aiding viral replication and spread as observed in early SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. That DAMPS released due to viral cytotoxicity may contribute to severe COVID-19 is best exemplified by HMGB1 released by damaged and dying cells as well as activated innate immune cells especially in sepsis (81). Depending on its conformation HMGB1 triggers TLR2, TLR4 and TLR9, the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE), and triggering receptor expressed in myeloid cells 1 (TREM-1) [figure 3]. In mice, intratracheal administration of HMGB1 activates mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and NF κ B, inducing proinflammatory cytokines, activating endothelial and recruiting neutrophils in the lung – key pathological features of severe COVID-19 (81,82). HMGB1, and especially the platelet-derived source may play a crucial role in SARS-CoV-2 vascular damage since HMGB1-/- mice display delayed coagulation, reduced thrombus formation and platelet aggregation (83). Furthermore, blocking HMGB1 is beneficial in experimental lung injury and sepsis suggesting therapies targeting HMGB1 might also be beneficial in severe COVID-19 (84).

Inflammasome activation and pyroptosis

Studies of peripheral blood and post-mortem tissues from severe COVID-19 cases reveal high levels of IL-1 β and IL-6, and increased numbers of CD14+IL-1 β monocytes suggesting activation of the Nod-like receptor family, pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome pathway. Activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, essential for effective antiviral immune responses, is elicited by several factors associated with SARS-CoV infection including RAS disbalance, engagement of PPR, TNFR and IFNAR, mitochondrial ROS production, complement components including MAC, as well as SARS-CoV viral proteins such as ORF3a, N and E [figure 3, (55,85)]. As a consequence, NLRP3 interaction with adaptor apoptosis speck-like protein (ASC) recruits and activates procaspase-1 processing pro-IL-1 β , pro-IL-18 to the activate forms [figure 3]. This drives the proapoptotic factor gasdermin D (GSDMD) formation of pores in the cell membrane, i.e. pyroptosis that facilitates the release of proinflammatory cytokines. The pores also aid the release of cellular DAMPS such as HMGB1, and viral PAMPs that further exacerbate inflammation suggesting that targeting the NLRP3 pathway might be beneficial in severe COVID-19 cases.

Hyperinflammation and severe COVID-19

The delayed interferon response, increased viral load and virus dissemination, coupled with the release of DAMPS and PAMPs lead to activation of several innate immune pathways. Following infection, pneumocytes, epithelial and alveolar cells, and infiltrating monocyte-macrophages and neutrophils likely produce the first wave of TNF α , IL-6, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1 α and RANTES production (86,87). Hyperinflammation is likely promoted by comorbidities due to increased ACE2 expression, concurrent bacterial infections, ageing as well as a direct effect of SARS-CoV-2 replication since virus-host interactome studies reveal that SARS-CoV-2 nsp10 regulates the NF κ B repressor factor NKRF, facilitating IL-8 production (88). This is followed by a second wave of cell recruitment including NK cells that produce IFN γ and further recruitment of (alternatively activated) monocytes/macrophages and neutrophils [figure 4] as observed in bronchial lavages, post-mortem tissues and peripheral blood studies (87). NK cells are key players in disease outcome of infection critically balancing the direct response to the virus by eliminating infected cells while also augmenting tissue damage [figure 4]. Likely aided by IFN γ induction by NK cells hyperinflammation in severe COVID-19 is also characterised by recruitment of immature and mature human monocyte-derived DCs that harbour SARS-CoV infection, however, infection is abortive and mature virions are not released. During infection DCs express only low levels of cytokines likely due to innate immune subversion strategies. The sustained activation of infiltrating monocytes and monocyte-derived macrophages (89) observed in severe COVID-19 cases is likely driven by a number of factors including oxidative stress, anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody:antigen complexes, NLRP3 inflammasome activation, and complement activation that converge to

sustain an aberrant hyperinflammatory response, or cytokine storm (90). Following SARS-CoV-2 infection one of the first innate immune cells to infiltrate into the tissues are neutrophils likely recruited by CXCL2 and CXCL8 generated by infected cells (91). While neutrophils do not clear viral particles, they phagocytose apoptotic bodies containing virus and debris releasing proteolytic enzymes, antimicrobial peptides, matrix metalloproteinases and high levels of ROS to inactivate viruses. A key function of neutrophils relevant to the pathology of SARS-CoV2 is the production of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) generated in response to endothelial damage, ROS production, IL-1 β production and virus replication [figure 4, reviewed in (92)]. The formation of NETs by neutrophils are aided by activated platelets associated with damaged endothelial cells that further activate the complement fuelling the coagulation cascade and thrombi formation. While the NETs act to prevent further spread of the virus they trigger platelet activation and bind erythrocytes thereby promoting (micro)thrombi formation [figure 4].

SARS-CoV-2 is a vascular and coagulation disease

While respiratory damage and complications are the major clinical signs of severe COVID-19 many tissues and organs are affected often prior to, or independently of lung pathology for example Kawasaki-like vascular disease in children (93). Clinical, post-mortem studies and experimental animal models of SARS-CoV reveal infection of endothelial cells and the widespread damage of endothelial cells, vascular dysfunction and thrombosis (93,94) that are emerging as a common pathological feature of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The link between SARS-CoV-2 infection, vascular damage and thrombosis is evidenced by high levels of D-dimers in 20-40% critically ill patients likely produced in an attempt to dissolve thrombotic clots. The endothelial cell damage is supported by the finding that endothelial cells express ACE2 and are thus permissible to SARS-CoV-2 infection (93). Thus, infection not only leads to reduced ACE2 in endothelial cells, but also direct viral cytopathic damage and increased vascular permeability [figure 4], although more recent data challenge this view suggesting that pericytes and not endothelial cells are permissible to infection and viral induced damage (94,95). Damage of endothelial cells and pericytes leads to vascular permeability in severe COVID-19 that is likely amplified by activation of complement components widely expressed in tissues post-mortem tissues of COVID-19 cases (67,68). Disruption of the vascular barrier and endothelial cell exposure to IL-1 β , TNF α and ROS increases expression of P-selectin, von Willebrand factor and fibrinogen, and attracting platelets that trigger expression of tissue factor [figure 4]. Together this sequence triggers the coagulation cascade and explains the finding of increased D-dimer and fibrin, abnormal clotting times in severe COVID-19 cases, and widespread disseminated thrombi in post-mortem tissues.

Disease severity, comorbidities and innate immunity

SARS-CoV-2 exploits many strategies to subvert innate immune responses allowing the virus to replicate and disseminate within the host. The extent to which the virus replicates within the host, and the efficacy of the host innate immune response to eradicate the infection and trigger effective adaptive immune responses, but not hyper-responses of innate immunity strongly determines the disease outcome [Table 3]. The severity of infection has been linked to age, smoking, comorbidities such as cancer, immune suppression, autoimmune diseases, inflammatory disease, neurodegenerative diseases, obesity, gender and race (96–105). For example, in a large cohort of 72,314 cases the case fatality ratio for over 80 years was 14.8% versus 2.3% in the total cohort (96). This is likely higher due to inflamm-ageing, an aberrant innate immune response such as lower production of IFN β (97) and increased oxidative stress (98). Likewise, obesity, gender, race, blood groups and comorbidities have all been reported to influence the response to SARS-CoV-2 infection [Table 4; (99–110)] although few studies have fully examined the extent to which subversion and activation of innate immune components contribute to susceptibility in these cases. Understanding the innate immune factors that exacerbate vascular complications will be crucial to control severe disease following SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Funding

This received study received no funding.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Alison Schroeer (Schroeer Scientific Illustration) for advice and assistance concerning the figure production and Dr Roger D. Seheult, (MedCram) for invaluable insights relating to pulmonary medicine and COVID-19. SA wrote the paper, LFB produced the figures 1,2 and the supplementary figure and made the first draft of the paper. DB made the second draft. All authors read and approved the final draft.

Competing Statement Declarations

DB and SA have received compensation for consultancies, presentations and advisory board activities but no companies were involved in the decision to write and submit this manuscript. LFB has nothing to disclose. SA has received consultancy from Novartis and Roche. DB has received compensation for activities related to Canbex therapeutics, InMune Biol, Lundbeck, Japan tobacco, Merck, Novartis.

References

1. Yeager CL, Ashmun RA, Williams RK, Cardellichio CB, Shapiro LH, Look AT, et al. Human aminopeptidase N is a receptor for human coronavirus 229E. *Nature*. 1992;357(6377):420–2.
2. Bertram S, Dijkman R, Habjan M, Heurich A, Gierer S, Glowacka I, et al. TMPRSS2 Activates the Human Coronavirus 229E for Cathepsin-Independent Host Cell Entry and Is Expressed in Viral Target Cells in the Respiratory Epithelium. *J Virol*. 2013 Jun 1;87(11):6150–60.
3. Bonavia A, Arbour N, Yong VW, Talbot PJ. Infection of primary cultures of human neural cells by human coronaviruses 229E and OC43. *J Virol*. 1997 Jan;71(1):800–6.
4. Collins AR. HLA Class I Antigen Serves as a Receptor for Human Coronavirus OC43. *Immunol Invest*. 1993 Jan 7;22(2):95–103.
5. Vlasak R, Luytjes W, Spaan W, Palese P. Human and bovine coronaviruses recognize sialic acid-containing receptors similar to those of influenza C viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 1988 Jun 1;85(12):4526–9.
6. Marzi A, Gramberg T, Simmons G, Möller P, Rennekamp AJ, Krumbiegel M, et al. DC-SIGN and DC-SIGNR Interact with the Glycoprotein of Marburg Virus and the S Protein of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. *J Virol*. 2004 Nov 1;78(21):12090 LP – 12095.
7. Jeffers SA, Tusell SM, Gillim-Ross L, Hemmila EM, Achenbach JE, Babcock GJ, et al. CD209L (L-SIGN) is a receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004 Nov 2;101(44):15748–53.
8. Hofmann H, Pyrc K, Van Der Hoek L, Geier M, Berkhout B, Pöhlmann S. Human coronavirus NL63 employs the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus receptor for cellular entry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005 May 31;102(22):7988–93.
9. Chan CM, Lau SKP, Woo PCY, Tse H, Zheng B-J, Chen L, et al. Identification of Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I C Molecule as an Attachment Factor That Facilitates Coronavirus HKU1 Spike-Mediated Infection. *J Virol*. 2009 Jan 15;83(2):1026–35.
10. Millet JK, Whittaker GR. Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus after two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2014 Oct 21;111(42):15214 LP – 15219.
11. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pöhlmann S. A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike Protein of SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. *Mol Cell*. 2020 May;78(4):779-784.e5.
12. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang H, et al. Clinical and immunologic features in severe and moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019. *J Clin Invest*. 2020 Mar 27.
13. Rokkas T. Gastrointestinal involvement in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Gastroenterol*. 2020.

14. Hendren NS, Drazner MH, Bozkurt B, Cooper LT. Description and Proposed Management of the Acute COVID-19 Cardiovascular Syndrome. *Circulation*. 2020;141(23):1903–14.
15. Ellul MA, Benjamin L, Singh B, Lant S, Michael BD, Easton A, et al. Neurological associations of COVID-19. *Lancet Neurol*. 2020 Jul;
16. Yoshimoto FK. The Proteins of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS CoV-2 or n-COV19), the Cause of COVID-19. *Protein J*. 2020;39(3):198–216.
17. Thevarajan I, Nguyen THO, Koutsakos M, Druce J, Caly L, van de Sandt CE, et al. Breadth of concomitant immune responses prior to patient recovery: a case report of non-severe COVID-19. *Nat Med*. 2020 Apr 16;26(4):453–5.
18. Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, Mateus J, Dan JM, Moderbacher CR, et al. Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals. *Cell*. 2020 Jun;181(7):1489-1501.e15.
19. De Biasi S, Meschiari M, Gibellini L, Bellinazzi C, Borella R, Fidanza L, et al. Marked T cell activation, senescence, exhaustion and skewing towards TH17 in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. *Nat Commun*. 2020 Dec 6;11(1):3434.
20. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet*. 2020 Feb;395(10224):565–74.
21. Daly JL, Simonetti B, Antón-Plágaro C, Kavanagh Williamson M, Shoemark DK, Simón-Gracia L, et al. Neuropilin-1 is a host factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *bioRxiv*. 2020 Jan 1;2020.06.05.134114.
22. Grant OC, Montgomery D, Ito K, Woods RJ. 3D Models of glycosylated SARS-CoV-2 spike protein suggest challenges and opportunities for vaccine development. *bioRxiv*. 2020 Jan 1;2020.04.07.030445.
23. Walls AC, Tortorici MA, Frenz B, Snijder J, Li W, Rey FA, et al. Glycan shield and epitope masking of a coronavirus spike protein observed by cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2016 Oct;23(10):899–905.
24. Liu DX, Fung TS, Chong KK-L, Shukla A, Hilgenfeld R. Accessory proteins of SARS-CoV and other coronaviruses. *Antiviral Res*. 2014 Sep;109:97–109.
25. Xia X. Extreme genomic CpG deficiency in SARS-CoV-2 and evasion of host antiviral defense. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2020 Apr 14;msaa094.
26. Decroly E, Debarnot C, Ferron F, Bouvet M, Coutard B, Imbert I, et al. Crystal Structure and Functional Analysis of the SARS-Coronavirus RNA Cap 2'-O-Methyltransferase nsp10/nsp16 Complex. *Rey FA, editor. PLoS Pathog*. 2011 May 26;7(5):e1002059.
27. Menachery VD, Yount BL, Josset L, Gralinski LE, Scobey T, Agnihothram S, et al. Attenuation and Restoration of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Mutant Lacking 2'-O-Methyltransferase Activity. *J Virol*. 2014 Apr 15;88(8):4251–64.
28. Deng X, Hackbart M, Mettelman RC, O'Brien A, Mielech AM, Yi G, et al. Coronavirus nonstructural protein 15 mediates evasion of dsRNA sensors and limits apoptosis in macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2017 May 23;114(21):E4251–60.
29. Posthuma CC, te Velthuis AJW, Snijder EJ. Nidovirus RNA polymerases: Complex enzymes handling exceptional RNA genomes. *Virus Res*. 2017 Apr;234:58–73.
30. Watanabe Y, Allen JD, Wrapp D, McLellan JS, Crispin M. Site-specific glycan analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 spike. *Science*. 2020 May 4;eabb9983.
31. Fung TS, Liu DX. Post-translational modifications of coronavirus proteins: roles and function. *Future Virol*. 2018 Jun;13(6):405–30.

32. Xia S, Liu M, Wang C, Xu W, Lan Q, Feng S, et al. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 (previously 2019-nCoV) infection by a highly potent pan-coronavirus fusion inhibitor targeting its spike protein that harbors a high capacity to mediate membrane fusion. *Cell Res.* 2020 Apr 30;30(4):343–55.
33. Baez-Santos YM, St. John SE, Mesecar AD. The SARS-coronavirus papain-like protease: Structure, function and inhibition by designed antiviral compounds. *Antiviral Res.* 2015 Mar;115:21–38.
34. Jauregui AR, Savalia D, Lowry VK, Farrell CM, Wathelet MG. Identification of Residues of SARS-CoV nsp1 That Differentially Affect Inhibition of Gene Expression and Antiviral Signaling. Li K, editor. *PLoS One.* 2013 Apr 29;8(4):e62416.
35. Wathelet MG, Orr M, Frieman MB, Baric RS. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Evades Antiviral Signaling: Role of nsp1 and Rational Design of an Attenuated Strain. *J Virol.* 2007 Nov 1;81(21):11620–33.
36. Kamitani W, Narayanan K, Huang C, Lokugamage K, Ikegami T, Ito N, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nsp1 protein suppresses host gene expression by promoting host mRNA degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2006 Aug 22;103(34):12885 LP – 12890.
37. Angelini MM, Akhlaghpour M, Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nonstructural Proteins 3, 4, and 6 Induce Double-Membrane Vesicles. Moscona A, editor. *MBio.* 2013 Aug 13;4(4).
38. Kopecky-Bromberg SA, Martinez-Sobrido L, Frieman M, Baric RA, Palese P. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus open reading frame (ORF) 3b, ORF 6, and nucleocapsid proteins function as interferon antagonists. *J Virol.* 2006/11/15. 2007 Jan;81(2):548–57.
39. Ma Y, Wu L, Shaw N, Gao Y, Wang J, Sun Y, et al. Structural basis and functional analysis of the SARS coronavirus nsp14-nsp10 complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2015/07/09. 2015 Jul 28;112(30):9436–41.
40. Li Q, Wang L, Dong C, Che Y, Jiang L, Liu L, et al. The interaction of the SARS coronavirus non-structural protein 10 with the cellular oxido-reductase system causes an extensive cytopathic effect. *J Clin Virol.* 2005 Oct;34(2):133–9.
41. Ivanov KA, Thiel V, Dobbe JC, van der Meer Y, Snijder EJ, Ziebuhr J. Multiple Enzymatic Activities Associated with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Helicase. *J Virol.* 2004 Jun 1;78(11):5619–32.
42. Menachery VD, Debbink K, Baric RS. Coronavirus non-structural protein 16: Evasion, attenuation, and possible treatments. *Virus Res.* 2014 Dec;194:191–9.
43. Chen X, Yang X, Zheng Y, Yang Y, Xing Y, Chen Z. SARS coronavirus papain-like protease inhibits the type I interferon signaling pathway through interaction with the STING-TRAF3-TBK1 complex. *Protein Cell.* 2014 May 14;5(5):369–81.
44. Taylor JK, Coleman CM, Postel S, Sisk JM, Bernbaum JG, Venkataraman T, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus ORF7a Inhibits Bone Marrow Stromal Antigen 2 Virion Tethering through a Novel Mechanism of Glycosylation Interference. *J Virol.* 2015 Dec;89(23):11820–33.
45. Shang J, Wan Y, Luo C, Ye G, Geng Q, Auerbach A, et al. Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2020 May 26;117(21):11727–34.
46. Imai Y, Kuba K, Penninger JM. The discovery of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and its role in acute lung injury in mice. *Exp Physiol.* 2008 May 1;93(5):543–8.
47. Magro C, Mulvey JJ, Berlin D, Nuovo G, Salvatore S, Harp J, et al. Complement associated microvascular injury and thrombosis in the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19 infection: A report of five cases. *Transl Res.* 2020 Jun;220:1–13.

48. Ramlall V, Thangaraj P, Meydan C, Foox J, Butler D, May B, et al. Identification of Immune complement function as a determinant of adverse SARS-CoV-2 infection outcome. medRxiv. 2020 Jan 1;2020.05.05.20092452.
49. Ip WKE, Chan KH, Law HKW, Tso GHW, Kong EKP, Wong WHS, et al. Mannose-Binding Lectin in Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005 May 15;191(10):1697–704.
50. Hattori R., Hamilton K.K., McEver R.P. SPJ. Complement proteins C5b-9 induce secretion of high molecular weight multimers of endothelial von Willebrand factor and translocation of granule membrane protein GMP-140 to the cell surface. *J Biol Chem*. 1989;264:9053–9060.
51. de Bont CM, Boelens WC, Pruijn GJM. NETosis, complement, and coagulation: a triangular relationship. *Cell Mol Immunol*. 2019 Jan 23;16(1):19–27.
52. Gralinski LE, Sheahan TP, Morrison TE, Menachery VD, Jensen K, Leist SR, et al. Complement Activation Contributes to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Pathogenesis. Subbarao K, editor. *MBio*. 2018 Oct 9;9(5).
53. Choudhury A, Mukherjee S. In silico studies on the comparative characterization of the interactions of SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein with ACE-2 receptor homologs and human TLRs. *J Med Virol*. 2020 May 17;jmv.25987.
54. Dosch SF, Mahajan SD, Collins AR. SARS coronavirus spike protein-induced innate immune response occurs via activation of the NF- κ B pathway in human monocyte macrophages in vitro. *Virus Res*. 2009 Jun;142(1–2):19–27.
55. Totura AL, Whitmore A, Agnihothram S, Schäfer A, Katze MG, Heise MT, et al. Toll-Like Receptor 3 Signaling via TRIF Contributes to a Protective Innate Immune Response to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. Lipkin WI, editor. *MBio*. 2015 May 26;6(3).
56. Ma Z, Damania B. The cGAS-STING Defense Pathway and Its Counteraction by Viruses. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2016 Feb;19(2):150–8.
57. Berthelot J-M, Lioté F. COVID-19 as a STING disorder with delayed over-secretion of interferon-beta. *EBioMedicine*. 2020 Jun;56:102801.
58. Liu Y, Jesus AA, Marrero B, Yang D, Ramsey SE, Montealegre Sanchez GA, et al. Activated STING in a Vascular and Pulmonary Syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2014 Aug 7;371(6):507–18.
59. Bai J, Liu F. The cGAS-cGAMP-STING Pathway: A Molecular Link Between Immunity and Metabolism. *Diabetes*. 2019 Jun 20;68(6):1099–108.
60. Xie J, Li Y, Shen X, Goh G, Zhu Y, Cui J, et al. Dampened STING-Dependent Interferon Activation in Bats. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2018 Mar;23(3):297–301.e4.
61. Chen G, Chen D, Li J, Czura CJ, Tracey KJ, Sama AE, et al. Pathogenic role of HMGB1 in SARS? *Med Hypotheses*. 2004 Jan;63(4):691–5.
62. Schaefer L. Complexity of Danger: The Diverse Nature of Damage-associated Molecular Patterns. *J Biol Chem*. 2014 Dec 19;289(51):35237–45.
63. Vogel S, Bodenstein R, Chen Q, Feil S, Feil R, Rheinlaender J, et al. Platelet-derived HMGB1 is a critical mediator of thrombosis. *J Clin Invest*. 2015 Nov 9;125(12):4638–54.
64. Andersson U, Ottestad W, Tracey KJ. Extracellular HMGB1: a therapeutic target in severe pulmonary inflammation including COVID-19? *Mol Med*. 2020 Dec 7;26(1):42.
65. Nieto-Torres JL, DeDiego ML, Verdiá-Báguena C, Jimenez-Guardeño JM, Regla-Nava JA, Fernandez-Delgado R, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Envelope Protein Ion Channel Activity Promotes Virus Fitness and Pathogenesis. Denison MR, editor. *PLoS Pathog*. 2014 May 1;10(5):e1004077.

66. Nieto-Torres JL, Verdiá-Báguena C, Jimenez-Guardeño JM, Regla-Nava JA, Castaño-Rodríguez C, Fernandez-Delgado R, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus E protein transports calcium ions and activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. *Virology*. 2015 Nov;485:330–9.
67. Xiong Y, Liu Y, Cao L, Wang D, Guo M, Jiang A, et al. Transcriptomic characteristics of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and peripheral blood mononuclear cells in COVID-19 patients. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020 Jan 1;9(1):761–70.
68. Bost P, Giladi A, Liu Y, Bendjelal Y, Xu G, David E, et al. Host-Viral Infection Maps Reveal Signatures of Severe COVID-19 Patients. *Cell*. 2020 Jun;181(7):1475-1488.e12.
69. Li J, Guo M, Tian X, Wang X, Yang X, Wu P, et al. Virus-host interactome and proteomic survey of PBMCs from COVID-19 patients reveal potential virulence factors influencing SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. *Med (New York, N.Y)*. 2020 Jul 21.
70. Law HKW, Cheung CY, Ng HY, Sia SF, Chan YO, Luk W, et al. Chemokine up-regulation in SARS-coronavirus-infected, monocyte-derived human dendritic cells. *Blood*. 2005 Oct 1;106(7):2366–74.
71. Coperchini F, Chiovato L, Croce L, Magri F, Rotondi M. The cytokine storm in COVID-19: An overview of the involvement of the chemokine/chemokine-receptor system. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev*. 2020 Jun;53:25–32.
72. Blanco-Melo D, Nilsson-Payant BE, Liu W-C, Uhl S, Hoagland D, Møller R, et al. Imbalanced Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Drives Development of COVID-19. *Cell*. 2020 May;181(5):1036-1045.e9.
73. Schönrich G, Raftery MJ, Samstag Y. Devilishly radical NETWORK in COVID-19: Oxidative stress, neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), and T cell suppression. *Adv Biol Regul*. 2020 Aug;77:100741.
74. Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, Haberecker M, Andermatt R, Zinkernagel AS, et al. Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. *Lancet*. 2020 May;395(10234):1417–8.
75. He L, Mäe MA, Sun Y, Muhl L, Nahar K, Liébanas EV, et al. Pericyte-specific vascular expression of SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 – implications for microvascular inflammation and hypercoagulopathy in COVID-19 patients. *bioRxiv*. 2020 Jan 1;2020.05.11.088500.
76. Cardot-Leccia N, Hubiche T, Dellamonica J, Burel-Vandenbos F, Passeron T. Pericyte alteration sheds light on micro-vasculopathy in COVID-19 infection. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020 Jun 12.
77. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China. *JAMA*. 2020 Apr 7;323(13):1239.
78. Molony RD, Malawista A, Montgomery RR. Reduced dynamic range of antiviral innate immune responses in aging. *Exp Gerontol*. 2018 Jul;107:130–5.
79. Cannizzo ES, Clement CC, Sahu R, Follo C, Santambrogio L. Oxidative stress, inflamm-aging and immunosenescence. *J Proteomics*. 2011 Oct;74(11):2313–23.
80. Ellinghaus D, Degenhardt F, Bujanda L, Buti M, Albillos A, Invernizzi P, et al. Genomewide Association Study of Severe Covid-19 with Respiratory Failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Jun 17.
81. Breiman A, Ruvén-Clouet N, Le Pendu J. Harnessing the natural anti-glycan immune response to limit the transmission of enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-2. Coyne CB, editor. *PLOS Pathog*. 2020 May 21;16(5):e1008556.
82. Murray GP, Post SR, Post GR. ABO blood group is a determinant of von Willebrand factor protein levels in human pulmonary endothelial cells. *J Clin Pathol*. 2020 Jun;73(6):347–9.
83. Guzik TJ, Mohiddin SA, Dimarco A, Patel V, Savvatis K, Marelli-Berg FM, et al. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system: implications for risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment options. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2020 Aug 1;116(10):1666–87.

84. Sidaway P. COVID-19 and cancer: what we know so far. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2020 Jun 7;17(6):336–336.
85. Pal R, Bhadada SK. COVID-19 and diabetes mellitus: An unholy interaction of two pandemics. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev*. 2020 Jul;14(4):513–7.
86. Gebhard C, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Neuhauser HK, Morgan R, Klein SL. Impact of sex and gender on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe. *Biol Sex Differ*. 2020 Dec 25;11(1):29.
87. Bonafè M, Prattichizzo F, Giuliani A, Storci G, Sabbatinelli J, Olivieri F. Inflamm-aging: Why older men are the most susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 complicated outcomes. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev*. 2020 Jun;53:33–7.
88. Niedzwiedz CL, O'Donnell CA, Jani BD, Demou E, Ho FK, Celis-Morales C, et al. Ethnic and socioeconomic differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection: prospective cohort study using UK Biobank. *BMC Med*. 2020 Dec 29;18(1):160.
89. Lyn-Cook BD, Xie C, Oates J, Treadwell E, Word B, Hammons G, et al. Increased expression of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) 7 and 9 and other cytokines in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients: Ethnic differences and potential new targets for therapeutic drugs. *Mol Immunol*. 2014 Sep;61(1):38–43.
90. Cai Q, Chen F, Wang T, Luo F, Liu X, Wu Q, et al. Obesity and COVID-19 Severity in a Designated Hospital in Shenzhen, China. *Diabetes Care*. 2020 Jul;43(7):1392–8.
91. O'Shea D, Hogan AE. Dysregulation of Natural Killer Cells in Obesity. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2019 Apr 23;11(4):573.
92. Graham RL, Sims AC, Brockway SM, Baric RS, Denison MR. The nsp2 Replicase Proteins of Murine Hepatitis Virus and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Are Dispensable for Viral Replication. *J Virol*. 2005 Nov 1;79(21):13399 LP – 13411.
93. Cornillez-Ty CT, Liao L, Yates 3rd JR, Kuhn P, Buchmeier MJ. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural protein 2 interacts with a host protein complex involved in mitochondrial biogenesis and intracellular signaling. *J Virol*. 2009 Oct;83(19):10314–8.
94. Lin C-W, Lin K-H, Hsieh T-H, Shiu S-Y, Li J-Y. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3C-like protease-induced apoptosis. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 2006 Apr;46(3):375–80.
95. Kirchdoerfer RN, Ward AB. Structure of the SARS-CoV nsp12 polymerase bound to nsp7 and nsp8 co-factors. *Nat Commun*. 2019 Dec 28;10(1):2342.
96. Sutton G, Fry E, Carter L, Sainsbury S, Walter T, Nettleship J, et al. The nsp9 replicase protein of SARS-coronavirus, structure and functional insights. *Structure*. 2004 Feb;12(2):341–53.
97. Egloff M-P, Ferron F, Campanacci V, Longhi S, Rancurel C, Dutartre H, et al. The severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus replicative protein nsp9 is a single-stranded RNA-binding subunit unique in the RNA virus world. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004 Mar 16;101(11):3792–6.
98. Minskaia E, Hertzog T, Gorbalenya AE, Campanacci V, Cambillau C, Canard B, et al. Discovery of an RNA virus 3'->5' exoribonuclease that is critically involved in coronavirus RNA synthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006 Mar 28;103(13):5108–13.
99. Walls AC, Park Y-J, Tortorici MA, Wall A, McGuire AT, Veesler D. Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell*. 2020 Mar 6.
100. Padhan K, Tanwar C, Hussain A, Hui PY, Lee MY, Cheung CY, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus Orf3a protein interacts with caveolin. *J Gen Virol*. 2007 Nov 1;88(11):3067–77.
101. Minakshi R, Padhan K, Rani M, Khan N, Ahmad F, Jameel S. The SARS Coronavirus 3a Protein Causes Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Induces Ligand-Independent Downregulation of the Type 1 Interferon Receptor. Ahmed N, editor. *PLoS One*. 2009 Dec 17;4(12):e8342.

102. Álvarez E, DeDiego ML, Nieto-Torres JL, Jiménez-Guardeño JM, Marcos-Villar L, Enjuanes L. The envelope protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus interacts with the non-structural protein 3 and is ubiquitinated. *Virology*. 2010 Jul;402(2):281–91.
103. Fang X, Gao J, Zheng H, Li B, Kong L, Zhang Y, et al. The membrane protein of SARS-CoV suppresses NF-kappaB activation. *J Med Virol*. 2007 Oct;79(10):1431–9.
104. Siu K-L, Kok K-H, Ng M-HJ, Poon VKM, Yuen K-Y, Zheng B-J, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus M protein inhibits type I interferon production by impeding the formation of TRAF3.TANK.TBK1/IKKepsilon complex. *J Biol Chem*. 2009 Jun 12;284(24):16202–9.
105. Chan C-M, Ma C-W, Chan W-Y, Chan HYE. The SARS-Coronavirus Membrane protein induces apoptosis through modulating the Akt survival pathway. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2007 Mar 15;459(2):197–207.
106. Yuan X, Wu J, Shan Y, Yao Z, Dong B, Chen B, et al. SARS coronavirus 7a protein blocks cell cycle progression at G0/G1 phase via the cyclin D3/pRb pathway. *Virology*. 2006 Mar;346(1):74–85.
107. Schaecher SR, Mackenzie JM, Pekosz A. The ORF7b Protein of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) Is Expressed in Virus-Infected Cells and Incorporated into SARS-CoV Particles. *J Virol*. 2007 Jan 15;81(2):718–31.
108. Zhang Y, Zhang J, Chen Y, Luo B, Yuan Y, Huang F, et al. The ORF8 Protein of SARS-CoV-2 Mediates Immune Evasion through Potently Downregulating MHC-I. *bioRxiv*. 2020 Jan 1;2020.05.24.111823.
109. Hu Y, Li W, Gao T, Cui Y, Jin Y, Li P, et al. The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Inhibits Type I Interferon Production by Interfering with TRIM25-Mediated RIG-I Ubiquitination. *J Virol*. 2017 Apr 15;91(8):e02143-16.
110. Shi C-S, Qi H-Y, Boullaran C, Huang N-N, Abu-Asab M, Shelhamer JH, et al. SARS-Coronavirus Open Reading Frame-9b Suppresses Innate Immunity by Targeting Mitochondria and the MAVS/TRAF3/TRAF6 Signalosome. *J Immunol*. 2014 Sep 15;193(6):3080–9.

Table 1. HCoV-229E - cell receptors and cofactors aiding viral entry

Virus	Date emergence and clinical manifestations	Primary cell receptor	Tissue expression of receptor	Receptors and co factors augmenting viral entry	Ref
HCoV-229E	1965 URTI, common cold	APN (CD13)	Renal and GI epithelia, synaptic membranes, pericytes, myeloid cells, fibroblast like cells. neurons	TMPRSS2 TMPRSS11D	(1,2,4)
HCoV-OC43	1967 URTI, common cold	9-O-acetylated sialic acid	Human epithelial cells, neurons	IFIT2/IFIT3 HLA-1	(4–6)

Virus	Date emergence and clinical manifestations	Primary cell receptor	Tissue expression of receptor	Receptors and co factors augmenting viral entry	Ref
SARS-CoV	2002 Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)	ACE2	Respiratory, intestinal epithelial cells, endothelial cells, renal tubules, cerebral neurons, alveolar macrophages, DCs	cathepsin L, TMPRSS2/11D DC-SIGN (CD206), DC-SIGNR	(7,8)
HCoV-NL63	2004 Bronchitis URI, common cold	ACE-2	Pneumocytes, intestinal epithelial cells, endothelial cells, renal tubules, cerebral neurons, alveolar macrophages, DCs	heparan sulphate proteoglycans	(9)
HCoV-HKU1	2005 Pneumonia Common in children	9-O-acetylated sialic acid	human alveolar type II cells	HLA-C	(10)
MERS-CoV	2012 SARS	DPP4 (CD26)	Intestinal, alveolar, renal, hepatic and prostate cells activated leukocytes	furin	(11)
SARS-CoV-2	2019 SARS, Severe disease associated with ageing and comorbidities	ACE2	Respiratory, intestinal epithelial cells, endothelial cells, renal tubules, cerebral neurons, alveolar macrophages, DCs	furin TMPRSS2	(3)

ACE 2 - angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; APN - aminopeptidase N; DCs dendritic cells; DC-SIGN – Dendritic Cell-Specific Intercellular adhesion molecule-3-Grabbing Non-integrin (CD209), DC-SIGNR – DC-SIGN receptor; DPP4- dipeptidyl peptidase 4; GI - gastrointestinal; HLA-C – human leukocyte antigen C; IFIT - interferon-induced proteins with tetratricopeptide repeats; TMPRSS - type II transmembrane serine proteases, URTI – upper respiratory tract infection.

Table 2. Immune evasions strategies of genome and encoded proteins of SARS-CoV and (by inference) SARS-Cov-2

Gene	Protein	Function	Impact on immune response action	Comments	Ref
Reduced CpG			Decreased activity of ZAP and APOBEC3G	ZAP expressed in immune cells	(25)
ORF1a	nsp1	Mediates RNA replication and processing. Involved in RNA degradation.	Modulates calcineurin/NFAT pathway. Cleaves host RNA. Inhibits cyclophilins and immunophilins. Blocks ATF2/c-Jun, IRF3 and IRF7, NF κ B, decreases STAT1 phosphorylation. Interferes with RIG-1 pathway.	Associated with immune pathogenicity and long-term cytokine dysregulation. Promotes host RNA degradation.	(34-36)
	nsp2	Replicase essential for proofreading replication.	May bind to prohibitin 1 and 2, involved in apoptosis, mitochondrial biogenesis and intracellular signalling. Modulates host survival signalling.	Associated with pathogenicity. Deletion attenuates viral growth and RNA synthesis.	(37,38)

Gene	Protein	Function	Impact on immune response action	Comments	Ref
	nsp3	Papain like protease (PLpro). Processes pp1a and pp1ab. Complexes with nsp4 and nsp6.	Deubiquitinates and deISGylates host proteins. Blocks IFN α , IFN β , CXCL10 and CCL5. Inhibits TLR7 signalling by removing Lys63-linked polyubiquitination of TRAF3 and 6. Antagonizes IRF3, stabilizes I κ B α thereby blocking NF κ B signalling. Interacts with STING-TRAF-TBK1 complex.	Forms DMV and replication process evade innate immune recognition.	(33,39,40)
	nsp4	Complexes with nsp3 and nsp6 to form the DMV. May anchor RTC to ER.	Helps replication process evade innate immune recognition.		(39)
	nsp5	Chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro)	Induces apoptosis and growth arrest via caspase-3 and caspase-9.		(41)
	nsp6	Complexes with nsp3 and nsp4 to form DMV.	Helps replication process evade innate immune recognition. Activates autophagosome.		(39)
	nsp7	Complexes with nsp8 and nsp12 for viral replication		Nsp7-nsp8 form the primase complex.	(42)

Gene	Protein	Function	Impact on immune response action	Comments	Ref
ORF1b (nsps in addition to 1-11)	nsp8	Complexes with nsp7 and nsp 12 for viral replication		Nsp7-nsp8 form the primase complex.	(42)
	nsp9	Involved in viral genomic RNA reproduction but exact role unclear		Interacts with nsp8	(43,44)
	nsp10	Complexes with nsp 1,7 and 14. Multifunctional cofactor in replication.	Interacts with the oxido-reductase system causing cytopathic effect. Aids RNA capping thus evades RIG-1 and MDA5 recognition.	Activator of nsp14 function. Forms a complex with nsp16.	(26,45, 46)
	nsp11	Peptide resulting from cleavage of pp1a at nsp10/11 junction	Not known		
	nsp12	RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)	Targeting mitochondria limits host cellular responses		(42)
	nsp13	helicase key for efficient replication of viral genome	Caps RNA thus evades RIG-I and MDA-5 signalling	Failure to trigger IFIT1.	(47)
	nsp14	exon 3'-5' exonuclease plays crucial role in viral RNA synthesis and capping. Complexes with nsp10.	Involved in the capping through its function as a guanine-N7 methyltransferase helping nsp16 evade RIG-1 and MDA5 recognition.		(48)

Gene	Protein	Function	Impact on immune response action	Comments	Ref
	nsp15	uridylyate-specific endoribonuclease (EndU)	Limits exposure of viral dsRNA to the sensors MDA5, PKR, and OAS/RNaseL. Inhibits poly U thereby evading MDA5 thus antagonizing IFN- α / β production.		(28)
	nsp16	2'-O-ribose methyl transferase involved in RNA capping. Complexes with nsp10.	Caps RNA thus evades RIG-I and MDA-5 signalling	Failure to trigger IFIT1	(26,49)
ORF2	spike	Heavily glycosylated with 22 glycans. ACE/ACE-2 interaction. Requires priming to expose membrane fusion	Masks immunogenic protein epitopes. Induced imbalanced in RAS that triggers inflammation.		(22,23, 50, 51)
ORF3a	ORF3a	Interact with SARS-CoV M, S, E, and 7a proteins Forms viroporins	Masks immunogenic protein epitopes. Activates PERK pathway, triggers apoptosis through expression of ATF4 and CHOP. Downregulates and degrades Type 1 IFNR.	Expressed on cell surface. Induces fibrinogen, stress pathways, necrotic cell death, activates inflammasome.	(24,52–54)
ORF4	Envelope	Essential for viral assembly and budding. Forms viroporins.	Induces ROS and activates inflammasome		(55,56)

Gene	Protein	Function	Impact on immune response action	Comments	Ref
ORF5	Membrane	Important for viral assembly	Inhibits type I interferon production by impeding the formation of TRAF3. TANK. TBK1/IKKepsilon complex	Induces apoptosis.	(57-59)
ORF6	ORF6	Plays a role in viral pathogenesis, interacts with ORF8. May aid viral virulence.	Inhibits STAT1 nuclear import	Promotes RNA polymerase activity	(53)
ORF7a	ORF7a	Interacts with S protein and p3a. Not essential for replication	Inhibits BST-2 glycosylation, leading to a loss of BST-2's function. SARS-CoV ORF7a induces caspase-dependent apoptosis.	BST -2 restricts virion egress by tethering virions to plasma membrane. Interacts with LFA.	(60,61)
ORF7b	ORF7b	Not essential for viral replication but structural component of the virion.		It is an integral membrane protein located in the Golgi compartment.	(62)
ORF8	ORF8	Differs from other HCoV	Interact and downregulates MHC-I	SARS-CoV encodes p8a and p8b that induce caspase-dependent apoptosis and activates UPR.	(63)
ORF9	Nucleo-capsid	Stabilises viral RNA. Interacts with stress granules G3BP1.	Targets MAVS -TRAF3-TRAF6 and antagonizes IFN- β		(53,64, 65)
ORF10	ORF10	Ubiquitin ligase	unknown		

ACE 2 - angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; BST - bone marrow stromal antigen 2; CHOP - C/EBP homologous protein; DMV – double membrane vesicles; HCoV- human coronavirus; IFN – interferon; LFA - Lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1; MAV – mitochondrial antiviral signalling protein; MHC - major histocompatibility antigen; ORF – open reading frame PERK - PRKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase; RIG-1 - retinoic acid-inducible gene I; ROS – reactive oxygen species; STAT - signal transducer and activator of transcription; UPR – unfolded protein response; ZAP - zinc finger antiviral protein.

Table 3. Impact of Innate Immune Suppression on Disease Outcome

	Asymptomatic or mild	Moderate	Severe
Type I/III IFN	increased and prolonged production	moderate suppression	high and prolonged suppression
Viral replication	limited	mild or chronic	high and sustained
Immune response	strong and rapid induction of adaptive immunity, viral clearance.	NK cell and complement mediated clearance of infected cells, reduced adaptive immune responses	hyperinflammation, cytokine storm e.g. IL-6, IL-8, TNF α , delayed or ineffective adaptive immune response, innate T cell activity.
Pathology	none, sub-clinical	tissue damage due to inflammatory response	viral induced cytotoxicity, ADCC, complement mediated damage, pyroptosis, necroptosis, neutrophil driven netosis.
Vascular damage and thrombosis	no	not likely or mild	highly likely and contributes to clinical disease and tissue damage hence the finding of high levels of D-dimer produced to counteract thrombi formation.

ADCC – antibody mediate cell cytotoxicity, NK – natural killer

Table 4. Factors and comorbidities, aberrant innate immune responses and COVID-19

Factor or Comorbidity	Impact on COVID-19	Proposed impact on innate immune responses	Ref
Age	Increased CFR >80 - 14.8% vf 2.3% 70-79 – 8.0%	Increased oxidative stress, decreased IFN responses. Elevated proinflammatory cytokines.	(96–98)
Blood groups	Higher risk in blood group A and protective effect in blood group O in a cohort of 1,610 cases	Neutralizing antibodies against protein-linked N-glycans on SARS-CoV-2, or stabilisation of vWF.	(99–101)

Factor or Comorbidity	Impact on COVID-19	Proposed impact on innate immune responses	Ref
Cardiovascular Disease	Increased CFR 10.5% vs 2.3%	Infection of cardiomyocytes, Increased myocarditis, impact of drugs on RAS. Increase levels of vWF.	(96,102)
Cancer	4.7%, 5.6%	unknown	(96,103)
Diabetes mellitus	7.3%	Reduced ACE2 levels in diabetes already predispose to a proinflammatory environment. Increased IL-6 levels. Increased levels of vWF	(96,104)
Gender	Increased CFR for males across all ages	Differential expression levels of ACE2, hormonal regulation of immune responses, IL-6 higher in men	(105,106)
Ethnicity	Higher risk in some ethnic groups not due to socioeconomic conditions	Difference in TLR expression, levels of IL-6 and TNF α . Reduced levels of VitD.	(107,108)
Obesity	BMI >25 or 30 increased risk of severe pneumonia by 86% and 140%	Dysregulated NK cells, increased numbers of myeloid cells in adipose tissues and expression of ACE2 by adipocytes	(109,110)

ACE2 – ACE 2 - angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; CFR- case fatality ratio; vWF - von Willebrand factor

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 structure and genome. **A)** SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense RNA enveloped virus with the spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E) proteins embedded in the lipid envelope, while the nucleocapsid (N) protein is associated with the RNA. **B)** The 5' end of the genome is comprised of ORF_a/ab encoding 2 large polyproteins including the replicase protein crucial for self-generation of the non-structural proteins (nsp) while ORFs 2-10 encode the viral structural proteins (S, M, E and N) and accessory proteins. **C)** The homotrimers spike proteins of 8-12 nm length are heavily decorated with N-glycans moieties that can be recognised by antibodies, C-type lectins and mannose binding proteins that aid viral attachment to permissible cells, activate the complement system and may be recognised by macrophages and antibodies **(D)**.


Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 subversion of interferon pathways. SARS-CoV-2 infects permissible cells via the angiotensin converting enzyme two (ACE2). Following infection **(A)** the virion or viral RNA is sensed by either the cGas/STING pathway where stimulator of interferon genes (STING) engages TBK1, or via retinoid inducible gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5). These pathways lead to activation of IRF3 and/or NF κ B inducing type I/III IFN that is recognised by IFN receptors **(B)** and subsequent induction of the interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) and proteins many of which have potent antiviral activities. Based on the knowledge of other coronaviruses especially SARS-CoV, and emerging

data from SARS-CoV-2 many of the non-structural, structural and accessory protein subvert and inhibit numerous steps in these pathways thereby inhibiting IFN production allowing increased viral replication.

Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 activates innate immune pathways. SARS-CoV-2 infects permissible cells via the angiotensin converting enzyme two (ACE2) and is taken by in the endosome where the virus is recognised by Toll-like receptor 7/9 triggering MyD88 pathway, or TLR 3 via the TRIF pathway (**A**). PAMPs and DAMPs are also recognised by TLR4 (**B**) or RAGE (**C**) triggering HMGB1 induced damage and (**D**) NLRP3 inflammasome activation. During viral replication ORF3a and E proteins form viroporins that augment ROS production and inflammasome activation.

Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2 is a vascular and coagulation disease. **A)** Binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 blocks ACE2-induced formation of antioxidant angiotensin, facilitating oxygen free-radical formation. Infection in some people also triggers pyroptosis, complement activation (**B**) and hyperinflammation with influx of macrophages, NK cells and neutrophils (**C**). This self-augmenting cycle triggers further cell damage and DAMPs and PAMPs release as well as ROS production. **D)** Activation of neutrophils induces neutrophil extracellular traps (NET) aided by the N protein and generated in response to ROS-induced endothelial cell damage. Disruption of the vascular barrier and endothelial cell exposure to proinflammatory cytokine and ROS increases expression of P-selectin, von Willebrand factor (vWF) and fibrinogen, that attract platelets triggering expression of tissue factor. Together this sequence activates the complement system, one of many pathways that crucially activates the coagulation cascade leading to thrombi formation.

Suppl Figure 1. Replication Cycle of SARS-CoV-2 . Based on knowledge emerging from the SARS-CoV-2 infection and other coronaviruses, the cycle comprises of viral binding to the host cell via ACE2 (1) and virion uptake into the endosome. (2) The positive stranded RNA allows direct translation of the genome (which is capped by nsp – see text for details) generating the replicase polyprotein and subsequent viral proteins some of which are necessary to form the double membrane vesicles (DMV) (3). The replicase polyprotein enzyme synthesises the negative strands to transcribe the small subgenomic positive RNAs (4). These are used to produce the other viral proteins (N, S, M and E and accessory proteins) and the positive RNA strands for the new virions. The nucleocapsid protein binds to the RNA. The S, M and E proteins are incorporated in the lipid envelope in the ER. The new virions assemble in the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) and exocytosed in the Golgi complex (6). Finally, mature SARS-CoV-2 virions are released from the host cell (7).




Hosted file

image2.emf available at <https://authorea.com/users/336214/articles/473926-innate-immunity->

during-sars-cov-2-evasion-strategies-and-activation-trigger-hypoxia-and-vascular-damage

Hosted file

image3.emf available at <https://authorea.com/users/336214/articles/473926-innate-immunity-during-sars-cov-2-evasion-strategies-and-activation-trigger-hypoxia-and-vascular-damage>



Hosted file

image5.emf available at <https://authorea.com/users/336214/articles/473926-innate-immunity-during-sars-cov-2-evasion-strategies-and-activation-trigger-hypoxia-and-vascular-damage>