

Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Can Predict Subclinical Myocardial Involvement in Patients with Sarcoidosis: A Meta-Analysis

Kirolos Barssoum¹, Ahmed Altibi², Devesh Rai³, Ashish Kumar⁴, Adnan Kharsa⁵, Medhat Chowdhury⁵, Sara Shahid¹, Mohamed Abdelazeem⁶, Ahmed Abuzaid⁷, Qiu Tong⁸, Bipul Baibhav⁸, Scott Feitell⁸, Mallory Balmer-Swain⁵, Mohan Rao⁸, Myriam Amsallem⁹, and Navin C. Nanda⁴

¹Rochester Regional Health System

²Henry Ford Allegiance Health

³Rochester City School District

⁴Affiliation not available

⁵Rochester General Hospital

⁶St. Elizabeth Medical Center

⁷University of California San Francisco

⁸Rochester Regional Health

⁹Stanford Univ

August 3, 2020

Abstract

Background This meta-analysis aims to evaluate the utility of speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) as a tool to evaluate for cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) early in its course. Electrocardiography and echocardiography have limited sensitivity in this role, while advanced imaging modalities such as cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) and 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose–Positron Emission Tomography (FDG-PET) are limited by cost and availability. **Methods** We compiled English language articles that reported left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) or global circumferential strain (GCS) in patients with confirmed extra-cardiac sarcoidosis versus healthy controls. Studies that exclusively included patients with probable or definite CS were excluded. Continuous data were pooled as a standard mean difference (SMD) between the sarcoidosis group and controls. A random effect model was adopted in all analyses. Heterogeneity was assessed using Q and I² statistics. **Results** Nine studies with 967 patients were included in our analysis. LVGLS was significantly lower in the extra-cardiac sarcoidosis group as compared to controls, SMD -3.98, 95% confidence interval (CI): -5.32, -2.64, p< 0.001, also was significantly lower in patients who suffered Major Cardiac Events(MCE), -3.89, 95% CI -6.14, -1.64, p< 0.001 . GCS was significantly lower in the extra-cardiac sarcoidosis group as compared to controls, SMD: -3.33, 95% CI -4.71, -1.95, p< 0.001 **Conclusion** LVGLS and GCS were significantly lower in extra-cardiac sarcoidosis patients despite not exhibiting any cardiac symptoms. LVGLS correlates with MCEs in CS. Further studies are required to investigate the role of STE in the early screening of CS.

Speckle Tracking Echocardiography Can Predict Subclinical Myocardial Involvement in Patients with Sarcoidosis: A Meta-Analysis

Short Title: *Speckle Tracking in Sarcoidosis*

Kirolos Barssoum^{1*}, Ahmed M. Altibi^{2*}, Devesh Rai³, Ashish Kumar⁴, Adnan Kharsa³, Med-

hat Chowdhury³, Sara Shahid¹, Mohamed Abdelazeem⁵, Ahmed Sami Abuzaid⁶, Qiu Tong⁷, Bipul Baibhav⁷, Scott C. Feitell⁸, Mallory Balmer-Swain⁷, Mohan Rao⁷, Myriam Amsallem⁹, Navin C. Nanda¹⁰

1. Department of Internal Medicine, Unity Hospital, Rochester Regional Health System, Rochester, NY
2. Department of Internal Medicine, Henry Ford Allegiance Health, Jackson, MI
3. Department of Internal Medicine, Rochester General Hospital, Rochester NY
4. Department of Critical Care, St. John's Medical College, Bangalore, India
5. Department of Internal Medicine, St. Elizabeth Medical Center, Boston, MA
6. Department of Cardiology, Alaska and Vascular Institute LLC, Anchorage, AK
7. Department of Cardiology, Sands Constellation Heart Institute, Rochester Regional Health, Rochester, NY
8. Department of Advanced Heart Failure and Transplant, Sands Constellation Heart Institute, Rochester Regional Health, Rochester, NY
9. Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA
10. Department of Cardiovascular Disease, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL

Corresponding Author:

Devesh Rai, MD

1425 Portland Ave,

Rochester,

NY-14621

585-922-4000

Total Word count: 3213

Conflict of interest: None

Author Contributions:

Kirolos Barssoum : Conceptualization, Data extraction, initial draft of the manuscript

Ahmed M. Altibi: Data analysis and curation, Review of the manuscript

Devesh Rai : Data review and initial draft of the manuscript

Ashish Kumar : Data analysis and review of the manuscript

Adnan Kharsa : Data extraction, initial draft of the manuscript and review

Medhat Chowdhury : Draft of the manuscript and review

Sara Shahid : Data analysis and review of the manuscript

Mohamed Abdelazeem : Data analysis and review of the manuscript

Qiu tong: review of the manuscript

Ahmed Sami Abuzaid : Critical review of the manuscript

Bipul Baibhav : Critical review of the manuscript

Scott C. Feitell : Critical review of the manuscript

Mallory Balmer-Swain : Critical review of the manuscript

Mohan Rao : Critical review of the manuscript

Myriam Amsallem: Critical review of the manuscript

Navin C. Nanda: Mentoring the study and editorial review of the manuscript

Kirolos Barssoum, Ahmed M. Altibi contributed equally in the drafting and preparation of this manuscript

ABSTRACT

Background

This meta-analysis aims to evaluate the utility of speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) as a tool to evaluate for cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) early in its course. Electrocardiography and echocardiography have limited sensitivity in this role, while advanced imaging modalities such as cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) and 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose-Positron Emission Tomography (FDG-PET) are limited by cost and availability.

Methods

We compiled English language articles that reported left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) or global circumferential strain (GCS) in patients with confirmed extra-cardiac sarcoidosis versus healthy controls. Studies that exclusively included patients with probable or definite CS were excluded. Continuous data were pooled as a standard mean difference (SMD) between the sarcoidosis group and controls. A random effect model was adopted in all analyses. Heterogeneity was assessed using Q and I² statistics.

Results

Nine studies with 967 patients were included in our analysis. LVGLS was significantly lower in the extra-cardiac sarcoidosis group as compared to controls, SMD -3.98, 95% confidence interval (CI): -5.32, -2.64, $p < 0.001$, also was significantly lower in patients who suffered Major Cardiac Events (MCE), -3.89, 95% CI -6.14, -1.64, $p < 0.001$. GCS was significantly lower in the extra-cardiac sarcoidosis group as compared to controls, SMD: -3.33, 95% CI -4.71, -1.95, $p < 0.001$

Conclusion

LVGLS and GCS were significantly lower in extra-cardiac sarcoidosis patients despite not exhibiting any cardiac symptoms. LVGLS correlates with MCEs in CS. Further studies are required to investigate the role of STE in the early screening of CS.

Keywords: Sarcoidosis, echocardiography, speckle tracking echocardiography, meta-analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory condition characterized by the presence of non-caseating granulomas in affected organs and primarily affects patients between the ages of 20 to 40 years.^{1,2} In the United States, the incidence of sarcoidosis ranges from 10.9 to 35.5 per 100,000 in Caucasians and African Americans, respectively.³ Based on autopsy findings, cardiac involvement has been reported to occur in 25% of patients with systemic sarcoidosis,⁴ while imaging-based studies using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) have demonstrated that up to 50% may have cardiac lesions.^{2,5} While patients with cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) can be asymptomatic, others can present with symptoms due to conduction abnormalities, ventricular arrhythmias, and cardiomyopathy.⁶ Very rarely, cardiac involvement or even sudden cardiac death may be the first manifestation of systemic sarcoidosis.⁷

Currently, all patients with biopsy-proven extra-cardiac sarcoidosis routinely undergo screening for cardiac sarcoidosis with an electrocardiogram (EKG), with or without an echocardiogram.⁵ Advanced imaging modalities such as (CMR) and 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose-Positron Emission Tomography (FDG-PET) are reserved for individuals suspected to have cardiac involvement based on initial screening.^{5,8} The sensitivity of EKG for detecting cardiac involvement is limited at 21 – 68%,^{6,9} while sensitivity for conventional echocardiography ranges between 27% in asymptomatic individuals and 75% in the presence of symptoms or abnormal EKG findings.⁹ Despite the demonstrated superiority of CMR and FDG-PET in early diagnosis of CS, their role is limited by cost and availability, making them inadequate initial screening tests.¹⁰ Speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) is a novel modality with a promising utility in predicting subclinical myocardial

involvement.^{11,12} The region of interest (ROI) is marked with speckles that serve as stable acoustic markers to trace tissue movement, irrespective of the angle of interrogation.¹² STE can evaluate cardiac function by measuring left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) and/or global circumferential strain (GCS)¹⁰. We sought to perform a meta-analysis of studies investigating the role of STE in asymptomatic patients with proven extra-cardiac sarcoidosis and no known cardiac involvement.

METHODS

Search Strategy

We followed the recommendation of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.¹³ We queried the electronic databases Medline, Cochrane databases, CINAHL using the following search keywords: ‘Echocardiography’, ‘Speckle tracking’, and ‘Sarcoidosis’. We only compiled articles published in English language.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

We included all studies reporting LVGLS and/or GCS, comparing patients with confirmed extra-cardiac sarcoidosis versus a control group of healthy patients. Studies that exclusively included patients with probable or definite CS were excluded. We also collected studies that reported LVGLS in sarcoidosis patient’s experiencing major cardiac events (MCEs) which was defined as composite outcomes of all cause death, arrhythmia, heart failure hospitalization, cardiac device implantation, or appropriate firing of defibrillator. We excluded case reports, review articles, editorials, and correspondences to the editor. Data was extracted by two independent investigators (K.B., M.C). into a predefined collection sheet. All disagreements were resolved in consensus with a third reviewer (D.R.). Extracted data included baseline characteristics, echocardiographic parameters, LVGLS and LVGCS.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous data (e.g., LVGLS and GCS) were pooled as a standard mean difference (SMD) between the sarcoidosis group and the control group. Random effect model was adopted in all analyses. We used inverse variance method with Restricted Maximum-Likelihood estimator of Tau², for random effect analysis. We assessed between-study heterogeneity using Q and I² statistics. AI^2 statistic <25% indicates a low amount of heterogeneity and >50% indicates a high heterogeneity. Analyses were conducted using STATA 16 (State Corp LLC, College Station, Texas). P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Quality Assessment

Quality of included studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).¹⁴ This included a checklist for representativeness of included cohort, ascertainment of exposure, comparability and adequacy of follow-up as per the NOS. A maximum of 9 stars were awarded to each study. Studies awarded [?]6 stars were considered moderate-to-high quality studies.¹⁴

RESULTS

Our literature search yielded 671 citations. Of these, 28 full texts were screened and 9 studies were included in the final analysis with an aggregate of 967 patients: 589 in the sarcoid group and 378 in the control group.^{10,15-22} Females comprised 63.4% and 65.13% of the control and sarcoid groups, respectively. The pooled mean age was 49.2 years in the control group and 50.5 years in the sarcoid group. In the sarcoid group, 62.9% of patients had pulmonary involvement 16.8% had dermatologic involvement. Patients with symptoms or signs of cardiac involvement were generally excluded from individual studies. The study characteristics and baseline characteristics are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The pooled mean ejection fraction (EF) was 61.5% and 63.1% in the control and sarcoid groups, respectively. Echocardiographic parameters of the studies are summarized in Table 3. The study by Murtagh et al was included in the analysis of MCEs but was excluded from meta-analysis related to LVGLS and GCS as it had no control group²². Similarly, the study by Chen et al, was included only in the MCEs analysis as it included patients with cardiovascular symptoms.²⁹ The study flow chart is presented in Figure 1.

Nine studies reported LVGLS in our cohorts.^{10,15-22} The pooled mean LVGLS was significantly lower in the sarcoid group as compared to controls: standard mean difference (SMD) -3.98, 95% confidence interval (CI): -5.32, -2.64, $p < 0.001$, $I^2 = 94.70\%$, Figure 2.

LVGCS was reported in 4 studies with a total of 266 patients, 164 in the sarcoid group and 102 in the control group. The pooled mean GCS was significantly reduced in the sarcoid group as compared to the controls: SMD: -3.33, 95% CI -4.71, -1.95, $p < 0.001$, $I^2 = 62.94\%$, Figure 3^{10,16,17,19}.

In patients with sarcoidosis, MCEs were reported in 4 studies^{20,21,23,29} with a total of 335 patients; 58 of those suffered a MCE and 275 did not. Patients who suffered MCEs had significantly lower LVGLS (less negative) than patients who did not. SMD: -3.89, 95% CI -6.14, -1.64, $P < 0.001$, $I^2 = 83.77\%$, Figure 4. In patients with sarcoidosis. The pooled hazard ratio (HR) of MCEs with LVGLS as the predictor was, HR = 1.28, 95% CI (1.17-1.40), $p < 0.001$, $I^2 = 0\%$, Supplementary figure 1.^{20,21,29}

Using the NOS tool to evaluate the risk of bias, out of 9 stars, all studies were awarded 8 to 9 stars and can be described as “moderate to high” in quality. The risk of bias of individual studies is reported in Supplementary appendix..

DISCUSSION

This is a meta-analysis of observational studies that included 589 patients with proven extra-cardiac sarcoidosis and no evidence of cardiac involvement and 378 controls. The main findings of the study were as follows: (i) The sarcoidosis group was associated with a significantly reduced LVGLS compared to the control group, (ii) The sarcoidosis group was associated with a significantly reduced LVGCS as compared to the control group, and (iii) Within the sarcoid group, the LVGLS was significantly lower in patients who suffered MCEs as compared to those who did not.

CS manifests symptoms in as few as 5% of patients with sarcoidosis⁵. Cardiac involvement in patients with sarcoidosis is characterized by three distinct histopathological stages: inflammation or edema of the myocardium with mononuclear infiltration, non-caseating granuloma formation, and finally fibrosis and scar formation.⁴ CS primarily targets the left ventricular free wall, papillary muscles and basal septum^{4,7}. Specifically, CS mostly affects the mid and epicardial myocardium, where the myofibrils are arranged circumferentially in left-sided helical structures.²³ Later in the disease process, CS can involve the sub-endocardial myofibrils. This explains the lagging in ejection fraction reduction in patients with CS, which usually denotes an extension of the scar⁴. Steroid therapy is the standard therapy for patients with CS as it prevents left ventricular remodeling and disease progression.²³ However, Chiu et al. noted that patients with CS and EF less than 30% are less likely to benefit from steroids, as EF reduction indicates that scar remodeling has occurred.²⁴ Thus, early detection and timely intervention are essential.

In our study the LVGLS, which represents the disruption of the longitudinally oriented myofibrils in these helical structures²⁵, was impaired in the sarcoid group^{10,15,16}. Kansal et al. demonstrated that LVGLS impairment can be independent of the location of the scar as defined by the late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) on CMR, and suggested a functional component leading to this early impairment²⁶. Similarly, the GCS was significantly lower in the sarcoidosis group versus controls. Ori et al. demonstrated that GCS could predict the location of LGE on CMR when the mid-myocardium is affected²⁷.

Interestingly, two studies in our cohort demonstrated impairment of LVGLS as compared to controls even in the absence of LGE^{17,18}. Also, in the study by Schouver et al., only 8.6% of patients in the abnormal LVGLS group had positive findings on CMR¹⁰. One explanation for this observation is that LGE detects fibrosis or scar tissue which is histologically a late finding of CS, while early inflammation or edema may not be detected unless a T2-sequence was used^{4,7}. This is clinically relevant, as an autopsy study of 84 patients demonstrated that even microscopic myocardial sarcoidosis could account for arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death.³

In a separate analysis of sarcoidosis patients that included studies, LVGLS was significantly lower in patients who suffered MCEs as compared to those who did not, despite having normal ejection fraction at baseline.^{20,21,23,29} Myocardial strain can predict the presence and the extent of LGE on CMR^{23,27}, and

LGE seems to be a strong predictor of adverse outcomes.²⁸ A pooled HR for 3 studies demonstrated that a reduced (less negative) LVGLS is predictive of MCEs, however this should be interpreted with caution as this analysis included only 3 studies.^{20,21, 29} The study by Murtagh *et al* suggests that LVGLS more than -17% correlates with LGE on CMR and predicts adverse outcomes.²³

Our meta-analysis has certain limitations. The studies included were observational which makes selection and observer bias inevitable. In addition, significant heterogeneity was noted in the LVGLS and GCS groups. This can be partly explained by the different vendors and software used to detect strain. Patients included in the cohorts belong to various age groups and different stages of sarcoidosis. We could not account for this variation among studies, which raises concern over the generalizability of our results.

CONCLUSION

Patients with extra-cardiac sarcoidosis and no clinical signs of cardiac involvement have significantly lower LVGLS and GCS on speckle-tracking echocardiography compared to healthy controls. These findings highlight the promising role of speckle-tracking echocardiography in early detection of cardiac involvement in patients with extra-cardiac sarcoidosis.

REFERENCES

1. Mankad P, Mitchell B, Birnie D, Kron J. Cardiac Sarcoidosis. *Curr Cardiol Rep* . 2019;21(12):152. doi:10.1007/s11886-019-1238-1.
2. Vignaux O, Dhote R, Duboc D, Blanche P, Devaux JY, Weber S, Legmann P. Detection of myocardial involvement in patients with sarcoidosis applying T2-weighted, contrast-enhanced, and cine magnetic resonance imaging: Initial results of a prospective study. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* . 2002;26(5):762-767. doi:10.1097/00004728-200209000-00017.
3. Silverman KJ, Hutchins GM, Bulkley BH. Cardiac sarcoid: A clinicopathologic study of 84 unselected patients with systemic sarcoidosis. *Circulation* . 1978;58(6):1204-1211. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.58.6.1204.
4. Ramirez R, Trivieri M, Fayad ZA, Ahmadi A, Narula J, Argulian E. Advanced imaging in cardiac sarcoidosis. *J Nucl Med* . 2019;60(7):892-898. doi:10.2967/jnumed.119.228130.
5. Birnie DH, Sauer WH, Bogun F, Cooper JM, Culver DA, Duvernoy CS, Judson MA, Kron J, Mehta D, Cosedis Nielsen J, Patel AR, Ohe T, Raatikainen P, Soejima K. HRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Heart Rhythm* . 2014;11(7):1304-1323. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2014.03.043.
6. Morimoto T, Azuma A, Abe S, Usuki J, Kudoh S, Sugisaki K, Oritsu M, Nukiwa T. Epidemiology of sarcoidosis in Japan. *Eur Respir J* . 2008;31(2):372-379. doi:10.1183/09031936.00075307.
7. Sharma A, Okada DR, Yacoub H, Chrispin J, Bokhari S. Diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis: an era of paradigm shift. *Ann Nucl Med* . 2020;34(2):87-93. doi:10.1007/s12149-019-01431-z.
8. Neto MLR, Jellis CL, Joyce E, Callahan TD, Hachamovitch R, Culver DA. Update in Cardiac Sarcoidosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* . 2019;16(11):1341-1350. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201902-119CME.
9. Kouranos V, Tzelepis GE, Rapti A, Mavrogeni S, Aggeli K, Douskou M, Prasad S, Koulouris N, Sfikakis P, Wells A, Gialafos E. Complementary Role of CMR to Conventional Screening in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Cardiac Sarcoidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* . 2017;10(12):1437-1447. doi:10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.11.019.
10. Schouver ED, Mocerri P, Doyen D, Tieulie N, Queyrel V, Baudouy D, Cerboni P, Gibelin P, Leroy S, Fuzibet JG, Ferrari E. Early detection of cardiac involvement in sarcoidosis with 2-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography. *Int J Cardiol* . 2017;227:711-716. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.10.073.
11. Voigt JU, Pedrizzetti G, Lysyansky P, Marwick TH, Houle H, Baumann R, Pedri S, Ito Y, Abe Y, Metz S, Song JH, Hamilton J, Sengupta PP, Koliass TJ, D'Hooge J, Aurigemma GP, Thomas JD, Badano LP. Definitions for a common standard for 2D speckle tracking echocardiography: Consensus document

- of the EACVI/ASE/industry task force to standardize deformation imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* . 2015;28(2):183-193. doi:10.1016/j.echo.2014.11.003.
12. Moharram MA, Lamberts RR, Whalley G, Williams MJA, Coffey S. Myocardial tissue characterisation using echocardiographic deformation imaging. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* . 2019;17(1). doi:10.1186/s12947-019-0176-9.
13. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med* . 2009;6(7):e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.
14. Lo CKL, Mertz D, Loeb M. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale: Comparing reviewers' to authors' assessments. *BMC Med Res Methodol* . 2014;14(1):45. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-14-45.
15. Aggeli C, Felekos I, Tousoulis D, Gialafos E, Rapti A, Stefanadis C. Myocardial mechanics for the early detection of cardiac sarcoidosis. *Int J Cardiol* . 2013;168(5):4820-4821. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.07.010.
16. Tigen K, Sunbul M, Karaahmet T, Tasar O, Dundar C, Yalcinsoy M, Takir M, Akkaya E. Early Detection of Bi-ventricular and Atrial Mechanical Dysfunction Using Two-Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography in Patients with Sarcoidosis. *Lung* . 2015;193(5):669-675. doi:10.1007/s00408-015-9748-0.
17. Kul S, Ozcelik HK, Uyarel H, Karakus G, Guvenc TS, Yalcinsoy M, Asoglu E, Kemik AS, Tasal A, Gungor S, Karaarslan E, Kart L, Goktekin O. Diagnostic value of strain echocardiography, galectin-3, and tenascin-C levels for the identification of patients with pulmonary and cardiac sarcoidosis. *Lung* . 2014;192(4):533-542. doi:10.1007/s00408-014-9586-5.
18. Kusunose K, Fujiwara M, Yamada H, Nishio S, Saijo Y, Yamada N, Hirata Y, Torii Y, Ise T, Yamaguchi K, Fukuda D, Yagi S, Soeki T, Wakatsuki T, Sata M. Deterioration of biventricular strain is an early marker of cardiac involvement in confirmed sarcoidosis. *Eur Hear J - Cardiovasc Imaging* . 2019;81:1-9. doi:10.1093/ehjci/jez235.
19. Bayat F, Fahimi A, Tavana S, Tabary M, Khaheshi I. Subclinical involvement of the heart and its associated factors in patients with sarcoidosis with normal systolic function using 2D speckle tracking. *Echocardiography* . 2020;37(1):41-46. doi:10.1111/echo.14572.
20. Joyce E, Ninaber MK, Katsanos S, Debonnaire P, Kamperidis V, Bax JJ, Taube C, Delgado V, Marsan NA. Subclinical left ventricular dysfunction by echocardiographic speckle-tracking strain analysis relates to outcome in sarcoidosis. *Eur J Heart Fail* . 2015;17(1):51-62. doi:10.1002/ejhf.205.
21. Felekos I, Aggeli C, Gialafos E, Kouranos V, Rapti A, Sfikakis P, Koulouris N, Tousoulis D. Global longitudinal strain and long-term outcomes in asymptomatic extracardiac sarcoid patients with no apparent cardiovascular disease. *Echocardiography* . 2018;35(6):804-808. doi:10.1111/echo.13846.
22. Değirmenci H, Demirelli S, Arısoy A, Ermiş E, Araz Ö, Bakırcı EM, Hamur H, Büyüklü M, Topal E. Myocardial deformation and total atrial conduction time in the prediction of cardiac involvement in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis. *Clin Respir J* . 2017;11(1):68-77. doi:10.1111/crj.12307.
23. Murtagh G, Laffin LJ, Patel K V., Patel A V., Bonham CA, Yu Z, Addetia K, El-Hangouche N, Maffesanti F, Mor-Avi V, Hogarth DK, Sweiss NJ, Beshai JF, Lang RM, Patel AR. Improved detection of myocardial damage in sarcoidosis using longitudinal strain in patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *Echocardiography* . 2016;33(9):1344-1352. doi:10.1111/echo.13281.
24. Chiu CZ, Nakatani S, Zhang G, Tachibana T, Ohmori F, Yamagishi M, Kitakaze M, Tomoike H, Miyatake K. Prevention of left ventricular remodeling by long-term corticosteroid therapy in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Am J Cardiol* . 2005;95(1):143-146. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.08.083.

25. Sengupta PP, Korinek J, Belohlavek M, Narula J, Vannan MA, Jahangir A, Khandheria BK. Left Ventricular Structure and Function. Basic Science for Cardiac Imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* . 2006;48(10):1988-2001. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2006.08.030.
26. MM K, PM P, H A, G C, S W, AJ T, BK K, PP S. Relationship of Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Derived Intramural Scar Distribution and Speckle Tracking Echocardiography-Derived Left Ventricular Two-Dimensional Strains. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* . 2012;13(2). doi:10.1093/EJECHOCARD/JER163.
27. Orii M, Hirata K, Tanimoto T, Shiono Y, Shimamura K, Yamano T, Ino Y, Yamaguchi T, Kubo T, Tanaka A, Imanishi T, Akasaka T. Myocardial damage detected by two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography in patients with extracardiac sarcoidosis: Comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* . 2015;28(6):683-691. doi:10.1016/j.echo.2015.02.018.
28. Kim RJ, Patel MR, Cawley PJ, Heitner JF, Klem I, Parker MA, Jaroudi WA, Meine TJ, White JB, Elliott MD, Kim HW, Judd RM. Detection of myocardial damage in patients with sarcoidosis. *Circulation* . 2009;120(20):1969-1977. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.851352.
29. Chen, J., Lei, J., Scalzetti, E., McGrath, M., Feiglin, D., Voelker, R., Wang, J., Iannuzzi, M. C., & Liu, K. (2018). Myocardial contractile patterns predict future cardiac events in sarcoidosis. *The international journal of cardiovascular imaging*, 34(2), 251–262. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10554-017-1233-9>

Figure Legends

Figure 1 : PRISMA flow chart

Figure 2 : Forest plot for LVGLS, in the sarcoid group as compared to controls; N: Total sample; SD: standard deviation; REML: Restricted Maximum-Likelihood estimator

Figure 3 : Forest plot for LVGCS, in the sarcoid group as compared to controls; N: Total sample; SD: standard deviation; REML: Restricted Maximum-Likelihood estimator

Figure 4: Forest plot for LVGLS, in the sarcoid patients experiencing MCE as compared to sarcoid patients not experiencing MCE ; N: Total sample; SD: standard deviation; REML : Restricted Maximum-Likelihood estimator

Hosted file

Tables.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/348358/articles/473721-speckle-tracking-echocardiography-can-predict-subclinical-myocardial-involvement-in-patients-with-sarcoidosis-a-meta-analysis>

Hosted file

Figures.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/348358/articles/473721-speckle-tracking-echocardiography-can-predict-subclinical-myocardial-involvement-in-patients-with-sarcoidosis-a-meta-analysis>