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Abstract

Safety-critical power conversion systems play a major role in the paradigm shift towards more electric aircraft (MEA) architectures. This paper reviews the electrical machines and their power electronic systems that are currently competing in the application of integrated starter-generators (S/Gs) in MEA power systems. Motivated by the strict requirements of sufficient electrical starting capability, super-high power density and ultra-high reliability, additional considerations on the overall system design are necessary, including the power electronic converters (PECs) and integrated thermal designs. These aspects are discussed not only in the light of their many benefits but also of the challenges introduced by the continuous advancements and emerging innovations in the power conversion technology. In achieving the MEA goals and capitalize on all potential benefits, optimization-based design approaches will be necessary, where the aggregation of electric machines, PECs and the aircraft grid is considered as an integrated system to be optimized. This review highlights the importance of these aspects and offers a view on future perspectives and open issues.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, the ongoing electrification of aircraft power systems has become an important research topic. The move towards more electric and all-electric aircraft has been motivated by the aim of reducing the fuel consumption by reducing the total weight and optimizing the management of electrical power on board, while increasing the reliability and safety [1], [2]. The integrated starter-generator (S/G) is considered as one of the core technologies in the more electric aircraft (MEA) paradigm (from the early 1990s). In this initiative, the S/Gs are electrically configured to start the engine in starting mode ("engine cranking") and convert mechanical power from the engine in generator mode. In this way, they replace conventional hydraulic- and pneumatic systems. Fig. 1 illustrates the S/G concept, where two main paradigms in the electrification of aircraft systems are shown, depending on whether the S/G is integrated to an ac or dc distribution grid.

In this paper, the electrical machines and corresponding power electronic solutions for S/G applications in MEA systems is reviewed. First, section II is briefly discussing the general development trends towards MEA systems, the basic principles and requirements of S/G applications and their power electronic interfaces to the MEA power distribution grid. Section III discusses the performance of the Wound Field Synchronous Machine (WFSM) as a S/G, including the excitation systems required for S/G applications. Furthermore, Section III describes the main alternatives to the WFSM, including Induction Machines (IMs), Permanent Magnet Machines (PMMs), and Switched Reluctance Machines (SRMs). Finally, Section IV presents the key points of this paper in a table and then concludes the paper presenting future perspectives.

II. POWER CONVERSION AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS FOR STARTER-GENERATORS IN MEA APPLICATIONS

The motivations for the development towards MEA concepts, the established alternative power distribution systems and the available power electronic conversion (PEC) technology has been thoroughly covered in other recent publication [3]–[7]. However, a brief introduction to the requirements for MEA power systems, the operation principles for S/G applications and the PEC interfaces for various S/G concepts is presented in the following.

A. Requirements for MEA applications

The requirements and the operating conditions of aircraft power generation are fundamentally different from ground-based machines. In aerospace S/G-applications, low machine weight, high power capability and high power density are among the key requirements. Consequently, high air gap flux densities and high armature current density are two possible solutions. As a result, the thermal management system becomes important [8] and the hot spots in the power conversion systems have to be carefully considered in the design. In addition, fault-tolerant operation and insulation management [9] are key issues.

The ongoing electrification of aircraft engines is a result of the continuous advancements and innovations in both power
Fig. 1. Two paradigms for electric start in the MEA initiative. a) variable speed constant frequency (VSCF) or "frequency-wild" ac distribution. b) interface to a dc distribution system electronic converters (PECs) and electrical machine designs [10]. Another driver is the advancements in closed-loop control of speed and torque, including using position sensor-less algorithms that eliminate the need of sensors and by that increases reliability [11], [12].

As a result of this development, the installed electrical power capacity in aircraft has grown exponentially during the last two decades [3]. In fact, the maximum power of the WFSM type reaches 250 kVA and has been used in Boeing 787 Dreamliner platform (four equally rated WFSMs) [13], which has a total of 1450 kVA electrical power. The new engines were developed by GE and Rolls Royce, and they present two of the so-called variable frequency starter generator (VFSG) on two of the main engines [14].

The on-board power system architecture has also experienced recent changes. Traditionally, a direct-on-line (DOL) constant speed constant frequency (CSCF) system has been widely used, like Boeing B777 and Airbus A340 [15]. However, the mechanical constant speed drive (CSD) is a complex, heavy and large system, and performs with poor conversion efficiency and low reliability [16]. In parallel to this paradigm, variable speed constant frequency (VSCF) systems employing cycloconverters or dc-links have been utilized in military aircrafts like F-18, AV-8B, TR-1 and F-117 etc [17]. For typical VSCF systems, 115/200 V and 400 Hz has been the technology standard [3]. Recently, the variable speed variable frequency (VSVF) system has attracted attention ("frequency-wild ac system"), where Airbus A380 was the first commercially available product in this category, employing four 120/150 kVA VF generators. Not long ago, a "variable-voltage" bus system has been proposed to optimize the power flow [18]. Furthermore, dc-distribution systems with bus voltages of 270 V or 540 V dc is also considered for future aircraft systems [3], [19].

B. Basic principles of starter-generators (S/Gs)

A starter-generator (S/G) system must be designed for bidirectional power flow and has basically two modes of operation, as seen in Fig. 2.

- Starter mode: S/G in motoring mode where the aircraft engines are behaving as a load. The starter accelerates the engine to the self-sustaining speed ($\omega_{\text{start}}$).
- Generator mode: The engine is finally self-sustained, and the interface inverter supplies the onboard loads through constant frequency (CF), variable frequency (VF) or dc supply from variable speed (VS) operation.

In practice, the power flow is regulated by the controlled voltage source of the PEC interface. In this way, the S/G can operate in both generator mode and starter mode.

Several machine technologies have been proposed for S/G applications [7], [20], including permanent magnet machines (PMMs) [21], [22], induction machines (IMs) [23]–[25], switched reluctance machines (SRMs) [26]–[29] and brushless wound-field synchronous machines (WFSMs) [30]. Fig. 3 illustrates the basic structure and most common PEC interface of each candidate solution (assuming an AC/DC interface solution as Fig. 1b).

C. PEC interfaces in MEA for S/Gs

The PEC interface of an S/G must be bidirectional, operating as an inverter in starting mode and rectifier in generation mode. The classical PEC interfaces considered for S/G applications are shown in Fig. 4. The two-level inverter employs a minimal amount of active switches, yielding simplicity and reliability. The three-level neutral-point-clamped (NPC) converter is typically considered to achieve reduced EMI emissions and higher fundamental frequency without increasing the switching frequency of the individual devices (relevant for high-speed operation). The SRM drive employs separately controlled single phases, as shown in Fig. 3 d) and Fig. 4c).
Fig. 3. Considered choices for electrical machines in more electric architectures [1] with an AC/DC interface (see Fig 1b). a) Wound-field synchronous machines (WFSMs). b) Squirrel-cage induction machines (IMs). c) Permanent magnet machines (PMMs). d) Switched reluctance machines (SRMs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEC type</th>
<th>Merit</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two-level converter (2L)</td>
<td>• Low cost and simple design (reliable); • Minimum number of switches and gate drivers.</td>
<td>• High voltage THD and high dv/dt; • Large dc side capacitor.</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-level neutral point clamped converter (3L-NPC)</td>
<td>• Reduced voltage THD and dv/dt; • Higher efficiency and reduced harmonic losses.</td>
<td>• Higher number of devices with uneven losses; • Increased capacitor volume.</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-quadrant converter</td>
<td>• Phases independently controlled.</td>
<td>• Exclusive technology for SRMs.</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect matrix converter (IMC)</td>
<td>• Light-weight PEC avoiding bulky dc-link; • Direct AC-AC conversion with small filters.</td>
<td>• Demands high number of switches and gate drivers; • Higher output current demand (low transfer ratio).</td>
<td>[33] [34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The configurations in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 all assume interface to a dc capacitor, and are directly applicable for systems with dc distribution as assumed in Fig. 1b). However, high voltage dc-distribution (270/540 V) also introduces challenges on protection systems, and depends on emerging semiconductor-based breakers [19]. Fixed frequency ac-distribution based on the presented configurations will instead require two-stage AC-DC-AC PEC interfaces, which adds extra losses and hardware to the MEA system. Two avoid the two-stage conversion with the need for a large dc-capacitor, matrix converters which directly converts from AC to AC without a dc-link can be applied [5]. For instance, the use of an indirect matrix converter is proposed in [33]. These considerations argue in favour of including the high voltage dc bus (HVDC) [6]. Table I summarizes the merits and demerits of the most relevant bidirectional PEC interfaces suitable for S/G applications.

### III. Wound-Field Synchronous Machine as Starter/Generator

Brushless WFSMs are widely used as the generator in the traditional aircraft power systems. In addition, it is the classical solution for high-power inverter-fed electric drive systems. Currently, it is a mature technology with high power density, high reliability and simple maintenance. Therefore, it is still a very attractive solution for ac aircraft power systems employing both fixed frequency and variable frequency. The main advantages are high starting capability and high power generation capability over a wide speed range for a single machine. However, the solution is not cost-competitive for smaller aerospace applications. For larger machines, it avoids the relatively high costs of PMs but at the expense of increased size and weight. As a result, it can compete with the PMMs in the market of large S/Gs. WFSMs need a dc source to supply the rotor field winding. An additional winding to be controlled in the rotor adds complexity and overall weight to the system. In addition, carbon dust from brushes and slips rings should be avoided in ultra-reliable MEA applications. As a result, a rotating transformer or a brushless exciter solution is to be advised. A conventional exciter includes three electrical machines on the same shaft (three-stage system) [35]: The main generator (MG, the main exciter (ME) and the pilot pre-excitier (PE). The PE is typically a permanent magnet generator (PMG) that extends the shaft. However, it can be replaced by other auxiliary sources [36]. A conventional synchronous brushless exciter (configured as an inside-out synchronous machine) only works in generator mode, since a mechanical
speed is required to transfer excitation power to the rotor. The ME and MG are electrically connected via a rotating diode bridge rectifier which introduces non-linearities. Without the use of rotor measurements, the MG field current is not known. As a matter of fact, brushless exciters and rotating diode bridges add space on the shaft and thus limits the top speed of the machine. A complicated design of the whole brushless WFSM is needed to avoid mechanical failure. An upper realistic speed limit has been stated to be around 20 000 r/min [37]. However, the integrated drive generator (IDG) of the Boeing 777 aircraft (first flight 1994) rotates at 24 000 r/min [38]. In practice, the radial dimensions must be reduced to limit the centrifugal forces and the rotating diode rectifier is placed inside the ME rotor to save axial space.

The WFSM yields numerous possibilities in terms of optimization. It has three control variables, the d-axis stator current \( I_d \), the q-axis stator current \( I_q \) and the rotor field current \( I_f \). The needed field current is flexible, and it can be minimized with a special design. The field current can be controlled to achieve the necessary flux weakening capability. In addition, a redundant de-excitation circuit improves the safety for the S/G [39]. This because of the possibility to cancel the fault current when short-circuits or high voltages occur. This circuitry does not depend on the PEC at the stator-side, thus achieving a fail-safe solution. During high-speed flux-weakening operation, the power factor and the efficiency are still high. Synchronous rectifier operation can be applied in generator mode to reduce EMI and improve efficiency (converter losses). Its high power factor reduces the size of the inverter as well.

The ME stator and the MG stator must be controlled simultaneously during the startup process of the WFSM. Excitation boosting during startup is a great advantage of the WFSM to enhance the starting torque. This functionality demands a specially designed exciter with dedicated control methods. Increasing complexity is added since the starting mode control scheme is fundamentally different from the generation mode. Therefore, a decoupled coordinated control scheme has been recently proposed [40]. A multivariable non-linear problem must be solved in order to excite the machine properly under standstill conditions. This is because the ME and the MG are electromagnetically coupled in starting mode.

In the three next subsections, the three possible brushless asynchronous excitation (AE) methods for WFSMs in starting mode is described. The key points are then summarized in Table II.

A. Single-Phase Brushless AE

The single-phase AE is equivalent to a standard synchronous exciter, as illustrated in Fig. 5. However, the stator field winding can be operated with both dc and ac current. In fact, ac current is needed to excite the WFSM from standstill. However, it is limited by pulsating excitation power. In addition, the high field inductance restricts the ac current, which deteriorates the performance in starting mode. As a result, the single-phase AE is not sufficient for commercial starting solutions in MEA applications [36]. As the WFSM approaches enough speed, the AE is fed with dc current instead of pulsating ac current. The dc excitation is very easy to control, however, it is only sufficient in generator mode.

B. Two-Phase Brushless AE

The AE can be configured with two orthogonal stator phases to improve the excitation efficiency during starting mode (see Fig. 6). In this configuration, it is possible to generate a rotating magnetic flux in the AE from the stator-side, and the electromagnetic behaviour of the ME follows the one of an induction generator. The control of the starting phase at the MG can be fairly easy if the excitation field is kept constant. This condition can be effectively achieved by conveniently controlling the frequency of the two-phase currents at the AE, in order to keep the relative speed between the rotor and the magnetic field at the ME constant [43].

In the generator mode, dc currents feed the stator phases of the AE. Equal field current in both phases ensures a uniform thermal footprint in the stator. The generator mode becomes equal to the single-phase configuration explained in the previous paragraph.

C. Three-Phase Brushless AE

Fig. 6 also shows the three-phase AE that can improve the excitation transfer to the WFSM in starting mode [36], similar to the two-phase AE. The stator has three interconnected and distributions field windings, that can be fed with AC voltages during starting mode [45]. In practice, it functions as a three-phase rotating transformer during a generator starting condition. A rotating flux over the AE is possible using both a three-phase and a two-phase AE. In achieving simple control of the AE in generator mode, a dual inverter is proposed to reconnect the three-phase windings for dc excitation [46]. However, it becomes significantly more complicated than the
two-phase AE, since all phase-windings have to be open-circuited between the inverter legs. In fact, it needs 12 IGBTs, which inevitably increases the PEC device (twice the number of switches for a two-level VSC technology).

IV. ALTERNATIVE STARTER/GENERATOR CONCEPTS

This section elaborates on the alternative solutions to WF-SMs as S/Gs in future MEA systems.

A. The Induction S/G

The induction machine is known as a fail-safe topology. It enjoys mechanical robustness and a low-cost construction with reduced maintenance. In general, the IM S/G is a good compromise between performance and reliability. It supports fairly high machine temperatures (up to 250°) [1]. Machine faults can be easily managed and detected. The overload capability and faulty operation has been significantly improved with a multi-phase architecture [47]. A dual stator winding set is proposed to improve the power flow of the S/G [48].

During rotor failure, rotor windings or bars tend to heat up and thus reduce the efficiency. Significant rotor losses which limit the fault tolerance capability of the rotor. Another drawback is the reduced reliability and torque density during starting mode [47]. Therefore, at the stator-side, the inverter has to be oversized in order to achieve high starting torque and deliver the magnetizing current. A relatively large air gap (due to vibration) causes a significant stator current to sustain the magnetizing flux. Earlier investigations have shown the feasibility of the doubly-fed induction machine (DFIM) as an aero-generator [23]. It was primarily related to the replacement of the hydraulic constant speed drive (CSD), allowing variable speed for the engine. With an inverter at 18% of the full system rating, it could achieve this target, with no mechanical components. However, it would generally require an excitation system for the rotor based on brushes and slip rings, considering that brushless configurations [23] are based on more complex control algorithms.

B. The Permanent Magnet S/G

The permanent magnet machines (PMMs) have been promoted during the last decades with the emergence of stronger and less expensive magnet materials [49]. The PMM has no active components in the rotor. As a result, it has high efficiency and high power density. The maximum peak torque is available even at standstill. However, the current limits of conventional off-the-shelf PEC technology reduces the available peak torque in starting mode. In addition, high efficiency can be reached even at high d-axis currents beyond the nominal operating point. In particular, this is true considering the fact that interior PMs results in a wider constant power zone (increases the flux weakening capability). Concentrated coil windings do also increase the field weakening capability in combination with a more fault-tolerant design.

Classical PMMs lacks the ability to provide high power over a very wide speed range, e.g., three to one range. However, a six to one range is achievable by proper design of inset PMMs. This is because a constant power zone of high efficiency and power factor is difficult to keep high as the d-current increases many orders of magnitude. In addition, there are unstable modes of operation that need rigorous considerations [50]. Other concerns relate to reliability and safety. If not designed properly, PMs always have a potential risk of demagnetization and they are especially vulnerable to demagnetization at high temperatures, corrosion and excessive short-circuit fault currents, which do not meet aerospace requirements. Therefore, the maximum demagnetization field flux must be incorporated into the design process. Samarium-Cobalt ($Sm_{2}Co_{17}$) can survive temperatures beyond 300°C [51] (maybe as high as 350°C [52]). In general, 300°C should be considered as the worst case temperature for the PMM.

---

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exciter type</th>
<th>Merit</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-phase AE</td>
<td>• Could utilize a standard synchronous ME;</td>
<td>• Pulsating and limited excitation in staring mode;</td>
<td>[41], [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Very simple control structure in generator mode;</td>
<td>• Cannot provide high-torque starting functionality;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-phase AE</td>
<td>• Provides high starting torque for the WFSM;</td>
<td>• Machine construction rarely used in exciters;</td>
<td>[43], [44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Simple control structure in generator mode;</td>
<td>• Third leg must be oversized (basic topology)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-phase AE</td>
<td>• Provides high starting torque for the WFSM;</td>
<td>• DC excitation demands a peculiar inverter with 12 IGBTs;</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The AE is a standard wound-rotor IM;</td>
<td>• AC excitation yields complex control in generator mode;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 6. Combined schematic of the two-phase stator configuration of the asynchronous excitation (AE) system [43], [44] and the three-phase stator configuration of the AE system [30]. ME: Main exciter. MG: Main generator.
S/G [37]. However, it is unavoidable that high thermal stress affects the machine robustness, ageing and lifetime. In the stator, intensive cooling can significantly reduce the copper losses, which are temperature-dependent. It is perceived that an increased winding temperature of 10°C reduces the insulation lifetime by 50% [53]. The PMM is permanently excited, and the excitation cannot be disabled as in a WFSM. Consequently, it is fully excited at all operating speeds. As a result, it can cause excessive induced currents during a fault, with undesirable torque pulsations and thermal hot spots. There is also a concern related to possible detachment of magnets during high-speed operation [52], i.e., extra high strength retention methods (e.g., carbon fiber sleeve material) must be considered for inner rotors [37]. However, modern design of S/G PMMs employs an out-runner topology (successful R&D performed by Rolls Royce Electrical Norway), where the rotor core basically support the PMs at the expense of higher inertia (which needs to be minimized). However, it smooths out any torque ripple.

Converter switch-off and speed overshoot can cause safety concerns. Redundant solutions in the PEC device is required. In particular, loss of PEC control at high-speed operation creates high and dangerous terminal-voltages. All voltage regulation of PMMs and fail-safe solutions must be accomplished by the PEC device. However, new solutions that are proactive to potential failures are currently under consideration. With high armature reactance in a multiphase configuration, fault-tolerance can be accomplished.

C. The Switched Reluctance S/G

Switched reluctance machines (SRMs) as an aircraft S/G is not something completely new. The fault-tolerant nature of the simple single-element rotor geometry and the concentrated windings in the stator are among its well-known merits. Consequently, the absence of magnets, bars or windings in the rotor inevitably increase the reliability of the single laminated rotor part. SRMs have superior thermal capabilities. It is worth noting that many future aircraft applications would may demand thermal design toward 500°C. The SRM rotor has limited needs for cooling. Such considerations would permit ambient temperatures in the 400°C range [62]. One drawback is the fact that the salient shape of the rotor generates windage losses, which require special considerations at high speeds [62]. The gaps can be filled with non-magnetic materials, but it complicates the construction, and extra inertia is added (which smooths torque pulsations). In addition, the source of each winding can have segmented supplies, yielding safe operation even under winding faults or PEC faults. Moreover, the manufacturing costs are low, even though the SRM often uses custom-made PEC (even though off-the-shelf parts like 3x three-leg bridges or 3x H-bridges would be sufficient) and control algorithms. The power electronics have a similar size as used for PMMs. In practice, the SRMs has been implemented in military aircrafts like the Lockheed Martin F-22 (from 2005) and F-35 (from 2015) based on a 270 V dc supply [16]. It is a natural choice since military aircraft demands lower power generation than civil aviation.

Considering the MEA era, the SRM can be designed with a very wide constant power-speed range. However, the high-power SRM performs with an efficiency as low as 80-82% [29], [51]. In fact, SRMs have comparable power density as the IMs, but lower than PM designs. Their drawback for S/G applications is the fact that they lack very high starting torque capability with conventional machine design and associated power electronics. The PEC interface is operationally more demanding than conventional drives. It has a full-asymmetric dual-quadrant bridge type for each phase, as seen in Figs. 3d) and Fig. 4c). It allows individual controllability of each phase, but high voltages ripples are observed in generator mode. Significant torque pulsations are usually an inherent property of the machine type. On the other hand, a potential loss of the PEC during high-speed operation is not a major concern, considering that the induced voltage becomes inherently zero.

V. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this review was to revisit the trends in the research and development of starter-generators (S/Gs) in the era of MEA applications. The aim was to guide the reader in the understanding of the different aspects of the technologies involved which influences the speed of take-off of the new era of more electric aircraft. The key points of the different technologies are summarized in Table III.

The brushless WFSM with a rotating diode bridge is the most popular state-of-the-art S/G technology. It enjoys high reliability and inherent safety with a fail-safe and flexible excitation. However, its physical speed limit reduces the potential for higher power densities. The key specification of the AEGART S/G system is 32 000 r/min goes beyond what current WFSM technology can deliver [37]. This paper reports that there are still ongoing research in the area of WFSM S/G systems, especially in the area of excitation systems and its associated control schemes to improve WFSM functionality.

Due to a strict request for high power density in future aviation solutions, the PM machine emerges as a strong candidate for various operations in aviation. It is worth highlighting that the AEGART project reported a power density of 16 kW/kg based on high-speed PMM S/G technology with 4 kW/kg for the associated PEC [37]. In fact, it was discovered that a corresponding S/G based on SRM technology achieves approximately 1.27 kW/kg [29], i.e. a 92% reduction. It is generally agreed that a higher speed is needed in order to take full advantage of the SRM power density potential. Generally speaking, the SRM performance is quite similar to the IM. Both have a quite high kVA/kW ratio due to the fact that the stator winding has to produce the main magnetic flux. The WFSM has unity kVA/kW ratio ideally for all speeds, since the excitation system handles the flux regulation (excitation power is typically about 1-2% of the WFSM rating). Intensive cooling is a straightforward method to increase power density [20]. Substantial developments are currently seen for PMMs in MEA applications. However, the other candidates presented
### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine type</th>
<th>Merit</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wound-field synchronous machines (WFSMs)</td>
<td>● Can reduce dv/dt transients by six-step operation;</td>
<td>● More complicated control schemes;</td>
<td>[41], [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Flexible optimization by three variables (I_d, I_q, I_f) ;</td>
<td>● Requires an additional exciter machine (speed limit);</td>
<td>[43], [44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Improved safety due to de-excitation ability ;</td>
<td>● Complex starting control methodology</td>
<td>[30], [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Convenient voltage regulation ;</td>
<td>● State-of-the-art cannot run faster than 24000 rpm/min</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● High power factor at a wide speed range ;</td>
<td>● Extra exciters compromises overall weight</td>
<td>[55], [56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Wide speed range with efficiency greater than 80% ;</td>
<td>● Reduced power at high speeds (low power factor);</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction machines (IMs)</td>
<td>● Passive rotor structure for fault tolerance;</td>
<td>● Magnetizing current demands an oversized converter</td>
<td>[21], [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Supports high rotor temperatures up to 250°C</td>
<td>● Low torque and reliability during starting mode</td>
<td>[22], [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Machine faults can be detected and managed</td>
<td>● Significant rotor losses must be thermally managed</td>
<td>[50], [59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● It has natural field weakening ability</td>
<td></td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent magnet machines (PMMs)</td>
<td>● High efficiency (despite high negative values of I_d) ;</td>
<td>● High terminal voltage if power electronics fails;</td>
<td>[21], [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Interior magnets achieve wide constant power zone</td>
<td>● Requires supplementary protection system for safety</td>
<td>[22], [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● High speed ability &amp; power density</td>
<td>● Risk of irreversible demagnetization</td>
<td>[50], [59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Low kV/kW ratio for a wide speed range</td>
<td>● De-excitation reliability problem under fault condition</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Compact design with low mass</td>
<td>● High strength magnet retention needed for high speeds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switched reluctance machines (SRMs)</td>
<td>● Robust technology convenient for high-speed;</td>
<td>● Low efficiency and significant torque pulsations;</td>
<td>[26], [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Superior fault-tolerance for a harsh environment</td>
<td>● High-voltage ripples in generator mode</td>
<td>[29], [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● One-piece rotor is easy to manufacture</td>
<td>● Non-custom-built magnets design</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Inherent overcurrent protection</td>
<td>● Require precise rotor position monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Wide torque-speed envelope in motoring mode</td>
<td>● Depend on accurate control algorithms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this paper, the authors still refer mainly to their state-of-the-art fault tolerances, reliability and inherent safety. Current methodologies aim at optimizing both PECs and electrical machines in a coupled multi-physical environment [37], in a way to overcome the disadvantages of the particular machine-type in focus. For the S/Gs, in particular, future research would benefit from a strong focus on how to design:

- Safe and reliable machines with super-high power density.
- High peak torque capability in starting mode.
- High-voltage solutions for high elevations (beyond 3 kV).
- Intensive cooling that integrates machine with PEC.
- Efficient high-frequency PEC for ultra-high speeds.
- Fault-tolerant and high-temperature solutions for PMMs.

Designing optimal component technologies and materials is not going to be the way to conceive better MEA systems because of the many conflicting objectives in the different parts of the system. A call for new design methodologies is advocated in this review. Tools for the optimal and global design of multi-physics systems will benefit the take-off of the MEA initiative by reducing the time of conception and the numbers of prototypes before the final product. These tools will need to include and couple electrical, magnetic and thermal design simulations to capture the accurate behaviour of the various physical components and the system overall. The possible new paths and evolution of possibilities will emerge from this global approach in pace with the ongoing advancements in the different parts of the systems.
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