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Abstract

The paper is based on critical theory and ethnographic approaches that examine the influences on teaching practice and the development of professional identities. Constructed from the model from Goodwin’s domains of influences from teachers’ beliefs, knowledge and practices on pedagogical practice, the revised model is elaborated to integrate an interactive relationship between these domains and that of practice and professional identities. A postmodernist lens is used so that professional identities and leadership roles may be seen to be multiple, changing, conflicting and tenuous and involving the process of reflexivity, agency and performativity.
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Introduction

Postmodernism has been described through a multiplicity of perspectives [1] However, put simply, the term ‘Postmodernism’ may be described to have two broad possible meanings, both with reference to modernism. It has been used in aesthetic terms to refer to an epoch of arts development that comes after or in reaction to modernism. The alternative interpretation or meaning to the term ‘Postmodernism’ is used in a more philosophical sense to refer to an ethos often referred to as ‘the postmodern’. The reference to ‘the postmodern’ represents a fundamental shift in the values and practices on which modernity is based, or a transformation of modernity.

Peters and Lankshear (2001) refers to the postmodern condition as represented by a cluster of philosophical concepts; anti-foundational, anti-naïve realism with a critique of binarism, anti-essentialism through the cultural construction of subjectivity, with the removal of boundaries between literature and philosophy with the consequence of interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary blending. The key question posed in this paper is ‘What are the domains of influences impacting professional practice and identities’ More specifically, how does a postmodernist perspective enable us to better understand this relationship.

The Postmodernist Curriculum

Postmodernism offers a possible theoretical paradigm for exploring curriculum development and reform. There are a few conceptual ideas on the differences between ‘modernism’ and ‘postmodernism’. While modernism is viewed as a closed system, postmodernism may be seen as an open system, allowing influx and exchange that enables transformation and change [2], [3]. This change is seen as not only transformative but also involves instability and uncertainty. The trends and shifts towards interdisciplinary, multidisciplinary and experiential approaches to teaching and learning in education can be seen as part of the reform and transformation of the postmodern curriculum [4].

In the context of globalization and with the influx of internationalized approaches and ideas, the postmodern curriculum may be viewed as dynamic and transforming, shaped by not only dominant discourses but also other lesser influences. This brings about the awareness and understanding that cultural meaning is socially constructed and fluid. The idea of cultural and pedagogical hybridity has been discussed in several contexts [5], [6].
The assumption is often made that the teacher-educator can enact culturally responsive pedagogies that acknowledge and validate all cultures alike. This assumption causes educators to presume that the practices they engage with in their educational settings are culturally universal [7]. This ignores the reality that there is a plurality of ‘truth’. Postmodern curriculum theory challenges this universal hegemonic assumption of dominance by western cultures and seeks equal inclusion of minorities and marginalized cultures. Te Whariki, the bicultural curriculum framework in New Zealand for ECE is an excellent example of a curriculum that may be interpreted as postmodern as it empowers marginalized and indigenous groups to have a voice and extend beyond predefined and essentialized identities and cultural representations [7], [8].

Navigating Practice and the Curriculum

Using a model adapted from Goodwin’s (2010) domains of teacher’s beliefs, knowledge and experiences, Figure 1 outlines a model that can be used to expound the negotiating of practice based on this open system that allows the dynamic exchange and interaction of factors and influences on pedagogical practice and also professional identities [8], [10]. While independent factors such as family, cultural backgrounds and unique life experiences may be fixed and ingrained within an individual’s blueprint, other variable factors are fluid, dynamic and shifting. These more fluid factors such as those found in the contextual, social and sociological domains may be changing and also impacted in return by pedagogical practice and developing professional identities.

![Figure 1: Domains of Influence on Pedagogical Practice and Professional Identities](image)

There is a spectrum of pedagogies detailed in research literature that acknowledges the diversity within various community contexts. These include culturally responsive [11], culturally relevant [12], [13], critical [14] and inclusive [15] pedagogies. The range of these approaches acknowledges the complexity, uncertainty and even confusion of the process of generating and navigating pedagogical practice in different cultural and community contexts [7].

This brings us to the use of postmodernism in re-defining identities and roles of educator and learner in the educational setting.
**Professional Identities and Leadership**

Postmodernist perspectives view identities as multiple, complex, dynamic, tenuous and conflicting, in contrast to modernist conceptions of identity as distinct and stable. The process of constructing, deconstructing and re-constructing identity through discourse is based on the postmodern condition. The postmodern viewpoint on language, discourse, power and subjectivities assert our agency as cultural mediators that enact, propagate and to resist normative discourse and structures [16]–[18].

Educators may focus on achieving a greater understanding of children’s social and cultural identities and the underlying complexities including the influences of local funds of knowledge [19], [20] and cultural capital [21] that helped to shape their identities (Ritchie, 2005). Professional Identity construction and discourse has been seen to be tied up with concepts that include reflexivity, agency, performativity, knowledge, subjectivity and representation (Osgood, 2011b). Sumioni (2005) described her experience as an early childhood educator as one that entailed negotiating multiple roles and responsibilities within a workplace context that has become increasingly complex. The observation is that the modern early childhood teacher-practitioner-educator has to wear a number of hats and juggle roles that include learning facilitator, communicator, researcher (Edwards & Gandini, 2015) and sometimes, an entrepreneur or business manager [25]. The tensions and congruence in the interconnections and relatedness of identity between professional and maternal discourses are also debated [26].

The development of leadership capacity within the early childhood education profession has been debated on in research literature. Research documenting professional growth and development has suggested that change is cyclical and non-linear [3] and this has also been supported by theoretical models representing the professional development cycle [27]. The modernist binary models of leader-follower conceptions are not representative and reflective of contemporary scholarship and philosophy which describes identities as multiple, dynamic, negotiated and complex. While the modernist epistemology makes assumptions that the world is an integral dimension of an objective and rationally structured reality characterized by order and stability, postmodernist epistemology challenges the assumptions made by modernist philosophy. Postmodern perspectives on professional identities and leadership are dynamic, transforming and negotiated [28]. Leadership identities are not therefore characterized by static traits and leadership is viewed as non-linear in progression as compared to the modernist assumption that leadership is hierarchical and sequentially progressive. The expression of these professional identities is seen to be influenced by historical, sociocultural, political and institutional contexts and can change dynamically over a period [3]. The agency of self is also significant in influencing the construction of these identities by emphasizing various dimensions of themselves (as a mother, team member, advocate, colleagues etc.).

**Summary**

In conclusion, a postmodernist viewpoint can help to define or explain the dynamically changing curriculum and pedagogical practice that is subject to various domains of influence. It also allows us to view professional identities and educational leadership to be non-hierarchical, cyclical and subject to a continual change of constructing, deconstructing and re-constructing, tied to the processes of reflexivity, agency, performativity and representation.
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Theoretical Lane

Postmodernism offers a possible theoretical paradigm for exploring curriculum development and reform. There are some conceptual ideas on the differences between "modernism" and "postmodernism". While modernism is viewed as an open system, allowing influence and change to occur through transformation and change (Nicolson & Mander, 2019; Peters & Lachter, 2003), this change is seen not as only transformative but also involves instability and uncertainty. The trends and shifts towards interdisciplinarity, multidisciplinary and experiential approaches to teaching and learning in education can be seen as part of the reform and transformation of the postmodern curriculum (Usmen & Edwards, 1996).

In the context of globalization and with the influx of internationalized ideas and approaches, the postmodern curriculum may be viewed as dynamic and transforming, shaped by not only constant but also other lesser influences. This brings about the awareness and understanding that cultural meaning is socially constructed and fluid. The idea of cultural and pedagogical hybridity has been discussed in several contexts (Alvesson, 2003; Grint, 2016; May, 1969).
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Figure 2: Negotiating Pedagogical Practice and Professional Identities
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Using a model adapted from Boekhoff's (2000) domains of teacher's beliefs, knowledge and experience, Figure 1 outlines a model that can be used to expand the negotiation of practice based on this open system that allows the dynamic exchange and transformation of influence and influence on pedagogical practices and professional identities (Kumar, Latchford-Maclean, & Potts, 1996; Ryan, S. & Shooter, 1996). While independent factors such as freedom, cultural backgrounds and unique life experiences may be fixed and ingrained within an individual's discipline, other variable factors are fluid, dynamic and shifting. These may move the factors such as those found in the intercultural and sociocultural domains may be changing and also impacted in return by pedagogical practice and developing professional identities.

There is a spectrum of pedagogies detailed in research literature that acknowledges the diversity within cultural communities. These include culturally responsive (Chen, Nimmerr, & Frazier, 2009); culturally relevant (Baum & Mangur, 2014; Howard, 2001), ordeals (Nemet & Chen, 2011) and inclusive (Moore & Sjostrom, 2013) pedagogies. The range of these approaches acknowledges the complexity, uncertainty and how confusion of the process of generating and negotiating pedagogical practice in different cultural and community contexts (Rams, 2009).
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