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Abstract

The COVID-19 has posed a wide range of urgent questions: about the disease, testing, immunity, treatments, and outcomes. Extreme situations, such as pandemics, call for exceptional measures. However, this threatens the production and application of evidence. This paper directs evidence production towards four types of uncertainty in order to address the challenges of the pandemic: Risk, Fundamental uncertainty, Ignorance, and Ambiguity. Eliminating ambiguity, being alert to the unknown, and gathering data to estimate risks are crucial to preserve evidence and save lives. Hence, in order to avoid fake facts and to provide sustainable solutions we need to pay attention to the various kinds of uncertainty. Producing high quality evidence is the solution, not the problem.

Background

- The COVID-19 has posed a wide range of urgent questions: about the disease, testing, immunity, treatments, and outcomes.
- Extreme situations, such as pandemics, call for exceptional measures.
- This threatens the production and application of evidence.

What this paper adds

- This paper directs evidence production towards four types of uncertainty in order to address the challenges of the pandemic.
- The four types of uncertainty are: Risk, Fundamental uncertainty, Ignorance, and Ambiguity.
- Eliminating ambiguity, being alert to the unknown, and gathering data to estimate risks are crucial to preserve evidence and save lives.

The first casualty of an epidemic is evidence.

We fight a ventured war against a virus, and the truth is claimed to be the first casualty of war. However, behind concealment, conspiracy theories, and lies lie uncertainty and lack of evidence. Hence, evidence is the first casualty of an epidemic.

We appear to be haunted by an invisible enemy and despite months with careful monitoring, extended testing, experimental treatment, and 18702 scientific papers in PubMed (June 4), uncertainty still prevails. Our urgent need for information makes us lower the bar for evidence and thereby increasing the chance of bias and bad decisions. The serious situation has led to ethical exceptionalism, e.g., in terms of controlled human infection (CHI) studies. Correspondingly, we are exposed to an epistemic exceptionalism. For example, the extremely rapid and “opinion-based” peer review has resulted in a surge of retractions of COVID-19 papers. Accordingly, we seem to be subject to an “epidemic of false claims and potentially harmful actions.”

In a situation with extensive uncertainty and an urgent need to act, understanding the character of uncertainty is key.
Getting to terms with uncertainty

The uncertainties of the COVID-19 pandemic can be mapped onto four types: Risk, Fundamental uncertainty, Ignorance and Ambiguity. With Risk we have known outcomes and we know their probability distributions. With Fundamental uncertainty we know the outcomes, but not the probability distribution. When being ignorant we know neither. Ambiguity arises when experts disagree over the framing of possible contexts, options, outcomes, benefits or harms.8

Table 1 gives an overview of some specific and crucial uncertainties in the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 1 Four types of uncertainty classified according to outcomes and risks. Adapted from.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possibilities Probability</th>
<th>Known outcome</th>
<th>Unknown outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Known probability</td>
<td><strong>Risk</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ambiguity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test accuracy (sensitivity, specificity, predictive values)9 for the various tests in different contexts10 Effects and side effects of new treatments Prevalence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Fundamental</strong> (Knightian) Uncertainty</td>
<td>Basic Reproduction Number (R)12 Case Fatality Rate / Infection Fatality Rate Being infected Spreading the virus Treatment outcomes13 Immunity Effectiveness of intensive care treatment6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown probability</td>
<td><strong>Ignorance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Late stage consequences of COVID-19 Treatment options13 Mutation potential Obstacles to vaccine development and production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Making decisions based on risks is not easy, but commonplace. The problem with COVID-19 is that so many risks are unknown, as probability distributions are wanting. Moreover, decisions based on fundamental uncertainty tend to be speculative and potentially harmful.8 Decisions based on ambiguity “are not just potentially misleading — they are a fundamental contradiction in terms.”8 Therefore it is crucial to clarify definitions. Additionally, ignorance poses great challenges, as we do not know what we do not know – and hence where to search for solutions.

Taking uncertainty seriously

No doubt, scientists are working relentlessly to find answers to the many questions and solutions to the pandemic. But the desperate situation appears to excite desperate measures.13 We are lead into what has been called a “once-in-a century evidence fiasco.”14

In order to target our efforts to reduce uncertainty, we need to pay attention to the various kinds of uncertainty. Reducing risk15 and fundamental uncertainty is in vain if ambiguity prevails. For example, increasing test accuracy (technically) has shown to be of little help when the sampling method or validation procedure is inappropriate. Correspondingly, great treatment efforts can be futile if we ignore important factors for preventing, diagnosing, or treating COVID-19.

Hence, the tasks for scientists strongly depend on the kinds of uncertainty. Correspondingly, our tasks are fourfold and mapped in Table 2.

Table 1 Tasks for scientists corresponding to the four types of uncertainty.
Possibilities Probability

Known probability

Known outcome

Risk With known risk, the tasks are to a) reduce the negative consequences of specific outcomes and b) to reduce their probability, e.g., by vaccines, better diagnostics, prognostics, and treatment.

Unknown probability

Unknown outcome

Ambiguity Define diagnostic criteria of COVID-19 Define appropriate tests (type) Define test procedures Define test verification Define immunity Define treatment outcomes

Define diagnostic criteria of COVID-19 Define appropriate tests (type) Define test procedures Define test verification Define immunity Define treatment outcomes

The first casualty of an epidemic is evidence. In extreme situations the imperative of action is strong. This makes extreme measures tempting – including scientific and ethical shortcuts. Rigorous evidential and ethical criteria appear to obstruct progress. However, producing high quality evidence is the solution to the pandemic, not the problem.

In order to avoid fake facts and to provide sustainable solutions science needs to pay attention to the various kinds of uncertainty. Eliminating ambiguity, being alert to the unknown, and gathering data to estimate risks are crucial to preserve evidence and save lives.
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