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Abstract

Following the Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) eruption in January 2022, a significant reduction in stratospheric hydrochloric acid (HCl) was observed in the Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes during the latter half of 2022, suggesting potential chlorine activation. The objective of this study is to comprehensively understand the substantial loss of HCl in the aftermath of HTHH. Satellite measurements along with a global chemistry-climate model are employed for the analysis. We find strong agreement of 2022 anomalies between the modeled and the measured data. The observed tracer-tracer relations between N2O and HCl indicate a significant role of chemical processing in the observed HCl reduction, especially during the austral winter of 2022. Further examining the roles of chlorine gas-phase and heterogeneous chemistry, we find that heterogeneous chemistry emerges as the primary driver for the chemical loss of HCl, with the reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols identified as the dominant loss process.
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Key Points:
- A significant reduction in stratospheric HCl was observed in the Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes during the latter half of 2022.
- Analysis using both model and satellites suggest a significant role of chemical processing in the observed HCl reduction.
- Heterogeneous chemistry is the primary driver for the chemical HCl loss, with HOBr + HCl on sulfate aerosols as the dominant process.

Abstract

Following the Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) eruption in January 2022, a significant reduction in stratospheric hydrochloric acid (HCl) was observed in the Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes during the latter half of 2022, suggesting potential chlorine activation. The objective of this study is to comprehensively understand the substantial loss of HCl in the aftermath of HTHH. Satellite measurements along with a global chemistry-climate model are employed for the analysis. We find strong agreement of 2022 anomalies between the modeled and the measured data. The observed tracer-tracer relations between N2O and HCl indicate a significant role of chemical processing in the observed HCl reduction, especially during the austral winter of 2022. Further examining the roles of chlorine gas-phase and heterogeneous chemistry, we find that heterogeneous chemistry emerges as the primary driver for the chemical loss of HCl, with the reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols identified as the dominant loss process.
Plain language summary

After the eruption of Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) in January 2022, there was a substantial decrease in stratospheric hydrochloric acid (HCl) in the Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes in the latter part of 2022, hinting at potential chlorine activation. This study aims to comprehensively understand the significant loss of HCl following the HTHH eruption, utilizing satellite measurements and a global chemistry-climate model for analysis. The anomalies in 2022 show remarkable agreement between the modeled and measured data. Tracer-tracer relations between N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl suggest a substantial influence of chemical processing in the observed reduction of HCl, particularly during the austral winter of 2022. Upon further investigation into the role of chlorine gas-phase and heterogeneous chemistry, heterogeneous chemistry emerges as the primary driver for the chemical loss of HCl. The reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols is identified as the dominant process leading to this loss.

1. Introduction

The January 2022 Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) eruption (20.5°S, 175.4°W) was an unprecedented underwater volcanic event in the modern era. The eruption injected about 150 Tg of water (H\textsubscript{2}O) (Millán et al., 2022; Randel et al., 2023) along with a moderate amount of sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) into the stratosphere (Carn et al. 2022; Taha et al., 2022). Satellite observations (Santee et al., 2023) and model simulations (Zhang et al., 2023; Wilmouth et al., 2023), all found significant ozone decreases in the lower stratosphere at southern hemisphere (SH) mid-latitudes in 2022 after the eruption. In particular, a record low ozone relative to the climatology (2004 to 2021) in the SH austral winter between 30 to 50 hPa was observed in the mid-latitudes (Zhang et al., 2023). While there is evidence for some dynamical contributions to the ozone variations observed in 2022 (Wang et al., 2023), anomalous reductions in mid-latitude chlorine (Cl) reservoir species hydrochloric acid (HCl) along with enhancements in reactive chlorine monoxide (ClO) (Santee et al., 2023), suggest that Cl chemistry is also likely to contribute to the record low ozone abundances in 2022.

It is well-known that the SO\textsubscript{2} emission from the volcanic eruptions can enhance aerosol surface areas for heterogeneous chemistry (e.g., Hofmann & Solomon, 1989; Solomon et al., 1999). As the dense volcanic aerosols of HTHH spread in the stratosphere, satellite measurements from Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) and Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System (OSIRIS) reported large reductions in concentrations of stratospheric nitrogen oxide (NO\textsubscript{x}), via hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide (N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}) on aerosols (Santee et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023). In response, the concentration of ClO increases as less NO\textsubscript{x} is available to convert ClO into reservoir species chlorine nitrate (ClONO\textsubscript{2}). Despite the large impact on NO\textsubscript{x} and ClO, N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} hydrolysis does not affect HCl to any significant degree. Previous study from Santee et al. (2023) has highlighted the role of dynamics in influencing HCl mixing ratio anomalies, particularly during the latter part of 2022. Further, tracer-tracer analysis from Wilmouth et al. (2023) shows deviations in MLS-observed HCl and N\textsubscript{2}O relations, suggesting that the chemical losses to HCl cannot be ignored. The underlying reasons behind the chemical loss of HCl are the subject of this study.
There are a few possible pathways for the HCl chemical loss. A major mechanism contributing to the reduction of HCl involves its heterogeneous reaction on and within particles, leading to the production of highly reactive chlorine forms such as Cl\textsubscript{2} and HOCl (Solomon et al., 2015). Therefore, these heterogeneous processes have the capability to "activate" chlorine from the reservoirs. In the polar region, where polar stratospheric clouds (PSC) form at cold temperatures, the following heterogeneous reaction \(\text{HCl} + \text{ClONO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{HNO}_3\) (Solomon et al., 1986) occurs on PSC surface and substantially depletes HCl. This heterogenous chlorine reaction is highly temperature dependent and is only effective on the surfaces of typical stratospheric aerosols at temperatures below 195 K (Hanson et al., 1994; Shi et al., 2001; Kawa et al., 1997; Solomon et al., 2015). The atmospheric temperatures are generally too warm (>200k) for this heterogeneous reaction to take place at mid-latitudes, although Solomon et al. (2023) demonstrated this reaction could happen at warmer temperatures on organic-rich wildfire aerosols. In addition, in the presence of substantial water injections, a moist stratosphere can modify conditions favoring heterogeneous processing, as noted by Solomon et al. (1999) and Anderson et al. (2012). In the case of the HTHH eruption, increased concentrations of water vapor and sulfate in the stratosphere have the potential to elevate the threshold temperature for chlorine activation, enabling polar processing and chlorine activation occurrence in mid-latitudes. Additionally, the importance of heterogeneous bromine reactions on the stratospheric sulfate aerosol has been examined by a number of groups (e.g., Hanson et al., 1994; Hanson and Ravishankara, 1995; Abbatt, 1995; Tie and Brasseur, 1996; Slusser et al., 1997). These studies indicate that under conditions of high aerosol surface area, the reaction \(\text{HOBr} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{BrCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}\) could represent a significant loss process for HCl at mid-latitudes. Later measurements reported by Waschewsky and Abbatt (1999) and Hanson (2003) support and even suggest that under warm stratospheric conditions (205-220 K), HCl loss via reaction with HOBr could become significant. The hydrolysis of bromine nitrate (BrONO\textsubscript{2}) can serve as a significant source of HOBr under elevated aerosol loadings, and this reaction does not exhibit strong temperature dependence. Recent studies have also emphasized the ability of organic aerosols from wildfires to activate chlorine in the mid-latitude lower stratosphere (Santee et al., 2022; Bernath et al., 2022, Solomon et al., 2022, 2023). Thus, understanding this chemistry is also important for discriminating between volcanic and wildfire or other organic-aerosol chemistry at mid-latitudes.

Further, the conversion of HCl to reactive chlorine via the gas-phase reaction \(\text{HCl} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Cl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}\) can also be accelerated due to the elevated OH level in the aftermath of the HTHH eruption. The massive injection of water vapor leads to a direct and rapid increase in stratospheric OH abundances (Zhu et al., 2022). In addition, photolysis of the gas-phase HNO\textsubscript{3}, HOCl and HOBr produced from the hydrolysis of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, ClONO\textsubscript{2}, and BrONO\textsubscript{2} is a source of reactive hydrogen, HOx (\(\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2\)). The reduction in NOx concentration also contributes to an increase in HOx by impeding the rate of the reaction between NO\textsubscript{2} and OH.

This study aims to discern whether chlorine activation primarily occurs through gas-phase or heterogeneous chemistry in the wake of HTHH, and the processes that are responsible for the chemical loss of HCl. This work will not quantify the ozone loss; rather, it will be exclusively focused on the chlorine chemistry. The ozone loss and ozone chemistry has been discussed in Zhang et al., (2023).

2. Data and Method
2.1 Satellite data
The study utilizes datasets from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE) and MLS. Level 2 satellite data from ACE version 5.2 are employed for H$_2$O, N$_2$O and HCl (Boone et al., 2005 and Boone, 2020). Additionally, daily level 3 satellite data from MLS version 5.0 are used for N$_2$O and HCl (Livesey et al., 2020). Both datasets span from 2007 to 2022 to match with the model simulation period. We exclude 2020-2021 because of the extreme Australian new year’s wildfire in late 2019/early 2020 (Santee et al., 2022; Solomon et al., 2022, 2023; Strahan et al., 2022; Bernath et al., 2022). Anomalies for N$_2$O and HCl in 2022 (Figure 1) are calculated as deviations from the mean of the 2007-2019 climatological background. Livesey et al. (2021) pointed out the long-term trend of MLS N$_2$O is suffering from a ~3-4% per decade drift in the lower stratosphere. Here, we detrend MLS daily data to allow an interannual comparison.

2.2 Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2)/Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM)
The numerical experiments in this study were conducted using CESM2/WACCM6, a state-of-the-art chemistry-climate model that spans from the Earth's surface to approximately 140 km. The model incorporates a comprehensive representation of troposphere-stratosphere-mesosphere-lower-thermosphere (TSMLT) chemistry, with detailed descriptions available in Gettelman et al. (2019). WACCM6 features a prognostic stratospheric aerosol module (Mills et al., 2016) and has been extensively employed to investigate the impact of volcanic aerosols on heterogeneous processes and their impact on ozone loss (e.g., Mills et al., 2017; Stone et al., 2017; Zambri et al., 2019). The reaction probabilities for key stratospheric heterogeneous processes on sulfate aerosol used in WACCM are listed in Table S1.

For this study, the simulations are characterized by a horizontal resolution of 0.9° latitude × 1.25° longitude, utilizing the finite volume dynamical core (Lin & Rood, 1996). The model includes 110 vertical levels, with a vertical resolution of approximately 500m in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. WACCM6 is operated in a specified dynamics configuration, where temperatures and horizontal winds (U, V) are relaxed, or nudged, to the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications Version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis (Gelaro et al., 2017) using a relaxation timescale of 12 hours. The nudging method employed in this study follows the approach outlined by Davis et al. (2022). This configuration spans from 2007 until the end of 2022, initialized with conditions from a long historical simulation (Gettelman et al., 2019). The model setup incorporates major stratospheric volcanic injections from 2007 to 2021. Beginning in January 2022, two distinct cases are conducted: the volcano case with external forcing (SO$_2$ and H$_2$O injection) from the HTHH eruption, and the control case with no external forcing (no SO$_2$ nor H$_2$O injection) from the HTHH eruption. The disparity between these two nudged simulations provides insights into the chemistry-related changes post the HTHH eruption. This study assumes the emissions are 150 Tg H$_2$O and 0.6 Tg SO$_2$ on January 15, 2022, from approximately 20 to 35 km altitude. The injection profiles of H$_2$O and SO$_2$ are similar to Zhu et al. (2022), however, with an adjustment of SO$_2$ injection. The SO$_2$ injection estimate ranges from 0.4 Tg to 1 Tg (e.g., Millan et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Sellitto et al., 2023) from different sources and approaches. Here an SO$_2$ injection of 0.6 Tg is utilized, leading to aerosol extinction that exhibits strong agreement with the Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite Limb Profiler (OMPS-LP) observation, especially during the 2022 Austral winter (Figure S1).
2.3 Tracer-tracer method

Exploring the correlation among chemical species, commonly known as "tracer–tracer" analysis, serves as a robust approach to dissect the interactions between dynamical and chemical processes (e.g., Proffitt et al., 1990; Griffin et al., 2019). In this study, we construct a "no-chemistry" baseline from the linear fit between N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl over January to March data, since no or little heterogeneous chemistry normally occurs in these three months given warm conditions. The foundation of this analysis lies in the expectation that dynamical transport should impact both N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl in a similar manner. The deviations of HCl from this "no-chemistry" baseline are defined as $\Delta$HCl, indicating the changes in HCl that are due to chemical processes. A detailed discussion on this method can be found in Wang et al., (2023).

In this analysis, N\textsubscript{2}O serves as an inactive tracer for calculating $\Delta$HCl, given its availability in both ACE and MLS observations. The distinct long-term trends of N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl resulting from anthropogenic emissions could introduce a bias in the tracer-tracer correlation. Furthermore, the drifting issue associated with MLS N\textsubscript{2}O adds complexity to the long-term trends. To address this, $\Delta$HCl for each year is computed based on the "no-chemistry" baseline established in that specific year. This approach prevents long-term trends in N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl from affecting the calculations.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Chemical signal of HCl loss at mid-latitudes (30-55°S)

The 2022 anomalies are computed as deviations from the climatological mean, shown in Figure 1. We linearly detrend both satellite observations and model simulations using data from 2007 to 2019 to accommodate the long-term trends in N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl as well as additional instrumental drift in MLS N\textsubscript{2}O. The climatology encompasses various phases of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO). Therefore, the derived stratospheric anomalies in HCl and N\textsubscript{2}O reflect both the influence of the 2022 QBO phase and the forced changes after the HTHH eruption, including both dynamical and chemical impacts. We use N\textsubscript{2}O, a long-lived transport tracer, to clarify the influence of dynamics in shaping the distribution of trace gasses. Notably, in much of the lower stratosphere, the vertical and meridional gradients of N\textsubscript{2}O exhibit an opposite pattern to HCl. As a result, N\textsubscript{2}O is generally anticorrelated with HCl in the lower stratosphere. The hatched regions on the plot denote areas where the 2022 anomalies fall outside the range of all variability during the period 2007-2019. Specifically, for N\textsubscript{2}O and HCl, the hatches indicate that the 2022 value represents the maximum and minimum, respectively, compared to the climatological data. The WACCM N\textsubscript{2}O anomaly is consistent with observations, suggesting that the dynamical transport on tracer distribution is represented well in WACCM.

The WACCM HCl anomaly in 2022 closely aligns with ACE and MLS relative to the climatology. These anomalies arise from a combination of internal variability and the forced dynamical and chemical changes triggered by the HTHH eruption. The onset of the negative anomaly in HCl is evident from May, corresponding to the arrival of substantial aerosols from the HTHH eruption in this region (Santee et al., 2023). During the months of June, July, and August (JJA), ACE, MLS, and WACCM consistently depict the lowest HCl levels compared to all years included in the climatology (indicated by hatching in Figure 1), consistent with the large negative HCl anomaly in winter reported in Wilmouth et al., (2023). In the latter part of the year, specifically between 17 to 27 km, both model and observations exhibit a substantial negative anomaly in HCl. Despite the
potential for chemical processing in this region, the signal of such processing is largely
overshadowed by significant countervailing positive anomalies in N₂O, indicating the predominant
influence of transport effects. However, in the key altitude range of approximately 19 to 23 km
during JJA that we focus on here, the large HCl anomaly is not accompanied by a similarly large
N₂O anomaly. This discrepancy suggests that dynamics alone cannot account for the low HCl
levels in that region, and chemical processing is highly likely taking place. The subsequent analysis
will focus on this specific region during the SH winter months.

Figure 1. Calculated 2022 HCl anomaly in ppbv (left panels) and N₂O anomaly in ppbv (right
panels) relative to climatology (2007 to 2019) from ACE, MLS, and WACCM in the SH mid-
latitudes (30-55°S). Hatched regions indicate where the 2022 anomalies are outside the range of

3.2 Significant stratospheric chlorine activation
The top panel in Figure 2 depicts the tracer-tracer relationship of ACE N₂O and HCl in June, July,
August and October at 20.5 km over 30 to 55°S, color-coded by water vapor concentration. ACE
doesn’t have observations in May and September 2022 over this latitude range. The thick black
lines in the top panel of Figure 2 represent the “no-chemistry” baseline in 2022, and the shaded
area encompasses a conservative full range of baseline variability, bounded by the maximum and
minimum baselines between 2007 and 2019. Deviations from correlation observed in HCl suggest
the presence of chemical processes, as described in Wang et al. (2023). The intensity of chemical
processes becomes more pronounced with greater deviations of HCl from the baseline. Tracer-
tracer plots further confirm the strong chemical processing that occurs in June and intensifies in
July and August in 2022 compared to 2007 to 2019 (triangles in Figure 2). It is notable that deviations in HCl from their respective “no-chemistry” baseline occur in June to August from 2007 to 2019 (round points in Figure 2), as chlorine activation happens every year in these months with a seasonal cycle. In October, Cl activation slows down as the polar spring advances with temperature rise, thus the HCl departure from the baseline reduces.

The bottom panel of Figure 2 displays the derived ΔHCl resulting from chemical processes from MLS, ACE, and WACCM in 2022. ACE and MLS ΔHCl is calculated by the deviations from the baseline, with the seasonal cycle removed. The shaded regions in blue and red represent the range of ±1 standard deviation (std) for MLS and ACE, respectively, for each month from 2007 to 2019. The patterns of derived ΔHCl from observations closely resemble those calculated by WACCM from the two nudged simulations (volcano minus control). Notably, the largest chemical induced HCl reduction occurs in the SH winter. Figure 2 further indicates that tracer-tracer analysis can effectively be employed to derive ΔHCl due to chemical processes using MLS and ACE data, and the results exhibit comparability with those from the chemistry climate model. The differences between WACCM, ACE and MLS are within the uncertainty range (±1 std).
Figure 2. Top panel: Tracer–tracer correlation between ACE-measured N$_2$O (x-axis) and HCl (y-axis), color-coded by water vapor concentration. Each dot represents a single measurement at 20.5 km over 30 to 55°S. The thick black lines represent the no-chemistry baseline, from the linear fit over January to March 2022 data points. The shaded regions indicate a conservative full range of baseline variability bounded by the maximum and minimum baselines constructed by data in individual years from 2007 to 2019. Bottom panel: Calculated ΔHCl from ACE, MLS and WACCM in 2022 averaging all points over 30 to 55°S. ACE and MLS ΔHCl is calculated from departures from the baseline in the top panel but with the seasonal cycle removed, representing the change in HCl due to anomalous chemical processes in 2022. The blue and red shaded regions indicate ±1 standard deviation range for MLS and ACE in each month from 2007 to 2019. WACCM ΔHCl is calculated from the difference in HCl between the volcano and the control run.

3.3 Role of gas and heterogenous phase chemistry in chlorine activation
To understand the chemical processes that give rise to the ΔHCl in Figure 2 following the HTHH eruption, a thorough model examination is conducted. Figure 3 illustrates the changes in gas-phase
and heterogeneous-phase chemistry, along with the cumulative changes of all chemistry. The 
ΔHCl is calculated from the volcano case compared to the control case. Gas-phase chemistry 
(Figure 3a) results in an increase in HCl below 23 km over the 30 to 55°S, accompanied by a 
decrease from 23 to 30 km. Heterogeneous chemistry (Figure 3b) induces HCl depletion from 15 
to 25 km. Considering both gas and heterogeneous chemistry (Figure 3c), the ΔHCl exhibits a net 
reduction above 19 km from April to December, with the maximum reduction occurring in the 
winter, consistent with Figure 2. There is an HCl increase below 18 km (Figure 3c), attributable to 
enhanced gas-phase reactions, particularly Cl+CH₄ and ClO+OH (discussed below).

**Figure 3.** WACCM calculated ΔHCl (ppbv) from the volcano minus the control case for (a) gas-
phase chemistry only, (b) heterogeneous chemistry only and (c) the sum of all gas and 
heterogeneous chemistry over 30 to 55°S. Note that panels c has different color bar ranges from 
panels a and b.

Perturbations in individual reactions are investigated following the HTHH eruption, with a 
particular focus during JJA. The ones with important contributions to the ΔHCl are plotted in 
Figure 4 with different colors. The rest of the reactions only affect less than 1% of ΔHCl, thus are 
not shown here. A full list of HCl reactions examined can be found in Table S2. The “all gas” 
black line in Figure 4a adds up all the gas reactions, not just the gas terms plotted in the figures, 
and same for the “all het” black line in Figure 4b. In the realm of gas-phase chemistry (Figure 4a), 
the reaction between HCl and elevated OH acts as a significant sink for HCl from the simulated
perturbation due to HTHH. However, this loss is entirely compensated for by the heightened gas-
phase production, particularly Cl+CH$_4$ and ClO+OH. Among heterogeneous reactions (Figure 4b),
the primary sink for HCl is the reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols between 15 to
25 km, with ClONO$_2$+HCl contributing as well, albeit with a much smaller magnitude. This is
mainly attributed to volcanic aerosols providing additional surface area density (SAD) for
heterogeneous chemistry at these altitudes (Figure 4d). Here we conclude that, during the SH
wintertime, the HCl chemical reduction from 15 to 24 km in the mid-latitudes is attributed to
heterogeneous chemistry rather than gas-phase chemistry (Figure 4c). Our results for this season
differ from the findings reported by Wilmouth et al. (2023), where they suggest that gas-phase
chemistry is the primary cause of the chemical loss of HCl. They state that this is because of the
enhanced HCl loss with elevated OH, as well as the slower HCl production from Cl+CH$_4$ reaction.
Our findings support the former but not the latter, resulting in a different net effect for gas-phase
reactions alone.
Figure 4. ΔHCl (in ppbv) contribution from individual reactions for (a) gas chemistry, (b) heterogeneous chemistry and (c) the sum of all chemistry averaged over JJA in 2022 over 30 to 55°S. ΔHCl is calculated from the volcano minus control case. (d) the modeled aerosol surface area density in um²/cm³ and water in ppmv.
The injection of volcanic water and aerosols from the HTHH eruption perturbs atmospheric conditions (e.g., surface area density, aerosol radiance and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ content), which further impact the reactive probability of heterogeneous reactions. Comparative analysis between the volcano case and the control case reveals enhanced reactive probabilities for all examined heterogeneous reactions in the volcano scenario (Figure S2). Laboratory studies (Hanson and Ravishankara, 1995; Hanson et al., 1996, 2003) have demonstrated the highly efficient hydrolysis of BrONO$_2$ in sulfuric acid solutions. Reaction probabilities of approximately 0.8 were documented for the uptake of BrONO$_2$ onto sulfuric acid solutions with $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ content ranging from 40 to 70 weight percentage (wt%) (Figure S2). The enhanced water concentration at mid-latitudes doesn't substantially decrease the sulfuric acid content during the SH winter, unlike immediately after the eruption when the massive influx of water reduces the weight percent of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ from 70% to 35% (Zhu et al., 2023). Compared to other heterogeneous processes, hydrolysis of BrONO$_2$ is relatively temperature-insensitive and can take place rapidly at various stratospheric conditions, making their influence important and widespread. The product resulting from the hydrolysis of BrONO$_2$, HOBr, undergoes another heterogeneous process with HCl, providing an additional pathway to chlorine activation. Under temperatures in the mid-latitudes (>200K), the enhancement in the rate of HOBr+HCl reactions after the HTHH eruption plays a dominant role in HCl depletion among all the heterogeneous processes.

4. Summary and discussion

In summary, we have examined the mid-latitudes HCl reduction in the SH winter following the eruption of HTHH using satellite data and global chemistry-climate model. Our analysis indicates a significant role for heterogeneous chemical processing in the observed HCl reduction. The results confirm that the tracer-tracer method provides a good estimate of the chemical impacts distinct from dynamics. And the derived chemical HCl change is consistent among ACE, MLS and WACCM. Further delving into WACCM’s detailed chemistry, we examine individual chlorine gas-phase and heterogeneous reactions. We find that despite a substantial increase in the reaction of HCl with elevated OH in the SH winter, this loss is entirely compensated for by heightened gas-phase production from Cl+CH$_4$ and ClO+OH. Heterogeneous chemistry emerges as the primary driver for the chemical loss of HCl, with the reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols identified as the most crucial process. Our study provides useful information for understanding volcanic impacts on stratospheric chemistry, particularly their detailed breakdown among gas-phase and heterogeneous reactions at mid-latitudes.
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1. Introduction

The January 2022 Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha’apai (HTHH) eruption (20.5°S, 175.4°W) was an unprecedented underwater volcanic event in the modern era. The eruption injected about 150 Tg of water (H₂O) (Millán et al., 2022; Randel et al., 2023) along with a moderate amount of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) into the stratosphere (Carn et al. 2022; Taha et al., 2022). Satellite observations (Santee et al., 2023) and model simulations (Zhang et al., 2023; Wilmouth et al., 2023), all found significant ozone decreases in the lower stratosphere at southern hemisphere (SH) mid-latitudes in 2022 after the eruption. In particular, a record low ozone relative to the climatology (2004 to 2021) in the SH austral winter between 30 to 50 hPa was observed in the mid-latitudes (Zhang et al., 2023). While there is evidence for some dynamical contributions to the ozone variations observed in 2022 (Wang et al., 2023), anomalous reductions in mid-latitude chlorine (Cl) reservoir species hydrochloric acid (HCl) along with enhancements in reactive chlorine monoxide (ClO) (Santee et al., 2023), suggest that Cl chemistry is also likely to contribute to the record low ozone abundances in 2022.

It is well-known that the SO₂ emission from the volcanic eruptions can enhance aerosol surface areas for heterogeneous chemistry (e.g., Hofmann & Solomon, 1989; Solomon et al., 1999). As the dense volcanic aerosols of HTHH spread in the stratosphere, satellite measurements from Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) and Optical Spectrograph and InfraRed Imager System (OSIRIS) reported large reductions in concentrations of stratospheric nitrogen oxide (NOx), via hydrolysis of dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅) on aerosols (Santee et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023). In response, the concentration of ClO increases as less NOx is available to convert ClO into reservoir species chlorine nitrate (ClONO₂). Despite the large impact on NOx and ClO, N₂O₅ hydrolysis does not affect HCl to any significant degree. Previous study from Santee et al. (2023) has highlighted the role of dynamics in influencing HCl mixing ratio anomalies, particularly during the latter part of 2022. Further, tracer-tracer analysis from Wilmouth et al. (2023) shows deviations in MLS-observed HCl and N₂O relations, suggesting that the chemical losses to HCl cannot be ignored. The underlying reasons behind the chemical loss of HCl are the subject of this study.
There are a few possible pathways for the HCl chemical loss. A major mechanism contributing to the reduction of HCl involves its heterogeneous reaction on and within particles, leading to the production of highly reactive chlorine forms such as Cl\textsubscript{2} and HOCl (Solomon et al., 2015). Therefore, these heterogeneous processes have the capability to "activate" chlorine from the reservoirs. In the polar region, where polar stratospheric clouds (PSC) form at cold temperatures, the following heterogeneous reaction HCl+ClONO\textsubscript{2} \rightarrow Cl\textsubscript{2}+HNO\textsubscript{3} (Solomon et al., 1986) occurs on PSC surface and substantially depletes HCl. This heterogenous chlorine reaction is highly temperature dependent and is only effective on the surfaces of typical stratospheric aerosols at temperatures below 195 K (Hanson et al., 1994; Shi et al., 2001; Kawa et al., 1997; Solomon et al., 2015). The atmospheric temperatures are generally too warm (>200k) for this heterogeneous reaction to take place at mid-latitudes, although Solomon et al. (2023) demonstrated this reaction could happen at warmer temperatures on organic-rich wildfire aerosols. In addition, in the presence of substantial water injections, a moist stratosphere can modify conditions favoring heterogeneous processing, as noted by Solomon et al. (1999) and Anderson et al. (2012). In the case of the HTHH eruption, increased concentrations of water vapor and sulfate in the stratosphere have the potential to elevate the threshold temperature for chlorine activation, enabling polar processing and chlorine activation occurrence in mid-latitudes. Additionally, the importance of heterogeneous bromine reactions on the stratospheric sulfate aerosol has been examined by a number of groups (e.g., Hanson et al., 1994; Hanson and Ravishankara, 1995; Abbatt, 1995; Tie and Brasseur, 1996; Slusser et al., 1997). These studies indicate that under conditions of high aerosol surface area, the reaction HOBr+HCl \rightarrow BrCl+H\textsubscript{2}O could represent a significant loss process for HCl at mid-latitudes. Later measurements reported by Waschewsky and Abbatt (1999) and Hanson (2003) support and even suggest that under warm stratospheric conditions (205-220 K), HCl loss via reaction with HOBr could become significant. The hydrolysis of bromine nitrate (BrONO\textsubscript{2}) can serve as a significant source of HOBr under elevated aerosol loadings, and this reaction does not exhibit strong temperature dependence. Recent studies have also emphasized the ability of organic aerosols from wildfires to activate chlorine in the mid-latitude lower stratosphere (Santee et al., 2022; Bernath et al., 2022, Solomon et al., 2022, 2023). Thus, understanding this chemistry is also important for discriminating between volcanic and wildfire or other organic-aerosol chemistry at mid-latitudes.

Further, the conversion of HCl to reactive chlorine via the gas-phase reaction HCl+OH \rightarrow Cl+H\textsubscript{2}O can also be accelerated due to the elevated OH level in the aftermath of the HTHH eruption. The massive injection of water vapor leads to a direct and rapid increase in stratospheric OH abundances (Zhu et al., 2022). In addition, photolysis of the gas-phase HNO\textsubscript{3}, HOCl and HOBr produced from the hydrolysis of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, ClONO\textsubscript{2}, and BrONO\textsubscript{2} is a source of reactive hydrogen, HOx (OH + HO\textsubscript{2}). The reduction in NOx concentration also contributes to an increase in HOx by impeding the rate of the reaction between NO\textsubscript{2} and OH.

This study aims to discern whether chlorine activation primarily occurs through gas-phase or heterogeneous chemistry in the wake of HTHH, and the processes that are responsible for the chemical loss of HCl. This work will not quantify the ozone loss; rather, it will be exclusively focused on the chlorine chemistry. The ozone loss and ozone chemistry has been discussed in Zhang et al., (2023).

2. Data and Method
2.1 Satellite data

The study utilizes datasets from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE) and MLS. Level 2 satellite data from ACE version 5.2 are employed for H₂O, N₂O and HCl (Boone et al., 2005 and Boone, 2020). Additionally, daily level 3 satellite data from MLS version 5.0 are used for N₂O and HCl (Livesey et al., 2020). Both datasets span from 2007 to 2022 to match with the model simulation period. We exclude 2020-2021 because of the extreme Australian new year’s wildfire in late 2019/early 2020 (Santee et al., 2022; Solomon et al., 2022, 2023; Strahan et al., 2022; Bernath et al., 2022). Anomalies for N₂O and HCl in 2022 (Figure 1) are calculated as deviations from the mean of the 2007-2019 climatological background. Livesey et al. (2021) pointed out the long-term trend of MLS N₂O is suffering from a ~3-4% per decade drift in the lower stratosphere. Here, we detrend MLS daily data to allow an interannual comparison.

2.2 Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2)/Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM)

The numerical experiments in this study were conducted using CESM2/WACCM6, a state-of-the-art chemistry-climate model that spans from the Earth's surface to approximately 140 km. The model incorporates a comprehensive representation of troposphere-stratosphere-mesosphere-lower-thermosphere (TSMLT) chemistry, with detailed descriptions available in Gettelman et al. (2019). WACCM6 features a prognostic stratospheric aerosol module (Mills et al., 2016) and has been extensively employed to investigate the impact of volcanic aerosols on heterogeneous processes and their impact on ozone loss (e.g., Mills et al., 2017; Stone et al., 2017; Zambri et al., 2019). The reaction probabilities for key stratospheric heterogeneous processes on sulfate aerosol used in WACCM are listed in Table S1.

For this study, the simulations are characterized by a horizontal resolution of 0.9° latitude × 1.25° longitude, utilizing the finite volume dynamical core (Lin & Rood, 1996). The model includes 110 vertical levels, with a vertical resolution of approximately 500m in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. WACCM6 is operated in a specified dynamics configuration, where temperatures and horizontal winds (U, V) are relaxed, or nudged, to the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications Version 2 (MERRA-2) reanalysis (Gelaro et al., 2017) using a relaxation timescale of 12 hours. The nudging method employed in this study follows the approach outlined by Davis et al. (2022). This configuration spans from 2007 until the end of 2022, initialized with conditions from a long historical simulation (Gettelman et al., 2019). The model setup incorporates major stratospheric volcanic injections from 2007 to 2021. Beginning in January 2022, two distinct cases are conducted: the volcano case with external forcing (SO₂ and H₂O injection) from the HTHH eruption, and the control case with no external forcing (no SO₂ nor H₂O injection) from the HTHH eruption. The disparity between these two nudged simulations provides insights into the chemistry-related changes post the HTHH eruption. This study assumes the emissions are 150 Tg H₂O and 0.6 Tg SO₂ on January 15, 2022, from approximately 20 to 35 km altitude. The injection profiles of H₂O and SO₂ are similar to Zhu et al. (2022), however, with an adjustment of SO₂ injection. The SO₂ injection estimate ranges from 0.4 Tg to 1 Tg (e.g., Millan et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Sellitto et al., 2023) from different sources and approaches. Here an SO₂ injection of 0.6 Tg is utilized, leading to aerosol extinction that exhibits strong agreement with the Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite Limb Profiler (OMPS-LP) observation, especially during the 2022 Austral winter (Figure S1).
2.3 Tracer-tracer method

Exploring the correlation among chemical species, commonly known as "tracer–tracer" analysis, serves as a robust approach to dissect the interactions between dynamical and chemical processes (e.g., Proffitt et al., 1990; Griffin et al., 2019). In this study, we construct a “no-chemistry” baseline from the linear fit between N₂O and HCl over January to March data, since no or little heterogeneous chemistry normally occurs in these three months given warm conditions. The foundation of this analysis lies in the expectation that dynamical transport should impact both N₂O and HCl in a similar manner. The deviations of HCl from this “no-chemistry” baseline are defined as \( \Delta \text{HCl} \), indicating the changes in HCl that are due to chemical processes. A detailed discussion on this method can be found in Wang et al., (2023).

In this analysis, N₂O serves as an inactive tracer for calculating \( \Delta \text{HCl} \), given its availability in both ACE and MLS observations. The distinct long-term trends of N₂O and HCl resulting from anthropogenic emissions could introduce a bias in the tracer-tracer correlation. Furthermore, the drifting issue associated with MLS N₂O adds complexity to the long-term trends. To address this, \( \Delta \text{HCl} \) for each year is computed based on the "no-chemistry" baseline established in that specific year. This approach prevents long-term trends in N₂O and HCl from affecting the calculations.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Chemical signal of HCl loss at mid-latitudes (30-55°S)

The 2022 anomalies are computed as deviations from the climatological mean, shown in Figure 1. We linearly detrend both satellite observations and model simulations using data from 2007 to 2019 to accommodate the long-term trends in N₂O and HCl as well as additional instrumental drift in MLS N₂O. The climatology encompasses various phases of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO). Therefore, the derived stratospheric anomalies in HCl and N₂O reflect both the influence of the 2022 QBO phase and the forced changes after the HTHH eruption, including both dynamical and chemical impacts. We use N₂O, a long-lived transport tracer, to clarify the influence of dynamics in shaping the distribution of trace gases. Notably, in much of the lower stratosphere, the vertical and meridional gradients of N₂O exhibit an opposite pattern to HCl. As a result, N₂O is generally anticorrelated with HCl in the lower stratosphere. The hatched regions on the plot denote areas where the 2022 anomalies fall outside the range of all variability during the period 2007-2019. Specifically, for N₂O and HCl, the hatches indicate that the 2022 value represents the maximum and minimum, respectively, compared to the climatological data. The WACCM N₂O anomaly is consistent with observations, suggesting that the dynamical transport on tracer distribution is represented well in WACCM.

The WACCM HCl anomaly in 2022 closely aligns with ACE and MLS relative to the climatology. These anomalies arise from a combination of internal variability and the forced dynamical and chemical changes triggered by the HTHH eruption. The onset of the negative anomaly in HCl is evident from May, corresponding to the arrival of substantial aerosols from the HTHH eruption in this region (Santee et al., 2023). During the months of June, July, and August (JJA), ACE, MLS, and WACCM consistently depict the lowest HCl levels compared to all years included in the climatology (indicated by hatching in Figure 1), consistent with the large negative HCl anomaly in winter reported in Wilmouth et al., (2023). In the latter part of the year, specifically between 17 to 27 km, both model and observations exhibit a substantial negative anomaly in HCl. Despite the
potential for chemical processing in this region, the signal of such processing is largely overshadowed by significant countervailing positive anomalies in \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), indicating the predominant influence of transport effects. However, in the key altitude range of approximately 19 to 23 km during JJA that we focus on here, the large HCl anomaly is not accompanied by a similarly large \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) anomaly. This discrepancy suggests that dynamics alone cannot account for the low HCl levels in that region, and chemical processing is highly likely taking place. The subsequent analysis will focus on this specific region during the SH winter months.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Calculated 2022 HCl anomaly in ppbv (left panels) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) anomaly in ppbv (right panels) relative to climatology (2007 to 2019) from ACE, MLS, and WACCM in the SH mid-latitudes (30-55°S). Hatched regions indicate where the 2022 anomalies are outside the range of all variability during 2007-2019.

### 3.2 Significant stratospheric chlorine activation

The top panel in Figure 2 depicts the tracer-tracer relationship of ACE \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) and HCl in June, July, August and October at 20.5 km over 30 to 55°S, color-coded by water vapor concentration. ACE doesn’t have observations in May and September 2022 over this latitude range. The thick black lines in the top panel of Figure 2 represent the “no-chemistry” baseline in 2022, and the shaded area encompasses a conservative full range of baseline variability, bounded by the maximum and minimum baselines between 2007 and 2019. Deviations from correlation observed in HCl suggest the presence of chemical processes, as described in Wang et al. (2023). The intensity of chemical processes becomes more pronounced with greater deviations of HCl from the baseline. Tracer-tracer plots further confirm the strong chemical processing that occurs in June and intensifies in
July and August in 2022 compared to 2007 to 2019 (triangles in Figure 2). It is notable that deviations in HCl from their respective “no-chemistry” baseline occur in June to August from 2007 to 2019 (round points in Figure 2), as chlorine activation happens every year in these months with a seasonal cycle. In October, Cl activation slows down as the polar spring advances with temperature rise, thus the HCl departure from the baseline reduces.

The bottom panel of Figure 2 displays the derived ΔHCl resulting from chemical processes from MLS, ACE, and WACCM in 2022. ACE and MLS ΔHCl is calculated by the deviations from the baseline, with the seasonal cycle removed. The shaded regions in blue and red represent the range of ±1 standard deviation (std) for MLS and ACE, respectively, for each month from 2007 to 2019. The patterns of derived ΔHCl from observations closely resemble those calculated by WACCM from the two nudged simulations (volcano minus control). Notably, the largest chemical induced HCl reduction occurs in the SH winter. Figure 2 further indicates that tracer-tracer analysis can effectively be employed to derive ΔHCl due to chemical processes using MLS and ACE data, and the results exhibit comparability with those from the chemistry climate model. The differences between WACCM, ACE and MLS are within the uncertainty range (±1 std).
Figure 2. Top panel: Tracer–tracer correlation between ACE-measured N$_2$O (x-axis) and HCl (y-axis), color-coded by water vapor concentration. Each dot represents a single measurement at 20.5 km over 30 to 55°S. The thick black lines represent the no-chemistry baseline, from the linear fit over January to March 2022 data points. The shaded regions indicate a conservative full range of baseline variability bounded by the maximum and minimum baselines constructed by data in individual years from 2007 to 2019. Bottom panel: Calculated ΔHCl from ACE, MLS and WACCM in 2022 averaging all points over 30 to 55°S. ACE and MLS ΔHCl is calculated from departures from the baseline in the top panel but with the seasonal cycle removed, representing the change in HCl due to anomalous chemical processes in 2022. The blue and red shaded regions indicate ±1 standard deviation range for MLS and ACE in each month from 2007 to 2019. WACCM ΔHCl is calculated from the difference in HCl between the volcano and the control run.

3.3 Role of gas and heterogenous phase chemistry in chlorine activation
To understand the chemical processes that give rise to the ΔHCl in Figure 2 following the HTHH eruption, a thorough model examination is conducted. Figure 3 illustrates the changes in gas-phase
and heterogeneous-phase chemistry, along with the cumulative changes of all chemistry. The ΔHCl is calculated from the volcano case compared to the control case. Gas-phase chemistry (Figure 3a) results in an increase in HCl below 23 km over the 30 to 55°S, accompanied by a decrease from 23 to 30 km. Heterogeneous chemistry (Figure 3b) induces HCl depletion from 15 to 25 km. Considering both gas and heterogeneous chemistry (Figure 3c), the ΔHCl exhibits a net reduction above 19 km from April to December, with the maximum reduction occurring in the winter, consistent with Figure 2. There is an HCl increase below 18 km (Figure 3c), attributable to enhanced gas-phase reactions, particularly Cl+CH₄ and ClO+OH (discussed below).

**Figure 3.** WACCM calculated ΔHCl (ppbv) from the volcano minus the control case for (a) gas-phase chemistry only, (b) heterogeneous chemistry only and (c) the sum of all gas and heterogeneous chemistry over 30 to 55°S. Note that panels c has different color bar ranges from panels a and b.

Perturbations in individual reactions are investigated following the HTHH eruption, with a particular focus during JJA. The ones with important contributions to the ΔHCl are plotted in Figure 4 with different colors. The rest of the reactions only affect less than 1% of ΔHCl, thus are not shown here. A full list of HCl reactions examined can be found in Table S2. The “all gas” black line in Figure 4a adds up all the gas reactions, not just the gas terms plotted in the figures, and same for the “all het” black line in Figure 4b. In the realm of gas-phase chemistry (Figure 4a), the reaction between HCl and elevated OH acts as a significant sink for HCl from the simulated
perturbation due to HTHH. However, this loss is entirely compensated for by the heightened gas-phase production, particularly Cl+CH\textsubscript{4} and ClO+OH. Among heterogeneous reactions (Figure 4b), the primary sink for HCl is the reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols between 15 to 25 km, with ClONO\textsubscript{2}+HCl contributing as well, albeit with a much smaller magnitude. This is mainly attributed to volcanic aerosols providing additional surface area density (SAD) for heterogeneous chemistry at these altitudes (Figure 4d). Here we conclude that, during the SH wintertime, the HCl chemical reduction from 15 to 24 km in the mid-latitudes is attributed to heterogeneous chemistry rather than gas-phase chemistry (Figure 4c). Our results for this season differ from the findings reported by Wilmouth et al. (2023), where they suggest that gas-phase chemistry is the primary cause of the chemical loss of HCl. They state that this is because of the enhanced HCl loss with elevated OH, as well as the slower HCl production from Cl+CH\textsubscript{4} reaction. Our findings support the former but not the latter, resulting in a different net effect for gas-phase reactions alone.
Figure 4. ΔHCl (in ppbv) contribution from individual reactions for (a) gas chemistry, (b) heterogeneous chemistry and (c) the sum of all chemistry averaged over JJA in 2022 over 30 to 55°S. ΔHCl is calculated from the volcano minus control case. (d) the modeled aerosol surface area density in μm²/cm³ and water in ppmv.
The injection of volcanic water and aerosols from the HTHH eruption perturbs atmospheric conditions (e.g., surface area density, aerosol radiance and $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ content), which further impact the reactive probability of heterogeneous reactions. Comparative analysis between the volcano case and the control case reveals enhanced reactive probabilities for all examined heterogeneous reactions in the volcano scenario (Figure S2). Laboratory studies (Hanson and Ravishankara, 1995; Hanson et al., 1996, 2003) have demonstrated the highly efficient hydrolysis of BrONO$_2$ in sulfuric acid solutions. Reaction probabilities of approximately 0.8 were documented for the uptake of BrONO$_2$ onto sulfuric acid solutions with $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ content ranging from 40 to 70 weight percentage (wt%) (Figure S2). The enhanced water concentration at mid-latitudes doesn't substantially decrease the sulfuric acid content during the SH winter, unlike immediately after the eruption when the massive influx of water reduces the weight percent of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ from 70% to 35% (Zhu et al., 2023). Compared to other heterogeneous processes, hydrolysis of BrONO$_2$ is relatively temperature-insensitive and can take place rapidly at various stratospheric conditions, making their influence important and widespread. The product resulting from the hydrolysis of BrONO$_2$, HOBr, undergoes another heterogeneous process with HCl, providing an additional pathway to chlorine activation. Under temperatures in the mid-latitudes (>200K), the enhancement in the rate of HOBr+HCl reactions after the HTHH eruption plays a dominant role in HCl depletion among all the heterogeneous processes.

4. Summary and discussion

In summary, we have examined the mid-latitudes HCl reduction in the SH winter following the eruption of HTHH using satellite data and global chemistry-climate model. Our analysis indicates a significant role for heterogeneous chemical processing in the observed HCl reduction. The results confirm that the tracer-tracer method provides a good estimate of the chemical impacts distinct from dynamics. And the derived chemical HCl change is consistent among ACE, MLS and WACCM. Further delving into WACCM’s detailed chemistry, we examine individual chlorine gas-phase and heterogeneous reactions. We find that despite a substantial increase in the reaction of HCl with elevated OH in the SH winter, this loss is entirely compensated for by heightened gas-phase production from Cl+CH$_4$ and ClO+OH. Heterogeneous chemistry emerges as the primary driver for the chemical loss of HCl, with the reaction between HOBr and HCl on sulfate aerosols identified as the most crucial process. Our study provides useful information for understanding volcanic impacts on stratospheric chemistry, particularly their detailed breakdown among gas-phase and heterogeneous reactions at mid-latitudes.
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**Figure S1.** Observed and simulated H$_2$O and aerosol perturbations after the HTHH eruption in JJA 2022. Top: observed H$_2$O from MLS overlayed with OMPS aerosol extinction. Bottom: WACCM simulated H$_2$O and aerosol extinction.
Table S1. Heterogeneous Reaction Probabilities for sulfate aerosol used in WACCM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Reaction probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl+ClONO₂ → Cl₂ + HNO₃</td>
<td>Shi et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClONO₂ + H₂O → HOCl + HNO₃</td>
<td>Shi et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrONO₂ + H₂O → HOBr + HNO₃</td>
<td>Hanson et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOCl + HCl → Cl₂ + H₂O</td>
<td>Shi et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOBr + HCl → BrCl + H₂O</td>
<td>Hanson (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Full list of HCl reactions examined in this study.

Gas-phase production:

54  Cl + CH₂O -> HCl + HO₂ + CO
55  Cl + CH₄ -> CH₃O₂ + HCl
56  Cl + H₂ -> HCl + H
57  Cl + H₂O₂ -> HCl + HO₂
58  Cl + HO₂ -> HCl + O₂
59  ClO + OH -> HCl + O₂
60  HOCI + Cl -> HCl + ClO
61  CH₂Br₂ + Cl -> 2*Br + HCl
62  CH₃Br + Cl -> HCl + HO₂ + Br
63  CH₃Cl + Cl -> HO₂ + CO + 2*HCl
64  CHBr₃ + Cl -> 3*Br + HCl
65  C₂H₆ + Cl -> HCl + C₂H₅O₂
66  Gas-phase loss:
67  HCl + hv -> H + Cl
68  HCl + O -> Cl + OH
69  HCl + OH -> H₂O + Cl
70  O₁D + HCl -> Cl + OH
71  O₁D + HCl -> ClO + H
72  Heterogeneous loss:
73  [het4] ClONO₂ + HCl -> Cl₂ + HNO₃
74  [het5] HOCl + HCl -> Cl₂ + H₂O
75  [het6] HOBr + HCl -> BrCl + H₂O
76  [het9] ClONO₂ + HCl -> Cl₂ + HNO₃
77  [het10] HOCl + HCl -> Cl₂ + H₂O
78  [het15] ClONO₂ + HCl -> Cl₂ + HNO₃
79  [het16] HOCl + HCl -> Cl₂ + H₂O
80  [het17] HOBr + HCl -> BrCl + H₂O
81  Het 4,5,6 are reactions on sulfate aerosol;
82  Het 9,10 are reactions on nitric acid trihydrate;
83  Het 15,16,17 are reactions on ice.
Figure S2. Reaction probability as a function of temperature for key stratospheric heterogeneous processes on sulfuric acid aerosols from the volcano (solid lines) and control (dashed lines) case in June 2022 at 40°S and 30 hPa. The H$_2$SO$_4$ wt.% is shown at the top of the graph for volcano and control case respectively.