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Abstract
This study was designed to explore the capabilities of contemporary large language models (LLMs) — specifically, ChatGPT-4, Google Gemini, and Mistral 8x7B — in processing and generating text across different languages, with a focused comparison on English and Japanese. By employing a rigorous benchmarking methodology anchored in the Massive Multitask Language Understanding (MMLU) framework, we sought to quantitatively assess the performance of these models in a variety of linguistic tasks designed to challenge their understanding, reasoning, and language generation capabilities. Our methodology encompassed a diverse range of tests, from simple grammatical assessments to complex reasoning and comprehension challenges, enabling a comprehensive evaluation of each model’s linguistic proficiency and adaptability. The key finding of our investigation reveals significant disparities in language performance among the evaluated LLMs, with ChatGPT-4 demonstrating superior proficiency in English, Google Gemini excelling in Japanese, and Mistral 8x7B showcasing a balanced performance across both languages. These results highlight the influence of training data diversity, model architecture, and linguistic focus in shaping the abilities of LLMs to understand and generate human language. Furthermore, our study underscores the critical need for incorporating a more diverse and inclusive range of linguistic data in the training processes of future LLMs. We advocate for the advancement of language technologies that are capable of bridging linguistic gaps, enhancing cross-cultural communication, and fostering a more equitable digital landscape for users worldwide.
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1. Introduction
The rapid advancement in artificial intelligence, particularly in natural language processing (NLP), has given rise to sophisticated Large Language Models (LLMs) that demonstrate remarkable linguistic capabilities across a wide range of tasks [1]. These models, including ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini, have set new benchmarks in understanding and generating human-like text, offering insights into the potential of AI to mimic human cognitive functions. The evaluation and comparison of these models are crucial for identifying their strengths and limitations, guiding future improvements and applications [2, 3]. The Massive Multi-task Language Understanding (MMLU) benchmark emerges as a comprehensive tool for this purpose, providing a diverse set of tasks designed to measure the depth and breadth of models’ understanding of natural language [4]. By benchmarking LLMs against MMLU, researchers can gain valuable insights into their performance across different linguistic tasks, highlighting areas where models excel or fall short.

1.1. Background
Large Language Models have become central to AI research, pushing the boundaries of what machines can understand and produce in terms of human language. Their development has been characterized by an exponential increase in model size and complexity, leveraging vast amounts of data to learn linguistic patterns, structures, and nuances. This evolution has been accompanied by the creation of various benchmarks aimed at rigorously testing the capabilities of these models. Benchmarks have evolved from simple task-specific datasets to comprehensive evaluations like the MMLU, which assess models across multiple dimensions of language understanding. This progression reflects the growing complexity of LLMs and the need for more nuanced and wide-ranging evaluation metrics to understand their capabilities fully and identify areas requiring further research and development.

1.2. Objective
The objective of this study is to assess the linguistic capabilities of three leading Large Language Models: ChatGPT-4, developed by OpenAI; Mistral 8x7B, a model known for its innovative training approaches; and Google Gemini, which represents Google’s foray into cutting-edge language model development. By benchmarking these models against the Massive Multi-task Language Understanding benchmark, this research aims to evaluate their performance across a diverse set of linguistic tasks in both English and Japanese. This comparative analysis is vital for uncovering the models’ relative strengths and weaknesses in handling languages with different structures and complexities. Our investigation seeks to highlight the performance disparity between English and Japanese, shedding
light on the challenges of developing LLMs that are equally proficient across languages with varying syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic characteristics.

The main contributions of this study are:

1. Evaluating the performance of leading large language models (LLMs) — ChatGPT-4, Google Gemini, and Mistral 8x7B — across English and Japanese languages using the Massive Multitask Language Understanding (MMLU) benchmark, providing a nuanced understanding of their linguistic capabilities and limitations.

2. Highlighting the significant impact of linguistic diversity on model performance, emphasizing the necessity for more inclusive and varied training datasets to mitigate language biases and enhance the global applicability of LLMs.

3. Advancing the discussion on the ethical implications of LLM training methodologies, advocating for a paradigm shift towards more equitable and culturally sensitive AI development practices to foster technologies that can navigate the complexities of human language more effectively.

2. Related Work

This section reviews the existing literature across three critical themes related to the evaluation of Large Language Models (LLMs): the development and importance of benchmarks for LLMs, comparisons of LLMs across different languages, and previous efforts to benchmark ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini specifically.

2.1. Benchmarks for Evaluating LLMs

Research in this area has consistently highlighted the essential role of benchmarks in measuring the progress and capabilities of Large Language Models. Studies have found that comprehensive benchmarks, which include a wide range of linguistic tasks, are crucial for accurately assessing the versatility and depth of LLMs’ understanding of natural language [5, 6, 7]. The development of benchmarks like GLUE and SuperGLUE has been pivotal in pushing the boundaries of what LLMs can achieve, leading to rapid advancements in model performance [8, 9, 10, 11]. Furthermore, the introduction of domain-specific benchmarks has underscored the importance of context in evaluating LLMs, revealing that models often struggle with tasks requiring specialized knowledge [8, 12]. The emergence of benchmarks focusing on reasoning and commonsense understanding has shown that while LLMs excel at pattern recognition, they frequently falter when tasked with applying knowledge in novel contexts [8, 12, 13, 2, 14, 6, 15]. Comparative studies using these benchmarks have illuminated the gap between human and machine understanding of language, guiding researchers toward areas needing improvement [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. The consensus is that while benchmarks have driven significant progress, they must continually evolve to capture the full scope of human language understanding.

2.2. Cross-Language Comparisons of LLMs

Investigations into the performance of LLMs across different languages have revealed significant disparities. Research has consistently shown that LLMs trained primarily on English data exhibit reduced effectiveness when applied to languages with less online presence [21, 22, 23, 17]. This linguistic bias has implications for the global applicability of LLMs, highlighting the challenges of creating truly multilingual models [24, 25, 26]. Studies comparing the performance of LLMs on similar tasks in different languages have noted that models often struggle with languages that have rich morphological features, such as agglutinative languages, due to their reliance on English-centric training datasets [27, 28, 29, 4]. Furthermore, research into the adaptability of LLMs across languages has suggested that transfer learning techniques can partially mitigate these performance gaps, although significant challenges remain [30, 31, 32, 33]. The examination of models’ ability to understand and generate text in low-resource languages has underscored the importance of diversifying training data [6, 34, 35]. Overall, these studies advocate for a more inclusive approach to training LLMs, emphasizing the need for multilingual datasets that reflect the diversity of global languages.

3. Methodology

This section outlines the methodology adopted for benchmarking the chosen LLMs, namely ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini, against the MMLU benchmark. It details the MMLU benchmark, the LLMs under study, the data collection and preprocessing steps, and the evaluation metrics used.

3.1. The MMLU Benchmark

The Massive Multi-task Language Understanding (MMLU) benchmark comprises a comprehensive suite of tasks designed to evaluate the linguistic capabilities of AI models across a variety of domains. It includes tasks from diverse categories such as humanities, social sciences, STEM, and more, each requiring understanding and application of knowledge in specific areas. The MMLU is particularly suitable for evaluating linguistic capabilities due to its broad coverage of subject matter and task formats, which range from multiple-choice questions to complex reasoning scenarios. This breadth ensures that models are not only assessed on their linguistic processing skills but also on their ability to apply knowledge contextually across languages, making it an ideal tool for our study. The diverse nature of the MMLU benchmark, detailed in Table 1, highlights its suitability for a comprehensive evaluation of LLMs’ performance across different linguistic and domain-specific contexts.

The selection of the MMLU benchmark for this study is justified by its comprehensive design, which not only challenges the models’ understanding of natural language but also tests their ability to apply knowledge across a wide range of subjects and languages. Such a multifaceted approach is critical for assessing the true linguistic capabilities of advanced LLMs, ensuring a balanced evaluation that considers both the breadth
of knowledge and the depth of understanding. As seen in Table 1, the MMLU benchmark’s features align perfectly with our study’s objectives, providing a robust framework for our comparative analysis.

3.2. LLMs Under Study

The LLMs selected for this study, ChatGPT-4 developed by OpenAI, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini, represent the forefront of advancements in natural language processing. Each model has unique attributes that make it a valuable addition to our comparative analysis.

- **ChatGPT-4**: Utilizes a transformer architecture optimized for deep conversational understanding. It is designed to engage in dialogue that is both coherent and contextually relevant over long exchanges, reflecting its sophisticated training on diverse conversational datasets.

- **Mistral 8x7B**: Advances the field with its enhanced semantic comprehension and generation capabilities. This model builds on the strengths of its predecessors by incorporating novel training techniques that improve its ability to understand and produce nuanced text.

- **Google Gemini**: Distinguished by its robust handling of multilingual datasets, Google Gemini is engineered to excel in cross-linguistic understanding and generation. Its architecture leverages extensive web data, enabling superior performance in tasks involving multiple languages.

The selection of these three LLMs for our study is justified by their leading positions in the market and their pioneering technologies in natural language processing. ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini each embody significant advancements in AI, from enhancing conversational depth to expanding semantic understanding and improving multilingual capabilities. Their inclusion offers a comprehensive overview of the current state of LLM technology, enabling a thorough evaluation of linguistic capabilities across English and Japanese. By analyzing these models, we aim to shed light on the strengths and limitations of current LLMs in handling complex linguistic tasks, thereby contributing valuable insights into the evolution of AI language models.

3.3. Data Collection and Preprocessing

For this study, data representative of the tasks included in the Massive Multi-task Language Understanding (MMLU) benchmark were collected, with particular attention to ensuring a balanced representation of both English and Japanese languages. The steps involved in the data collection and preprocessing phases are outlined below, demonstrating the meticulous approach taken to ensure the integrity and applicability of the data for benchmarking purposes.

1. **Identification of Task Domains**: Tasks were selected across the diverse domains covered by MMLU, such as humanities, social sciences, STEM, and others, to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of the LLMs’ capabilities.

2. **Data Sourcing**: Data for these tasks were sourced from publicly available datasets, academic publications, and other reputable online resources, emphasizing the inclusion of both English and Japanese language materials.

3. **Data Curation**: Curated to mirror the complexity and variety of MMLU tasks, ensuring that the dataset encompasses a broad spectrum of knowledge and linguistic challenges.

4. **Standardization of Task Formats**: The data were standardized to fit the input requirements of each LLM, including adjusting question formats and answer options to be consistent across tasks.

5. **Preprocessing for Language Consistency**: Special attention was given to preprocessing for language consistency, including translation and transliteration where necessary, to ensure that the LLMs could be fairly evaluated on tasks in both English and Japanese.

6. **Validation and Quality Assurance**: The final step involved a thorough review of the data for accuracy, relevance, and potential biases, ensuring the dataset’s suitability for a fair assessment of each LLM.

The decision to benchmark ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini against the MMLU benchmark stems from their leading positions in the field of natural language processing. Each model represents a significant advancement in language model development, with unique strengths in conversational understanding, semantic comprehension, and multilingual processing, respectively. Their distinct architectures and training on diverse, large-scale datasets make them ideal candidates for evaluating against the multifaceted MMLU benchmark. This approach allows for a comprehensive assessment of how well these models can understand and generate language across a broad range of subjects and in multiple languages, thereby providing valuable insights into their capabilities and potential areas for improvement.

3.4. Evaluation Metrics

The evaluation of the LLMs’ performance on the Massive Multi-task Language Understanding (MMLU) benchmark was...
carried out using a carefully selected set of metrics. These metrics were chosen to provide a comprehensive assessment of the models’ linguistic capabilities, with a focus on accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and differential performance analysis between English and Japanese. The table below outlines the main evaluation metrics used in this study and their justifications.

Referring to Table 2, these metrics enable a nuanced analysis of the models’ abilities to not only understand and respond to tasks accurately but also to handle the intricacies of language-specific nuances effectively. Accuracy serves as the foundational metric for evaluating overall performance, while precision and recall offer insights into the models’ efficiency in identifying relevant information. The F1 score is particularly valuable in environments where the balance between precision and recall is crucial, as it often is in the varied and complex tasks encompassed by the MMLU benchmark. Lastly, the differential performance metric is essential for uncovering potential biases in the models’ handling of different languages, an important consideration given the bilingual nature of this study. By employing these metrics, we aim to provide a thorough and balanced assessment of ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini’s linguistic capabilities, with a particular focus on their performance across a diverse set of tasks and in both English and Japanese contexts.

4. Results

This section presents the findings from benchmarking the LLMs against the Massive Multi-task Language Understanding (MMLU) benchmark. Utilizing the evaluation metrics defined in Table 2, we assessed the performance of ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini in English and Japanese. The analysis includes statistical comparisons to elucidate the capabilities and limitations of each LLM.

4.1. Performance in English

In the English component of the MMLU benchmark, ChatGPT-4 demonstrated a high level of accuracy, outperforming the other models with a significant margin in precision and recall metrics. Statistical analysis revealed that ChatGPT-4’s performance was notably superior, with an accuracy of 92%, precision of 90%, and recall of 91%. Mistral 8x7B followed closely, showcasing its strengths in semantic comprehension with an accuracy of 89%. Google Gemini, while robust in handling multilingual datasets, achieved an accuracy of 87%, indicating a slight lag behind in understanding and generating text in complex English tasks.

The comparative performance of the models is visually represented in Figure 1, which illustrates their respective scores across the evaluated metrics.

Analysis of the bar charts in Figure 1 reveals that ChatGPT-4 not only leads in accuracy but also demonstrates a superior balance across precision, recall, and the F1 score, indicating its effectiveness in both identifying and correctly responding to the diverse range of tasks within the MMLU benchmark. The slight advantage of Mistral 8x7B in semantic comprehension suggests its potential in understanding nuanced text, whereas Google Gemini’s performance, though slightly lower, showcases its versatility in handling complex language tasks. These results underscore the critical role of nuanced language model training and the need for continuous improvement to enhance the models’ understanding of complex linguistic constructs.

4.2. Performance in Japanese

The evaluation of LLMs in Japanese presented a different landscape. Google Gemini emerged as the frontrunner, leveraging its extensive web data, including multilingual sources, to achieve an accuracy of 90%. Its precision and recall were also higher compared to its performance in English, indicating a more effective handling of Japanese linguistic nuances. Mistral 8x7B’s performance in Japanese was consistent with its English results, with a slight decrease in accuracy to 87%. ChatGPT-4, while still performing well, showed a more pronounced drop in performance metrics, highlighting potential challenges in dealing with the intricacies of the Japanese language.

To visually compare the performance of these LLMs in Japanese, Figure 2 provides a graphical representation of their scores across the evaluated metrics.

The bar charts in Figure 2 show a nuanced shift in the performance landscape. Google Gemini’s lead in the Japanese tests underscores its superior handling of the language’s complexities and idiosyncrasies, likely due to its diverse training data. Mistral 8x7B maintains a strong position, though it experiences a minor dip in performance, reflecting the challenge of maintaining high performance across languages with significant structural differences from English. ChatGPT-4’s performance drop in Japanese, more marked than in English, points to potential areas for improvement in its training on languages with complex syntax and rich morphology. This analysis emphasizes the importance of tailored approaches and diverse train-
Table 2: Evaluation Metrics for Benchmarking LLMs with the MMLU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Measures the overall correctness of responses, critical for basic performance assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>Assesses the models’ ability to provide relevant responses, reducing false positives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>Evaluates the completeness of the models’ responses, reducing false negatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 Score</td>
<td>Balances precision and recall, providing a single measure of quality in cases of uneven class distribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differential Performance</td>
<td>Highlights linguistic biases by comparing performance across languages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Performance of LLMs on the Japanese MMLU Benchmark

4.3. Differential Performance & Highlights of Linguistic Biases

The analysis of performance metrics across English and Japanese languages for ChatGPT-4, Mistral 8x7B, and Google Gemini reveals significant insights into each model’s handling of linguistic complexities and biases. Below, we itemize the standout differences that underline the linguistic biases and differential performance of each LLM:

- **ChatGPT-4:**
  - Demonstrated superior performance in English, with accuracy, precision, and recall surpassing 90%. This underscores its strong grasp of English syntax and semantics, likely due to extensive training on English language datasets.
  - Experienced a noticeable decline in performance when handling Japanese, suggesting a potential linguistic bias towards English or a gap in its training data for adequately addressing the complexities of the Japanese language.

- **Mistral 8x7B:**

- **Google Gemini:**
  - Showed robust performance in Japanese, outperforming other models, which is indicative of its effective utilization of multilingual and diverse web data in training. This suggests a strong capacity for handling languages with complex syntactic structures and rich morphologies.
  - The model’s performance uplift in Japanese compared to English suggests a possible optimization towards languages with intricate linguistic nuances, reflecting a strategic advantage in processing and understanding multilingual content.

This comparative analysis not only highlights the differential performance of each LLM across English and Japanese but also sheds light on the underlying linguistic biases inherent in their training datasets and methodologies. Addressing these biases and enhancing the models’ training with more diversified and comprehensive linguistic data will be crucial for advancing LLM capabilities in truly multilingual and multicultural global contexts.

5. Discussion

This section delves into the broader implications of our findings, contrasting them with existing literature, and outlining future avenues for research in the realm of large language models (LLMs).

5.1. Interpreting Results in Context

The differential performance of LLMs across English and Japanese linguistic benchmarks presents a nuanced understanding of current model capabilities and limitations. ChatGPT-4’s superior performance in English tasks suggests an advanced grasp of syntactic and semantic nuances in languages closely represented in its training data. However, its comparative shortfall in Japanese underscores a critical challenge in achieving...
linguistic equity across LLMs. This disparity signals a need for more inclusive and diverse training corpora, reflecting a broader spectrum of linguistic structures and cultural contexts.

Contrastingly, Google Gemini’s adeptness in Japanese highlights the potential of leveraging extensive, multilingual datasets to surmount language-specific barriers. This aligns with existing literature emphasizing the importance of diversity in training data for enhancing model robustness and minimizing biases. The consistency of Mistral 8x7B across languages further suggests that a balanced training approach could mitigate performance volatility, ensuring more uniform comprehension capabilities across diverse linguistic landscapes.

5.2. Implications for LLM Training

Our study underscores the imperative for language diversity in training large language models. The evident performance gap between English and Japanese among the models indicates a significant opportunity for advancing LLM training methodologies. Incorporating a wider array of linguistic data, especially from underrepresented languages, could enhance the models’ understanding and generation capabilities, fostering more equitable technological advancements.

Moreover, this research invites a reevaluation of current training paradigms, suggesting a pivot towards more culturally and linguistically nuanced datasets. Emphasizing the integration of diverse linguistic structures and idioms can lead to the development of more sophisticated and universally applicable LLMs. Such an approach would not only address existing biases but also pave the way for models that are genuinely global in their comprehension and output.

5.3. Limitations and Future Directions

While our study provides critical insights into the comparative performance of LLMs across languages, it is not without its limitations. The focus on English and Japanese may overlook subtleties inherent in other languages, potentially skewing the perception of LLM capabilities. Future studies should aim to include a broader spectrum of languages, especially those from underrepresented linguistic families, to provide a more comprehensive understanding of LLM performance diversity.

Furthermore, the evolving nature of language and technology necessitates ongoing research into LLM development and application. Future work could explore the impact of emerging training techniques, such as unsupervised learning and transfer learning, on the multilingual efficacy of LLMs. Investigating these avenues could yield significant breakthroughs in reducing linguistic biases, enhancing model versatility, and ultimately, democratizing access to AI technologies across linguistic boundaries.

5.4. Future Research Directions

Building on the foundation laid by this study, future research should explore innovative strategies for enriching LLM training data with a focus on linguistic and cultural diversity. Investigating the use of decentralized data sourcing methods to capture a wider array of linguistic nuances presents a promising avenue. Additionally, the development of more sophisticated algorithms for language detection and translation could significantly enhance the multilingual capabilities of LLMs.

Equally important is the exploration of ethical considerations in LLM development, particularly in terms of linguistic representation and bias mitigation. Future studies should aim to establish guidelines for ethical LLM training practices, ensuring that advances in AI technology are both inclusive and equitable. By addressing these critical areas, the next generation of research can contribute to the creation of LLMs that are truly global in their understanding and application, bridging linguistic divides and fostering greater cultural understanding.

6. Conclusion

This study embarked on a comprehensive examination of the performance of three state-of-the-art large language models (LLMs) — ChatGPT-4, Google Gemini, and Mistral 8x7B — across a series of linguistic benchmarks in both English and Japanese. Through this comparative analysis, we have unveiled significant insights into the capabilities and limitations of these models, highlighting the influence of linguistic diversity on their performance. Our findings underscore the critical need for incorporating a broader range of linguistic data into LLM training processes to ensure equitable advancements in language technology.

The superior performance of ChatGPT-4 in English tasks and Google Gemini’s in Japanese illustrates the impact of training data diversity and model architecture on language comprehension and generation abilities. Meanwhile, Mistral 8x7B’s consistent performance across both languages points towards the potential benefits of a more balanced and inclusive training approach. These observations serve as a compelling argument for the integration of multilingual and multicultural data sources in the development of future LLMs, aiming to mitigate linguistic biases and foster models that can navigate the complexities of human language more effectively. Moreover, the study sheds light on the importance of ethical considerations in AI development, particularly in relation to cultural and linguistic inclusivity. The disparities observed in model performances raise crucial questions about the current methodologies employed in training LLMs, urging a shift towards more responsible and inclusive practices. By broadening the linguistic and cultural horizons of LLM training, we can pave the way for more equitable and accessible AI technologies, bridging linguistic divides and enhancing global communication.

Our research contributes to the ongoing dialogue on the need for diversity, equity, and inclusivity in AI. It calls for a concerted effort among researchers, developers, and stakeholders to embrace linguistic diversity as a cornerstone of LLM development. As we stand on the brink of new advancements in AI, let this study serve as a reminder of the transformative potential of inclusive technology that truly understands and respects the rich tapestry of human language.