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Abstract

In the past two decades, the central portion of Campi Flegrei caldera has experienced ground uplift of up to 15 mm/month, and a consequent increase in the rate, magnitudes and extent of seismicity, especially in the past two years. We use a new method for multi-scale precise earthquake location to relocate the 2014-2023 seismicity and map in detail currently activated fault zones. We relate the geometry, extent, and depth of these zones with available structural reconstructions of the caldera. The current seismicity is mainly driven by the time-varying, ground-uplift induced stress concentration on pre-existing, weaker fault zones, not only related to the inner caldera, dome resurgence but also to ancient volcano-tectonic collapses and magma emplacement processes. The extent of imaged fault segments suggests they can accommodate ruptures up to magnitude 5.0, significantly increasing estimates of seismic hazard in the area.
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Key Points:

- From 2021 onwards the seismicity produces an elliptic pattern resembling that of the 1982-84 unrest phase of the caldera.
- Seismicity occurs along different volcano-tectonic structures including the inner ring fault zone and faults bounding the Solfatara crater.
Abstract
In the past two decades, the central portion of Campi Flegrei caldera has experienced ground uplift of up to 15 mm/month, and a consequent increase in the rate, magnitudes and extent of seismicity, especially in the last two years. We use a new method for multi-scale precise earthquake location to relocate the 2014-2023 seismicity and map in detail currently activated fault zones. We relate the geometry, extent, and depth of these zones with available structural reconstructions of the caldera. The current seismicity is mainly driven by the time-varying, ground-uplift induced stress concentration on pre-existing, weaker fault zones, not only related to the inner caldera, dome resurgence but also to ancient volcano-tectonic collapses and magma emplacement processes. The extent of imaged fault segments suggests they can accommodate ruptures up to magnitude 5.0, significantly increasing estimates of seismic hazard in the area.

Plain Language Summary
During the past two years, there has been a marked increase of ground uplift and number and size of earthquakes at Campi Flegrei caldera. This increase in activity has raised concerns in the population and public authorities about the impact of seismic activity on buildings and infrastructure in the area and about the best actions to undertake during the seismic emergency to reduce the risk. Additionally, the possibility of a future volcanic eruption is being considered, although currently geochemical and geophysical monitoring shows no clear and unequivocal signs of precursory phenomena. In this work we map the last decade of seismicity with high-precision earthquake locations with the aim of unveiling the currently activated fault zones of the inner caldera and assessing the potential hazard of earthquake ruptures along the delineated fault zone. The results show an expanding, near-elliptical distribution of seismicity. The size of faults imaged in the caldera suggest earthquakes up to magnitude 5.0 can occur, significantly increasing estimates of seismic hazard in the area.

1 Introduction
The Campi Flegrei caldera, in Southern Italy is located nearby the one million people living in wide metropolitan area of Napoli, making it the worldwide most densely urbanized volcanic area (e.g., Charlton et al., 2020). During the past two decades, the central portion of Campi Flegrei caldera experienced a sustained and continuous ground uplift, reaching rates of 15 mm/month,
with a consequent increase of the rate, magnitudes and extent of seismicity, especially in the last two years.

**Figure 1.** a) Shaded relief map of Campi Flegrei with simplified caldera boundaries (modified after Natale et al., 2022b), showing epicentral locations of the 2014-2023 seismicity recorded by INGV seismic network as retrieved from INGV-Osservatorio Vesuviano bulletin database (https://terremoti.ov.ingv.it/gossip/index.html), color coded by hypocentral depth and scaled by magnitude. Triangles show the location of seismic stations color-coded as follows: red for stations at which both picks and waveforms are available; yellow for stations with only picks available; gray for other INGV stations. White box with black cross shows the location of RITE GNSS station. b) Vertical ground deformation recorded at benchmark 25A and RITE GNSS station since 1905 (modified after Del Gaudio et al., 2010; INGV 2023 Monthly Bulletin), dashed black box shows the extent of Figure 1c. c) Uplift recorded at Rite GNSS station since 2014, vertical dotted lines indicate the occurrence of changes in uplift rate. d) Temporal evolution of number of events and maximum magnitude since 2014 computed in overlapping windows of 60 days with a time shift of 10 days.

Extensive and accurate geophysical and geochemical monitoring is fundamental to understanding and modelling volcanic processes during unrest (Tilling, 2008). Changes in seismicity are usually
main precursors to volcanic eruptions, and are one of the primary indicators of the initiation and
evolution of a magmatic intrusion episode (McNutt et al., 1996). Since errors in earthquake
locations may preclude clear understanding of the ongoing processes, the use of precise seismicity
relocation techniques is emerging as a valuable tool to provide a comprehensive view of activated
faults and fractures during volcanic unrest, such as at the Campi Flegrei caldera.

The Campi Flegrei volcano is characterized by a nested caldera structure (Figure 1a; Orsi et al.,
1996; Orsi, 2022), produced by two large explosive eruptions, referred to as the Campanian
Ignimbrite (CI) and the Neapolitan Yellow Tuff (NYT), at 39 ka and 14.5 ka, respectively (Silleni
et al., 2020; Orsi et al., 1992), whose boundaries are now mapped also offshore (Natale et al.,
2022b). Since the NYT, over 70 eruptions occurred within the caldera boundaries, clustered in
time (i.e., volcanic epochs; Di Vito et al., 1999) and space along the main structural features (e.g.,
Bevilacqua et al., 2015). Since 10.5 ka, the volcanic activity is remarkably coupled with a caldera
resurgence phenomenon broadly acting in the central sector (Natale et al., 2022a), and displaying
a bell-shaped deformation pattern regardless of the scale and the polarity (uplift/subsidence). This
is similar to what is observed during historical ground deformation episodes (Bevilacqua et al.,
2020; Vitale and Natale, 2023).

Volcanic unrest and eruptions in the caldera are accompanied by seismotectonic phenomena.
Precursory seismicity and ground deformation patterns preceding the last historical eruption of
Monte Nuovo in 1538 CE (Di Vito et al., 2016) are similar to those in the current activity of the
caldera (Del Gaudio et al., 2010; Osservatorio Vesuviano – INGV, 2023).

Due to the high volcanic and seismic risk, the Campi Flegrei volcano hosts a highly advanced,
permanent multiparametric monitoring system (Bianco et al., 2022), including a dense seismic
monitoring network (Figure 1a). A series of ground uplift-subsidence with seismic activity
(bradyseismic) episodes affected the central area of Pozzuoli since early 1950s (Del Gaudio et al.,
2010), with the two most rapid uplift phases occurred in 1970-72 and 1982-84, reaching a
maximum uplift of about 4 m at RITE station in 1984 (Figure 1b), and producing over 20000
shallow earthquakes overall (D’Auria et al., 2011), concentrated in the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area
(Isaia et al., 2021). A long subsidence phase occurred between 1985 and 2005, with a maximum
subsidence of 90 cm and relatively rare seismicity (Gaeta et al., 2003). Since 2005 a new, long-
term, monotonic uplift phenomenon started with unsteadily accelerating seismicity (Bevilacqua et
al., 2022), especially from 2014 onwards (Figure 1c), which has produced a clear increase in the
number of seismic events and of the maximum magnitude (Figure 1d). At the beginning of 2023 the uplift surpassed the maximum elevation achieved during the previous 1982-1984 crisis (Figure 1b). The cause of the bradyseismic episodes is still debated within the volcanological community (e.g., Troise et al., 2019). The main hypotheses are that the deformation is either directly caused by pressure and/or volume changes induced by magma emplacement and intrusion at shallow depths beneath the caldera (Woo and Kilburn, 2010; Macedonio et al., 2014) or it is due to the poroelastic response of the shallow hydrothermal system to changes in pore pressure and fluid content (Bonasia et al., 1984; Bonafede, 1991; Todesco, 2021; Nespoli et al., 2023). The latter could be driven by the periodic migration toward the surface of crustal fluids possibly generated by degassing processes at the primary, sill-like magma reservoir detected at 8 km depth by seismic reflection experiments (Zollo et al., 2008). In favor of this second hypothesis, a lack of detectable amount of magma at shallow depths was reported by previous seismic reflection soundings, associated with the absence of univocal geochemical and geophysical magma movement signs from multi-parametric data acquired by the dense monitoring system of the caldera (Vanorio et al., 2005; Battaglia et al., 2008).

Changes in the deformation rate during the last ten years correlate with the changes in seismicity rate and maximum magnitude of recorded events. Specifically, since 2020 there has been an acceleration of ground uplift in the Campi Flegrei caldera, reaching in September 2023 a rate of 1-1.5 cm/month (Figures 1c, 1d), accompanied by an exponential increase in the earthquake rate to about 1000 events per month (Figure 1d). Most of the earthquakes in the caldera occur at depths shallower than 3 km, with a near-elliptical distribution as from the reference catalogue of INGV (National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology; Figure 1a). Most events have duration magnitude $M_d \leq 1$, though starting in early 2023 there is a general increase of the average magnitude per month, including several events with $M_d \geq 3$ and a largest, $M_d 4.2$ earthquake, occurred on September 27, 2023.

The occurrence of five $M_d 3.6+$ earthquakes during the period August 18 – October 2, widely felt in the Campi Flegrei and Napoli metropolitan area, raised a great concern in the population and civil protection authorities about the earthquake risk related to the volcanic activity. Given the high-density urbanization of the area, it is therefore important to understand the impact, including potential damage, to buildings and infrastructures caused by the repeated occurrence of small to moderate, shallow-depth events generated by the accelerating ground uplift.
In this study, we obtained multi-scale, high-precision relocations of the ongoing seismicity, allowing to identify, with unprecedented detail, the location and geometry of the activated structures during this crisis in the central area of the caldera. We used these new results along with mapped surface faults and fractures and other geophysical information to better understand the mechanics of earthquake faulting in relation to the caldera resurgence and other volcanic phenomena, with the aim of identifying zones where future, larger magnitude earthquake can potentially occur.

2 Event Dataset
We used P and S arrival-times from the earthquake catalogue provided by the INGV – Osservatorio Vesuviano from 01/01/2014 to 14/11/2023 (Figure 1a), available at https://terremoti.ov.ingv.it/gossip/flegrei. Phase arrivals and associated relative uncertainties and event duration magnitudes Md from only the fully located events in the catalogue (8292 earthquakes) are used. For the selected events, Md ranges between -1.1 and 4.2, with the Md 4.2 event (2023-09-27 01:35:34) having the largest number of phase arrival times (18 P and 6 S picks). Events with lower magnitude (Md < 2) typically show 6 to 10 P, 2 to 4 S arrival times. We also extracted arrival times from 18 stations of the INGV national network (yellow and red triangles in Figure 1a), located within 15 km from the catalogue epicentres. For the same set of events, we also recovered vertical component waveforms from 9 velocimetric stations available on EIDA portal (https://eida.ingv.it; red triangles in Figure 1a). We extracted waveforms in the time window from 10 s before to 45 s after the event origin time and decimated the traces to a sampling frequency of 50 Hz.

3. High-precision earthquake location
We obtained multi-scale, high-precision earthquake locations with a new procedure based on the NonLinLoc location algorithm (Lomax et al., 2000; Lomax et al., 2014; NLL hereafter) which produces an a-posterior probability density function (PDF) in 3D space for hypocentre location. The new procedure, NLL-SSST-coherence (NLL-SC), combines source-specific, station travel-time corrections (SSST) with stacking of PDFs, probabilistic location for nearby events based on waveform similarity (Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022; Lomax and Henry, 2023).
In a first relocation step, NLL-SC iteratively develops SSST corrections on collapsing length scales
(Richards-Dinger and Shearer, 2000; Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022), which can greatly improve, multi-scale relative location accuracy and clustering of events. In a second relocation step, NLL-SC reduces finer scale relative errors by consolidating information across locations based on waveform coherency between the events (Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022). This procedure is based on the concept that if the waveforms for two events at a station are very similar (e.g., have high coherency) up to a given frequency, then the distance between the two events is small relative to the wavelength corresponding to that frequency (e.g., Geller and Mueller, 1980; Poupinet et al., 1984). In this study we apply NLL-SC up to a frequency of 10 Hz, giving improved relative location accuracy down to ~100 m scale. See the Supporting Information (Text S1) for more details on the location procedure, velocity model (Figure S2) and processing parameters used in this study.

4. Results

The high-precision NLL-SC locations delineate several clusters and alignments of seismicity produced during the ongoing unrest at Campi Flegrei. Most of the seismicity concentrates in the shallow region around the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area (cyan-green dots in Figure 2). Here, epicenters define an ~1x1 km, horseshoe-shaped structure, opened and deepening toward the northeast beneath the Agnano Plain, and slightly larger than the ~0.5 km diameter of the Solfatara crater. Smaller-scale seismicity clusters, with a typical size of 100-300m, occur south and southwest of Solfatara, along the coast toward the center of Pozzuoli and the location of RITE station. This area has been active since 2014 (Figure 3), although the seismicity has intensified during the last three years.

The most recent magnitude Md 3.6+ events, except for the largest magnitude Md 4.2 earthquake, also occurred in the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area, beneath the horseshoe-shaped seismicity, at depths between 2 and 3.5 km. Northwest of the Solfatara crater, seismicity depicts a E-W trending, 1.5-2.0 km long structure composed of event cluster at depths comparable to that of the major events in the Solfatara. Southeastward, off the coast of Bagnoli, a ~1km long, sub-vertical alignment trending just E of N is well defined by the relocated seismicity. This alignment contains the largest recorded event (Md 4.2), which ruptured an area of 800-1200 m², according to the calculated source radius (Figure S1 of Supporting Information). Further offshore to the southwest the seismicity occurs at greater depths, down to ~4 km, and forming a WNW oriented alignment offshore of Bacoli, and a N-S
alignment off the coast of Monte Nuovo. Overall, this seismicity forms an elliptical shape, punctuated by the lineations and clusters containing the larger magnitude (Md > 3) events.

![Figure 2: Relocated NLL-SC seismicity 2014-2023. Circles – color coded according to the magnitude duration - show earthquakes with duration magnitude Md ≥ 1.0 and ellipsoid major axis ≤ 2.0 km (7212 of 8274 total relocated events); symbol size is proportional to magnitude. Tetrahedrons show subsets of stations from Figure 1a used for relocation.](image)

The evolution of the seismicity over time (Figures 1d and 3) shows an increasing of the number of events and maximum magnitude. Moreover, while in the period 2014-2019 seismicity occurred at shallow depths (most of these events have depth < 2 km) and concentrated in the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area, during 2019-2023 the seismicity deepens, extends offshore and increases in maximum magnitude. During the last two years (2022-2023), the seismicity spreads to a larger area, forming the elliptical, ring-like structure, extending from inland north of Solfatara...
southwards through Bagnoli, eastwards towards Bacoli and northward towards Monte Nuovo.

5. Discussion
The precisely located NLL-SC seismicity delineates the fault zones activated during the ongoing seismic crisis at Campi Flegrei (Figure 2) with greater detail as compared to the raw bulletin dataset (Figure 1a). Accurate delineation of the structures enables an improved interpretation of the fault activation mechanisms in relation with the spatial stress variability and concentration as caused by the extended ground uplift phenomenon. The multi-scale station corrections and waveform-coherence based hypocenter consolidation of NLL-SC achieves a location precision of 100 m or less, which is necessary to image faulting structures in a complex, multi-kilometer scale volcanic environment such as Campi Flegrei.

The spatiotemporal activation of shallow crustal volumes during 2014-2023 within the inner caldera is shown by the relocated seismicity (Figure 3 and Supporting Information Video S1).

In the period 2014-2019 a low seismicity rate is observed (Figure 1c), mostly characterized by small magnitude (Md < 2) events occurring at depths shallower than about 3 km (Figure 3). These events are located within a 1-2 km radius from the Solfatara crater which hosts, together with the adjacent Pisciarelli fumarolic field, the most vigorous hydrothermal activity in the caldera (Chiodini et al., 2017; Tamburello et al., 2019).

Overall, the variations in rate and magnitude of seismicity over time occur simultaneously with changes in ground uplift rate of growth as observed at the station RITE in mid-2017, mid-2020 and end of 2022 (Figures 1c, d). Uplift velocity rather than cumulative uplift seems to control localized seismicity production with the progressive activation of relatively long fracture zones at the margin of the uplifting resurgent dome (Bevilacqua et al., 2022; Tramelli et al., 2022).

The spatial distribution of relocated seismicity (Figure 4) allows for an integrated geo-structural interpretation based on recent evidence and reconstructions. The near-elliptical shape formed by the seismicity since 2021 (Figure 4) resembles that of the 1982-84 crisis, whose seismicity distribution has been related to a central collapsed portion of the caldera in studies (Barberi et al., 1991; De Natale et al., 2006), which also considered results of gravity and magnetic surveys (Rosi and Sbrana, 1987).
Figure 3: Spatiotemporal evolution of the seismicity in periods 2014-2017, 2018-2019, 2020-2021 and 2022-2023. Circles show earthquakes with magnitude $Md \geq 1.0$ and ellipsoid major axis $\leq 2.0$ km; symbol size is proportional to magnitude. Tetrahedrons show stations used for relocation.

However, this hypothesis is contradicted by the geological evidence of a nested caldera structure (e.g., Orsi et al., 1996; Di Vito et al., 1999). Only a part of the relocated seismicity, occurring in the offshore sector (Feature A in Figure 4), is compatible with the caldera ring fault zone (e.g., Sacchi et al., 2014; Steinmann et al., 2018). In a recent interpretation of high-resolution, seismic reflection profiles offshore of the caldera, Natale et al. (2022b) present evidence for a composite, ring-fault zone. This fault zone has an inner-ring confining from the west to the south-east the resurgent dome area, this latter being affected by a dense array of high-angle NE-SW to NNE-SSW trending, km-size collapse faults that cut the shallow marine sediments (Natale et al., 2020).
Several authors differentiate the inner-ring structure from the medial and outer ring fault systems, whose expression at depth matches well the annular high-P-velocity, high-density body, imaged by the 2001 active seismic tomography experiment and identified as the buried rim of the caldera (Zollo et al., 2003; Judenherc and Zollo, 2004; Battaglia et al., 2008; Dello Iacono et al., 2009). Only the deepest offshore seismicity, between 3-5 km depth, appears to fit and approximate the downward propagation of the south-western inner ring fault (Figure 4a, f), where the most frequent dip angles are between 60-80° (Natale et al., 2022b). This is consistent with a steep (~70°) inward-dipping fault structure that justifies the 1.2 km spatial gap between the surface projection of the mapped inner-ring fault and the 4 km deep epicenter locations. The focal mechanism solution (see Supporting Information, Text S3) is consistent in terms of strike and dip of the nodal plane, although with right-lateral kinematics (event 6 in Figure 4).

Activation of the Baia section (Feature B in Figure 4) of the inner ring fault (Vitale and Natale, 2023) can explain the seismicity off the coast of Monte Nuovo (between 3-5 km depth), where underwater high-temperature hydrothermal manifestations occur (Di Napoli et al., 2016). The more scattered and shallower seismicity could be related to high-angle faults as detailed in Natale et al. (2022b), also involved by hot fluid uprise (Carlino et al., 2016).

Of particular interest is the near N-S trending sub-vertical fault structure just offshore La Pietra (Feature C in Figure 4), generating the largest magnitude (Md 4.2) recorded event up to now during the crisis, and overall producing earthquakes between 2-4 km depth. This structure has not been identified previously as it lies in a region where no deep-penetrating seismic reflection profiles are available. From spectral modelling of seismic displacement records the average seismic moment and corner frequency of the event indicate a southward rupture extending over ~800-1200 m² (Figure and Text S1 in Supporting Information), which is consistent with the area filled by nearby seismicity (Figure 4), and the calculated focal mechanism (event 7 in Figure 4). However, given the near-vertical dip angle and related hypocenter uncertainty, this fault structure could be dipping to the east or to the west.

The offshore La Pietra fault structure illuminated by the relocated seismicity, represents a new seismogenic feature in the caldera as compared to the 1982-84 crisis (e.g., Orsi et al., 1999). This feature falls in the eastern portion of the near-elliptical seismicity pattern (Figure 4). The stress drop estimated for the Md 4.2 event (2-3 MPa) in this structure is large in relation to the depth of
the structure, suggesting a high strength of rocks in the shallow caprock or underlying basement (Vanorio and Kanitpanyacharoen, 2015).

Figure 4. Simplified structural map showing the relationship between the epicentral distribution of relocated seismicity in the 2021-2023 period with the elliptical pattern and the main volcano-tectonic structures known in literature. Focal mechanisms solutions for selected 2023 Md>3 events are shown (details in Figure S3), with their color coded by depth.

Despite the moderate size of the event, the high stress drop acting over a small size asperity may be responsible for large peaks in the observed ground motion amplitudes (maximum recorded PGA of 0.3 g; see http://shakemap.ingv.it/shake4/ archive.html).
In the Solfatara area (Figure 4, Feature D) the relocated seismicity matches well several fault arrays mapped in the surface and subsurface geology. These fault arrays are related to the maar-diatreme structure of Solfatara crater, whose polygonal shape is due to the presence of main NW-SE and NE-SW faults, locally cross-cut by smaller E-W faults (Diamanti et al., 2022), and also exposed at Pisciarelli fumarole field within the western rim of Agnano caldera (Isaia et al., 2021). Hence, the horseshoe distribution of seismicity deepening eastward (Isaia et al., 2021) fits well the presence of such array faults at depth, which significantly affects the hydrothermal circulation in the area (Troiano et al., 2019). The calculated focal mechanisms (Figure 4 and Supporting Information Text S3) show nodal planes consistent with the mapped structures, as they are mainly NE-SW trending (events 1, 2, 5 and 8), and subordinately E-W trending (event 4) and NW-SE (event 3).

An approximately E-W trending fault bounds the distribution of the relocated seismicity NE of the Solfatara crater (Figure 4, Feature E), on which a series of spatially and temporally correlated seismicity bursts occurred between 2 and 3 km depths. This structure corresponds to a south-dipping normal fault with a left-lateral component, with noticeable surface expression in Agnano and Cigliano as recently depicted in Natale et al. (2023) and corroborated by structural field data by Diamanti et al. (2022). The bursts of seismicity occur along a ca. 6 km-long structure, that to the west reaches La Starza marine terrace (Vitale et al., 2019), representing the northern border of elliptical seismicity.

The NE-SW seismicity alignment (Figure 4, Feature F) in the Astroni might be associated with pressurized fluids moving along a NE-SW faults within the shallow (1-1.5 km) portion of the hydrothermal system (Isaia et al., 2022), where increased hydrothermal activity has been detected, as corroborated by microgravity data (Young et al., 2020).

5. Conclusions

The general elliptical distribution of the ongoing seismicity at Campi Flegrei caldera is mainly driven by the stress concentration causative effect of a bell-shaped ground deformation pattern with fracture zones that appear coherent with the ones activated during the 1982-84 unrest in shape and location (Scarpa et al., 2022). However, new sectors have been activated during the present unrest, at the eastern boundary, where the largest Md 4.2 event was caused by a km-size rupture within the shallow (3 km) volcanic sedimentary layer. We found that several structures delineated.
by the ongoing seismicity have correspondence in the geological shallow fault record, whose formation was not related to the same volcanic-tectonic process (i.e., dome resurgence), but rather generated by other, more energetic processes such as volcano-tectonic collapses, magma intrusion and migration.

In general, the stress changes caused by the ongoing uplift of the central caldera appear to concentrate on weaker pre-existing structures that are reactivated by small-to-moderate, sub-kilometric fractures. All the Md 3.6+ earthquake ruptures, apart from the largest Md 4.2 event, have nucleated along segments of the complex SW-NE and SE-NW fault system array at the margins of the Solfatara crater. As for the Md 4.2 event, the evidence for relatively high stress-drops and average slip (2-3 MPa, 3-5 cm see Supporting Information) suggests a possible effect of fluid-driven, pore-pressure increase at these faults that could favor the development of larger size fractures.

Considering the size of the structures mapped in this study and the stress drop estimated for the main event (Text S1 of Supporting Information), these faults can accommodate earthquakes of moment magnitude up to 5.0, both beneath the Solfatara and offshore, south of Pozzuoli, significantly increasing the hazard in the area.
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Key Points:

- From 2021 onwards the seismicity produces an elliptic pattern resembling that of the 1982-84 unrest phase of the caldera.
- Seismicity occurs along different volcano-tectonic structures including the inner ring fault zone and faults bounding the Solfatara crater.
Abstract
In the past two decades, the central portion of Campi Flegrei caldera has experienced ground uplift of up to 15 mm/month, and a consequent increase in the rate, magnitudes and extent of seismicity, especially in the last two years. We use a new method for multi-scale precise earthquake location to relocate the 2014-2023 seismicity and map in detail currently activated fault zones. We relate the geometry, extent, and depth of these zones with available structural reconstructions of the caldera. The current seismicity is mainly driven by the time-varying, ground-uplift induced stress concentration on pre-existing, weaker fault zones, not only related to the inner caldera, dome resurgence but also to ancient volcano-tectonic collapses and magma emplacement processes. The extent of imaged fault segments suggests they can accommodate ruptures up to magnitude 5.0, significantly increasing estimates of seismic hazard in the area.

Plain Language Summary
During the past two years, there has been a marked increase of ground uplift and number and size of earthquakes at Campi Flegrei caldera. This increase in activity has raised concerns in the population and public authorities about the impact of seismic activity on buildings and infrastructure in the area and about the best actions to undertake during the seismic emergency to reduce the risk. Additionally, the possibility of a future volcanic eruption is being considered, although currently geochemical and geophysical monitoring shows no clear and unequivocal signs of precursory phenomena. In this work we map the last decade of seismicity with high-precision earthquake locations with the aim of unveiling the currently activated fault zones of the inner caldera and assessing the potential hazard of earthquake ruptures along the delineated fault zone. The results show an expanding, near-elliptical distribution of seismicity. The size of faults imaged in the caldera suggest earthquakes up to magnitude 5.0 can occur, significantly increasing estimates of seismic hazard in the area.

1 Introduction
The Campi Flegrei caldera, in Southern Italy is located nearby the one million people living in wide metropolitan area of Napoli, making it the worldwide most densely urbanized volcanic area (e.g., Charlton et al., 2020). During the past two decades, the central portion of Campi Flegrei caldera experienced a sustained and continuous ground uplift, reaching rates of 15 mm/month,
with a consequent increase of the rate, magnitudes and extent of seismicity, especially in the last two years.

Figure 1. a) Shaded relief map of Campi Flegrei with simplified caldera boundaries (modified after Natale et al., 2022b), showing epicentral locations of the 2014-2023 seismicity recorded by INGV seismic network as retrieved from INGV-Osservatorio Vesuviano bulletin database (https://terremoti.ov.ingv.it/gossip/index.html), color coded by hypocentral depth and scaled by magnitude. Triangles show the location of seismic stations color-coded as follows: red for stations at which both picks and waveforms are available; yellow for stations with only picks available; gray for other INGV stations. White box with black cross shows the location of RITE GNSS station. b) Vertical ground deformation recorded at benchmark 25A and RITE GNSS station since 1905 (modified after Del Gaudio et al., 2010; INGV 2023 Monthly Bulletin), dashed black box shows the extent of Figure 1c. c) Uplift recorded at Rite GNSS station since 2014, vertical dotted lines indicate the occurrence of changes in uplift rate. d) Temporal evolution of number of events and maximum magnitude since 2014 computed in overlapping windows of 60 days with a time shift of 10 days.

Extensive and accurate geophysical and geochemical monitoring is fundamental to understanding and modelling volcanic processes during unrest (Tilling, 2008). Changes in seismicity are usually
main precursors to volcanic eruptions, and are one of the primary indicators of the initiation and evolution of a magmatic intrusion episode (McNutt et al., 1996). Since errors in earthquake locations may preclude clear understanding of the ongoing processes, the use of precise seismicity relocation techniques is emerging as a valuable tool to provide a comprehensive view of activated faults and fractures during volcanic unrest, such as at the Campi Flegrei caldera.

The Campi Flegrei volcano is characterized by a nested caldera structure (Figure 1a; Orsi et al., 1996; Orsi, 2022), produced by two large explosive eruptions, referred to as the Campanian Ignimbrite (CI) and the Neapolitan Yellow Tuff (NYT), at 39 ka and 14.5 ka, respectively (Silleni et al., 2020; Orsi et al., 1992), whose boundaries are now mapped also offshore (Natale et al., 2022b). Since the NYT, over 70 eruptions occurred within the caldera boundaries, clustered in time (i.e., volcanic epochs; Di Vito et al., 1999) and space along the main structural features (e.g., Bevilacqua et al., 2015). Since 10.5 ka, the volcanic activity is remarkably coupled with a caldera resurgence phenomenon broadly acting in the central sector (Natale et al., 2022a), and displaying a bell-shaped deformation pattern regardless of the scale and the polarity (uplift/subsidence). This is similar to what is observed during historical ground deformation episodes (Bevilacqua et al., 2020; Vitale and Natale, 2023).

Volcanic unrest and eruptions in the caldera are accompanied by seismotectonic phenomena. Precursory seismicity and ground deformation patterns preceding the last historical eruption of Monte Nuovo in 1538 CE (Di Vito et al., 2016) are similar to those in the current activity of the caldera (Del Gaudio et al., 2010; Osservatorio Vesuviano – INGV, 2023).

Due to the high volcanic and seismic risk, the Campi Flegrei volcano hosts a highly advanced, permanent multiparametric monitoring system (Bianco et al., 2022), including a dense seismic monitoring network (Figure 1a). A series of ground uplift/subsidence with seismic activity (bradyseismic) episodes affected the central area of Pozzuoli since early 1950s (Del Gaudio et al., 2010), with the two most rapid uplift phases occurred in 1970-72 and 1982-84, reaching a maximum uplift of about 4 m at RITE station in 1984 (Figure 1b), and producing over 20000 shallow earthquakes overall (D’Auria et al., 2011), concentrated in the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area (Isaia et al., 2021). A long subsidence phase occurred between 1985 and 2005, with a maximum subsidence of 90 cm and relatively rare seismicity (Gaeta et al., 2003). Since 2005 a new, long-term, monotonic uplift phenomenon started with unsteadily accelerating seismicity (Bevilacqua et al., 2022), especially from 2014 onwards (Figure 1c), which has produced a clear increase in the
number of seismic events and of the maximum magnitude (Figure 1d). At the beginning of 2023 the uplift surpassed the maximum elevation achieved during the previous 1982-1984 crisis (Figure 1b). The cause of the bradyseismic episodes is still debated within the volcanological community (e.g., Troise et al., 2019). The main hypotheses are that the deformation is either directly caused by pressure and/or volume changes induced by magma emplacement and intrusion at shallow depths beneath the caldera (Woo and Kilburn, 2010; Macedonio et al., 2014) or it is due to the poroelastic response of the shallow hydrothermal system to changes in pore pressure and fluid content (Bonasia et al., 1984; Bonafede, 1991; Todesco, 2021; Nespoli et al., 2023). The latter could be driven by the periodic migration toward the surface of crustal fluids possibly generated by degassing processes at the primary, sill-like magma reservoir detected at 8 km depth by seismic reflection experiments (Zollo et al., 2008). In favor of this second hypothesis, a lack of detectable amount of magma at shallow depths was reported by previous seismic reflection soundings, associated with the absence of univocal geochemical and geophysical magma movement signs from multi-parametric data acquired by the dense monitoring system of the caldera (Vanorio et al., 2005; Battaglia et al., 2008).

Changes in the deformation rate during the last ten years correlate with the changes in seismicity rate and maximum magnitude of recorded events. Specifically, since 2020 there has been an acceleration of ground uplift in the Campi Flegrei caldera, reaching in September 2023 a rate of 1-1.5 cm/month (Figures 1c, 1d), accompanied by an exponential increase in the earthquake rate to about 1000 events per month (Figure 1d). Most of the earthquakes in the caldera occur at depths shallower than 3 km, with a near-elliptical distribution as from the reference catalogue of INGV (National Institute for Geophysics and Volcanology; Figure 1a). Most events have duration magnitude $M_d \leq 1$, though starting in early 2023 there is a general increase of the average magnitude per month, including several events with $M_d \geq 3$ and a largest, $M_d 4.2$ earthquake, occurred on September 27, 2023.

The occurrence of five $M_d 3.6+$ earthquakes during the period August 18 – October 2, widely felt in the Campi Flegrei and Napoli metropolitan area, raised a great concern in the population and civil protection authorities about the earthquake risk related to the volcanic activity. Given the high-density urbanization of the area, it is therefore important to understand the impact, including potential damage, to buildings and infrastructures caused by the repeated occurrence of small to moderate, shallow-depth events generated by the accelerating ground uplift.
In this study, we obtained multi-scale, high-precision relocations of the ongoing seismicity, allowing to identify, with unprecedented detail, the location and geometry of the activated structures during this crisis in the central area of the caldera. We used these new results along with mapped surface faults and fractures and other geophysical information to better understand the mechanics of earthquake faulting in relation to the caldera resurgence and other volcanic phenomena, with the aim of identifying zones where future, larger magnitude earthquake can potentially occur.

2 Event Dataset

We used P and S arrival-times from the earthquake catalogue provided by the INGV – Osservatorio Vesuviano from 01/01/2014 to 14/11/2023 (Figure 1a), available at https://terremoti.ov.ingv.it/gossip/flegrei. Phase arrivals and associated relative uncertainties and event duration magnitudes Md from only the fully located events in the catalogue (8292 earthquakes) are used. For the selected events, Md ranges between -1.1 and 4.2, with the Md 4.2 event (2023-09-27 01:35:34) having the largest number of phase arrival times (18 P and 6 S picks). Events with lower magnitude (Md < 2) typically show 6 to 10 P, 2 to 4 S arrival times. We also extracted arrival times from 18 stations of the INGV national network (yellow and red triangles in Figure 1a), located within 15 km from the catalogue epicentres. For the same set of events, we also recovered vertical component waveforms from 9 velocimetric stations available on EIDA portal (https://eida.ingv.it; red triangles in Figure 1a). We extracted waveforms in the time window from 10 s before to 45 s after the event origin time and decimated the traces to a sampling frequency of 50 Hz.

3. High-precision earthquake location

We obtained multi-scale, high-precision earthquake locations with a new procedure based on the NonLinLoc location algorithm (Lomax et al., 2000; Lomax et al., 2014; NLL hereafter) which produces an a-posterior probability density function (PDF) in 3D space for hypocentre location. The new procedure, NLL-SSST-coherence (NLL-SC), combines source-specific, station travel-time corrections (SSST) with stacking of PDFs, probabilistic location for nearby events based on waveform similarity (Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022; Lomax and Henry, 2023).

In a first relocation step, NLL-SC iteratively develops SSST corrections on collapsing length scales
(Richards-Dinger and Shearer, 2000; Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022), which can greatly improve, multi-scale relative location accuracy and clustering of events. In a second relocation step, NLL-SC reduces finer scale relative errors by consolidating information across locations based on waveform coherency between the events (Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022). This procedure is based on the concept that if the waveforms for two events at a station are very similar (e.g., have high coherency) up to a given frequency, then the distance between the two events is small relative to the wavelength corresponding to that frequency (e.g., Geller and Mueller, 1980; Poupinet et al., 1984). In this study we apply NLL-SC up to a frequency of 10 Hz, giving improved relative location accuracy down to ~100 m scale. See the Supporting Information (Text S1) for more details on the location procedure, velocity model (Figure S2) and processing parameters used in this study.

4. Results

The high-precision NLL-SC locations delineate several clusters and alignments of seismicity produced during the ongoing unrest at Campi Flegrei. Most of the seismicity concentrates in the shallow region around the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area (cyan-green dots in Figure 2). Here, epicenters define an ~1x1 km, horseshoe-shaped structure, opened and deepening toward the northeast beneath the Agnano Plain, and slightly larger than the ~0.5 km diameter of the Solfatara crater. Smaller-scale seismicity clusters, with a typical size of 100-300m, occur south and southwest of Solfatara, along the coast toward the center of Pozzuoli and the location of RITE station. This area has been active since 2014 (Figure 3), although the seismicity has intensified during the last three years.

The most recent magnitude Md 3.6+ events, except for the largest magnitude Md 4.2 earthquake, also occurred in the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area, beneath the horseshoe-shaped seismicity, at depths between 2 and 3.5 km. Northwest of the Solfatara crater, seismicity depicts a E-W trending, 1.5-2.0 km long structure composed of event cluster at depths comparable to that of the major events in the Solfatara.

Southeastward, off the coast of Bagnoli, a ~1km long, sub-vertical alignment trending just E of N is well defined by the relocated seismicity. This alignment contains the largest recorded event (Md 4.2), which ruptured an area of 800-1200 m², according to the calculated source radius (Figure S1 of Supporting Information). Further offshore to the southwest the seismicity occurs at greater depths, down to ~4 km, and forming a WNW oriented alignment offshore of Bacoli, and a N-S
alignment off the coast of Monte Nuovo. Overall, this seismicity forms an elliptical shape, punctuated by the lineations and clusters containing the larger magnitude (Md > 3) events.

**Figure 2:** Relocated NLL-SC seismicity 2014-2023. Circles – color coded according to the magnitude duration - show earthquakes with duration magnitude Md ≥ -1.0 and ellipsoid major axis ≤ 2.0 km (7212 of 8274 total relocated events); symbol size is proportional to magnitude. Tetrahedrons show subsets of stations from Figure 1a used for relocation.

The evolution of the seismicity over time (Figures 1d and 3) shows an increasing of the number of events and maximum magnitude. Moreover, while in the period 2014-2019 seismicity occurred at shallow depths (most of these events have depth < 2 km) and concentrated in the Solfatara-Pisciarelli area, during 2019-2023 the seismicity deepens, extends offshore and increases in maximum magnitude. During the last two years (2022-2023), the seismicity spreads to a larger area, forming the elliptical, ring-like structure, extending from inland north of Solfatara.
southwards through Bagnoli, eastwards towards Bacoli and northward towards Monte Nuovo.

5. Discussion

The precisely located NLL-SC seismicity delineates the fault zones activated during the ongoing seismic crisis at Campi Flegrei (Figure 2) with greater detail as compared to the raw bulletin dataset (Figure 1a). Accurate delineation of the structures enables an improved interpretation of the fault activation mechanisms in relation with the spatial stress variability and concentration as caused by the extended ground uplift phenomenon. The multi-scale station corrections and waveform-coherence based hypocenter consolidation of NLL-SC achieves a location precision of 100 m or less, which is necessary to image faulting structures in a complex, multi-kilometer scale volcanic environment such as Campi Flegrei.

The spatiotemporal activation of shallow crustal volumes during 2014-2023 within the inner caldera is shown by the relocated seismicity (Figure 3 and Supporting Information Video S1). In the period 2014-2019 a low seismicity rate is observed (Figure 1c), mostly characterized by small magnitude (Md < 2) events occurring at depths shallower than about 3 km (Figure 3). These events are located within a 1-2 km radius from the Solfatara crater which hosts, together with the adjacent Pisciarelli fumarolic field, the most vigorous hydrothermal activity in the caldera (Chiodini et al., 2017; Tamburello et al., 2019).

Overall, the variations in rate and magnitude of seismicity over time occur simultaneously with changes in ground uplift rate of growth as observed at the station RITE in mid-2017, mid-2020 and end of 2022 (Figures 1c, d). Uplift velocity rather than cumulative uplift seems to control localized seismicity production with the progressive activation of relatively long fracture zones at the margin of the uplifting resurgent dome (Bevilacqua et al., 2022; Tramelli et al., 2022).

The spatial distribution of relocated seismicity (Figure 4) allows for an integrated geo-structural interpretation based on recent evidence and reconstructions. The near-elliptical shape formed by the seismicity since 2021 (Figure 4) resembles that of the 1982-84 crisis, whose seismicity distribution has been related to a central collapsed portion of the caldera in studies (Barberi et al., 1991; De Natale et al., 2006), which also considered results of gravity and magnetic surveys (Rosi and Sbrana, 1987).
However, this hypothesis is contradicted by the geological evidence of a nested caldera structure (e.g., Orsi et al., 1996; Di Vito et al., 1999). Only a part of the relocated seismicity, occurring in the offshore sector (Feature A in Figure 4), is compatible with the caldera ring fault zone (e.g., Sacchi et al., 2014; Steinmann et al., 2018). In a recent interpretation of high-resolution, seismic reflection profiles offshore of the caldera, Natale et al. (2022b) present evidence for a composite, ring-fault zone. This fault zone has an inner-ring confining from the west to the south-east the resurgent dome area, this latter being affected by a dense array of high-angle NE-SW to NNE-SSW trending, km-size collapse faults that cut the shallow marine sediments (Natale et al., 2020).
Several authors differentiate the inner-ring structure from the medial and outer ring fault systems, whose expression at depth matches well the annular high-P-velocity, high-density body, imaged by the 2001 active seismic tomography experiment and identified as the buried rim of the caldera (Zollo et al., 2003; Judenherc and Zollo, 2004; Battaglia et al., 2008; Dello Iacono et al., 2009). Only the deepest offshore seismicity, between 3-5 km depth, appears to fit and approximate the downward propagation of the south-western inner ring fault (Figure 4a, f), where the most frequent dip angles are between 60-80° (Natale et al., 2022b). This is consistent with a steep (~70°) inward-dipping fault structure that justifies the 1.2 km spatial gap between the surface projection of the mapped inner-ring fault and the 4 km deep epicenter locations. The focal mechanism solution (see Supporting Information, Text S3) is consistent in terms of strike and dip of the nodal plane, although with right-lateral kinematics (event 6 in Figure 4).

Activation of the Baia section (Feature B in Figure 4) of the inner ring fault (Vitale and Natale, 2023) can explain the seismicity off the coast of Monte Nuovo (between 3-5 km depth), where underwater high-temperature hydrothermal manifestations occur (Di Napoli et al., 2016). The more scattered and shallower seismicity could be related to high-angle faults as detailed in Natale et al. (2022b), also involved by hot fluid uprise (Carlino et al., 2016).

Of particular interest is the near N-S trending sub-vertical fault structure just offshore La Pietra (Feature C in Figure 4), generating the largest magnitude (Md 4.2) recorded event up to now during the crisis, and overall producing earthquakes between 2-4 km depth. This structure has not been identified previously as it lies in a region where no deep-penetrating seismic reflection profiles are available. From spectral modelling of seismic displacement records the average seismic moment and corner frequency of the event indicate a southward rupture extending over ~800-1200 m² (Figure and Text S1 in Supporting Information), which is consistent with the area filled by nearby seismicity (Figure 4), and the calculated focal mechanism (event 7 in Figure 4). However, given the near-vertical dip angle and related hypocenter uncertainty, this fault structure could be dipping to the east or to the west.

The offshore La Pietra fault structure illuminated by the relocated seismicity, represents a new seismogenic feature in the caldera as compared to the 1982-84 crisis (e.g., Orsi et al., 1999). This feature falls in the eastern portion of the near-elliptical seismicity pattern (Figure 4). The stress drop estimated for the Md 4.2 event (2-3 MPa) in this structure is large in relation to the depth of...
the structure, suggesting a high strength of rocks in the shallow caprock or underlying basement (Vanorio and Kanitpanyacharoen, 2015).

Figure 4. Simplified structural map showing the relationship between the epicentral distribution of relocated seismicity in the 2021-2023 period with the elliptical pattern and the main volcano-tectonic structures known in literature. Focal mechanisms solutions for selected 2023 Md>3 events are shown (details in Figure S3), with their color coded by depth.

Despite the moderate size of the event, the high stress drop acting over a small size asperity may be responsible for large peaks in the observed ground motion amplitudes (maximum recorded PGA of 0.3 g; see http://shakemap.ingv.it/shake4/ archive.html).
In the Solfatara area (Figure 4, Feature D) the relocated seismicity matches well several fault arrays mapped in the surface and subsurface geology. These fault arrays are related to the maar-diatreme structure of Solfatara crater, whose polygonal shape is due to the presence of main NW-SE and NE-SW faults, locally cross-cut by smaller E-W faults (Diamanti et al., 2022), and also exposed at Pisciarelli fumarole field within the western rim of Agnano caldera (Isaia et al., 2021). Hence, the horseshoe distribution of seismicity deepening eastward (Isaia et al., 2021) fits well the presence of such array faults at depth, which significantly affects the hydrothermal circulation in the area (Troiano et al., 2019). The calculated focal mechanisms (Figure 4 and Supporting Information Text S3) show nodal planes consistent with the mapped structures, as they are mainly NE-SW trending (events 1, 2, 5 and 8), and subordinately E-W trending (event 4) and NW-SE (event 3).

An approximately E-W trending fault bounds the distribution of the relocated seismicity NE of the Solfatara crater (Figure 4, Feature E), on which a series of spatially and temporally correlated seismicity bursts occurred between 2 and 3 km depths. This structure corresponds to a south-dipping normal fault with a left-lateral component, with noticeable surface expression in Agraano and Cigliano as recently depicted in Natale et al. (2023) and corroborated by structural field data by Diamanti et al. (2022). The bursts of seismicity occur along a ca. 6 km-long structure, that to the west reaches La Starza marine terrace (Vitale et al., 2019), representing the northern border of elliptical seismicity.

The NE-SW seismicity alignment (Figure 4, Feature F) in the Astroni might be associated with pressurized fluids moving along a NE-SW faults within the shallow (1-1.5 km) portion of the hydrothermal system (Isaia et al., 2022), where increased hydrothermal activity has been detected, as corroborated by microgravity data (Young et al., 2020).

5. Conclusions

The general elliptical distribution of the ongoing seismicity at Campi Flegrei caldera is mainly driven by the stress concentration causative effect of a bell-shaped ground deformation pattern with fracture zones that appear coherent with the ones activated during the 1982-84 unrest in shape and location (Scarpa et al., 2022). However, new sectors have been activated during the present unrest, at the eastern boundary, where the largest Md 4.2 event was caused by a km-size rupture within the shallow (3 km) volcanic sedimentary layer. We found that several structures delineated
by the ongoing seismicity have correspondence in the geological shallow fault record, whose formation was not related to the same volcanic-tectonic process (i.e., dome resurgence), but rather generated by other, more energetic processes such volcano-tectonic collapses, magma intrusion and migration.

In general, the stress changes caused by the ongoing uplift of the central caldera appear to concentrate on weaker pre-existing structures that are reactivated by small-to-moderate, sub-kilometric fractures. All the Md 3.6+ earthquake ruptures, apart from the largest Md 4.2 event, have nucleated along segments of the complex SW-NE and SE-NW fault system array at the margins of the Solfatara crater. As for the Md 4.2 event, the evidence for relatively high stress-drops and average slip (2-3 MPa, 3-5 cm see Supporting Information) suggests a possible effect of fluid-driven, pore-pressure increase at these faults that could favor the development of larger size fractures.

Considering the size of the structures mapped in this study and the stress drop estimated for the main event (Text S1 of Supporting Information), these faults can accommodate earthquakes of moment magnitude up to 5.0, both beneath the Solfatara and offshore, south of Pozzuoli, significantly increasing the hazard in the area.
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Introduction
In the Supplementary information, we present the estimation of the source parameters for the main event (Md 4.2) from spectral modelling (Text S1), the details of the location technique, that has been applied to the 2014-2023 Campi Flegrei arrival times and waveforms (Text S2) and the estimation of the focal mechanisms for the main events (Md > 3.0) in the catalogue (Text S3).

**Text S1: Source parameters for the main event**

For the main event in the dataset (Md 4.2) occurred on the 2023/09/27 01:35:34 we analyzed source parameters from frequency domain inversion of S-wave amplitude spectra. The inversion follows the approach proposed by Supino et al. (2019), where a generalized Brune’s model (Brune, 1970) is used to evaluate source parameters and their associated uncertainties based on integration of the a posteriori Probability Density Function (PDF) (Tarantola, 2004).

For the analysis the displacement amplitude spectra recorded at available nearby stations (red triangles in Figure 1) were used after removal of the instrumental response. Manual picking of the event allowed to select 3s time windows around the S wave (0.2s before and 2.8 after the pick) to...
be used for the inversion. Anelastic attenuation was taken into account by considering a constant
quality factor $Q = 150$, while the wave propagation velocity was fixed to $v_s = 3000 m/s$ with
density $\rho = 2.5 g/cm^3$ (Judenherc and Zollo, 2004). Spectral fit is shown in Figure S1.
The moment magnitude was estimated to be $M_w = 3.68 \pm 0.02$ with corner frequency $f_c = 2.4 \pm
0.1 Hz$. The stress drop from Kelis-Borok (1959) relation results $\Delta \sigma = 2.3 \pm 0.5 MPa$. Finally,
the retrieved source radius $a = 460 \pm 20 m$ suggests that the rupture process involved a fault with
a length of about $1 km$. The average slip was estimated to be of the order of 3-5 cm.

Text S2: High-precision earthquake relocation procedures

General framework

We obtain multi-scale high-precision earthquake relocations with NLL-SSST-coherence, which
combines of source-specific, station traveltime corrections (SSST) and stacking of probabilistic
locations for nearby event based on inter-event waveform coherence (Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022;
Lomax and Henry, 2023). These procedures are extensions of the NonLinLoc location algorithm
(Lomax et al., 2000, Lomax et al. 2014; NLL hereafter), which performs efficient, global sampling
to generate a posterior probability density function (PDF) in 3D space for hypocenter location.
This PDF provides a comprehensive description of likely hypocentral locations and their
uncertainty, and enables application of the waveform coherence relocation. Within NLL, we used
the equal differential-timing (EDT) likelihood function (Zhou, 1994; Lomax et al., 2014), which
is highly robust in the presence of outlier data caused by large error in phase identification,
measured arrival-times or predicted traveltimes. We use a finite-differences, eikonal-equation
algorithm (Podvin and Lecomte, 1991) to calculate gridded P and S traveltimes for initial NLL
locations using a smoothed version (Figure S2) of the velocity model used by the seismic
laboratory from INGV-Osservatorio Vesuviano (Tramelli et al., 2021).
Figure S2: Smoothed P and S velocity model, drawn from the velocity model used by the seismic laboratory at INGV-Osservatorio Vesuviano (Tramelli et al., 2021).

**Source-specific station term corrections**

In a first relocation stage, NLL-SSST-coherence iteratively develops SSST corrections on collapsing length scales (Richards-Dinger and Shearer, 2000; Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022), which can greatly improve, multi-scale, relative location accuracy and clustering of events. In contrast to station static corrections, which give a unique time correction for each station and phase type, SSST corrections vary smoothly throughout a 3D volume to specify a source-position dependent correction for each station and phase type. These corrections account for 3D variations in velocity structure and corresponding distortion in source-receiver ray paths. Spatial-varying, SSST corrections are most effective for improving relative locations on all scales when the ray paths between stations and events differ greatly across the studied seismicity. SSST corrections can improve multi-scale precision when epistemic error in the velocity model is large, such as when a 1D, laterally homogeneous model or a large-wavelength, smooth model is used in an area with sharp, lateral velocity contrasts or smaller scale, 3D heterogeneities.
Waveform coherency relocation

In a second relocation stage, NLL-SSST-coherence reduces aleatoric location error by consolidating information across event locations based on waveform coherency between the events (Lomax and Savvaidis, 2022). This coherency relocation, NLL-coherence, is based on the concept that if the waveforms at a station for two events are very similar (e.g. have high coherency) up to a given dominant frequency, then the distance separating these events is small relative to the seismic wavelength at that frequency (e.g., Geller and Mueller, 1980; Poupinet et al., 1984). For detailed seismicity analysis, precise, differential times between like-phases (e.g., P and S) for similar events can be measured using waveform correlation methods. Differential times from a sufficient number of stations for pairs of similar events allows high-precision, relative location between the events, usually maintaining the initial centroid of the event positions (Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000; Matoza et al., 2013; Trugman and Shearer, 2017).

NLL-coherence uses waveform similarity directly to improve relative location accuracy without the need for differential time measurements or many stations with waveform data. The method assumes that high coherency between waveforms for two events implies the events are nearly co-located, and also that all of the information in the event locations, when corrected for true origin-time shifts, should be nearly identical in the absence of noise. Then, stacking over probabilistic locations for nearby events can be used to reduce the noise in this information and improve the location precision for individual, target events. We measured coherency as the maximum, normalized cross-correlation between waveforms from one or more stations for pairs of events within a specified distance after NLL-SSST relocation (2 km in this study). We take the maximum station coherence between the target event and each other event as a proxy for true inter-event distances and thus as stacking weights to combine NLL-SSST location probability density functions (PDF's) over the events. In effect, this stack directly improves the hypocenter location for each target event by combining and completing arrival-time data over nearby events and reducing aleatoric error in this data such as noise, outliers and missing arrivals.

See Lomax and Savvaidis (2022) and Lomax and Henry (2023) for more discussion and details, while NLL-SSST-coherence processing parameters used in this study are available on Zenodo at the link https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10260849 (Lomax, 2023).
**Text S3: Focal mechanism determination**

To determine in detail the fault geometry highlighted by the larger magnitude events from the mid of August until the beginning of October 2023, we computed the focal mechanisms with the code FPFIT (Reasenberg, 1985) for 7 onshore events with duration magnitude larger than 3.6, and the two larger magnitude events occurring offshore (See Figure 4). We estimated the polarity of the first P-arrival as measured on velocity sensors of the INGV network by considering only stations at a maximum epicentral distance of 8 km. An average of 11 P-polarities are available for each of the analyzed events. We used the locations, for computing azimuth and take-off angles as the ones obtained by the SSST-waveform coherence method assuming the same 1D velocity model used for earthquake locations. The best fault-plane strike, dip and rake angles for each event can be found in Table S1 together with the plot of the polarities on the focal sphere in Figure S3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Lat (°)</th>
<th>Long (°)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>Mid</th>
<th>Strike F1/F2 (°)</th>
<th>Dip F1/F2 (°)</th>
<th>Rake F1/F2 (°)</th>
<th>Nb polarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18/08/2023</td>
<td>04:09:59.42</td>
<td>40.8292</td>
<td>14.1487</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>30 / 210 ± 10</td>
<td>75 / 15 ± 3</td>
<td>-90 / -90 ± 5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18/08/2023</td>
<td>04:10:05.68</td>
<td>40.8300</td>
<td>14.1395</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>35 / 270 ± 0</td>
<td>68 / 35 ± 15</td>
<td>-118 / -40 ± 20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18/08/2023</td>
<td>04:22:49.91</td>
<td>40.8280</td>
<td>14.1388</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>95 / 318 ± 3</td>
<td>25 / 71 ± 8</td>
<td>-130 / 73 ± 15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>07/09/2023</td>
<td>17:45:28.84</td>
<td>40.8295</td>
<td>14.1480</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>75 / 255 ± 3</td>
<td>60 / 30 ± 5</td>
<td>-90 / -90 ± 5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22/09/2023</td>
<td>09:02:00.02</td>
<td>40.8285</td>
<td>14.1415</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>48 / 270 ± 5</td>
<td>75 / 20 ± 13</td>
<td>-103 / 50 ± 15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>07/09/2023</td>
<td>07:10:29.59</td>
<td>40.8063</td>
<td>14.1117</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>270 / 180 ± 3</td>
<td>60 / 90 ± 15</td>
<td>-180 / -30 ± 10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>01/10/2023</td>
<td>01:35:34.39</td>
<td>40.8173</td>
<td>14.1553</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>30 / 274 ± 23</td>
<td>80 / 22 ± 8</td>
<td>-110 / -27 ± 25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>02/10/2023</td>
<td>20:08:26.74</td>
<td>40.8297</td>
<td>14.1482</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>232 / 350 ± 10</td>
<td>78 / 25 ± 8</td>
<td>-68 / -150 ± 5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S1:** Location information of the larger magnitude events and description of the two planes in terms of strike, dip and rake from focal mechanisms together with the uncertainties. Events are numbered according to figure 4. Number of used polarities are reported for each event.
Figure S3: Focal mechanism solutions of the larger magnitude events with the polarity measurements projected on the focal sphere. Events are numbered according to figure 4.
Caption for Movie S1.
The video provides a 3D view of the 2014-2023 seismicity at the Campi Flegrei caldera, rotating the view along a E-W oriented horizontal axis.

Caption for Movie S2.
The video provides a 3D view of the 2014-2023 seismicity at the Campi Flegrei caldera, rotating the view along the azimuth.

Caption for Movie S3.
The video provides a 2D view of the yearly seismicity at the Campi Flegrei caldera, using the same representation of Figure 2.