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1.0 Introduction

Employees are seen as a very important component of any organization to the extent that even technology can do little to replace human skills (Jacob and Roodt, 2011). According to Grobler et al (2011), it is easier for institutions to hire the services of people and yet very difficult to make them stay with the organization. It is argued that it is also very essential to hire employees who are competent in order that an institution may gain a competitive advantage over other competing institutions (Shah et al (2015). However, adopting practices that could lead to the employee being satisfied on the job are very crucial more than hiring the employee (Haider et al, 2015). Economics in recent years have underscored the need for job satisfaction due to the relationship that exist between job satisfaction and employee behaviour. Accordingly, it is hypothesised that more satisfied employees are less likely to exit their place of work (Clark, 2001; Shield and Ward, 2001 cited in Islam and Hossain, 2018).

Tertiary education is the backbone to providing the human capacity for industry and lecturers in higher institution of learning are so significant to sustaining the continuity of higher education. Tertiary education has a crucial role to play to augment knowledge-driven economic growth strategies of any government (Harrison and Hunting, 2000). This is due to the fact that tertiary education aid in the improvement of training and capabilities and the provision of responsible professionals to manage and maintain sound macro-economic growth.

Again, tertiary institutions constitute the backbone of a country’s information infrastructure, the values, attitudes and ethical code that tertiary institution imparts to students are the very foundation of the social capital necessary for the construction of a healthy and civil society to ensure good governance.

Similarly, it is argued that high level of education results in a lot of benefits such as improved cognitive development in children, improved health, greater longevity, reduced family size and these benefits are likely to contribute to the productivity of the individuals and by extension to the economic growth of the entire nation (McMahon and Oketch (2013). It is suggested that tertiary institutions are adequately resourced with competent academic teaching staff to ensure quality and sound teaching and learning (Zainuddin, 2017). In line with this paradigm therefore, putting policies and strategies such as good condition of service in place to provide conducive environment for teaching and learning will to a large extent ensure that staff are satisfied on their job.

Job satisfaction is very essential for lecturers to remain happy, work hard and remain loyal to their institutions even in the worst-case scenario (Tam, 2017). They do not work out of compulsion but they work tirelessly to get their institution to a certain level. Lecturers need to be passionate about their job and this is only possible if lecturers are satisfied with their job. A plethora of benefits have been identified when lecturers are satisfied with their job. Examples of these benefits include job retention, higher revenue for the institution, finding convenient to cope with changing times to adjust more to pressure with ease as compared to frustrated ones among other benefits (Tam, 2017). Unsatisfied lecturers understandably, will find problems with every small issue and will do everything possible to find an excuse and exit.

One of the strategies to sustain these lecturers in higher institutions of learning is pay (remuneration packages) and job promotion (promotion opportunities). Five measures for job satisfaction are identified; pay, promotion and promotion opportunities, co-workers, supervision and the work itself (Hulin,1969). Factors such as salary, promotion, co-workers, fringe benefits, supervision, rewards and nature of the work among others lead to job satisfaction (Spector, 1997).
Many studies have been conducted in many parts of the world on the determinants of level of satisfaction of employees in institutions such as the telecom industry, banking sector and other corporate organisations (e.g.) For example, in a study conducted by Noor et al (2015) on the impact of job progression on job satisfaction in Pakistan’s University using PhD and non-PhD faculty members in both public and private sector Universities. The findings of the study indicated that job promotion and job advancement have positive relationship with job satisfaction. Based on the findings, the researchers recommended flexible promotion policies, job advancement schemes among other things to increase employee satisfaction.

Bahri et al (2017) also carried a study entitled ‘Job satisfaction as a benchmark of higher education leadership for lecturer performance in Indonesia’ using quantitative method and random sampling technique. The research work concluded that leadership based individual satisfaction particularly focuses on the aspects of salary is able to encourage the improvement of lecturers’ satisfaction and performance in several Indonesian Universities.

A study conducted by Saira et al (2016) using a descriptive design in his research, observed a positive relationship between performance and higher salary of employees. They also observed in addition that the most important motivational factor was annual salary progression in a public sector institution. Tambajong et al (2018) recently carried out a study on the determinants of employee retention at Sintesa Peninsula Hotel Manabo in the hospitality industry using a confirmatory factor analysis. The study found that employee performance influences their retention at Sintesa Peninsula Hotel Manado. Additional factors that were also reported to have some level of influence on the retention of employees at the enterprise were compensation, working environment, organizational values, organizational commitment, promotion, organizational justice and skill recognition.

Gallus and Frey (2016) studied how awards can enhance employees’ motivation and corporate performance in the corporate world. They established in their study that awards can aid in retaining valuable employees. However, they cautioned that awards may be a good servant but a bad master because they provoke envy among co-workers.

Parvin and Kabir (2011) found that pay and promotion, working condition, job security, inter-personal relations and supervisor’s attitudes were the main factors determining employee job satisfaction. Islam and Hossain (2018) carried out a study on Job Satisfaction of Academic Staff; on Selected Private Educational Institute at Dhaka City Corporation. The findings of the study suggested that, the key factors that contribute to job satisfaction were promotion, pay, fairness and working conditions.

Ajibade et al (2019) conducted a study to investigate the relationship between job satisfaction and turnover intentions of police officers in rural communities in Dekina Local Government Area of Kogi State, Nigeria using descriptive research with quantitative approach and multi-stage sampling design. ‘the results indicated that respondents in the sampled area had low level of job satisfaction due to combination of factors such poor compensation package (salary and fringe benefit inclusive), irregular promotion and work load among other factors

Similarly, a recent study conducted by Kadir-Eneh et al (2018) on the assessment of potential retention of primary health care workers in Rivers State’ in Nigeria using a cross-sectional descriptive study design concluded that salary, promotion and capacity building were significant factors that influenced workers retention at the health facility.

However, very little information is available in the context of Ghana to really examine the nexus between pay, promotion and job satisfaction, especially in higher learning institutions. Moreover, few of these studies have also utilised the mixed method approach to examine the relationship between pay and promotion on job satisfaction of academic staff in the Ghanaian context. This study therefore seeks to address the empirical and methodological gaps in previous studies. The objective of this research is to examine the effects of promotion and employee pay on job satisfaction among academic staff of some selected academic institutions in the Northern Region of Ghana. The study hypothesised that: H1: The relationship between pay and job satisfaction is statistically significant and H2: The relationship between promotion and promotion
opportunities and job satisfaction is statistically significant.

3.0 Materials and Methods

3.1 Study Location

3.2 The Tamale Metropolis (TAMA)

The Tamale Metropolitan Assembly is located at the centre of the Northern Region. It is one of the 20 districts in the Northern Region (TAMA, 2010). The Tamale Metropolis occupies approximately 750 square kilometres which is 13% of the total area of the Northern Region. The current population of the Metropolis is 371,351 which shows an increase of 77470 from the year 2000 (GSS, 2012). With an urban population of 274, 022, the Metropolis could be a growth pole for the three northern regions attracting both population and economic development in the area (GSS, 2012). The Tamale Metropolis is home to a lot of educational institutions; basic, second cycle and tertiary institutions and it is referred to as the pride of the north. The Metropolis is home to a total of seven hundred and forty-two (742) educational institutions comprising ninety-four (94) Kindergarten, three hundred and four (304) Primary, one hundred and twelve (112) Junior High Schools, fourteen (14) Senior High Schools, two (2) Colleges of Education, one (1) Technical University, one Traditional University and one Private University.

3.3 Study Design

The study utilised the mixed method approach for the data collection and analysis. The mixed method approach was appropriate as it made it possible for both qualitative and quantitative methods to complement each other as Johnson et al (2007) argued that at the research design stage, quantitative data can assist the qualitative component by identifying representative sample members, as well as outlying cases. Conversely, at the design stage, qualitative data can assist the quantitative component of a study by helping with conceptual and instrument development. This design also enabled the researchers to examine the relationship between promotion and pay and level of employee job satisfaction.

3.4 Sampling design and data collection

The target population for the study were the lecturers in the tertiary institutions within the Tamale Metropolis. These institutions are: University for Development Studies (UDS), Tamale Technical University (TTU), Tamale College of Education (TACE), Bagabaga College of Education (BACE) and Tamale Nursing Training College (TNTC). These institutions were purposively selected for the study because they fall under the remit of the National Council for Tertiary Education (a body mandated to be in-charge of all tertiary institutions in Ghana). The study sample was 270 lecturers and tutors. This sample size was made up of 20 heads of various departments (that is 4 participants from each of the five tertiary institutions under consideration) in the selected institutions (n=20) who were purposively selected to be key informants for the study. The rest included lecturers from UDS (n=50), lecturers from TTUG (n=50), TACE (n=50), BACE (n=50) and TNTC (n=50). These participants in the study were sampled using the accidental sampling technique for lack of sampling frame.

3.5 Data Collection Tools

Interview protocols were used for the In-depth interviews (IDI) and key informant interviews (KII). The interviews were conducted at locations convenient to the participants which included their homes and offices (workplaces) in case participants were on official duties and places devoid of any intrusion by a third party. The interviews sought to solicit information on the number of years respondents have been working, whether respondents have been promoted before, their present salaries as compared when they were not promoted, whether respondents were satisfied with current working place, etc. The interview guides contained open-ended questions which were meant to solicit in-depth explanations from participants. The responses from the interviews were recorded using tape-recorder and sometimes mobile phones with the consent of the participants and subsequently transcribed for data analysis.
Questionnaires were used for the survey. The instrument was administered face-to-face to all respondents either in their homes at their work places. The questionnaire covered issues such as job satisfaction, opportunities for carrier progression and the frustrations if any, remuneration packages; salaries, fringe benefits, awards, bonuses, allowances and incentives. All the items in the questionnaire were rated on a five point Likert scale, where 5 stand for strongly agree and 1 for strongly disagree. A total of 270 questionnaire were given out and self-administered out of which 264 were received and the response rate almost 99.9%. All questions were asked using the English language as medium of communication because all the respondents were educated enough to respond in the English language by virtue of them been lecturers and teaching in higher institutions of learning. On questions that had to do with participants, promotion, salaries, whether participants will want to change job or were satisfied with the current job, what informed their decision etc., ethical approval was sought for and all protocol concerning the study were duly observed. All rules and regulations to ensure confidentiality of information were duly followed. Written consent was given to participants to fill and sign them willingly.

3.6 Measurement of variables

Job satisfaction was measured using Hulin (1969) and Klassen et al (2010) that there are five measures for job satisfaction; pay, promotion and promotion opportunities, co-workers, supervision and the work itself. In-depth interview (IDI) and key informant interviews and (KII) were also used in measuring satisfaction because it gives more accurate, in-depth and more informed results. With regards to promotion, sample questions asked were: You are satisfied with your promotion level; you are satisfied with the promotion policy of the institution. Concerning employee’s salary, one of the questions asked was: indicate the level of satisfaction with regards to pay and the services provided. Indicate the level of satisfaction with regards to allowances, gratuity and pension and the services provided. Indicate the level of satisfaction with regards to gratuity and pension and the services provided. Indicate the level of satisfaction with regards to pension and the services provided. A Likert scale of 1 (extremely dissatisfied) to 5 (extremely satisfied) was used for the respondents.

3.7 Reliability and Validity of Data

To ensure validity and reliability of the instrument, the individual items of the questionnaire were cross-checked and verified for consistency by senior research fellows and later pilot-tested. Consequently, changes were effected based on the observation of the piloted survey. In addition, responses to questions by respondents were examined through repetition of the question to the respondents to check consistency in the response. Furthermore, respondents were visited again after data collection to reconcile some of their responses with the previous one.

3.8 Data Analysis

The qualitative data was analysed using direct quotes from respondents and comparative analysis. The audio records and field notes were transcribed into English to make meanings out of the emerging issues. Finally, summary of each transcript was examined. The study results were presented in words. Descriptive statistics were also generated from the quantitative data and the result presented in tables and charts after coding. Hypotheses were tested using logistic regression and Pearson’s correlation Coefficients was used to test association between promotion and pay as independent variables and job satisfaction as a dependent variable.

4.0 Results and Discussions

4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants

The results of the study revealed that 207 participants representing (78.4%) were males while 57 participants representing (21.6%) were females. In terms of religious affiliations, the study found that (31.8%) and (63.3%) of the participants were Christians and Muslims respectively while (3.0%) were traditionalist and (1.0%) do not belong to any religion. The results also indicated that (67.0%) and (16.7%) of the participants were married and single respectively while (7.2%) were widowed and (9.1%) were divorced. The findings of the
study also showed that (56.0%) of the participants were tutors and were earning below ₵3000, (22.0%) were assistant lecturers and were also earning between ₵3000-4000, (16.3%) were lecturers and also earn around ₵4000-5000, (4.2%) were senior lecturers who were also earning between ₵5000 -6000 while (0.8%) were professors earning above ₵6000 Ghana cedis (Refer to Table 1).

Table1: Demographic Characteristics of study Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-economic Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-55</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56+</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutor</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant lecturer</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecturer</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Lecturer</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christianity</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No religion</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonthlyIncome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below ₵3000.00</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000-4000</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000-5000</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000-6000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field Survey, 2018

4.2 Employee job Satisfaction

The study sought to examine the level of job satisfaction of participants by making a statement ‘you are satisfied with your current job’ and provided a Likert scale ranging from 1-dissatisfied to 5-extremely satisfied and asking participant to tick appropriately. The results show that 31 participants representing (11.7%) were
dissatisfied, 126 participants representing (47.7%) indicated they were extremely dissatisfied, 18 participants representing (6.8%) were neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, 48 participants representing (18.2%) were rather satisfied and 41 participants representing (15.5%) were extremely satisfied with their current job. This therefore, indicates that most of the participants (59.4%) in the study were not satisfied with their jobs (47.7% plus 11.7%).

4.3 Participants Perception on what Constitute Job Satisfaction

The study was carried out to examine perspectives of academic staff on the influence of pay and promotion on job satisfaction in the selected Tertiary institutions within the Tamale Metropolis. The study sought to find out from the participants their perception on what constitutes job satisfaction by asking the question ‘what constitutes job satisfaction?’. It was realised from their responses that Job satisfaction is a combination of factors and is relative (that is what constitute job satisfaction for one person may not be the same for the other person). Some participants claim that job satisfaction is the availability of materials to work with to make the lecturer as efficient as possible, the enabling working environment such as cordial working relationship with colleagues, monetary considerations in terms of reasonable monthly salary that can assist a person to sort out their problems and still be comfortable. Some others also suggested that job satisfaction has everything to do with outcome of your service that is whatever lecturers are able to achieve out of their services which gives them job fulfillment and job accomplishment such as lecturers become very happy and excited when their students pass their examinations. Others also claim that job satisfaction is getting realistic and reasonable enhanced salaries, allowances, bonuses, gratuities, motivation in the form of prizes and awards that commensurate with the services provided and promotion (job advancement or carrier progression). These came to light at the interaction with some lecturers at the premises of their institutions. Some participants noted that;

‘Job satisfaction is about the pleasure in seeing that I have achieved my goals at my work place. Job satisfaction is about the peaceful environment and cordial working relationship with colleagues at work place. Salary and other allowances and bonuses that accompany it are key fundamentals to achieving job satisfaction’ (Male respondent, 38 years old, Tamale).

‘Job satisfaction is when the condition of service is good, when salary is comparatively better, when you have opportunity for further education through sponsorship, carrier progression and the salary increment that comes with it, enabling environment and no threat.’ (Female participant, 36 years old, Education Ridge, Tamale).

The results of the study supports the observations of Kadiri-Eneh et al (2018) that salary, promotion and capacity building were significant factors that influenced workers retention at the health facility. The findings of the study are also in agreement with the findings of Noor et al (2015) on the impact of job promotion and advancement on job which revealed that job promotion and job advancement have positive relationship with job satisfaction. Similarly, the findings of the study also tally with the findings of Bahri et al (2017) and Spector (1997) which suggested there are five measures of job satisfaction; salary, promotion, co-workers, fringe benefits, and rewards.

4.4 Relationship between pay and job satisfaction

In order to understand the participant’s level of satisfaction with their salary, a question was asked ‘you are satisfied with your current salary’ and Likert scale ranging from 1-agree to 5-strongly disagree and participants were asked to choose appropriately. The results indicated that 50 participants (18.9%) and 44 participants (16.7%) agreed and strongly agreed with the statement respectively. Furthermore, the results also showed that 7 participants (2.7%) neither agreed nor disagreed while 72 participants representing (27.3%) and 91 participants representing (34.5%) disagreed and strongly disagreed respectively. This means that majority of the study participants, 163 (61.8%) were not satisfied with their current salary (that is 27.3% plus34.5%).

The study further sought to find out whether lecturers who were not satisfied with their current salary, were
also not satisfied with their jobs (establish relationship between salary and job satisfaction) by testing the hypothesis.

**H₁:** The relationship between pay and job satisfaction is statistically significant

The result of the regression model has established a significant relationship between salary and job satisfaction that is *p*=0.000 at 0.05. It means the relationship between pay and job satisfaction is statistically significant (See Table 2).

**Table 2: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression 1</td>
<td>64.602</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.301</td>
<td>21.917</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Total</td>
<td>384.656</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>21.917</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variable: you are satisfied with your current job

Predicators: (constant), you are satisfied with your current salary, you are satisfied with promotion level.

**Source:** Field Survey, (2019)

This indicates that there is a relationship between salary and job satisfaction. But the study examined further to identify whether the relationship between salary and job satisfaction is positive and strong by using the Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient. Guildford’s rule of thumb says that, a ‘B’ Coefficient is statistically significant when the *p*-value is smaller than 0.05. Therefore, *r* = 0.05, *p* = . 0.000 (Where *r* - correlation and *p* - probability).

The result of the regression model is *p*=0.000 at 0.05 which indicates strong positive significant correlation between pay and job satisfaction (See Table 3).

**Table 3: Regression Coefficients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized coefficients</th>
<th>Unstandardized coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(constant) You satisfied with your promotion level</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You are satisfied with your current salary</td>
<td>2.491 .220 .210</td>
<td>.272 .053 .056</td>
<td>.248 .225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.152 4.164</td>
<td>3.771</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variable: you are satisfied with your current job

**Source:** Field Survey, (2019)

The implication of these results is that lecturers who were not satisfied with their salary, were also not likely to be satisfied with their jobs and vice versa. This also means that higher salary is a predictor of higher job satisfaction among lecturers in tertiary institutions. Therefore, an increase in job pay is most likely to
lead to an increase in job satisfaction and vice versa.

The results of the study was triangulated using the qualitative method. In order to understand participants views on the topic, several remuneration related questions were asked on salaries, allowances, bonuses, gratuities and pension scheme and participants' responses were recorded with tape recorder and transcribed. The study participants brought to light that their salaries do not commensurate with the prescribed job and services they were rendering. Most of them intimated that they were not satisfied with their salaries and for that matter the job itself and given the option of other job that can offer higher salaries comparatively, they will exit their institutions. According to some of them they can never be satisfied with their jobs when they are giving just peanut as salary. In some interactions with some participants at their various houses at different locations in the Tamale Metropolis, they asserted that they will exit their jobs if nothing is done about their salaries;

'I will offer my services to the highest bidder. If I have the opportunity of another job with a better pay, I will not wait for a second to accept such an offer (Male participant, 39 years old, Russia Bangalow, Tamale).

'I may quit my job if nothing is done about the salary. It is the foundation of our job and without it, we cannot survive because it is our security (Male respondents, 47 years old, Lamashiegu, Tamale).

The results suggest that salary has an effect on job satisfaction. This result supports the research findings of Bahri et al (2017) in Indonisia which concluded that leadership based individual satisfaction particularly focuses on the aspects of salary is able to encourage the improvement of lecturers’ satisfaction and performance in several Indonesian Universities. Similarly, the result is also consistent with findings by Saira et al (2016) which observed that the most important motivational factor to satisfy an employee was annual salary progression in a public sector institution.

4.5 Relationship between promotion level and job satisfaction

In order to find out whether participants were satisfied with their promotion level or not. A statement ‘you are satisfied with your promotion level’ was made and participants were provided with 5 point Likert scale ranging from 1-agree to 5- strongly disagree and were asked to tick appropriately. The result indicated that (42) participants representing (15.9%) agreed, 30 participants representing (11.4%) strongly agreed, 17 participants representing (6.4%) neither agreed nor disagreed, while 76 and 99 participants representing (28.8%) and (37.5%) participants disagreed and strongly disagreed respectively. This indicates that majority of the participants, 66.3% (that is 28.8% plus 37.5%) were not satisfied with their promotion level.

Again, in order to understand the whether there is a relationship between promotion level and job satisfaction, the study proceeded further to identify if lecturers who were not satisfied with their promotion level, were also not satisfied with their jobs by testing the second hypothesis using regression:

\[ H_2 : \text{The relationship between promotion (opportunities for carrier advancement) and job satisfaction is statistically significant.} \]

The result of the regression model is \( p = 0.000 \) at 0.05 which exhibits that the relationship between pay and job satisfaction is statistically significant (Refer to Table 2).

This means that there is a relationship between promotion and job satisfaction. The study investigated further to establish if the relationship between promotion level and job satisfaction is positive and strong using the Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient. Again, applying the principle of Rule of Thumb, the result \( p = 0.000 \) at 0.05 indicates strong positive significant correlation between promotion level and job satisfaction (Refer to Table 3). This result imply that lecturers who were not satisfied with their promotion level, were most likely not to be satisfied with their jobs and vice versa. The result also show that lecturers are likely to be more satisfied with their jobs when they have carrier progression or there is the opportunity for carrier advancement in their institutions. This also suggests that higher promotion level is a predicator of higher job satisfaction. The implication of the results is that an increase in job progression will lead to an increase in job satisfaction and vice versa.
The B coefficients in table 3 gives information on how many units of satisfaction increases for a single unit increase in each predicator. Therefore, 1 point increase in employee salary, corresponds to 0.21 points increase in job satisfaction and I point increase in employee promotion level will correspond to 0.22 points increase in promotion level. Therefore we can predict job satisfaction by constructing this mathematical equation:

\[
\text{Job satisfaction} = 2.49 + (0.21 \times \text{salary}) + (0.22 \times \text{promotion level})
\]

(Refer to Table 3)

The Beta coefficients in table 3 enables the comparison of the relative strength of the predicators that is which of the two independent variables (predicators) has a stronger effect on the dependable variable and is much more likely to cause a change in the dependable variable than the other. In this case promotion level (24.8%) has a better effect and is likely to bring change in job satisfaction than salary (22.5%). This is probably because job progression at the tertiary institutions and its associate salary differences has higher probability of job satisfaction than monthly salary (Refer to Table 3).

The results were triangulated qualitatively. Responses from participants indicated that promotion as in carrier progression is very important in determining their level of job satisfaction. According to participants from the traditional and technical universities, promotion offers you the opportunity to move gradually but progressively on the academic ladder and promotion comes with huge salary increment and makes them duly satisfied with their job. The result of the study indicated that in the traditional and the technical universities, promotion policies were already in place and that it spelt out what a candidate due for promotion should do as one key informant narrated in an interview at Choggu, a suburb of Tamale.

‘a person who has taught in the university for more than four years, must have four publications in credible journals and must have certain community services too though the process can be frustrating sometimes but the procedure does work and work effectively. The promotions at the university level comes with a huge pay gap between a junior and a senior lecturer, senior lecturer and an associate professor and full professors. Sometimes the differences in salary can be more than ₵1000 Ghana cedis, in some cases without the promotion a lecturer cannot assume the position of head of department which also comes with it another huge monthly allowance which is more than ₵2000.00 per month ( Male participant, 51 years old, Lamashiegu, Tamale).

However, the study findings revealed that this was not the case at the colleges of education and the nursing training as one participant in one of the colleges of education lamented that they are not satisfied with their jobs because the promotion policy is not even clear and when you apply, you do not even get it. So participants at the colleges of education claim not to be satisfied with the way and manner their promotion are been handled which does not open any opportunity of job progression for them. Some participants observed in in-depth interviews at their school premises that;

‘Even though there is a policy on promotion but the process is cumbersome and not clear. All promotions documents should be channelled through the council to the appropriate quarters. But some people have satisfied all the requirements and applied and for more than two years now, their promotion assessments are yet to come and this is frustrating, that not even a single person has been promoted (Male participant, 36 years old, education Ridge, Tamale).

‘The promotion process here is waste of time and resources. There no clear timelines for submission. You only over worked and over stretch yourself for nothing. For more than three years, some tutors have applied without any response (Female participant, 37 years old, Ridge, Tamale).

The study findings on both salary and promotion level are in agreement with findings of Islam and Hossain (2018) who concluded that promotion, fairness and working conditions were the key factors determining employees job satisfaction. The results also confirm previous studies by Parvin and Kabir (2011) who found that pay and promotion, working condition, job security, inter-personal relations and supervisor’s attitudes were the main factors determining employee job satisfaction.
4.6 Why some participants were not satisfied with their jobs

The study outcome indicated quite categorically that some of the participants interviewed were not satisfied with their job as the quantitative results indicated and that given the option they would have opted for a different institution with a better package. Most of these participants were form the selected Colleges of Education and the Nursing Training in the Tamale Metropolis. They attributed their dissatisfaction to both internal and external factors. Their argument was premised on the fact all the 46 colleges of education were converted into a tertiary institution to award diploma certificate since 2005 and parliamentary act converting them into colleges of education from the then training colleges was passed by parliament in 2012. This migration or upgrading into tertiary institution understandably should be accompanied by certain conditions of service to commensurate with the polytechnics who have also been upgraded into technical universities and were performing the same duties and having the same qualifications as tutors of the colleges of education. However, they were discriminated against and treated unfairly by their employer, the government of Ghana. This was brought to light in an interview with thirteen (13) respondents at the selected colleges of education premises. A participant argued in a key informant interview in one of the colleges that:

'It is discouraging to teach at the colleges of education in Ghana today with Mphil and PhD degrees. Governments upon government have overlooked the concern to have a second look at our conditions (Male participant, 44 years old, Education Ridge, Tamale).

'I am not satisfied with the kind of job we do here which does not recognise equal pay for equal work. Why should we have the same qualification and the same work description with our colleagues in the universities and receive lower pay’ (Female participant, 41 years old, Educational Ridge Tamale).

'Why should we be considered as second class citizens and not duly placed where we are supposed to be. Our employer, the government does not respect us (Male participant, 45 years old, Educational Ridge, Tamale).

On the internal factors, participants claim that even though they have a document called the harmonised condition of service for tutors at the colleges of education yet their administrators are not implementing it. A participant observed in an interaction at her home at Choggu, a suburb of Tamale that;

'There is nothing motivating a tutor at the college of education to stay and even give up their best. Tutors are so discouraged and dissatisfied so much so that tutors are always writing application upon application praying to move to the traditional universities even as assistant Lecturers (Female participant, 41 years old, Choggu, Tamale).

This results support the outcome of a research work by Ajibade et al (2019) on police officers in Kogi state and reported that respondents had low level of job satisfaction because of many factors such as poor remuneration package, unsteady promotion and the quantum of work.

4.7 Why some participants were satisfied with their Jobs.

Comparatively, some lecturers at the selected traditional and technical universities and the Tamale Nursing Training were of the view that even though there was still some avenues for improvement of their working conditions, but as it stands, they were comfortable with their job because there are avenues for job progression which is well stipulated, documented and implemented accordingly and once a person is qualified and applies even though there some frustrations in the system such as administrative bureaucracy, they are given provided they satisfy the requirements and the progressions come with huge salary adjustments and there are also enticing allowances for heads of departments and lecturers with other responsibilities. Some participants shared their views on the issue in key informant and in-depth interviews at the premises of the universities:

'University lecturers are among the highest paid workers in Ghana aside from politicians and some high profile personalities of corporate organisations and that alone should make us appreciate the situation(Male participant, 55 years old, Tamale).
‘Even though the current economic challenges are exerting enormous burden on the average Ghanaian worker, yet I can say without any shred of equivocation that university lecturers are reaping the fruit of their labour’ (Female participant, 41 years old, Tamale).

Conclusion, and Policy Recommendations

The study was conducted to investigate how pay and promotion influenced job satisfaction of lecturers at the tertiary institutions. The objective was to examine the relationship between pay and promotion and job satisfaction using the mixed method approach (triangulation). The study concludes based on the results that pay and promotion have significant relationship with job satisfaction. Based on these findings, the study recommends that stakeholders in education must ensure that, the appropriate polices are implemented to ensure the policy of equal work and equal pay is executed to its logical conclusion. The study further makes these recommendations: implementation of tertiary conditions of service in institution such as the colleges of education, annual review of salaries of lecturers in the tertiary institutions in response to the economic conditions. Government must implement financial policy to bridge the salary gap between tutors at the colleges and their counterparts at the traditional and technical universities, ensure that promotion processes are made less cumbersome and more effective. Government must ensure that commensurate salaries are paid according to qualifications and job description since it is a tertiary institutions. Management of the colleges of education in consultation with government should adhere to the harmonized conditions of service for tutors in the colleges of education. Building research and teaching capacities of lecturers and tutors at the colleges of education with some workshops and conferences.
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