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1 Introduction

Plastids serve as crucial organelles that carry out photosynthesis in green plants. Generally, autotrophic plants are highly conserved in the chloroplast genomes (Wicke, Schneeweiss, dePamphilis, Müller, & Quandt, 2011). By contrast, heterotrophic plants including mycoheterotrophs and parasites exhibit varying degrees of degeneration in their chloroplast genomes (Graham, Lam, & Merckx, 2017). The family Orobanchaceae is recognized as the largest single family of parasites, consisting of 101 genera and over 2100 species with autotrophic, hemiparasitic, and holoparasitic lifestyles (Byng et al., 2016; Nickrent, 2020). Orobanchaceae is thus considered as an excellent model to elaborate the parasitic plants evolution (Westwood et al., 2012). Most previous studies have focused on autotrophs and holoparasites (Xiaoqing Liu et al., 2019; Wicke & Naumann, 2018; Zeng et al., 2017). However, limited investigations have been done on hemiparasites (Xin Li et al., 2021; R. Zhang et al., 2020). The hemiparasitic tribe Cymbarieae is widely distributed in Eurasia and comprises approximately 20 species in five genera (Schneeweiss, 2013). Cymbarieae was originally thought to be sister to various parasitic lineages in the family Orobanchaceae (Bennett & Mathews, 2006; McNeal, Bennett, Wolfe, & Mathews, 2013), while analysis of the tribe Orobanchieae suggests that this original classification merits reconsideration (Xi Li, Feng, Randle, & Schneeweiss, 2019). Furthermore, although chloroplast genomes of Schwalbea americana (Wicke et al., 2013) and Siphonostegia chinensis (Gao et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2022)
have been previously published, no systematic comparative analysis of chloroplast genomes of various groups within the tribe Cymbarieae has been conducted to date.

*Cymbaria* L. *sensu stricto* (i.e., excluding *Cymbochasma* Endl.) is the type genus of the tribe Cymbarieae and usually parasitizes on roots of *Stipa* (Poaceae) and *Caragana* (Fabaceae). It is now generally believed that this genus includes only two facultative hemiparasites (Zhao, 1999). *C. mongolica* Maxim. is endemic to the Loess Plateau of China, while *C. daurica* L. is a characteristic species of Mongolian Plateau steppe. According to the Chinese Pharmacopoeia and the Mongolian Medicinal Materials Standard, *C. daurica* is the only original plant species for elaborating the traditional Mongolian medicine, which is referred to as “Kanba-Arong” in Mongolian and “Xinba” in Chinese. It is widely used for treating several diseases, including pruritus, psoriasis, fetotoxicity, impetigo, and diabetes (Zhang et al., 2013). Its historical application dates back to the 19th-century Mongolian pharmaceutical classic known as Mengyaozhengdian (Fig. S1), which provides a systematic approach to its usage. The dried whole plant is included in several classical herbal formulations, such as “Siweixinbasan” and “Baweixinbasan.” Previous studies have shown that *C. daurica* contains 177 chemical components (Wu et al., 2020), and the most common are flavonoids (Z.-H. Li et al., 2014) and iridoid glycosides (Q. Wang, Bao, Hao, & Han, 2018). This herb has received much recent attention owing to its diverse bioactivities, including anti-diabetic (Gong et al., 2020), anti-inflammatory (J.-J. Guo, Liu, Zhu, Ren, & Liang, 2017), and anti-bacterial (Shi et al., 2020) activities. However, either accidental or intentional, the adulteration and substitution of *C. daurica* frequently occurs in China and Mongolia, due to its minor morphological differences with *C. mongolica* (Hu, 2018; Liang, Liang, Yao, Liu, & Li, 2016). Specifically, *C. daurica* has densely white sericeous anther locules that are 4–4.5 mm and apically pilose, whereas *C. mongolica* has pilose anther locules that are 3–3.6 mm and glabrous apically or occasionally with few hairs; thus, distinguishing between these two species is a major challenge in the non-flowering stage. This issue has an unforeseeable subsequent effect on the herb “Xinba”, jeopardizing its clinical use, potency, and safety.

In recent years, researchers have shifted their focus from morphological and chemical identification to using DNA-based molecular markers as a precise method to assess the authenticity of medicinal herbs. DNA barcodes has become particularly popular for identifying species of Chinese medicinal herbs owing to its accuracy and speed (Zhu, Liu, Qiu, Dai, & Gao, 2022). The universal barcodes include ITS, matK, rbc L, and *trn* H–*psb* A, either individually or in combination (CBOL Plant Working Group et al., 2009; China Plant BOL Group et al., 2011). However, these barcodes might be ineffective for complex taxonomic groups, especially for radically evolved and closely related taxa, because sufficient genetic variation is lacking (Z. F. Liu et al., 2022). Therefore, more reliable specific DNA barcodes are needed to distinguish the *C. daurica* from its adulterant *C. mongolica*, and ensure the authenticity of the herb “Xinba”.

Here, we provide the chloroplast genome sequences of these two *Cymbaria* species and then conducted a comparative analysis of these two newly sequenced chloroplast genomes with those of 52 other Orobanchaceae species. Our specific objectives were to (1) characterize *Cymbaria* chloroplast genomes, (2) develop the specific molecular markers as DNA barcodes, and (3) investigate the evolution and phylogeny of *Cymbaria*. These findings will provide key insights on the taxonomic identification, phylogenetic placement and reductive evolution of hemiparasitic genus *Cymbaria*, and valuable genetic tools to validate the authenticity of the traditional Mongolian medicine “Xinba.”

2. | Materials and Methods

2.1. | Sampling, sequencing, assembly, and annotation

Wild *C. mongolica* and *C. daurica* plants were collected from Binzhou, Shandong Province (35°14’38.63"N, 108°13’06.11"E) and Xilingol, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (43°28’13.92"N, 116°47’07.76"E) in China. One sample of young fresh leaves per species was collected in a liquid nitrogen tank. The habitat and altitude were also recorded. Voucher specimens were stored in the Herbarium of Inner Mongolia University. Total genomic DNA was extracted using the modified CTAB method (Allen, Flores-Vergara, Krasynanski, Kumar, & Thompson, 2006). Illumina sequencing platform was used to generate raw reads.
The assembly was performed using clean data through SPAdes v. 3.14.0 (Bankevich et al., 2012) and GetOrganelle (Jin et al., 2020). The graphic visualization and assembly quality was evaluated by Bandage (Wick, Schultz, Zobel, & Holt, 2015) and GetOrganelle (Jin et al., 2020), respectively. Annotations were performed using GeSeq (Tillich et al., 2017) and manually adjusted in Geneious (Kearse et al., 2012). After identifying the boundaries by BLAST, the sequences were submitted to GenBank and visualized as a single circular maps using the Organellar Genome DRAW tool (Greiner, Lehwark, & Bock, 2019).

### 2.2. Comparative analysis of chloroplast genomes

A comparative analysis of Orobanchaceae chloroplast genomes was conducted including eight autotrophs, 21 hemiparasites, and 25 holoparasites (Table S1). The genome sizes, GC contents, and intact genes were investigated using PhyloSuite (D. Zhang et al., 2020) and drawn with RadarMap package in R software (Team, 2015). A heatmap of genes in the chloroplast genome was generated using TBtools (Chen et al., 2020). The PAML package v4.0 was used to calculate the nonsynonymous (Ka) and synonymous (Ks) substitution rates and their ratio (\( \omega = Ka/Ks \)) (Yang, 2007). Contractions and expansions of the boundaries were detected using Irscope (Amiryousefi, Hyvönen, & Poczai, 2018). The Mauve program was used to align sequences against the *Schwalbea americana* reference sequence (Darling, Mau, & Perna, 2010). CodonW (http://codonw.sourceforge.net/) was used to calculate RSCU. REPuter (Kurtz et al., 2001) was employed to detect repeat sequences and simple sequence repeats (SSRs) were identified by MISA (Beier, Thiel, Münch, Scholz, & Mascher, 2017).

### 2.3. Development and validation of DNA barcodes

Structural comparisons were conducted using mVISTA (Frazer, Pachter, Poliakov, Rubin, & Dubchak, 2004) with *C. mongolica* as a reference. Sliding window analysis was conducted to identify hypervariable regions using DnaSP (Librado & Rozas, 2009). Primer3web v 4.1.0 (https://primer3.ut.ee/) was used to design DNA barcoding primers based on the hypervariable regions, and these were verified using seven individuals from different regions of each species (Table S4). PCR was conducted in 25 μL reactions with 12.5 μL of 2×EasyTaq PCR SuperMix, 1.0 μL of each primer (0.4 μM), 1 μL of template DNA, and 9.5 μL of ddH2O. A SimpliAmp Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to conduct all PCR reactions with the following thermal cycling conditions: 94 @C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 94 @C for 30 s, a specific annealing temperature (Tm) for 30 s, and 72 @C for 30 s; and 72 @C for 10 min. Agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%) was used to visualize PCR products. The DNA fragments were purified and sequenced by Biomarker Technologies Co., Ltd.

### 2.4. Phylogenetic analyses and divergence time estimation

To identify early divergence events within Orobanchaceae, phylogeny was inferred from 54 Orobanchaceae species with the two Paulowniaceae species *Tectona grandis* (GenBank accession number: NC_020098) and *Paulownia tomentosa* (GenBank accession number: MK875778) as outgroups. Phylogenetic trees were generated using three datasets: (1) complete chloroplast genome sequences; (2) coding DNA sequences (CDSs); and (3) shared CDSs (*rps 2, rps 7, rps 8, rps 14, rpl 2, rpl 16, rpl 36, and mat K*). The standard phylogenetic workflow in Phylosuite v1.2.2 (D. Zhang et al., 2020) was used as follows: alignment of multiple sequences with MAFFT v7.313 (Katoh & Standley, 2013), optimization of aligned regions with Gblocks v0.91b (Castresana, 2000), concatenation of datasets with the Concatenate Sequence function, selection of the optimal partitioning scheme with PartitionFinder2, and phylogenetic inference with IQ-TREE v1.6.8 (Nguyen, Schmidt, Von Haeseler, & Minh, 2015) and MrBayes v3.2.6 (Drummond, Suchard, Xie, & Rambaut, 2012). Phylogeny was inferred using Maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference methods. Phylogenetic trees visualized using iTOL v6 (Letunic & Bork, 2021).

BEAST v1.7 (Drummond et al., 2012) was used to estimate divergence times based on the shared concatenated CDSs. As no reliable fossil record is available, the crown age for Orobanchaceae (C) was constrained as 56 ± 10 Mya using a normal model in TimeTree (http://timetree.org/). The results of PartitionFinder were used to determine the nucleotide substitution model of the unlinked subsets. The following parameters were used in BEAUti software: “Lognormal relaxed clock (Uncorrelated)” for “Clock model” and “Speciation: Yule
Process” for “Tree model.” Three independent MCMC chains were carried out under the same parameters. Each MCMC was run for 50,000,000 generations, and sampling was conducted every 5,000 generations. Convergence was evaluated using Tracer v.1.6 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer). The LogCombiner program was used to combine the three log files. The TreeAnnotator program was used to generate the maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree. The effective sample sizes in the combined three log outputs were greater than 200, which indicated that the MCMC sampling was adequate. FigTree v1.4.3 (Rambaut, 2017) was used to visualize the MCC tree with 95% highest posterior density intervals.

3. | Results

3.1 | Characteristics of Cymbaria chloroplast genomes

Illumina sequencing yielded more than 20 million bp clean reads. The chloroplast genomes of *C. mongolica* and *C. daurica* displayed a typical quadripartite structure with sizes of 149,431 bp (38.0% GC content) and 151,545 bp (38.2% GC content), respectively. Each chloroplast genome comprised an LSC region (86,595 bp and 87,376 bp), an SSC region (16,962 bp and 17,825 bp), and two IR regions (22,937 bp and 23,172 bp). The GC content in the IR region (44.1%) was higher than that in the LSC (35.9–36.2%) and SSC (32.2–32.7%) regions.

![Circular maps of Cymbaria chloroplast genomes.](image)

The chloroplast genome sequences of *C. mongolica* and *C. daurica* contained 105 and 100 intact genes, respectively (Fig. 1; Table S1). *Cymbaria* contained 26 tRNA and four rRNA genes, while the number of PCGs and pseudogenes varied between two species. In contrast to the autotrophic *Lindenbertia philippensis*
C. mongolica encoded 75 PCGs, and one pseudogene and lacked seven genes while C. daurica encoded 70 PCGs, and three pseudogenes and lacked ten genes. Among the 15 intron-containing genes, 12 genes contained one intron, and the remaining three genes harbored two introns (Table S1). In addition, purifying or neutral selection was detected on all PCGs, with the exception of ycf 2, which was under positive selection. No pronounced differences were detected in the boundary regions. An inversion of large gene blocks (rbcL-matK) was identified in the LSC region according to the Mauve alignment (Fig. S2). Four pairs of palindromic repeats (123, 67, 48, and 37 bp) and three pairs of palindromic repeats (190, 152, and 121 bp) were detected at both ends of the inverted region of C. mongolica (54,654 bp size) and C. daurica (55,904 bp size), respectively.

We estimated the sequence divergence and gene content for 54 chloroplast genomes within the family Orobancheaceae (Fig. 2; Table S2). The genome sizes of the autotrophs (153,622–155,319 kb, mean: 154,213 kb) and hemiparasites (142,733–160,910 kb, mean: 151,152 kb) were similar; however, the genome sizes of the autotrophs were higher than those of the holoparasites (45,673–150,504 kb, mean: 87,505 kb) (Fig. S3). The GC content was lower in holoparasites (mean: 34.93%) than in those of hemiparasites (38.25% on average) and autotrophs (mean: 37.90%). Autotrophs contained all intact genes; the number of intact genes was lower in hemiparasites than in autotrophs, and this decrease primarily stemmed from the pseudogenization/loss of ndh genes (Fig. 2; Table S2). Moreover, non-functionalization and gene loss were observed in most photosynthetic genes (e.g., psaA/psbA, ycf3/4, ndh, and cem A) in holoparasites.

**Figure 2.** Heat map depicting the chloroplast gene content across 54 Orobancheaceae species. Blocks in green, blue, and orange indicate intact genes, pseudogenes, and lost genes, respectively. Background colors in green, blue, and orange indicate autotrophs, hemiparasites, and holoparasites, respectively.

### 3.2. Codon usage bias

The PCGs in the C. mongolica and C. daurica comprised 18,482 and 15,896 codons, respectively. Leucine (Leu, 10.50% and 10.62%) was the most common amino acid, and cysteine (Cys, 1.09% and 1.07%) was the least common amino acid. A total of 30 codons (RSCU > 1) were A/T-ending codons, with the exception of UUG (Fig. 3A). The range of the ENC in C. mongolica and C. daurica was 35.24–56.04 and 35.75–59.41, respectively, which suggested weak codon usage bias. ENC was significantly negatively correlated with GC2 in both species; a significant positive correlation between ENC and GC3 was only detected in C. mongolica (Fig. 3B). There was no significant correlation between GC3 and GC12 according to neutral plot analysis (Fig. 3C); the regression coefficient was 0.049 and 0.193 in C. mongolica and C. daurica, respectively. Most genes were below and around the standard curve according to ENC plot analysis (Fig. 3D), and the ENC
ratio was from 0.05 to 0.15. PR2-plot analysis (Fig. 3E) showed that T > A and G > C in the base usage frequency. A total of 16 codons were identified as preferred codons, of which 10 were shared by the two species.

Figure 3. Codon usage bias of Cymbaria chloroplast genomes. (A) RSCU. (B) Correlation heatmap. (C) Neutral plot analysis. (D) PR2 plot analysis. (E) ENC plot analysis. Circle colors of green and orange represent C. mongolica (left) and C. daurica (right), respectively.

3.3. | Repetitive sequence variation

A total of 134 repeats, consisting of 78 forward, four reverse, one complementary, and 51 palindrome repeats, were detected from C. mongolica chloroplast genome. Meanwhile, a total of 225 repeats, including 106 forward, 18 reverse, 13 complementary, and 88 palindrome repeats, were identified from C. daurica chloroplast genome (Fig. 4A; Fig. 4B). The size of approximately 90% of the repeats ranged from 30 bp to 70 bp. C. mongolica and C. daurica contained 61 and 65 SSRs, and most were present in the LSC region (37 and 43 SSRs), respectively (Fig. 4A; Fig. 4C). Hexa-nucleotide SSRs were only detected in C. mongolica; the
remaining SSRs were identified in both species (Fig. 4D). Mono-nucleotides were the most plentiful, followed by di- and tetra-nucleotides. The mononucleotide motifs A/T had the highest proportion, accounting for 34.4% in C. mongolica and 32.3% in C. daurica (Fig. 4E).

**Figure 4.** Comparison of the Repeats and SSRs in *Cymbaria* chloroplast genomes. (A) Repeats and SSRs. (B) Repeat types. (C) Occurrences of SSRs. (D) SSR types. (E) SSR motif types. Bar colors of green and orange correspond to *C. mongolica* (left) and *C. daurica* (right), respectively.

### 3.4. Development and validation of DNA barcodes

High conservation with some degree of divergence was observed in the two chloroplast genomes (Figure 5). Most of the sequence differences were observed in the non-coding regions. Nucleotide diversity (Pi) was 0.02099, and higher divergence was observed in the LSC and SSC regions (Fig. S4). We also detected several divergence hotspot regions (Pi > 0.05), including *trn* M-CAU-*ndh* C,*psa* A, *mat* K,*acc* D-*psa* I,*ycf* 4-*cem* A, *rpl* 32-*trn* L-UAG,*ndh* D-*ndh* G,*rps* 15-*ycf* 1, *rrn* 23S, and *trn* A-*UGC*-trn E-UUC. We designed four specific DNA markers (CymN1, CymN2, CymY, and CymR), and they were validated using sequences from different regions from seven individuals of each species (Table S3; Table S4). DNA fragments varying in length were obtained from *C. mongolica* and *C. daurica* using each primer, and both species could be distinguished via agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 6). Likewise, the same results were observed from the sanger sequencing alignment and chromatogram of the amplification of DNA barcodes. Overall, these findings suggest that the four pairs of DNA barcodes could be used to distinguish among *Cymbaria* species.
Figure 5. Sequence alignment of *Cymbaria* chloroplast genomes.
Figure 6. Agarose gel electrophoresis, chromatogram, and alignment of sequences obtained via Sanger sequencing of the amplified DNA barcodes. The indel makers include (A) CymN1, (B) CymN2, (C) CymY, and (D) CymR. The lanes correspond to the PCR products amplified from seven individuals of *C. mongolica* (left) and *C. daurica* (right). The red squares correspond to the Indel regions. The original uncropped image is presented in Figure S5.

3.5. | Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times

Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) phylogenetic analyses yielded highly consistent topologies for the three datasets (Fig. 7). The monophyly of Orobanchaceae was strongly supported. The tribes Rehmannieae, Lindenbergieae, Cymbarieae, Pedicularideae, Brandisieae, Rhinantheae, Orobanchaeae, and Buchnereae corresponded to well-supported clades. The hemiparasitic tribe Cymbarieae was a clade sister to the other parasitic lineages. *C. mongolica* and *C. daurica* were grouped into the monophyletic genus *Cymbaria*, which comprised a clade sister to the *Schwalbea* - *Siphonostegia* clade. Divergence time analyses (Fig. 8) revealed that the common ancestor of the Orobanchaceae originated in the early Eocene (49.96 Mya), and parasites diverged from autotrophic plants in the mid-Eocene (42.95 Mya). The emergence of *Cymbariaeae* predates the mid-Oligocene (31.44 Mya). Moreover, the diversification of *Cymbaria* was estimated to occur around the late Miocene (6.72 Mya).

![Phylogenetic relationship inferred from ML and BI based on shared protein-coding genes of 54 Orobanchaceae species. Numbers above the branches indicate bootstrap values (left) and posterior probability values (right).](image-url)
probabilities (right). Background colors of gray, green, blue, and orange indicate outgroups, autotrophs, hemiparasites, and holoparasites, respectively. The stars (•) indicate the two newly sequenced *Cymbaria* species.

**Figure 8.** Divergence time estimated from the MCC tree in BEAST. Node numbers indicate posterior probabilities (below) and mean divergence times (above). Node bars represent the 95% HPD interval (blue bar). Background colors of gray, green, blue, and orange indicate outgroups, autotrophs, hemiparasites, and holoparasites, respectively. The stars (•) indicate the two newly sequenced *Cymbaria* species.

4. **Discussion**

4.1. **Pseudogenization/loss events of ndh genes and the unique rbc L-mat K inversion**

It is acknowledged that the lifestyle transition from autotrophy to heterotrophy triggers the degradation of chloroplast genomes (Wicke & Naumann, 2018). Contrasting with the hypervariability of holoparasites, *Cymbaria* species and other Orobanchaceae hemiparasites exhibit high similarity to autotrophs in length, GC content, and intact genes. It has been confirmed that holoparasites have the characteristic of chloroplast genome reduction (Wicke et al., 2013). The high variability of holoparasites is explained by increases in pseudogenization and gene loss. However, patterns of variation in hemiparasites are diverse. The chloroplast genomes of hemiparasites in the family Orobanchaceae were more similar to those of autotrophs, which is in contrast to the reductions in the genome sizes of hemiparasites in the order Santalales (X. Guo, Zhang, Fan, Liu, & Ji, 2021; Y. Li et al., 2017; Shin & Lee, 2018). This might be attributed in part to GC-biased gene conversion and mutational biases, which suggests that sophisticated mechanisms contribute to the stability (Niu et al., 2017).

Angiosperms typically possess 113 plastid genes, consisting of 79 functional PCGs, 30 tRNA, and four rRNA genes (Wicke et al., 2011). Within the Orobanchaceae family, pseudogenes and gene losses were largely absent in autotrophs, occasionally observed in most hemiparasites, and common in nearly all holoparasites. This can be explained by the tendency for the chloroplast genomes of parasites to be reduced in size (Naumann et al., 2016; Wicke & Naumann, 2018). The chloroplast NAD(P)H-dehydrogenase complex comprises 11 *ndh* genes (Ma, Liu, Bai, & Yong, 2021), and the pseudogenization or loss of these genes represents the initial stage of reductive evolution (Wicke & Naumann, 2018). The results of our study indicated that *C. mongolica* lost genes (*ndh* I, *ndh* J) and that *C. daurica* contained pseudogenes (*ndh* F, *ndh* H) and lost
genes (*ndh A, ndh C, ndh E, ndh G, ndh I*), indicating that these two species are in the initial phase of the autotroph-to-heterotroph transition. A previous study has confirmed that a hemiparasitic lifestyle can lead to an increase in the pseudogenization/loss of *ndh* genes (Xin Li et al., 2021). This can be explained to some extent by the facultative root hemiparasitic lifestyle of the two *Cymbaria* species. The degradation of *ndh* genes affects several morphological and physiological traits and enhances the adaptation of plants to environmental stress (Sabater, 2021).

The unique inversion including *rbc L-mat K* in the LSC region, which most likely stems from a palindromic repeat-mediated rearrangement. Inversions of the LSC fragments have also been observed in *Schwalbea americana* (Wicke et al., 2013) and *Siphonostegia chinensis* (Jiang et al., 2022); this distinct evolutionary mechanism among Orobanchaceae members might explain the unique phylogenetic position of the tribe Cymbarieae. This inversion has also been observed in *Codonopsis pilosula* subsp. *tangshen* (Yue et al., 2022) and *Avena sativa* (Q. Liu et al., 2020).

The codon usage bias of the two *Cymbaria* species might be affected by natural selection and mutation, as has been observed in several other angiosperms (Q.-F. Lu, Luo, & Huang, 2020). The number of repeats plays a key role in maintaining the stability of in several angiosperms chloroplast genome (Jansen, Saski, Lee, Hansen, & Daniell, 2010). The chloroplast genome of *C. daurica* has more repetitive sequences than that of *C. mongolica*, suggesting that the stability of the former might be higher than that of the latter. Our findings suggest that A/T mononucleotide SSRs were dominant, and this is consistent with the high prevalence of AT richness (Xia Liu, Li, Yang, & Zhou, 2018).

### 4.2. | Specific DNA barcodes for distinguishing herb *C. daurica* from its adulterant *C. mongolica*.

Traditional Mongolian medicine continues to receive much clinical attention because of its distinctive properties and herb resources (Z. F. Liu et al., 2022). *C. daurica* has been used to treat pruritus, psoriasis, fetotoxicity, impetigo, and diabetes. The incidence of *C. daurica* adulterated with its sister species *C. mongolica* is increasing, and this poses a threat to the clinical efficacy of the herb. The high similarity in morphology between *C. daurica* and *C. mongolica* is the root cause of this problem. Distinguishing between these two *Cymbaria* species is exceedingly difficult because they only differ in anther morphology (Zhang et al., 2013).

Both morphological and microscopic characteristics are currently used to identify *Cymbaria* species (Z.-W. Wang, Dong, Wu, & Li, 2012). However, both of these methods rely on the features of the anther locule, which are only visible during the short flowering period, and specialists are required to obtain accurate identifications. Several divergence hotspot regions were first identified through sequence divergence and nucleotide variability. We then developed and validated four pairs of specific DNA barcodes to distinguish between these two species. Each DNA barcode had at least one Indel locus and several SNP loci. Some previous studies have suggested that specific barcodes are superior to universal barcodes for identifying morphologically similar species (Fang, Dai, Liao, Zhou, & Liu, 2022; G. Y. Lu et al., 2022). Overall, these four pairs of specific DNA barcodes could be used to accurately and rapidly distinguish between *C. daurica* and *C. mongolica* without the need to evaluate the morphological characteristics of the anther during flowering nor specialized training.

### 4.3. | Climate aridification and increasing host accelerate the diversification of Cymbaria

Traditional Orobanchaceae has been merged with all hemiparasitic genera as well as a few holoparasitic genera formerly placed in Scrophulariaceae (Bennett & Mathews, 2006; dePamphilis, Young, & Wolfe, 1997; Fischer, 2004; McNeal et al., 2013; Wolfe, Randle, Liu, & Steiner, 2005; Young, Steiner, & dePamphilis, 1999) and the three autotrophic genera previously considered as sister taxa to Orobanchaceae (Schneeweiss, 2013; Xia, Li, Wen, & Wang, 2021). Moreover, the two new tribes Brandisieae and Pterygielleae have been proposed (Jiang et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2018). To date, Orobanchaceae includes nine well-supported clades corresponding to nine tribes, i.e., the two autotrophic tribes Rehmannieae and Lindenbergieae, and the seven parasitic tribes Cymbarieae, Buchneraeae, Orobanchaeae, Brandisieae, Pterygielleae, Rhinanthaeae, and Pterygielleae. The topology of the major clades and autotroph–parasite sister relationships revealed by
our phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 5) were generally consistent with previous findings.

The hemiparasitic tribe Cymbarieae is distinguished from other tribes in the family Orobanchaceae by the presence of bracteoles, a tubular calyx that is weakly dorsiventral, a highly two-lipped corolla, and anthers with two mostly rounded and equal thecae (Fischer, 2004). Cymbarieae has traditionally been considered sister to all other parasitic lineages (Bennett & Mathews, 2006; McNeal et al., 2013). However, a recent study has challenged this classification after finding that the holoparasitic tribe Orobanchaceae was sister to all other parasitic members (Xi Li et al., 2019). Our findings are consistent with the traditional classification of the Cymbarieae. The Cymbarieae was found to be sister to the remaining parasitic lineages, and the hemiparasites evolved earlier than the holoparasites; this is consistent with the progressive nature of the evolution of increased host dependence (Xu et al., 2022). This inconsistency in the placement of Cymbarieae might stem from phylogenetic sources of error, such as incomplete lineage sorting or deep coalescence. Resolving these early nodes will require a coalescent approach that involves many genes with different histories.

Within parasitic plants, the hemiparasitic tribe Cymbarieae diverged from the remaining lineages in the mid-Oligocene (31.44 Mya), which was most likely induced by global climate cooling and the retreat of the Tethys Sea during the Eocene–Oligocene Transition at 34 Mya (Abels, Dupont-Nivet, Xiao, Bosboom, & Krijgsman, 2011). The emergence and divergence of hemiparasites might be attributed to the rapid expansion of grasslands during the Oligocene (Torsvik & Cocks, 2016), which would have provided them with opportunities to exploit host plants. Cymbaria species diversified in the late Miocene (6.72 Mya), which was driven by the final uplift of the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau, the onset of the East Asian monsoon, and the large accumulation of dust in the Loess Plateau from 10 to 7 Mya. Both climate aridification and the increase in host steppe vegetation (Hurka et al., 2019) likely accelerated the adaptive evolution of Cymbaria species in the Mongol–Chinese steppe region.

5. | Conclusions

We characterized two Cymbaria chloroplast genome and conducted a comparative analysis using chloroplast genomes across 54 Orobanchaceae species. The chloroplast genomes of C. mongolica and C. daurica had a typical quadripartite structure, and their total lengths were 149,431 bp and 151,545 bp, respectively. Although the chloroplast genomes of holoparasites are hypervariable, the genome size, GC content, and intact genes of Cymbaria species, other hemiparasites, and autotrophs are highly similar. The pseudogenization/loss of ndh genes might be associated with the facultative root hemiparasitic habits of Cymbaria. The rbc L-mat K inversion in the LSC region most likely stemmed from a palindromic repeat-mediated rearrangement. Specific DNA barcodes were developed using four pairs of primers (CymN1, CymN2, CymY, and CymR) that amplified sequences from the divergent hotspot regions to distinguish the traditional Mongolian herb C. daurica from its adulterant C. mongolica. The genus Cymbaria and the Schwalbea - Siphonostegia clade were clustered in the tribe Cymbarieae. This tribe comprised an independent clade sister to the remaining parasitic lineages, which is in contrast to the relationships hypothesized in a recent study. The monophyletic genus Cymbaria diversified during the late Miocene period (6.72 Mya). The aridification of the climate and increases in host steppe vegetation likely promoted the adaptive evolution of Cymbariaspecies in the Mongol-Chinese steppe region. Our results provide key information for clarifying the taxonomic identification, phylogenetic placement, and reductive evolution of Cymbaria; our findings will also help assessments of the authenticity of the traditional Mongolian medicine “Xinba.”
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**APPENDIX**

![Figure S1](image_url). Mongolian pharmaceutical classic literature describing the herb *C. daurica* (Huang et al., 2023).
Figure S2. Collinearity of two *Cymbaria* chloroplast genomes using Mauve program with *Schwalbea americana* as the reference.

Figure S3. Radar chart of complete chloroplast genome comparison of 54 Orobanchaceae species. From outside to inside: genome size, intact genes, and GC content. Background colors of green, blue, and orange represent autotroph, hemiparasite, and holoparasite species, respectively.
Figure S4. Sliding window analysis of two *Cymbaria* chloroplast genomes.

Figure S5. The original and uncropped agarose gel electrophoresis of the amplification of DNA barcodes.

Table S1. Gene contents in two *Cymbaria* chloroplast genomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Gene group</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>psaA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>matK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpl32-tmL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rm23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mA-tmE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table S2. Characteristics of 54 Orobanchaceae chloroplast genomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Lifestyle</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size (kbp)</th>
<th>GC content (%)</th>
<th>Intact genes</th>
<th>Protein-coding genes</th>
<th>tRNA genes</th>
<th>rRNA genes</th>
<th>Genes of unknown function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW851293</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aeginetia indica</em></td>
<td>56.381</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW262988</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Centranthera grandiflora</em></td>
<td>147.655</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_023131</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Conopholis americana</em></td>
<td>45.673</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_001568</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Epifagus virginiana</em></td>
<td>70.028</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_021111</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Cistanche deserticola</em></td>
<td>102.657</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_025642</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Cistanche phelipaeca</em></td>
<td>94.380</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH499250</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aphylion uniflorum var. occidentale</em></td>
<td>106.661</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH580290</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aphylion uniflorum var. uniflorum</em></td>
<td>104.640</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_039679</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aphylion fasciculatum</em></td>
<td>106.796</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH050785</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aphylion epigalium subsp. epigalium</em></td>
<td>56.381</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH050786</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aphylion epigalium subsp. nocturnaliformicum</em></td>
<td>103.932</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_025651</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Aphylion californicum</em></td>
<td>120.840</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KU212370</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Phelipanche aegyptiaca</em></td>
<td>60.947</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_023465</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Phelipanche ramosa</em></td>
<td>62.304</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_023132</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Phelipanche purpurea</em></td>
<td>62.891</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_031441</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche austrohispanica</em></td>
<td>81.994</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_023464</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche gracilis</em></td>
<td>65.533</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_031442</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche densiflora</em></td>
<td>83.024</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KT387722</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche cernua var. cumana</em></td>
<td>89.851</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_024845</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche crenata</em></td>
<td>87.529</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_031443</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche panicellus</em></td>
<td>88.525</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_031444</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche rapum-genistae</em></td>
<td>91.517</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_025641</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Orobanche latissimana</em></td>
<td>80.361</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC_043877</td>
<td>Holoparasites</td>
<td><em>Diphyllopa coccinea</em></td>
<td>66.616</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Gene\(^a\): containing one intron; Gene\(^b\): containing two introns; Gene\(^\Psi\): pseudogenes
NC_027838 Holoparasites *Lathraea_squamaria* 150.504 38.1 79 4
NC_045041 Hemiparasites *Euphrasia_regelli* 153.026 38.2 103 6
NC_057523 Hemiparasites *Melampyrum_koreanum* 143.865 38.2 101 6
NC_053791 Hemiparasites *Melampyrum_roseum* 143.896 38.1 97 6
NC_042954 Hemiparasites *Brandisia_swinglei* 155.344 38.1 113 7
MT040753 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_verticillata* 142.733 38.6 103 6
NC_037433 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_hallaisanensis* 152.907 38.3 110 7
NC_046852 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_longiflora* 153.145 38.4 113 7
NC_053792 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_dissecta* 152.120 38.3 110 7
NC_058762 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_oederi* 153.139 38.4 111 7
NC_029700 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_ishidoyana* 151.902 38.3 110 7
NC_033534 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_chingii* 154.055 38 113 7
NC_034311 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_piaszeckii* 153.952 38.2 113 7
NC_034312 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_solanifolia* 153.469 38.7 99 6
NC_046038 Hemiparasites *Phtheirospermum_japonicum* 153.547 38.1 110 7
NC_053793 Hemiparasites *Triphysaria_versicolor* 152.907 38.3 110 7
NC_031805 Hemiparasites *Cymbaria_daurica* 151.545 38.2 100 7
NC_064388 Hemiparasites *Cymbaria_mongolica* 149.431 38 105 7
NC_056312 Hemiparasites *Cymbaria_daerica* 150.902 38.3 110 7
NC_046853 Hemiparasites *Cymbaria_orion* 153.139 38.4 111 7
NC_046397 Hemiparasites *Cymbaria_oederi* 153.139 38.4 111 7
NC_046854 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_oguri* 152.770 38.3 110 7
NC_058762 Hemiparasites *Pedicularis_shansiensis* 151.902 38.3 110 7
NC_023115 Hemiparasites *Schwalbea_americana* 148.961 38.4 112 7
NC_046038 Hemiparasites *Siphonostegia_chinensis* 155.103 37.8 113 7
NC_022599 Autotrophs *Lindegbergia_philippensis* 155.103 37.8 113 7
NC_023534 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_elata* 153.772 38 113 7
NC_034312 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_glutinosa* 153.622 38 113 7
NC_034311 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_henryi* 153.890 37.9 113 7
NC_034310 Autotrophs *Rehmannia_solanifolia* 153.989 37.9 113 7
NC_039781 Autotrophs *Triaenophora_shennongjiaensis* 155.319 37.7 113 7

Note: The statistics of gene numbers here refer to 113 unique plastid genes in the typical angiosperms. The duplicated genes are not included.

Table S3. Four pairs of primers for amplifying DNA barcodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CymN1_F</td>
<td>agacccccattcttagaactaaca</td>
<td>ndhD-ndhG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymN1_R</td>
<td>agtcgctaaatctgcacaagt</td>
<td>ndhD-ndhG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymN2_F</td>
<td>gacccgacccgaaaattgat</td>
<td>ndhD-ndhG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymN2_R</td>
<td>tccccaccttgtaaattaggaaa</td>
<td>ndhD-ndhG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymY_F</td>
<td>atcatgcgcataccagtagc</td>
<td>rps15-ycf1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymY_R</td>
<td>agctactatgttcacagaagca</td>
<td>rps15-ycf1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymR_F</td>
<td>ggccaattgtaactctcggg</td>
<td>rpl32-trnL-UAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CymR_R</td>
<td>tcttctcagtagctcagg</td>
<td>rpl32-trnL-UAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S4. The list of sample numbers of the samples used in the validation of DNA barcodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Location collected</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Lathraea_squamaria</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euphrasia_regelli</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melampyrum_koreanum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melampyrum_roseum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brandisia_swinglei</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_verticillata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euphrasia_hallaisanensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_longiflora</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_dissecta</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_oguri</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_hallaisanensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_chingii</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_piaszeckii</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pedicularis_solanifolia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Schwalbea_americana</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Siphonostegia_chinensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lindegbergia_philippensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rehmannia_glutinosa</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rehmannia_henryi</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rehmannia_solanifolia</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triaenophora_shennongjiaensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The statistics of gene numbers here refer to 113 unique plastid genes in the typical angiosperms. The duplicated genes are not included.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Location Details</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cymbaria mongolica</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm1</td>
<td>Binzhou, Shaanxi Province, China</td>
<td>108°13'06.11&quot;E 35°13'06.11&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm2</td>
<td>Helan, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, China</td>
<td>105°59'35.67&quot;E 38°45'35.67&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm3</td>
<td>Helan, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region, China</td>
<td>105°59'35.67&quot;E 38°45'35.67&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm4</td>
<td>Tianzhu, Gansu Province, China</td>
<td>103°04'44.68&quot;E 37°04'44.68&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm5</td>
<td>Tianzhu, Gansu Province, China</td>
<td>103°04'44.68&quot;E 37°04'44.68&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm6</td>
<td>Xining, Qinghai Province, China</td>
<td>101°49'33.59&quot;E 36°39'33.59&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm7</td>
<td>Xining, Qinghai Province, China</td>
<td>101°49'33.59&quot;E 36°39'33.59&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cymbaria daurica</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd1</td>
<td>Xilingol, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China</td>
<td>116°47'07.76&quot;E 43°27'07.76&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd2</td>
<td>Yanqing, Hebei province, China</td>
<td>115°57'34.71&quot;E 40°27'34.71&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd3</td>
<td>Yanqing, Hebei province, China</td>
<td>115°57'34.71&quot;E 40°27'34.71&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd4</td>
<td>Yijinhuol Banner, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China</td>
<td>110°11'42.41&quot;E 39°31'42.41&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd5</td>
<td>Yijinhuol Banner, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China</td>
<td>110°11'42.41&quot;E 39°31'42.41&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd6</td>
<td>Daqing, Heilongjiang province, China</td>
<td>124°32'09.86&quot;E 46°32'09.86&quot;N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd7</td>
<td>Daqing, Heilongjiang province, China</td>
<td>124°32'09.86&quot;E 46°32'09.86&quot;N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>