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Abstract

Ionospheric plasma blobs have long been studied since it was first reported in 1986. Blobs are localized regions of enhanced plasma with a factor of 2 or 3 above ambient plasma. In this paper, we studied the occurrence of blobs over Nigeria (9.080N, 8.670E geographic coordinates) using the SWARM constellation satellites – ionospheric plasma density dataset specifically. We considered only the nighttime pass of the satellites over Nigeria with time frame 18:00 to 04:59 LT. The satellites passed over Nigeria 126 times in 2019 with 41 cases of plasma blobs. The results show that 58% of the cases were found without bubbles nearby, 29% of the cases were found in the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density (henceforth “SSFiI”). From the spectral analysis, the average wavelength, period and the propagating speed of SSFiI are 11 km, 2-4 seconds, and 2.75 – 5.5 km/s, respectively. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with SSFiI was ~50% above that of the blobs in the absence of SSFiI. This suggests that bubbles may not be the only prerequisite for the development and dynamics of blobs; and SSFiI may play a significant role in the morphology and dynamics of blobs.
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Key Points:

- 58% of the blobs were observed in the absence of bubbles in the vicinity of Africa and South America.
- Blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations are more disturbed than the ones without.
- The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations is ~50% above that of the blobs without.
Abstract

Ionospheric plasma blobs have long been studied since it was first reported in 1986. Blobs are localized regions of enhanced plasma with a factor of 2 or 3 above ambient plasma. In this paper, we studied the occurrence of blobs over Nigeria (9.08°N, 8.67°E geographic coordinates) using the SWARM constellation satellites – ionospheric plasma density dataset specifically. We considered only the nighttime pass of the satellites over Nigeria with time frame 18:00 to 04:59 LT. The satellites passed over Nigeria 126 times in 2019 with 41 cases of plasma blobs. The results show that 58% of the cases were found without bubbles nearby, 29% of the cases were found in the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density (henceforth "SSFiI"). From the spectral analysis, the average wavelength, period and the propagating speed of SSFiI are 11 km, 2-4 seconds, and 2.75 – 5.5 km/s, respectively. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with SSFiI was ~50% above that of the blobs in the absence of SSFiI. This suggests that bubbles may not be the only prerequisite for the development and dynamics of blobs; and SSFiI may play a significant role in the morphology and dynamics of blobs.

Plain Language Summary

This study investigates ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria using SWARM constellation satellites. We focused on nighttime passes in 2019 and identified 41 cases of plasma blobs out of 126 satellite passes. Surprisingly, 58% occurred without nearby bubbles, challenging the belief that bubbles are essential for blob formation. Additionally, 29% of cases showed small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density (SSFiI). Spectral analysis revealed SSFiI's predominant signal in the 2-4 seconds period and propagating speed of 2.75 – 5.5 km/s. Notably, blobs with SSFiI had a ~50% higher electron density change than those without. This suggests SSFiI may significantly influence blob morphology and dynamics, questioning the exclusive role of bubbles in blob development.
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1.0 Introduction

Plasma blobs, observed in various forms of plasma such as ionospheric plasma (Park et al., 2022), solar plasma (Patel et al., 2020), magnetospheric plasma (De Keyser et al., 2001), and laboratory plasma (Majeski et al., 2021), are ubiquitous in plasma studies. These plasma "balls" with
significant mass and energy above their surroundings have been extensively studied, with a specific focus on ionospheric plasma blobs in this paper (Kil et al., 2019; Park et al., 2022; Watanabe & Oya, 1986). Ionospheric blobs are localized regions of enhanced plasma, typically exhibiting 2 or 3 factors above ambient plasma levels.

The relationship between ionospheric plasma blobs and other phenomena such as plasma bubbles, MSTID, geomagnetic storms, and EIA has been established through observational studies (Adebayo et al., 2023; Agyei-Yeboah et al., 2021; Tardelli-Coelho et al., 2017; Kil et al., 2019; Pimenta et al., 2007; Park et al., 2022). Notably, the co-occurrence and distribution similarities of bubbles and blobs at the same magnetic meridian (Huang et al., 2014; Yokoyama et al., 2007) and numerical simulations supporting blob formation during bubble development (Krall et al., 2010) suggest a close relationship. However, the detection of blobs in the absence of bubbles indicates that bubbles are not a necessary precondition for blob formation (Klenzing et al., 2011). Similar observational studies linking MSTIDs and blobs, as well as their climatological occurrence patterns, further support the idea that these blobs are associated with these phenomena (Kil et al., 2019; Miller et al., 2014; Haaser et al., 2012).

Researchers have explored mechanisms underlying plasma blob formation. One hypothesis suggests a link between blob formation and the dynamics of bubble structures, wherein the enhancement of the polarization E-field within bubbles serves as a metaphorical "ball" undergoing poleward reflections, ultimately leading to the formation of plasma blobs (Huang et al., 2014; Krall et al., 2010; Park et al., 2003). An alternative hypothesis proposes that meridional winds and nonuniform airflow patterns in the ionosphere can alter the spatial distribution of plasma density within a bubble flux tube, resulting in the manifestation of plasma density enhancements or "blobs" (Wang et al., 2019; Klenzing et al., 2011).

It's noteworthy that some regions, such as Africa, exhibit plasma bubbles without associated plasma blobs (Okoh et al., 2017; Adebayo, 2021). However, recent case studies over Africa by Park et al. (2022b) associated plasma blob occurrences with the activities of the EIA, showcasing in situ plasma density enhancements correlated with patch-like increases in GOLD nightglow intensity using LEO satellites. These blobs were found to stay close to the EIA crest region and
poleward of nearby bubbles, consistent with earlier studies in Central/South America (Park et al., 2022).

In this paper, we present the first in-situ observations of ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria during a deep solar minimum. While extensive literature exists over the Brazilian tropical sector, studies over Africa, especially Nigeria, are limited. This research aims to scrutinize the morphology and dynamics of these blobs and assess the possible influence of small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density. Using ESA SWARM constellation satellites, we conducted a comprehensive study, considering only nighttime passes over Nigeria in 2019. Our results include occurrence patterns, classifications of blob signatures, spectral and statistical analyses.

2.0 Instruments

2.1 SWARM constellation

Swarm is a constellation mission by the European Space Agency (ESA) consisting of three identical satellites, namely Swarm A, B, and C, launched into near-polar orbits in November 2013. Their initial pearl-of-strings configuration allowed for the study of PCP evolution, as Spicher et al. (2015) explained. By April 2014, the satellites' orbits had drifted, resulting in Swarm A and Swarm C orbiting at about 460 km and Swarm B at approximately 510 km. Each swarm satellite carries an identical payload comprising several instruments; in this study, we used the Ionospheric Plasma Irregularities (IPIR) dataset. The IPIR dataset uses Electric Field Instrument (EFI) and GPS Receiver (GPSR) instruments; for details about the dataset, see Jin et al. (2022).

3.0 Observation and Methodology

3.1 IPIR Dataset

The IPIR data product of the SWARM constellation was used to study ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria. The IPIR dataset is a Level 2 (L2) data product that results from data assimilation and processing of several Swarm L1b and L2 data products. Its objective is to offer a complete dataset that enables the analysis of plasma structuring along all Swarm orbits (Jin et al., 2022). IPIR utilizes several Swarm products, including plasma density derived from EFIx_LP_1B,
Ionospheric Bubble Index (IBI) obtained from IBIxTMS_2F, auroral boundary detection based on field-aligned currents from AOBxFAC_2F, topside-ionosphere total electron content (TEC) derived from TECxTMS_2F, and Polar Cap Products as described by Spicher et al. (2017). The IPIR dataset comprises 29 entries, but only twelve (12) entries that are relevant to this study were used. Table 1 shows the details of the entries used for this study.

Table 1: IPIR Dataset parameters used for this study. Twelve parameters are considered for this study, and their details are shown in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Timestamp</td>
<td>CDF epoch of the measurement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Position in ITRF – Latitude</td>
<td>degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Position in ITRF – Longitude</td>
<td>degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>Electron density, ne; downsampled to 1 Hz</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Background_Ne</td>
<td>Background electron density, ne,b</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Te</td>
<td>Electron temperature, Te; downsampled to 1 Hz</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grad_Ne_at_20 km</td>
<td>The electron density gradient over 20 km based on 2 Hz data</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ROD</td>
<td>Rate Of change of density, dn/dt</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>delta_Ne10s</td>
<td>Fluctuation amplitudes over the baseline of 10 seconds</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IBI_flag</td>
<td>Plasma Bubble Index, copied from the Level-2 Ionospheric Bubble Index product, IBIxTMS_2F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ionosphere_region_flag</td>
<td>Determining the geomagnetic region where the measurement was taken (0: equator, 1: mid-latitudes; 2: auroral oval; 3: polar cap)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IPIR_index</td>
<td>Determining the level of fluctuations in the ionospheric plasma density</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to Jin et al. (2022) the background density is calculated from $n_e$ using a 35th percentile filter of 551 data points, which corresponds to approximately 2,000 km for 2 Hz data at the Swarm orbital speed of $\sim 7.5$ km/s. The parameters delta_Ne10s (i.e., $\Delta n_{e10s}$) correspond to the amplitudes of plasma fluctuations. They are obtained by subtracting the median filtered value of $n_e$ within $\Delta t = 10s$ intervals from the actual value of $n_e$:

$$\Delta n_{eXs} (t_i) = n_e (t_i) - \tilde{n}_e (t_i)_{Xs}$$

where $\tilde{n}_e (t_i)_{Xs}$ is the median-filtered value of $n_e$ at time $t_i$, which is median-filtered within a X-second interval. These scales correspond to fluctuations at scales smaller than 75 km (Jin et al., 2022).

The IPIR index was derived from the combination of RODI10s and standard deviation of delta_Ne10s (i.e., of $\Delta n_{e10s}$) as thus (Jin et al., 2022):

$$IPIR_{tx} = RODI10s \cdot A(n_e)_{10s}$$

where

$$RODI(t) = \frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{t_i = t - \Delta t/2}^{t_i = t + \Delta t/2} |ROD(t_i) - \bar{ROD}|^2$$

where $\bar{ROD}$ is the mean value of $ROD(t_i)$:

$$\bar{ROD} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t_i = t - \Delta t/2}^{t_i = t + \Delta t/2} ROD(t_i)$$

where $\Delta t = 10$ seconds for RODI10s and $A(n_e)_{10s}$ is the standard deviation of $\Delta n_{e10s}$ in a running window of 10 seconds:

$$A(n_e)_{10s} (t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{t_i = t - \Delta t/2}^{t_i = t + \Delta t/2} |\Delta n_{e10s} (t_i) - \Delta \tilde{n}_{e10s}|^2}$$
where \( \overline{\Delta n_{e10s}} \) is the mean value of \( \Delta n_{e10s}(t_i) \) in this interval:

\[
\overline{\Delta n_{e10s}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t_i=t-\Delta t/2}^{t_i=t+\Delta t/2} \Delta n_{e10s}(t_i)
\]

\( RODI_{10s} \) relates to the variability seen in density fluctuations within plasma, characterizing its structure over 10-second intervals. Meanwhile, \( A(n_e)_{10s} \) is associated with the absolute amplitudes of fluctuations occurring in 10-second intervals. The interrelation between \( A(n_e)_{10s} \) and \( RODI_{10s} \) reveals an insignificant correlation for minor scales. When combined, these measures offer valuable insights into the extent of structuring within ionospheric plasma. Notably, high IPIRix values typically coincide with substantial amplitudes in high-frequency fluctuations. The classification of IPIRix index scale with respect to ionospheric plasma density fluctuations falls into three classifications: 1-3 (low), 4-5 (medium), and >6 (high). This scale represents a tenfold difference in IPIRix numerical values. For example, an index value of 1 corresponds to IPIRix values below \( 10^3 \) cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{3}\), index value 2 corresponds to IPIRix values ranging between \( 10^3 \) and \( 10^4 \) cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{3}\), index value 3 corresponds to IPIRix values ranging between \( 10^4 \) and \( 10^5 \) cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{3}\), and so forth (Jin et al., 2022). Figure 1 shows the trajectory of the SWARM satellite A (in blue) on March 4, 2019, and the magnetic equator (in red).
Therefore, in this paper, blobs are identified as discrete regions of enhanced electron density (see Figure 2(a)) while SSFil are identified as continuous irregular fluctuations in the electron density (see Figure 2(b) and (c)). To identify Blob and SSFil, we conducted a manual search using electron density data, and the other parameters described in Table 1 are used to study their signatures. We established a 5% threshold for plasma density enhancement above the background, meaning that we manually selected blobs when the local electron density increased by more than 5%. SSFil consists of continuous, irregular fluctuations in electron density with the prominent periods at 2-4 seconds, and there are no corresponding irregular fluctuations observed in the magnetic field data. In cases where there are blobs without SSFil, there are no fluctuations in the electron density (see Figure 2(c) in red and 5(a)). Conversely, when there are blobs with SSFil, continuous electron density fluctuations are present (see Figure 2(b) and (c)). Figure 2(a) illustrates a contour plot of a specific example, depicting a discrete region of enhanced electron density (blob) at 10°N.

*Figure 1: Observatory, All-Sky Imager FOV, SWARM satellite passage, and magnetic equator. The All-Sky Imager is located at SERL-ARCSSTE-E-NASRDA with the field of view (FOV, in green), SWARM satellite passing over Nigeria (in blue), magnetic equator (in red), and the location of the planned Virginia Tech – Nigerian Bowen Equatorial Aeronomy Radar (VT-NigerBear, in planning).*
geographic latitude, located within the trough of the equatorial ionization anomaly (EIA) on March 1, 2019, at 21:52 LT. We visualized 1D electron density data as a 2D filled contour against latitude using the ggplot module of the R programming language (Wickham, 2020) (refer to Figure 5(a) for the line plot of the same data). It's important to note that this plot shows electron density as a function of latitude only, as plotting it against both latitude and longitude would result in a straight contour line due to the satellite’s single pass along a longitude, providing little meaningful information. Therefore, we opted for the 'ggplot' module, which allows us to create contour plots with electron density and latitude only. Notably, this blob exhibits a significantly higher concentration (54%) of plasma compared to the background density. Along-track extension of blobs was used to estimate the north-south scale-size of the blobs. This extension was converted to kilometer such as $1^\circ = 110$ km. Similar method was also used by Le et al. (2003) to estimate the blobs’ extension. In addition, using the Scipy “find_peaks” function, we estimated the wavelength of SSFiI to be 11 km on average. From this parameter, the percentage enhancement of electron density inside the blob as compared to the background density was estimated. Lastly, we estimated the spectral characteristics of SSFiI using discrete Fourier Transform on the electron density data.
3.2 Geomagnetic Conditions

With the target to classify the blobs by the geomagnetic conditions using Dst values, Figure 3 shows the Dst values for each case in 2019. Blobs have been observed during geomagnetic storms, Dst < -50 nT (Pimenta et al., 2007) and quiet geomagnetic conditions, Dst > -50 nT (Park et al., 2022). Following the geomagnetic storm classification of Gonzalez et al. (1994) none of the
observed 41 cases was related to geomagnetic storms because the Dst values were typically greater than -50 nT (see Figure 3). This implies that the influence of prompt penetration of the electric field of the higher latitude origin is ruled out as the possible cause of these blobs.

![Graph showing Dst values for each of the 41 cases of blobs over Nigeria. The Dst data was obtained from VirEs of the SWARM mission.](image)

**Figure 3**: Dst values for each of the 41 cases of blobs over Nigeria. The Dst data was obtained from VirEs of the SWARM mission.

### 4.0 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Occurrence patterns

We have analyzed the 2019 Swarm data via the Virtual Research Environment (VirEs) of the SWARM constellation mission (Smith et al., 2022). For 2019, the satellites passed over Nigeria 126 times with 41 cases of plasma blobs, see Figure 4 for the distribution of the cases. Three clusters of cases can be observed: January through March, June through August, and October through December. August has the highest occurrence rate (77%) of blobs. There is a 17% occurrence rate of plasma blobs during solstices (June and December), and 10% occurrence rate during March equinox with no case in September equinox. Dividing the occurrences into local summer (April to October) and winter (November to March) seasons in Nigeria, there are 22 cases (54%) in summer and 19 cases in winter (46%). Thus, there seems to be more cases in summer than in winter. This is opposite to the blobs’ seasonal distribution, as Park et al. (2008) reported, where most of the blobs occurred during winter. Su et al. (2022) also conducted a statistical study on the occurrence characteristics of plasma blobs. They found that the seasonal pattern peaks in June Solstice in both the northern and southern hemispheres, opposite to what has been observed
in this study. However, the blobs’ occurrence patterns over Brazil carried out by Adebayo (2021) showed zero occurrences in April, May and June, which is similar to the results in the current study. The similarity between these studies could be a result of the proximity of the two observatories (Brazil and Nigeria) to the equatorial region than the other studies with opposite results, which probably suggests that there are variety of plasma blobs and, thus, various mechanisms for their development and morphology.

![Figure 4: Occurrence patterns of plasma blobs over Nigeria in 2019. The red bars show the observation which correspond to the number of times the satellites passed over Nigeria in 2019, and the green bars show the number of cases of blobs for each month.](image)

However, from the distribution pattern of the observations (red bars in Figure 4), it can be observed that some of the months have very few or no observations at all, which implies the absence of satellites passing over Nigeria in that period due to the 1800 – 0459 (LT) time constraints. Thus, the results obtained for April, May and September may not be reliable indicators of the blob's actual percentage of occurrence in nature. This is because the limited number of satellites passes during these months results in a small sample size, which may not be representative of the entire population. As a result, the computed percentage occurrence (in blue) may be subject to significant sampling error and may not accurately reflect the blob's true occurrence in nature. Thus, this makes
it difficult to draw reliable conclusions about seasonal patterns in the blob's occurrence over Nigeria.

From Figure 5, selected cases of blobs over Nigeria shown as discrete enhanced regions of electron density can be seen at around 10°N geographic latitude. The figure shows the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric (SSFiI) plasma density (seen as irregular fluctuations in Figure 5 (b), (c), and (d)). The signatures of the blobs associated with SSFiI differ from those without SSFiI (to be discussed in the subsequent sections). We observed that the blobs associated with SSFiI shrank with north-south extension being smaller by ~62% (on average) than the blobs without SSFiI. In addition, the plasma within the blobs associated with SSFiI are more disturbed with clearer evidence of the presence of medium-scale irregularities when compared with their counterpart. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations was ~50% above that of the blobs without. The SSFiI might have been induced by the atmospheric gravity waves or due to the plasma instability in the ionosphere itself. However, there is no clear explanation for the main course of these SSFiI. Further research is therefore required to identify the source and dynamics of these SSFiI in the ionosphere.
Figure 5: Samples of plasma blobs observed over Nigeria. The red line in the right-side plots is the electron density, with obvious fluctuations in (b), (c), and (d), and none of such in (a). These fluctuations are the small-scale variations in the ionosphere plasma density. The blue line in the left-side plots indicates the trajectory of SWARM A over Nigeria.
4.2 Spectral Analysis of SSFiI

The spectral analysis of SSFiI using discrete Fourier Transform method is shown in Figure 6 where the results are visualized in terms of the magnitude spectrum, and periodogram. Note that only one side of the spectrum is considered in the figures. The magnitude spectrum illustrates an exponential decrease in the magnitude of SSFiI with increasing frequency, revealing fluctuations in magnitude occurring shortly after approximately 0.25 Hz. The periodogram shows that the most significant frequencies of SSFiI have periods of approximately less than 6.5 seconds (the red vertical line). The position of the red vertical line in the periodogram signifies the boundary between the considered and the cut-off frequencies. This is because the cluster of the magnitude/frequency bins lies mostly at periods less than 6.5 seconds. So, the main peaks residing at periods less than 6.5 seconds are considered as the most occurring periods and thus, the prominent frequencies. Hence, SSFiI have periods of 2-4 seconds and propagating at the speed of 2.75 – 5.5 km/s using the 11 km (along-track extension) average wavelength.

![Magnitude Spectrum of SSFiI](image)

![Periodogram of SSFiI](image)

Figure 6: Spectral analysis of SSFiI: Top panel shows the magnitude spectrum of SSFiI, second panel shows the periodogram of SSFiI. The red vertical line in the periodogram indicates the considered and the cut-off frequencies.
4.2 Signatures of Ionospheric Plasma Blobs

With the target to evaluate the signatures of blobs in the topside ionosphere over Nigeria, we have selected two prominent cases within which the 41 cases are classified visually: cases without SSFiI and with SSFiI. In other words, each of the cases observed demonstrates one of these signatures, excluding the blobs associated with bubbles. We are not focusing on the blobs associated with bubbles in this study as several investigators have already reported such (Park et al., 2022b, Su et al., 2022; Agyei-Yeboah et al., 2021; Wang et al. 2019). The signatures of the blobs are studied on the parameters highlighted in Table 1. The hatched region is approximately just showing the electron density enhancement and the corresponding signatures on the parameters. But notice that some parameters show different structures even beyond the hatched region such as the latitudinal variations.

4.2.1 First Case Study – Without SSFiI

From the first case study, Figure 7(a), which is without the presence of small-scale fluctuations, the electron density inside the blob increased significantly above the ambient density (panel (a)); the electron temperature fluctuates inside the blob with a sinusoidal pattern (panel (b)), the ionospheric plasma irregularities index (panel (d)) does not show precise pattern at the exact location of the blobs however, there is a jump of IPIRix from 3 to 4 level at around the location of the blob. The electron density gradient at 20 km (panel (e)) displays an initial decrease in electron density and sudden increase inside the blob, plasma fluctuation amplitude over the 10s baseline (panel (f)) display slight increase in turbulence inside blob, and the rate of change of electron density (panel (g)) increases significantly inside the blob. The gradient of electron density at 20 km (e) and rate of change of electron density (g) display similar patterns: the gradient is positive southward of the blob (from 2°N to 10°N GLAT), abrupt increase inside the blobs, then negative northward of the blobs (from 12 °N to 16°N GLAT). A recovery pattern can be seen at the northward of the blobs as the gradient approaches “0” (see Figure 7(e) and (g)). This result is similar and in agreement with the plasma drifts behavior inside the blobs as reported by Klenzing et al. (2011) and Le et al. (2003). In their work, they attributed the reversal to the evening-to-night electric field reversal. Thus, it can be inferred that blobs are likely harboring small-medium scale irregularities which could pose abnormality on the radio signal passing through or around them.
Wang et al. (2015) reported a case of scintillation associated with ionospheric plasma blobs, and they found that plasma was greatly disturbed inside the blob. Shi et al. (2017) also reported that ionospheric plasma blobs could cause scintillation as the plasma was greatly disturbed inside the blobs. Watanabe and Oya (1986) reported a significant increase in electron density inside the blobs. According to a study by Park et al. (2003), the electron temperature within the blobs was found to be lower, and the ratio of O\(^+\) to H\(^+\) ions was greater than that of ambient plasma. They suggested that plasma blobs originate from the lower part of F region. Thus, this work agrees with the earlier reported signatures of blobs.

**Figure 7:** First classification of the signature of plasma blobs without the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere plasma density. The greyed section shows the region of the blob and corresponding signatures.
4.2.2 Second Case Study – With SSFiI

Figure 8 shows a plasma blob in the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere plasma density. In comparison to Figure 7, notable differences can be observed in the signatures of the blobs associated with SSFiI and those without. The electron density (panel (a)) increased significantly inside the blob (similar to the first case study), the electron temperature (panel (b)) does not show a precise pattern however, a sudden increase in the temperature (25% above the ambient temperature) between 8.5°N and 14°N can be observed, with a sharp drop at the blob's centroid. The IPIR index indicates more precisely that there are irregularities in the blob’s temperature, density, or its thermal characteristics, as seen by the IPIR index suddenly and very precisely jumped from 2 to 4 scale (see Figure 8(d)). The poleward edges of the blobs are more relatively stable when compared within the blobs. The electron density gradient at 20 km (panel (e)), plasma fluctuation amplitudes on 10s baseline (panel (f)), and the rate of change of electron density (panel (g)) glaringly show that these blobs display different signatures compared to the blobs without SSFiI. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with SSFiI was ~50% above that of the blobs without. The distinctive features of these plasma blobs encompass a notable increase in electron plasma density, a solely positive electron gradient within the blob see Figure 8(e), (f) and (g), and a substantial increase in the amplitude of plasma fluctuation. In comparison to the initial scenario, it can be deduced that the presence of SSFiI is linked to significant perturbations within the plasma blobs. Considering the non-stationary property of these small-scale fluctuations, probably induced by atmospheric gravity waves originating from lower altitudes (Takahashi et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2008) or by instabilities in the ionosphere itself, these fluctuations might have propagated towards the equator and interacted with ionospheric plasma blobs. This interaction could have transferred momentum and energy to the plasma blobs, causing larger irregularities and turbulence within them, which we can observe when studying these plasma blobs associated with small-scale plasma fluctuations.
Exploring the potential impact of SSFII, this investigation unveils characteristic plasma behavior within the context of plasma blobs associated with SSFII. In Figure 9, we present electron density, IPIR_index, and plasma fluctuation amplitude within three selected blob cases. The IPIR_index exhibited a transition from a low-level plasma fluctuation (2) in the immediate surroundings to a medium level (4) within the blob across all cases. This suggests a heightened degree of plasma turbulence within the blob compared to its immediate surroundings, a pattern affirmed by the amplitude of plasma fluctuation (depicted in yellow). Notably, the amplitude of plasma fluctuation is substantially higher within the blobs, showing an average percentage increase of 290% relative to blobs without SSFII. In contrast, blob events in the absence of SSFII lack such a uniform

Figure 8: Second case of plasma blobs but in the presence of small-scale fluctuations in the ionosphere plasma density.
distinctly increased level of plasma turbulence (see Figure 7(f)). Thus, the presence of SSFiI introduces an additional layer of plasma irregularity to blobs, potentially exerting influences on radio wave technologies.

Figure 9: Uniform patterns observed for the blobs associated with SSFiI. The first panel (in red) shows the electron density, the second panel (in green) shows the IPIR_index, and the third panel shows the plasma amplitude fluctuation.

### 4.3 Statistical analysis of Plasma Blobs

To further understand the physical characteristics of the blobs observed over Nigeria, statistical analysis has been performed on the key features of the blobs, and this includes number of cases (No_of_Cases), average electron density (Density (cm$^{-3}$)), average north-south extension of the blobs (N-S Extension (km)), average geographical latitudes (GLAT (°)), average geographical longitude (GLON (°)), see Table 2. The average values are based on the monthly cases of the blobs. The blobs have a north-south extension of 46.62 – 182.04 km (approximately 107.97 ± 31.81 km on average), an average electron density of 2.29×10$^5$ cm$^{-3}$ and an average latitude (longitude) of 10.62 ± 0.32° (10.43 ± 4.08). Figure 10 shows the frequency distribution of the north-south scale size of the blobs observed over Nigeria in 2019. 66% of the cases are less than 120 km in north-south extension. Adebayo (2021), using optical instruments, estimated the north-south and east-west extensions of blobs during low solar activity as 110-230 km and 41-81 km, respectively. Pimenta et al. (2007) reported the scale sizes of blobs during geomagnetic storms to be 200-460 km and 110-160 km in north-south and east-west extensions, respectively. Therefore, considering
the range of values obtained in this study it can be inferred that the results agree with the previous studies.

Table 2: Blobs statistical parameters monthly. The average of each of the cases is summarized in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>No_of_Obs</th>
<th>No_of_Cases</th>
<th>Occurrence (%)</th>
<th>Density (10^6 cm^-3)</th>
<th>N-S Extension (km)</th>
<th>GLAT (°)</th>
<th>GLON (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>132.10</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>15.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td>77.70</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3.432</td>
<td>129.32</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1.796</td>
<td>93.06</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>107.58</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.229</td>
<td>112.11</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2.374</td>
<td>120.62</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>12.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>94.54</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>13.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Plasma blobs are localized enhanced regions of plasma above ambient plasma. Since the first observation of plasma blobs by Watanabe and Oya (1986), there has been a series of research investigating the origin, dynamics, and morphology of plasma blobs across different regions of the globe. Plasma blobs are not phenomena exclusively occurring in the ionosphere; they have also been observed in other plasma forms. Thus, understanding the physics of blobs is very crucial.

In this study, we have observed ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria with the ESA SWARM satellites using the ionospheric plasma irregularities dataset. This work signifies the first occurrence characteristics of plasma blobs over Africa and the possible influence of small-scale plasma fluctuations in the ionospheric plasma density. We couldn’t affirm the actual occurrence patterns of blobs over Nigeria due to the sampling error in the observation and cases statistics of

![Figure 10: Distribution of north-south extension of the blobs over Nigeria in 2019. The blobs are 107.97 km on average with minimum and maximum scale size of 46.62 – 182.04 km, respectively.](image)
blobs. We imposed a time frame of 1800 – 0459 LT on the satellite observations over Nigeria so as to study only the nighttime blobs. However, likely relevant information to the literature was deduced. The signatures of plasma blobs have been classified into two categories: with small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere (SSFiI) plasma density and without these fluctuations. From the spectral analysis, SSFiI have periods of 2-4 seconds and propagating at the speed of 2.75 – 5.5 km/s using the 11 km (along-track extension) average wavelength. The result shows that plasma inside the blobs associated with SSFiI is more disturbed than the ones without these fluctuations. SSFiI could be responsible for this increased disturbance. Plasma density fluctuations are frequent occurrence in the ionosphere and can be caused by many factors such as atmospheric gravity waves activities (Hocke and Tsuda, 2001), high latitude plasma dynamics (Chaturvedi, 1976), geomagnetic storms (Takahashi et al., 2018), passing of very low frequency signal transmitter (Ivarsen et al., 2021) to mention a few. These fluctuations can initiate various plasma irregularities phenomena whose presence could pose significant impacts on ground-based and space-based technologies. In this study, we have found that blobs were greatly disturbed by the presence of small-scale fluctuations in plasma density with the evidence of higher amplitude of plasma fluctuation, and this support the earlier results of blobs’ potential to causing scintillation (Shi et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015). However, the source of SSFiI couldn’t be affirmed in this work and thus, further investigation is required.

The blobs were mostly found at the crests and troughs of equatorial ionization anomaly which is quite similar to other reported blobs (Luo et al., 2018; Park et al., 2022). The blobs associated with plasma bubbles may be explained by the hypothesis proposed by Huang et al. (2014) where blobs development has been linked to bubbles evolution. However, Adebayo (2021) found cases of bubbles without blobs over the Brazilian sector using optical instruments, and they inferred that polarized electric field might be the key driver of the formation of plasma blobs associated with bubbles. They concluded that there might be a threshold of polarized electric field liable for blobs’ development as the cases of bubbles without blobs showed a relatively shorter depletions when compared with bubbles associated with blobs. In this paper, the blobs found at the crests of EIA and in the absence of bubbles are more likely to be caused by the mechanisms simulated by Krall et al. (2010) where blobs are formed at the balance of upward diffusive force and downward gravitational and pressure gradient forces. But the simulation did not show formation of blobs at
the trough of EIA neither has there been any observation of such, thus, the blobs found in the absence of bubbles and at the trough of EIA in this study are probably generated by the nonuniform behavior of Pedersen current induced by the thermospheric neutral wind. However, further investigations on the physics of these blobs are important to validate these hypotheses.

In summary, this study observed only a few numbers of cases where blobs were found to be associated with bubbles, suggesting that the presence of bubbles alone may not be sufficient for the development of blobs. Furthermore, the observation of plasma blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere plasma density suggests that there may be additional mechanisms at work, independent of bubbles, that contribute to the formation and dynamics of plasma blobs. In addition, it is noteworthy that none of the observed blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations occurred in the presence of bubbles. Thus, suggesting that the physical processes underlying the formation of plasma blobs may differ from those involved in the formation of bubbles. Nevertheless, the exact mechanisms underlying the interaction between small-scale fluctuations and ionospheric plasma blobs are still an active area of research; hence, further simulations exploring the mechanisms proposed earlier by other investigators may provide insights into the dominant mechanisms that give rise to plasma blobs.
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Table 1: IPIR Dataset parameters used for this study. Twelve parameters are considered for this study, and their details are shown in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Timestamp</td>
<td>CDF epoch of the measurement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Position in ITRF – Latitude</td>
<td>degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Position in ITRF – Longitude</td>
<td>degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>Electron density, ne; downsampled to 1 Hz</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Background_Ne</td>
<td>Background electron density, ne,b</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Te</td>
<td>Electron temperature, Te; downsampled to 1 Hz</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grad_Ne_at_20 km</td>
<td>The electron density gradient over 20 km based on 2 Hz data</td>
<td>cm(^{-3}/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ROD</td>
<td>Rate Of change of density, dn/dt</td>
<td>cm(^{-3}/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>delta_Ne10s</td>
<td>Fluctuation amplitudes over the baseline of 10 seconds</td>
<td>cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IBI_flag</td>
<td>Plasma Bubble Index, copied from the Level-2 Ionospheric Bubble Index product, IBIxTMS_2F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ionosphere_region_flag</td>
<td>Determining the geomagnetic region where the measurement was taken (0: equator, 1: mid-latitudes; 2: auroral oval; 3: polar cap)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IPIR_index</td>
<td>Determining the level of fluctuations in the ionospheric plasma density</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Blobs statistical parameters monthly. The average of each of the cases is summarized in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>No_of_Obs</th>
<th>No_of_Cases</th>
<th>Occurrence (%)</th>
<th>Density ($10^5$ cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>N-S Extension (km)</th>
<th>GLAT (°)</th>
<th>GLON (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>132.10</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>15.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td>77.70</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3.432</td>
<td>129.32</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1.796</td>
<td>93.06</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>107.58</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.229</td>
<td>112.11</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2.374</td>
<td>120.62</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>12.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>94.54</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>13.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Key Points:

• 58% of the blobs were observed in the absence of bubbles in the vicinity of Africa and South America.

• Blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations are more disturbed than the ones without.

• The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with small-scale fluctuations is ~50% above that of the blobs without.
Abstract

Ionospheric plasma blobs have long been studied since it was first reported in 1986. Blobs are localized regions of enhanced plasma with a factor of 2 or 3 above ambient plasma. In this paper, we studied the occurrence of blobs over Nigeria (9.08°N, 8.67°E geographic coordinates) using the SWARM constellation satellites – ionospheric plasma density dataset specifically. We considered only the nighttime pass of the satellites over Nigeria with time frame 18:00 to 04:59 LT. The satellites passed over Nigeria 126 times in 2019 with 41 cases of plasma blobs. The results show that 58% of the cases were found without bubbles nearby, 29% of the cases were found in the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density (henceforth "SSFiI"). From the spectral analysis, the average wavelength, period and the propagating speed of SSFiI are 11 km, 2-4 seconds, and 2.75 – 5.5 km/s, respectively. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with SSFiI was ~50% above that of the blobs in the absence of SSFiI. This suggests that bubbles may not be the only prerequisite for the development and dynamics of blobs; and SSFiI may play a significant role in the morphology and dynamics of blobs.

Plain Language Summary

This study investigates ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria using SWARM constellation satellites. We focused on nighttime passes in 2019 and identified 41 cases of plasma blobs out of 126 satellite passes. Surprisingly, 58% occurred without nearby bubbles, challenging the belief that bubbles are essential for blob formation. Additionally, 29% of cases showed small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density (SSFiI). Spectral analysis revealed SSFiI's predominant signal in the 2-4 seconds period and propagating speed of 2.75 – 5.5 km/s. Notably, blobs with SSFiI had a ~50% higher electron density change than those without. This suggests SSFiI may significantly influence blob morphology and dynamics, questioning the exclusive role of bubbles in blob development.

Keywords: plasma blobs, plasma bubbles, solar minimum, SSFiI, SWARM

1.0 Introduction

Plasma blobs, observed in various forms of plasma such as ionospheric plasma (Park et al., 2022), solar plasma (Patel et al., 2020), magnetospheric plasma (De Keyser et al., 2001), and laboratory plasma (Majeski et al., 2021), are ubiquitous in plasma studies. These plasma "balls" with
significant mass and energy above their surroundings have been extensively studied, with a specific focus on ionospheric plasma blobs in this paper (Kil et al., 2019; Park et al., 2022; Watanabe & Oya, 1986). Ionospheric blobs are localized regions of enhanced plasma, typically exhibiting 2 or 3 factors above ambient plasma levels.

The relationship between ionospheric plasma blobs and other phenomena such as plasma bubbles, MSTID, geomagnetic storms, and EIA has been established through observational studies (Adebayo et al., 2023; Agyei-Yeboah et al., 2021; Tardelli-Coelho et al., 2017; Kil et al., 2019; Pimenta et al., 2007; Park et al., 2022). Notably, the co-occurrence and distribution similarities of bubbles and blobs at the same magnetic meridian (Huang et al., 2014; Yokoyama et al., 2007) and numerical simulations supporting blob formation during bubble development (Krall et al., 2010) suggest a close relationship. However, the detection of blobs in the absence of bubbles indicates that bubbles are not a necessary precondition for blob formation (Klenzing et al., 2011). Similar observational studies linking MSTIDs and blobs, as well as their climatological occurrence patterns, further support the idea that these blobs are associated with these phenomena (Kil et al., 2019; Miller et al., 2014; Haaser et al., 2012).

Researchers have explored mechanisms underlying plasma blob formation. One hypothesis suggests a link between blob formation and the dynamics of bubble structures, wherein the enhancement of the polarization E-field within bubbles serves as a metaphorical "ball" undergoing poleward reflections, ultimately leading to the formation of plasma blobs (Huang et al., 2014; Krall et al., 2010; Park et al., 2003). An alternative hypothesis proposes that meridional winds and nonuniform airflow patterns in the ionosphere can alter the spatial distribution of plasma density within a bubble flux tube, resulting in the manifestation of plasma density enhancements or "blobs" (Wang et al., 2019; Klenzing et al., 2011).

It's noteworthy that some regions, such as Africa, exhibit plasma bubbles without associated plasma blobs (Okoh et al., 2017; Adebayo, 2021). However, recent case studies over Africa by Park et al. (2022b) associated plasma blob occurrences with the activities of the EIA, showcasing in situ plasma density enhancements correlated with patch-like increases in GOLD nightglow intensity using LEO satellites. These blobs were found to stay close to the EIA crest region and
poleward of nearby bubbles, consistent with earlier studies in Central/South America (Park et al., 2022).

In this paper, we present the first in-situ observations of ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria during a deep solar minimum. While extensive literature exists over the Brazilian tropical sector, studies over Africa, especially Nigeria, are limited. This research aims to scrutinize the morphology and dynamics of these blobs and assess the possible influence of small-scale fluctuations in ionospheric plasma density. Using ESA SWARM constellation satellites, we conducted a comprehensive study, considering only nighttime passes over Nigeria in 2019. Our results include occurrence patterns, classifications of blob signatures, spectral and statistical analyses.

2.0 Instruments

2.1 SWARM constellation

Swarm is a constellation mission by the European Space Agency (ESA) consisting of three identical satellites, namely Swarm A, B, and C, launched into near-polar orbits in November 2013. Their initial pearl-of-strings configuration allowed for the study of PCP evolution, as Spicher et al. (2015) explained. By April 2014, the satellites' orbits had drifted, resulting in Swarm A and Swarm C orbiting at about 460 km and Swarm B at approximately 510 km. Each swarm satellite carries an identical payload comprising several instruments; in this study, we used the Ionospheric Plasma Irregularities (IPIR) dataset. The IPIR dataset uses Electric Field Instrument (EFI) and GPS Receiver (GPSR) instruments; for details about the dataset, see Jin et al. (2022).

3.0 Observation and Methodology

3.1 IPIR Dataset

The IPIR data product of the SWARM constellation was used to study ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria. The IPIR dataset is a Level 2 (L2) data product that results from data assimilation and processing of several Swarm L1b and L2 data products. Its objective is to offer a complete dataset that enables the analysis of plasma structuring along all Swarm orbits (Jin et al., 2022). IPIR utilizes several Swarm products, including plasma density derived from EFix_LP_1B,
Ionospheric Bubble Index (IBI) obtained from IBIxTMS_2F, auroral boundary detection based on field-aligned currents from AOBxFAC_2F, topside-ionosphere total electron content (TEC) derived from TECxTMS_2F, and Polar Cap Products as described by Spicher et al. (2017). The IPIR dataset comprises 29 entries, but only twelve (12) entries that are relevant to this study were used. Table 1 shows the details of the entries used for this study.

Table 1: IPIR Dataset parameters used for this study. Twelve parameters are considered for this study, and their details are shown in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Timestamp</td>
<td>CDF epoch of the measurement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>Position in ITRF – Latitude</td>
<td>degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Longitude</td>
<td>Position in ITRF – Longitude</td>
<td>degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>Electron density, $n_e$; downsampled to 1 Hz</td>
<td>cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Background_Ne</td>
<td>Background electron density, $n_e,b$</td>
<td>cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Te</td>
<td>Electron temperature, $T_e$; downsampled to 1 Hz</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Grad_Ne_at_20 km</td>
<td>The electron density gradient over 20 km based on 2 Hz data</td>
<td>cm$^{-3}$/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ROD</td>
<td>Rate Of change of density, $dn/dt$</td>
<td>cm$^{-3}$/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>delta_Ne10s</td>
<td>Fluctuation amplitudes over the baseline of 10 seconds</td>
<td>cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IBI_flag</td>
<td>Plasma Bubble Index, copied from the Level-2 Ionospheric Bubble Index product, IBIxTMS_2F</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ionosphere_region_flag</td>
<td>Determining the geomagnetic region where the measurement was taken (0: equator, 1: mid-latitudes; 2: auroral oval; 3: polar cap)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IPIR_index</td>
<td>Determining the level of fluctuations in the ionospheric plasma density</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to Jin et al. (2022) the background density is calculated from \( n_e \) using a 35th percentile filter of 551 data points, which corresponds to approximately 2,000 km for 2 Hz data at the Swarm orbital speed of \( \sim 7.5 \) km/s. The parameters delta_Ne10s (i.e., \( \Delta n_{e10s} \)) correspond to the amplitudes of plasma fluctuations. They are obtained by subtracting the median filtered value of \( n_e \) within \( \Delta t = 10s \) intervals from the actual value of \( n_e \): 

\[
\Delta n_{eXs} (t_i) = n_e (t_i) - \bar{n}_e (t_i)_{Xs}
\]

where \( \bar{n}_e (t_i)_{Xs} \) is the median-filtered value of \( n_e \) at time \( t_i \), which is median-filtered within a X-second interval. These scales correspond to fluctuations at scales smaller than 75 km (Jin et al., 2022).

The IPIR index was derived from the combination of RODI10s and standard deviation of delta_Ne10s (i.e., of \( \Delta n_{e10s} \)) as thus (Jin et al., 2022):

\[
IPIR_{ix} = RODI10s \cdot A(n_e)_{10s}
\]

where

\[
RODI(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{t_i=t-\Delta t/2}^{t_i=t+\Delta t/2} |ROD(t_i) - \bar{ROD}|^2}
\]

where \( \bar{ROD} \) is the mean value of \( ROD(t_i) \):

\[
\bar{ROD} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t_i=t-\Delta t/2}^{t_i=t+\Delta t/2} ROD(t_i)
\]

where \( \Delta t = 10 \) seconds for \( RODI10s \) and \( A(n_e)_{10s} \) is the standard deviation of \( \Delta n_{e10s} \) in a running window of 10 seconds:

\[
A(n_e)_{10s} (t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{t_i=t-\Delta t/2}^{t_i=t+\Delta t/2} |\Delta n_{e10s}(t_i) - \bar{\Delta n}_{e10s}|^2}
\]
where $\overline{\Delta n_{e10s}}$ is the mean value of $\Delta n_{e10s}(t_i)$ in this interval:

$$\overline{\Delta n_{e10s}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t_i=t-\Delta t/2}^{t_i=t+\Delta t/2} \Delta n_{e10s}(t_i)$$

$RODI_{10s}$ relates to the variability seen in density fluctuations within plasma, characterizing its structure over 10-second intervals. Meanwhile, $A(n_e)_{10s}$ is associated with the absolute amplitudes of fluctuations occurring in 10-second intervals. The interrelation between $A(n_e)_{10s}$ and $RODI_{10s}$ reveals an insignificant correlation for minor scales. When combined, these measures offer valuable insights into the extent of structuring within ionospheric plasma. Notably, high IPIRix values typically coincide with substantial amplitudes in high-frequency fluctuations. The classification of IPIRix index scale with respect to ionospheric plasma density fluctuations falls into three classifications: 1-3 (low), 4-5 (medium), and >6 (high). This scale represents a tenfold difference in IPIRix numerical values. For example, an index value of 1 corresponds to IPIRix values below $10^3$ cm$^{-3}$s$^{-1}$cm$^{-3}$, index value 2 corresponds to IPIRix values ranging between $10^3$ and $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$s$^{-1}$cm$^{-3}$, index value 3 corresponds to IPIRix values ranging between $10^4$ and $10^5$ cm$^{-3}$s$^{-1}$cm$^{-3}$, and so forth (Jin et al., 2022). Figure 1 shows the trajectory of the SWARM satellite A (in blue) on March 4, 2019, and the magnetic equator (in red).
Therefore, in this paper, blobs are identified as discrete regions of enhanced electron density (see Figure 2(a)) while SSFil are identified as continuous irregular fluctuations in the electron density (see Figure 2(b) and (c)). To identify Blob and SSFil, we conducted a manual search using electron density data, and the other parameters described in Table 1 are used to study their signatures. We established a 5% threshold for plasma density enhancement above the background, meaning that we manually selected blobs when the local electron density increased by more than 5%. SSFil consists of continuous, irregular fluctuations in electron density with the prominent periods at 2-4 seconds, and there are no corresponding irregular fluctuations observed in the magnetic field data. In cases where there are blobs without SSFil, there are no fluctuations in the electron density (see Figure 2(c) in red and 5(a)). Conversely, when there are blobs with SSFil, continuous electron density fluctuations are present (see Figure 2(b) and (c)). Figure 2(a) illustrates a contour plot of a specific example, depicting a discrete region of enhanced electron density (blob) at 10°N.
geographic latitude, located within the trough of the equatorial ionization anomaly (EIA) on March 1, 2019, at 21:52 LT. We visualized 1D electron density data as a 2D filled contour against latitude using the ggplot module of the R programming language (Wickham, 2020) (refer to Figure 5(a) for the line plot of the same data). It’s important to note that this plot shows electron density as a function of latitude only, as plotting it against both latitude and longitude would result in a straight contour line due to the satellite’s single pass along a longitude, providing little meaningful information. Therefore, we opted for the ‘ggplot’ module, which allows us to create contour plots with electron density and latitude only. Notably, this blob exhibits a significantly higher concentration (54%) of plasma compared to the background density. Along-track extension of blobs was used to estimate the north-south scale-size of the blobs. This extension was converted to kilometer such as 1° = 110 km. Similar method was also used by Le et al. (2003) to estimate the blobs’ extension. In addition, using the Scipy “find_peaks” function, we estimated the wavelength of SSFiI to be 11 km on average. From this parameter, the percentage enhancement of electron density inside the blob as compared to the background density was estimated. Lastly, we estimated the spectral characteristics of SSFiI using discrete Fourier Transform on the electron density data.
3.2 Geomagnetic Conditions

With the target to classify the blobs by the geomagnetic conditions using Dst values, Figure 3 shows the Dst values for each case in 2019. Blobs have been observed during geomagnetic storms, Dst < -50 nT (Pimenta et al., 2007) and quiet geomagnetic conditions, Dst > -50 nT (Park et al., 2022). Following the geomagnetic storm classification of Gonzalez et al. (1994) none of the
observed 41 cases was related to geomagnetic storms because the Dst values were typically greater than -50 nT (see Figure 3). This implies that the influence of prompt penetration of the electric field of the higher latitude origin is ruled out as the possible cause of these blobs.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3:** Dst values for each of the 41 cases of blobs over Nigeria. The Dst data was obtained from VirEs of the SWARM mission.

### 4.0 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Occurrence patterns

We have analyzed the 2019 Swarm data via the Virtual Research Environment (VirEs) of the SWARM constellation mission (Smith et al., 2022). For 2019, the satellites passed over Nigeria 126 times with 41 cases of plasma blobs, see Figure 4 for the distribution of the cases. Three clusters of cases can be observed: January through March, June through August, and October through December. August has the highest occurrence rate (77%) of blobs. There is a 17% occurrence rate of plasma blobs during solstices (June and December), and 10% occurrence rate during March equinox with no case in September equinox. Dividing the occurrences into local summer (April to October) and winter (November to March) seasons in Nigeria, there are 22 cases (54%) in summer and 19 cases in winter (46%). Thus, there seems to be more cases in summer than in winter. This is opposite to the blobs’ seasonal distribution, as Park et al. (2008) reported, where most of the blobs occurred during winter. Su et al. (2022) also conducted a statistical study on the occurrence characteristics of plasma blobs. They found that the seasonal pattern peaks in June Solstice in both the northern and southern hemispheres, opposite to what has been observed.
in this study. However, the blobs’ occurrence patterns over Brazil carried out by Adebayo (2021) showed zero occurrences in April, May and June, which is similar to the results in the current study. The similarity between these studies could be a result of the proximity of the two observatories (Brazil and Nigeria) to the equatorial region than the other studies with opposite results, which probably suggests that there are variety of plasma blobs and, thus, various mechanisms for their development and morphology.

Figure 4: Occurrence patterns of plasma blobs over Nigeria in 2019. The red bars show the observation which correspond to the number of times the satellites passed over Nigeria in 2019, and the green bars show the number of cases of blobs for each month.

However, from the distribution pattern of the observations (red bars in Figure 4), it can be observed that some of the months have very few or no observations at all, which implies the absence of satellites passing over Nigeria in that period due to the 1800 – 0459 (LT) time constraints. Thus, the results obtained for April, May and September may not be reliable indicators of the blob's actual percentage of occurrence in nature. This is because the limited number of satellites passes during these months results in a small sample size, which may not be representative of the entire population. As a result, the computed percentage occurrence (in blue) may be subject to significant sampling error and may not accurately reflect the blob's true occurrence in nature. Thus, this makes
it difficult to draw reliable conclusions about seasonal patterns in the blob's occurrence over 
Nigeria.

From Figure 5, selected cases of blobs over Nigeria shown as discrete enhanced regions of electron 
density can be seen at around 10°N geographic latitude. The figure shows the presence of small- 
scale fluctuations in ionospheric (SSFiI) plasma density (seen as irregular fluctuations in Figure 5 
(b), (c), and (d)). The signatures of the blobs associated with SSFiI differ from those without SSFiI 
to be discussed in the subsequent sections). We observed that the blobs associated with SSFiI 
shrank with north-south extension being smaller by ~62% (on average) than the blobs without 
SSFiI. In addition, the plasma within the blobs associated with SSFiI are more disturbed with 
clearer evidence of the presence of medium-scale irregularities when compared with their 
counterpart. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with small-scale 
fluctuations was ~50% above that of the blobs without. The SSFiI might have been induced by the 
atmospheric gravity waves or due to the plasma instability in the ionosphere itself. However, there 
is no clear explanation for the main course of these SSFiI. Further research is therefore required to 
identify the source and dynamics of these SSFiI in the ionosphere.
Figure 5: Samples of plasma blobs observed over Nigeria. The red line in the right-side plots is the electron density, with obvious fluctuations in (b), (c), and (d), and none of such in (a). These fluctuations are the small-scale variations in the ionosphere plasma density. The blue line in the left-side plots indicates the trajectory of SWARM A over Nigeria.
4.2 Spectral Analysis of SSFiI

The spectral analysis of SSFiI using discrete Fourier Transform method is shown in Figure 6 where the results are visualized in terms of the magnitude spectrum, and periodogram. Note that only one side of the spectrum is considered in the figures. The magnitude spectrum illustrates an exponential decrease in the magnitude of SSFiI with increasing frequency, revealing fluctuations in magnitude occurring shortly after approximately 0.25 Hz. The periodogram shows that the most significant frequencies of SSFiI have periods of approximately less than 6.5 seconds (the red vertical line). The position of the red vertical line in the periodogram signifies the boundary between the considered and the cut-off frequencies. This is because the cluster of the magnitude/frequency bins lies mostly at periods less than 6.5 seconds. So, the main peaks residing at periods less than 6.5 seconds are considered as the most occurring periods and thus, the prominent frequencies. Hence, SSFiI have periods of 2-4 seconds and propagating at the speed of 2.75 – 5.5 km/s using the 11 km (along-track extension) average wavelength.

Figure 6: Spectral analysis of SSFiI: Top panel shows the magnitude spectrum of SSFiI, second panel shows the periodogram of SSFiI. The red vertical line in the periodogram indicates the considered and the cut-off frequencies.
4.2 Signatures of Ionospheric Plasma Blobs

With the target to evaluate the signatures of blobs in the topside ionosphere over Nigeria, we have selected two prominent cases within which the 41 cases are classified visually: cases without SSFiI and with SSFiI. In other words, each of the cases observed demonstrates one of these signatures, excluding the blobs associated with bubbles. We are not focusing on the blobs associated with bubbles in this study as several investigators have already reported such (Park et al., 2022b, Su et al., 2022; Agyei-Yeboah et al., 2021; Wang et al. 2019). The signatures of the blobs are studied on the parameters highlighted in Table 1. The hatched region is approximately just showing the electron density enhancement and the corresponding signatures on the parameters. But notice that some parameters show different structures even beyond the hatched region such as the latitudinal variations.

4.2.1 First Case Study – Without SSFiI

From the first case study, Figure 7(a), which is without the presence of small-scale fluctuations, the electron density inside the blob increased significantly above the ambient density (panel (a)); the electron temperature fluctuates inside the blob with a sinusoidal pattern (panel (b)), the ionospheric plasma irregularities index (panel (d)) does not show precise pattern at the exact location of the blobs however, there is a jump of IPIRix from 3 to 4 level at around the location of the blob. The electron density gradient at 20 km (panel (e)) displays an initial decrease in electron density and sudden increase inside the blob, plasma fluctuation amplitude over the 10s baseline (panel (f)) display slight increase in turbulence inside blob, and the rate of change of electron density (panel (g)) increases significantly inside the blob. The gradient of electron density at 20 km (e) and rate of change of electron density (g) display similar patterns: the gradient is positive southward of the blob (from 2°N to 10°N GLAT), abrupt increase inside the blobs, then negative northward of the blobs (from 12 °N to 16°N GLAT). A recovery pattern can be seen at the northward of the blobs as the gradient approaches “0” (see Figure 7(e) and (g)). This result is similar and in agreement with the plasma drifts behavior inside the blobs as reported by Klenzinger et al. (2011) and Le et al. (2003). In their work, they attributed the reversal to the evening-to-night electric field reversal. Thus, it can be inferred that blobs are likely harboring small-medium scale irregularities which could pose abnormality on the radio signal passing through or around them.
Wang et al. (2015) reported a case of scintillation associated with ionospheric plasma blobs, and they found that plasma was greatly disturbed inside the blob. Shi et al. (2017) also reported that ionospheric plasma blobs could cause scintillation as the plasma was greatly disturbed inside the blobs. Watanabe and Oya (1986) reported a significant increase in electron density inside the blobs. According to a study by Park et al. (2003), the electron temperature within the blobs was found to be lower, and the ratio of O$^+$ to H$^+$ ions was greater than that of ambient plasma. They suggested that plasma blobs originate from the lower part of F region. Thus, this work agrees with the earlier reported signatures of blobs.

**Figure 7**: First classification of the signature of plasma blobs without the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere plasma density. The greyed section shows the region of the blob and corresponding signatures.
4.2.2 Second Case Study – With SSFiI

Figure 8 shows a plasma blob in the presence of small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere plasma density. In comparison to Figure 7, notable differences can be observed in the signatures of the blobs associated with SSFiI and those without. The electron density (panel (a)) increased significantly inside the blob (similar to the first case study), the electron temperature (panel (b)) does not show a precise pattern however, a sudden increase in the temperature (25% above the ambient temperature) between 8.5°N and 14°N can be observed, with a sharp drop at the blob’s centroid. The IPIR index indicates more precisely that there are irregularities in the blob’s temperature, density, or its thermal characteristics, as seen by the IPIR index suddenly and very precisely jumped from 2 to 4 scale (see Figure 8(d)). The poleward edges of the blobs are more relatively stable when compared within the blobs. The electron density gradient at 20 km (panel (e)), plasma fluctuation amplitudes on 10s baseline (panel (f)), and the rate of change of electron density (panel (g)) glaringly show that these blobs display different signatures compared to the blobs without SSFiI. The rate of change of the electron density inside the blobs associated with SSFiI was ~50% above that of the blobs without. The distinctive features of these plasma blobs encompass a notable increase in electron plasma density, a solely positive electron gradient within the blob see Figure 8(e), (f) and (g), and a substantial increase in the amplitude of plasma fluctuation. In comparison to the initial scenario, it can be deduced that the presence of SSFiI is linked to significant perturbations within the plasma blobs. Considering the non-stationary property of these small-scale fluctuations, probably induced by atmospheric gravity waves originating from lower altitudes (Takahashi et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2008) or by instabilities in the ionosphere itself, these fluctuations might have propagated towards the equator and interacted with ionospheric plasma blobs. This interaction could have transferred momentum and energy to the plasma blobs, causing larger irregularities and turbulence within them, which we can observe when studying these plasma blobs associated with small-scale plasma fluctuations.
Exploring the potential impact of SSFiI, this investigation unveils characteristic plasma behavior within the context of plasma blobs associated with SSFiI. In Figure 9, we present electron density, IPIR_index, and plasma fluctuation amplitude within three selected blob cases. The IPIR_index exhibited a transition from a low-level plasma fluctuation (2) in the immediate surroundings to a medium level (4) within the blob across all cases. This suggests a heightened degree of plasma turbulence within the blob compared to its immediate surroundings, a pattern affirmed by the amplitude of plasma fluctuation (depicted in yellow). Notably, the amplitude of plasma fluctuation is substantially higher within the blobs, showing an average percentage increase of 290% relative to blobs without SSFiI. In contrast, blob events in the absence of SSFiI lack such a uniform
distinctly increased level of plasma turbulence (see Figure 7(f)). Thus, the presence of SSFiI introduces an additional layer of plasma irregularity to blobs, potentially exerting influences on radio wave technologies.

Figure 9: Uniform patterns observed for the blobs associated with SSFiI. The first panel (in red) shows the electron density, the second panel (in green) shows the IPIR_index, and the third panel shows the plasma amplitude fluctuation.

4.3 Statistical analysis of Plasma Blobs

To further understand the physical characteristics of the blobs observed over Nigeria, statistical analysis has been performed on the key features of the blobs, and this includes number of cases (No_of_Cases), average electron density (Density (cm⁻³)), average north-south extension of the blobs (N-S Extension (km)), average geographical latitudes (GLAT (°)), average geographical longitude (GLON (°)), see Table 2. The average values are based on the monthly cases of the blobs. The blobs have a north-south extension of 46.62 – 182.04 km (approximately 107.97 ± 31.81 km on average), an average electron density of 2.29×10⁵ cm⁻³ and an average latitude (longitude) of 10.62 ± 0.32° (10.43 ± 4.08). Figure 10 shows the frequency distribution of the north-south scale size of the blobs observed over Nigeria in 2019. 66% of the cases are less than 120 km in north-south extension. Adebayo (2021), using optical instruments, estimated the north-south and east-west extensions of blobs during low solar activity as 110-230 km and 41-81 km, respectively. Pimenta et al. (2007) reported the scale sizes of blobs during geomagnetic storms to be 200-460 km and 110-160 km in north-south and east-west extensions, respectively. Therefore, considering
the range of values obtained in this study it can be inferred that the results agree with the previous studies.

Table 2: Blobs statistical parameters monthly. The average of each of the cases is summarized in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>No_of_Obs</th>
<th>No_of_Cases</th>
<th>Occurrence (%)</th>
<th>Density ($10^6$ cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>N-S Extension (km)</th>
<th>GLAT (°)</th>
<th>GLON (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>132.10</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>15.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td>77.70</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3.432</td>
<td>129.32</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>57.72</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1.796</td>
<td>93.06</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>107.58</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.229</td>
<td>112.11</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2.374</td>
<td>120.62</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>12.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>2.103</td>
<td>94.54</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>13.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Plasma blobs are localized enhanced regions of plasma above ambient plasma. Since the first observation of plasma blobs by Watanabe and Oya (1986), there has been a series of research investigating the origin, dynamics, and morphology of plasma blobs across different regions of the globe. Plasma blobs are not phenomena exclusively occurring in the ionosphere; they have also been observed in other plasma forms. Thus, understanding the physics of blobs is very crucial.

In this study, we have observed ionospheric plasma blobs over Nigeria with the ESA SWARM satellites using the ionospheric plasma irregularities dataset. This work signifies the first occurrence characteristics of plasma blobs over Africa and the possible influence of small-scale plasma fluctuations in the ionospheric plasma density. We couldn’t affirm the actual occurrence patterns of blobs over Nigeria due to the sampling error in the observation and cases statistics of
blobs. We imposed a time frame of 1800 – 0459 LT on the satellite observations over Nigeria so as to study only the nighttime blobs. However, likely relevant information to the literature was deduced. The signatures of plasma blobs have been classified into two categories: with small-scale fluctuations in ionosphere (SSFiI) plasma density and without these fluctuations. From the spectral analysis, SSFiI have periods of 2-4 seconds and propagating at the speed of 2.75 – 5.5 km/s using the 11 km (along-track extension) average wavelength. The result shows that plasma inside the blobs associated with SSFiI is more disturbed than the ones without these fluctuations. SSFiI could be responsible for this increased disturbance. Plasma density fluctuations are frequent occurrence in the ionosphere and can be caused by many factors such as atmospheric gravity waves activities (Hocke and Tsuda, 2001), high latitude plasma dynamics (Chaturvedi, 1976), geomagnetic storms (Takahashi et al., 2018), passing of very low frequency signal transmitter (Ivarsen et al., 2021) to mention a few. These fluctuations can initiate various plasma irregularities phenomena whose presence could pose significant impacts on ground-based and space-based technologies. In this study, we have found that blobs were greatly disturbed by the presence of small-scale fluctuations in plasma density with the evidence of higher amplitude of plasma fluctuation, and this support the earlier results of blobs’ potential to causing scintillation (Shi et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015). However, the source of SSFiI couldn’t be affirmed in this work and thus, further investigation is required.

The blobs were mostly found at the crests and troughs of equatorial ionization anomaly which is quite similar to other reported blobs (Luo et al., 2018; Park et al., 2022). The blobs associated with plasma bubbles may be explained by the hypothesis proposed by Huang et al. (2014) where blobs development has been linked to bubbles evolution. However, Adebayo (2021) found cases of bubbles without blobs over the Brazilian sector using optical instruments, and they inferred that polarized electric field might be the key driver of the formation of plasma blobs associated with bubbles. They concluded that there might be a threshold of polarized electric field liable for blobs’ development as the cases of bubbles without blobs showed a relatively shorter depletions when compared with bubbles associated with blobs. In this paper, the blobs found at the crests of EIA and in the absence of bubbles are more likely to be caused by the mechanisms simulated by Krall et al. (2010) where blobs are formed at the balance of upward diffusive force and downward gravitational and pressure gradient forces. But the simulation did not show formation of blobs at
the trough of EIA neither has there been any observation of such, thus, the blobs found in the
absence of bubbles and at the trough of EIA in this study are probably generated by the nonuniform
behavior of Pedersen current induced by the thermospheric neutral wind. However, further
investigations on the physics of these blobs are important to validate these hypotheses.

In summary, this study observed only a few numbers of cases where blobs were found to be
associated with bubbles, suggesting that the presence of bubbles alone may not be sufficient for
the development of blobs. Furthermore, the observation of plasma blobs associated with small-
scale fluctuations in ionosphere plasma density suggests that there may be additional mechanisms
at work, independent of bubbles, that contribute to the formation and dynamics of plasma blobs.
In addition, it is noteworthy that none of the observed blobs associated with small-scale
fluctuations occurred in the presence of bubbles. Thus, suggesting that the physical processes
underlying the formation of plasma blobs may differ from those involved in the formation of
bubbles. Nevertheless, the exact mechanisms underlying the interaction between small-scale
fluctuations and ionospheric plasma blobs are still an active area of research; hence, further
simulations exploring the mechanisms proposed earlier by other investigators may provide insights
into the dominant mechanisms that give rise to plasma blobs.
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