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Abstract

The turbulent foreshock region upstream of the quasi-parallel bow shock is dominated by waves and reflected particles that interact with each other and create a large number of different foreshock transients. The structures with the enhanced magnetic field (Short Large Amplitude Magnetic Structures, SLAMS) and density spikes named plasmoids are frequently observed. They are one of the suggested sources of transient flux enhancements (TFE) or jets in the magnetosheath. Using measurements of the Magnetospheric Multiscale Spacecraft (MMS) and OMNI solar wind database between the 2015 and 2018 years, we have found that there is a category of events exhibiting both magnetic field and density enhancements simultaneously and we introduce the term “mixed structures” for them. Consequently, we divided our set of observations into three groups of events and present their comparative statistical analysis in the subsolar foreshock. Based on our results and previous research, we discuss the properties, possible origin and occurrence rates these events under different upstream conditions and their possible relation to the jet and plasmoid formation in the magnetosheath.
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Key Points:

• Both paramagnetic and diamagnetic plasmoids exist in the foreshock.
• The occurrence rate of the structures increases on highly decelerated solar wind in the foreshock.
• Velocity is deflected from that in the surrounding foreshock inside the SLAMS and mixed structures, but not inside the plasmoids.
Abstract
The turbulent foreshock region upstream of the quasi-parallel bow shock is dominated
by waves and reflected particles that interact with each other and create a large num-
ber of different foreshock transients. The structures with the enhanced magnetic field
(Short Large Amplitude Magnetic Structures, SLAMS) and density spikes named plas-
moids are frequently observed. They are one of the suggested sources of transient flux
enhancements (TFE) or jets in the magnetosheath. Using measurements of the Magne-
tospheric Multiscale Spacecraft (MMS) and OMNI solar wind database between the 2015
and 2018 years, we have found that there is a category of events exhibiting both mag-
netic field and density enhancements simultaneously and we introduce the term “mixed
structures” for them. Consequently, we divided our set of observations into three groups
of events and present their comparative statistical analysis in the subsolar foreshock. Based
on our results and previous research, we discuss the properties, possible origin and oc-
currence rates these events under different upstream conditions and their possible rela-
tion to the jet and plasmoid formation in the magnetosheath.

Plain Language Summary
The solar wind is a plasma stream of charged particles that expands outward from
the solar corona. The solar wind on its path first encounters the bow shock, whose struc-
ture and properties depend strongly on the angle between the interplanetary magnetic
field (IMF) and the shock normal, $\theta_{Bn}$. Most of the foreshock phenomena, which are the
main subjects of this study, are observed for $\theta_{Bn} < 45^\circ$, i.e., upstream the quasi-parallel
bow shock. The transients like ULF waves, the Short Large Amplitude Magnetic Struc-
tures (SLAMS), plasmoids, magnetic holes, etc. are created by interaction of the incom-
ing solar wind and ions reflected from the bow shock. In this paper, we use Magneto-
spheric Multiscale (MMS) Mission data inside the foreshock and solar wind OMNI database
for a statistical study of the properties of these compressive foreshock structures. We show
differences between the morphology of the magnetic field, the temperature anisotropy
and the occurrence rate of the structures and discuss possible implications for magne-
tosheath jets.

1 Introduction
Bow shocks appear upstream of both planets and comets. When the supersonic so-
lar wind passes through the bow shock, it is slowed down to subsonic speeds and forms
the magnetosheath, which is filled hotter and denser plasma than in the solar wind. The
interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) divides the Earth’s bow shock region roughly into
two areas according to the angle between the bow shock normal and IMF ($\theta_{Bn}$). If this
angle is larger (smaller) than 45°, the shock is called quasi-perpendicular (quasi-parallel).
The magnetosheath behind the quasi-parallel bow shock is more turbulent (e.g., Dimmock
et al. (2014); Gutynska et al. (2015)) than in the quasi-perpendicular magnetosheath.
In recent years, one of the most important avenues in magnetosheath research has been
the study of magnetosheath (MSH) jets (e.g., Plaschke et al. (2018)). The MSH jets are
significant transient enhancements of the dynamic pressure, which may locally affect the
magnetopause. Such structures have been studied under several different names: "tran-
sient flux enhancements" (Němeček et al., 1998), "super-fast plasma streams" (Savin et
al., 2012), "supermagnetosonic subsolar magnetosheath jets" (Hietala & Plaschke, 2013),
"magnetosheath dynamic pressure enhancements" (Archer & Horbury, 2013), "high-speed
jets (HSJ)" (Plaschke et al., 2013), "transient density enhancements" (Gutynska et al.,
2015), etc. In this paper, we call the structures described in these and related papers “MSH
jets.”

Karlsson et al. (2012) investigated structures with significant enhancements in the
magnetosheath density (an increase of 50% above the mean level) and called them “plas-
moids”. Following the Karlsson et al. (2012, 2015) terminology, plasmoids in the magnetosheath can be classified according to their speed and magnetic field variations. The plasmoids convected with the background magnetosheath flow are called "embedded plasmoids" and structures with simultaneous increase of the flow velocity exceeding 10% are called "fast plasmoids". The plasmoids that are associated with a simultaneous decrease in the magnetic field strength are called "diamagnetic" and those associated with an increase in the magnetic field strength are named "paramagnetic". Karlsson et al. (2012) have studied paramagnetic (both embedded and fast) plasmoids in the magnetosheath and considered them as a subset of MSH jets. However, the generation mechanism for MSH jets is still under debate but generally it is suggested that their origin would be related to the interactions between the foreshock structures and bow shock.

At the quasi-parallel shock, solar wind particles flowing toward the bow shock (BS hereafter) can be reflected and stream back upstream along the IMF, forming a foreshock. The turbulent foreshock is occupied by various instabilities, waves and structures, such as compressed ULF waves that grow into Short Large Amplitude Magnetic Structures (SLAMS), hot flow anomalies (HFA), shocklets, cavitons, foreshock bubbles, magnetic holes, plasmoids and many others.

SLAMS are large amplitude magnetic pulsations upstream the quasi-parallel BS (Thomsen et al., 1990; Schwartz & Burgess, 1991; Schwartz et al., 1992), created as a result of the evolution of the compressive ULF waves and their interaction with ion density gradients (Scholer et al., 2003). Their main characteristics are the enhancement of the magnetic field strength inside the structure by a factor of at least 2 compared to their surroundings with a typical duration of 10 s (Schwartz & Burgess, 1991; Schwartz et al., 1992). SLAMS, along with other foreshock structures, are carried towards the BS, forming an extended transition region that contributes to the BS reformation and rippling (e.g., Schwartz and Burgess (1991); Hietala and Plaschke (2013); Plaschke et al. (2013)).

Using a global hybrid-Vlasov simulation, Vlasiator, Palmroth et al. (2018) found that the MHS jets originate due to the interaction of the BS with a high-dynamic-pressure structure that reproduces observational features associated with SLAMS propagating through the magnetosheath. Based on the Vlasiator simulations, Suni et al. (2021) documented that up to 75% of MSH jets are caused by foreshock compressive structures impacting the BS. These compressive structures meet both plasmoids and SLAMS conditions for their formation. On the contrary, Archer et al. (2012) found SLAMS unlikely to be associated with MSH jets. In parallel, Karlsson et al. (2012) linked the origin of the magnetosheath paramagnetic plasmoids to low-amplitude SLAMS. Later, Karlsson et al. (2015) proposed that the magnetosheath diamagnetic, embedded structures may originate in the pristine solar wind.

As numerous previous studies reported that foreshock plasma transients can be formed in the solar wind or foreshock, penetrate through the BS to the magnetosheath and generate MSH jets, we perform a statistical analysis that focuses on the structures observed by the MMS spacecraft in the foreshock region. We concentrate on SLAMS and plasmoids and introduce a new category of transients that meet the criteria for identification of both these structures and call them mixed structures. We study the properties, evolution, and occurrence rates of these three types of structures with the motivation to consider them as possible upstream drivers of their magnetosheath counterparts. In Section 2, we introduce our data sets and argue why we divided them into three sets. In Section 3, we present the occurrence rate of the structures as a function of parameters as observed in both the pristine solar wind and solar wind modified in the foreshock. Section 4 includes discussion of the differences between the classes of structures. Finally, in Section 5 we summarize our findings and make suggestions for future works.

2 Data & Observations

The study is based on data collected from the Magnetospheric Multiscale Spacecraft (MMS) mission (Burch et al., 2016) and solar wind data from the OMNI database.
Table 1. Summary of Definitions and Selection Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th>Selection Criterion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Subsolar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmoids</td>
<td>$N_i \geq 1.5N_o &amp; B \leq 2B_o$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAMS</td>
<td>$N_i \leq 1.5N_o &amp; B \geq 2B_o$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Structures</td>
<td>$N_i \geq 1.5N_o &amp; B \geq 2B_o$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;original&quot; Plasmoids (K12)</td>
<td>$N_i \geq 1.5N_o$</td>
<td>Karlsson et al. (2012)</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;original&quot; SLAMS (S92)</td>
<td>$B \geq 2B_o$</td>
<td>Schwartz &amp; Burgess (1991)</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(King & Papitashvili, 2005) between 2015 and 2018. We have visually selected the foreshock regions using the ion energy spectra in MMS Quicklook static plots, confirmed the spacecraft location upstream the Farris and Russell (1994) BS model and selected the observations in the subsolar region (the distance from the $X_{GSE}$ axis is lower than 10 $R_E$). We use a fast survey mode resolution of the magnetic field (16 Hz) and plasma (4.5 s/sample) measurements (Russell et al., 2016; Pollock et al., 2018). Note that all observations are presented in Geocentric Solar Ecliptic (GSE) coordinates.

For the plasmoid detection, we modified the magnetosheath Karlsson et al. (2012) (K12 hereafter) criterion of an at least 50% of the electron density in a 500 s average window and applied it to the foreshock intervals. We used the increase more than 50% of the ion density, $N_i$, above the ambient ion density $N_o$ ($N_i > 1.5 N_o$), computed using a 10-minute running average window. We assume plasma quasi-neutrality, $N_e \sim N_i$ and used $N_i$ instead of the originally applied $N_e$ because the ions carry higher energy. We also checked the electron density and 5-minute running average window, and found nearly perfect overlap with our ion plasmoid identification.

The SLAMS events have been retrieved from the Foghammar Nömtak (2020) list of automatically detected SLAMS in all regions visited by MMS. Their identification is based on the Schwartz et al. (1992) (S92 hereafter) criterion, i.e., an increase of the magnetic field magnitude $B$ inside the structure at least two times above the mean magnetic field strength, $B_o$ ($B \geq 2B_o$). We reduced the set of SLAMS to only cases that cover the time intervals of our selected foreshock and excluded questionable cases by an additional visual inspection.

Criteria used in previous studies and in this paper are summarized in Table 1. Note that our plasmoid definition essentially includes both the diamagnetic and paramagnetic structures that cannot be classified as SLAMS. In addition, we introduce “mixed structures” meeting both K12 and S92 criteria.

Figure 1 shows typical examples of a plasmoid (left), a SLAMS (middle) and a mixed structure (right) observed by MMS1. As the separation among the MMS spacecraft is much smaller than the typical scale sizes of structures, we use data from MMS1 only. Since the foreshock is a highly turbulent region, the magnetic field oscillates as it can be seen in panels 1a, e, i, where the black dashed line stands for the SLAMS threshold. The plasma variations, such as the dynamic pressure, the temperature anisotropy and the ion density are demonstrated in panels 1b-d, f-h, j-l, respectively, the black dashed line in panels 1d, h, l represents the plasmoid threshold. The thresholds are calculated in a 10-minute background frame. The colored vertical boxes set the limits of the structures as indicated by our criteria.

It can be seen that the change of the density does not imply a peak in the magnetic field (panels a, d) and vice versa (panels e, h). In all structures, the dynamic pressure is in perfect correlation with the density profile (panels 1b, d, 1f, h and 1j, l), implying that there is a negligible change of the velocity inside them. A comparison of magnetic field panels reveals that the variations inside the plasmoid (panel 1a) are notably smoother than inside SLAMS (panel 1e) and mixed structure (panel 1i) exhibits an intermediate behavior. The magnetic field of the plasmoids exhibits a rotation towards the end and after the structures. This rotation coincides with a drop in the dynamic pres-
Figure 1. Examples of foreshock plasmoids (a-d), SLAMS (e-h) and mixed structures (i-l) by MMS1 in burst mode. From top to bottom: (a,e,i) magnetic field, (|B|-black; Bx-blue; By-green; Bz-red); (b,f,j) dynamic pressure; (c,g,k) temperature anisotropy T⊥/T∥; (d,h,l) ion density. The black dashed lines in panels (a,e,i) and (d,h,l) represent threshold values for SLAMS and plasmoids detection respectively.

Figure 2 shows relative changes of the magnetic field magnitude (Binside/Bbefore) and ion density (Ninside/Nbefore) over the structures. One can note that our plasmoids are mostly paramagnetic and the diamagnetic plasmoids represent only 4% of the orig-
Figure 2. Relative change of magnetic field magnitude (x-axis) and density (y-axis) prior to and inside the plasmoids (green), the SLAMS (blue) and the mixed structures (red), accompanied with the Spearman correlation coefficient, r.

This result is unexpected because, according to Karlsson et al. (2012), only diamagnetic plasmoids are present upstream the BS (in the pristine solar wind) while paramagnetic signatures are connected with SLAMS. The authors suggested that the magnetosheath paramagnetic plasmoids are probably generated at the BS but it cannot be applied on foreshock plasmoids. Karlsson et al. (2015) show some examples of SW plasmoids with anti-correlation of B and N. As far as the SLAMS are concerned, older studies suggest that the density inside SLAMS should be in phase with the magnetic field magnitude (Behlke et al., 2003). Most recent studies, however, show the cases with out of phase increases of the density and magnetic field, either due to shock reformation (Turner et al., 2021) or due to non-linear gyro trapping (Chen et al., 2018). The Pearson correlation coefficient between the magnetic field and density equals to 0.144 in our structures and it means little or no connection between these quantities.

3 Statistical Study

Each event was completed with median values of the density, velocity and magnetic field computed over 3-minute intervals around the structures. Based on these parameters, we estimated the distances to the BS model Farris and Russell (1994), along the X direction. The upstream solar wind parameters were obtained from the 1-min OMNI data (https://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/omni_min.html). To decrease the influence of the uncertainty of the solar wind propagation from the L1 point to BS, all upstream solar wind parameters were averaged over 4 minutes centered to the time of the structure registration.

Figure 3 shows the number of structures created per hour for plasmoids (green), SLAMS (blue) and mixed structures (red) as a function of OMNI upstream parameters.
Figure 3. (a-f) Occurrence rate of plasmoids (green), SLAMS (blue) and mixed structures (red), as a function of the upstream parameters. (a) IMF magnitude; (b) solar wind speed; (c) solar wind density; (d) solar wind dynamic pressure; (e) plasma \( \beta \); (f) IMF cone angle.
The color dashed lines show medians for a particular structure, the black dashed line stands for median of this parameter in the foreshock. The differences between median values are generally very small with exception of the plasma $\beta$ computed as ratio of proton and magnetic pressures (Figure 3e). Whereas the median $\beta$ for our foreshock intervals is about 0.7 and similar values (0.7 and 0.9, respectively) were found for plasmoids and mixed structures, it is about 1.5 for SLAMS. This is consistent with a decreasing trend in Figure 3a showing the dependence of the occurrence rate on $B_{\text{OMNI}}$ and panel 3c that demonstrates increase of the SLAMS occurrence rate with the upstream density. A common feature of all structures is an increasing occurrence rate with increasing $V_{\text{OMNI}}$. We think that the decrease observed for velocities above 650 km/s is only apparent and connected with a very small number of observations under such conditions and the same is probably true for the peak of plasmoid occurrence rate in the bin of lowest IMF cone angle (Fig 3f). It should be noted that the BS in the investigated region would be quasi-perpendicular for the IMF cone angle larger than 75° and thus there would be no foreshock for these cone angles. Since our identification of foreshock intervals is based on the presence of energetic particles, an occurrence of the foreshock for these cone angles is probably caused by uncertainty of OMNI IMF direction determination. Consequently, the increase of the occurrence rate of SLAMS and mixed structures at large cone angles is artificial.

The investigated structures are excited in the foreshock and they are blown down with the solar wind flow and they can grow or decay under some conditions. Moreover, it was shown that the mean magnetic field and plasma parameters are modified in the foreshock. For this reason, 2D histograms, in Figure 4, present the occurrence rates (color bar) of plasmoids (panels 4a-c), SLAMS (panels 4d-f) and mixed structures (panels 4g-i) as a function of distance to the BS for the changes of the magnetic field magnitude $B$, velocity $V$, and density, $N$ in the solar wind and in foreshock. The values of $B$, $V$ and $N$ in the foreshock (sub-scripted "F") are averages of the MMS foreshock measurements (on the interval of 5 minutes) and the solar wind values (sub-scripted "OMNI") are OMNI data averaged over the same interval. A distance from the BS was computed as the distance of the spacecraft according to the Farris and Russell (1994) model along the $X_{\text{GSE}}$ direction (approximately the solar wind direction) using local MMS parameters measured prior to the structure.

We would like to note that the foreshock values vary in broad ranges around corresponding upstream values: the ratios vary from 0.5 to 2 for the magnetic field strength and plasma density and between 0.6 and 1.2 for the velocity. Although the values used for computation of these ratios become from the different spacecraft and upstream parameters are propagated from the L1 point, these ranges are surprising. Urbár et al. (2019) studied modification of the solar wind velocity in the foreshock using similar technique and THEMIS/ARTEMIS data and found up to 5% deceleration in front of the BS. We are not aware of similar studies of the density or magnetic field strength but the ranges following from the figure are surprisingly broad. Although the structures are preferentially observed in fast solar wind (Figure 3), their occurrence rate increases with deceleration of the solar wind in the foreshock and this trend is more pronounced for magnetic (SLAMS and mixed) structures. This trend is compatible with preference for a compressed ($N_{\text{F}}/N_{\text{OMNI}} > 1$ and/or $B_{\text{F}}/B_{\text{OMNI}} < 1$) foreshock. The only exclusion are SLAMS, they can be observed either for $B_{\text{F}}/B_{\text{OMNI}} > 1$ or for $B_{\text{F}}/B_{\text{OMNI}} < 1$. All panels indicate a clear increase of the occurrence rate toward the BS.

### 4 Superposed epoch analysis

Figure 5 shows a superposed epoch analysis of the angles between the velocity and magnetic field vectors and the $X_{\text{GSE}}$ axis (cone angles) and the temperature anisotropy on one minute around plasmoids (a-c), SLAMS (d-f) and mixed structures (g-j). The color lines show variations of the median values, the gray lines around are the first and the third quartiles. The fact that the quartiles exhibit the same trends as medians ensures that the median profiles can be taken as the representatives of particular structures. The
Figure 4. 2D histograms of occurrence rate of plasmoids (a-c), SLAMS (d-f) and mixed structures (g-i) as a function of modification of the magnetic field magnitude $B_F/B_{\text{OMNI}}$ (a,d,g), the velocity $V_F/V_{\text{OMNI}}$ (b,e,h) and the density $N_F/N_{\text{OMNI}}$ (c,f,i) in the surrounding foreshock and in different distances to the BS.
Figure 5. Superposed epoch analysis of the angles between the magnetic field vector $\theta_{BB_x}$ (a, d, g) and velocity vector $\theta_{VV_x}$ (b, e, h) and the $X_{GSE}$ axis and the temperature anisotropy $T_\perp/T_\parallel$ (c, f, i) of plasmoids (a-c), SLAMS (d-f) and mixed structures (g-i). Each structure’s peak is positioned in time zero. Color lines are the medians and grey lines represent the first (bottom line) and the third (top lines) quartiles.

dashed horizontal lines are median values of each parameter calculated for our foreshock intervals. We can note that these values almost coincide with the values observed prior to and after structures. It is hard to say whether the lower magnetic field cone angle (panel 5a) or lower temperature anisotropy (panel 5c) around plasmoids is significant or whether it is connected with a relatively small number of cases. On the other hand, all parameters inside the structures exhibit significant deviations from foreshock median values.

The magnetic field turns to larger cone angles and the same is true for velocity. This effect is surprising especially for plasmoids because one would expect that they would tend to keep the original flow direction or to move more radially. The suggested origin of studied intermittent structures is nonlinear interaction of foreshock waves and enlarged temperature anisotropy in front of SLAMS seems to confirm this suggestion but the nearly isotropic temperature inside SLAMS and mixed structures contradict to it. Plasmoids are created in regions with a larger anisotropy than mixed structures or SLAMS and we observe perpendicular cooling inside them. It agrees with the paramagnetic plasmoids in the magnetosheath but not in the solar wind (Karlsson et al., 2012).

5 Discussion

We present a statistical study of the 1441 foreshock structures divided into three categories (497 plasmoids, 429 SLAMS and 515 mixed structures) in wide ranges of distances from the BS and upstream parameters. In general, many criteria can be applied
to different parameters (density, magnetic field magnitude, dynamic pressure along the X\textsubscript{GSE} axis etc.) in the turbulent foreshock and it is still challenging to define all structures and transients. We slightly modified the observational criteria used in past for plasmoids (Karlsson et al., 2012) and for SLAMS (Schwartz et al., 1992) and introduced the category of mixed structures that meets both criteria. The reason for distinguishing the structures that possess characteristics of both SLAMS and plasmoids is that we expect that the interaction of such structures with the BS can results in major disturbances in the magnetosheath and thus, they are best candidates for a creation of MSH jets. Nevertheless, it should be noted that a selection of the structures into categories is rather artificial because Figure 1 shows that there are no distinct groups in the magnetic field-density space but rather a smooth transition from one to other category is observed. We thus cannot exclude the transition of a particular structure from one to another category in course of its propagation toward the BS.

Scholer et al. (2003) proposed that SLAMS are generated by ULF wave steepening. Karlsson et al. (2015) suggested that diamagnetic plasmoids can be generated in the solar wind, but paramagnetic cases are most likely created in the BS ripples and thus they would be observed in the BS vicinity only. However, the exact origin of plasmoids and SLAMS in the foreshock is still under investigations. Therefore, we attempted to determine the factors that influence the structure formation using two different environments, the pristine solar wind (Figure 3) and the foreshock (Figure 4). All structures are observed in the whole range of upstream conditions but their occurrence rate increases with the solar wind speed. SLAMS are created preferentially in the low IMF and high density (i.e., high beta) solar wind, other structures do not exhibit any clear preference for particular upstream conditions. However, the foreshock processes modify mean upstream parameters and thus Figure 4 demonstrates the occurrence rates of different structures as a function of this modification. First of all, we should note that this modification is very significant (see Figure 4) and it suggests that our relatively short structures are a part of or are created within much larger structures with duration exceeding 5 minutes (duration of intervals for averaging). Such structures are characterized by a significant solar wind deceleration (20\% or more) and enhanced density and magnetic field strength. However, SLAMS are also frequently observed in the regions of magnetic field depletion. These findings connect investigated intermitted foreshock structures with overall foreshock structure. The superposed epoch analysis (Figure 5) reveals small systematic changes of the velocity and magnetic field direction inside SLAMS and mixed structures. The velocity inside these structures turns out of the radial direction and it means that the structures help in preconditioning of the solar wind prior to it enters the magnetosheath (Sibeck et al., 2001). The mean magnetic field around the structures follows the Parker spiral orientation but this orientation tends to be more perpendicular to the Sun-Earth line within structures. This trend is consistent with the velocity direction change.

The studies of MSH jets conducted so far indicate that foreshock structures, especially SLAMS, combined with the BS ripples are responsible for the creation of the majority of them (e.g., Hietala et al. (2012); Hietala and Plaschke (2013); Karlsson et al. (2015)). Some studies (Karlsson et al., 2012, 2015) suggest that foreshock plasma transients can penetrate through the BS to magnetosheath and generate MSH jets. Using ion-kinetic Vlasiator simulations, Suni et al. (2021) show that MSH jets are related to foreshock compressive structures. The relation between foreshock structures and MSH jets is not straightforward because Blanco-Cano et al. (2023) discusses the jets observed in the magnetosheath behind the quasi-perpendicular BS that have local sources and do not depend on upstream structures. Moreover, the jets in the magnetosheath are often mixed with plasmoids embedded in the flow that are created locally by reconnection in the highly turbulent environment just behind the BS (Luis Preisser et al., 2020).

Since the direct experimental evidence of a connection of foreshock structures with the MSH jets is difficult if not impossible, let us compare the dependence of the occurrence rates of foreshock structures determined in our study with the rates published for MSH jets. Early study by Plaschke et al. (2013) suggests the IMF cone angle as the most
important controlling factor for MSH jet creation and this factor is emphasized also in
other studies (Goncharov et al., 2020; LaMoury et al., 2021; Koller et al., 2023) but our
results (Figure 3f) show a weak or no dependence of the occurrence rates of foreshock
structures on the cone angle. LaMoury et al. (2021) and Koller et al. (2023) show that
a low IMF magnitude plays an important role in jet creation and it is consistent with
trends found for all analyzed foreshock structures. On the other hand, high IMF strength
increases the probability that the jets reach the magnetopause (Goncharov et al., 2020;
LaMoury et al., 2021; Koller et al., 2023) argue that jet occurrence rate increases with
the upstream velocity that is consistent with our observations for all foreshock structures.
Our analysis reveals increased SLAMS and mixed structure the occurrence rates for high
beta that agree with conditions for jets in Goncharov et al. (2020) but the low density
condition suggested by LaMoury et al. (2021) is held only for foreshock plasmoids be-
cause the highest occurrence rates of SLAMS and mixed structures were observed for
densities exceeding 20cm$^{-3}$. If we combine all above comparisons we can conclude that
foreshock plasmoids are a most probable candidate for the MSH jet seeds because SLAMS
and mixed structures are more frequently observed in the dense solar wind.

Since the most important characteristics of MSH jets is the dynamic pressure, Fig-
ure 6 presents a relation of the dynamic pressure around (panel 6a) and inside (panel
6b) to the OMNI pressure. Figure 6a shows that the structures characterized by the mag-
netic field enhancement (SLAMS and mixed structures) are more frequently observed
in the regions of the depressed dynamic pressure whereas plasmoids prefer the enhanced
pressure. These preferences are consistent with already discussed modification of fore-
shock parameters. The largest enhancements of the dynamic pressure are found in plas-
roids (median factor of 1.37) while SLAMS exhibits a pressure decrease (median fac-
tor of 0.60). If we compare this finding with the deviation of the velocity direction (Fig-
ure 5) that is lowest for plasmoids, it supports the above hypothesis on the plasmoids
(and maybe mixed structures) being the most probable source of MSH jets.
6 Conclusion

Our statistical study of compressive structures in the subsolar foreshock divides these structures into three categories (plasmoids, SLAMS and mixed structures) with motivation to find conditions favorable for development of a particular category. Our conclusions can be summarized as it follows.

1. There is no clear separation between the structure categories in the $N_{\text{inside}}/N_{\text{before}} - B_{\text{inside}}/B_{\text{before}}$ parameter space, rather continuous transition is observed (Figure 2).
2. Both paramagnetic and diamagnetic plasmoids exist in the foreshock, but paramagnetic structures are dominant (Figure 2).
3. Occurrence rate of all structures rises with the solar wind speed (Figure 3).
4. SLAMS and mixed structures are more frequently observed in the less magnetized and denser solar wind (i.e., higher plasma $\beta$). Plasmoids are observed under lower cone angles (Figure 3).
5. All structures are predominantly observed in the foreshock regions where the upstream magnetic field and plasma parameters are strongly modified - the velocity is decreased by a factor of 0.7-0.8, density and magnetic field are enhanced by a factor of $\sim 1.5$. The only exceptions are SLAMS that can be also frequently observed in regions of the depressed magnetic field (Figure 4).
6. Occurrence rates of all structures decrease with distance from the BS (Figure 4).
7. SLAMS and mixed structures are characterized by increase of the perpendicular temperature but a slight perpendicular cooling is observed inside plasmoids (Figure 5).
8. All structures are characterized by a deflection of the magnetic field and velocity direction from those in the surrounding foreshock; the deflection in plasmoids is the lowest one (Figure 5).
9. A great majority of plasmoids and about a half of mixed structures exhibit the enhancement of the dynamic pressure with respect to the upstream value. The dynamic pressure inside SLAMS is, as a rule, lower than the upstream value (Figure 6).

The structures under study are relatively small, typical dimensions are of the order of thousands of km but they are a part of much larger structures (point 5). We suggest that the modification/reformation of the bow shock that results in formation of MSH jets is probably caused by these large structures. Nevertheless, the change of velocity direction and dynamic pressure inside the analyzed structures (points 8 and 9) suggest that the interaction of the structures carrying plasmoids or mixed structures would result to MSH jets with a larger probability. On the other hand, a rotation of the magnetic field inside SLAMS locally changes the BS geometry and it can lead to the creation of a dip on its surface and formation of the jet by the mechanisms suggested by (Hietala & Plaschke, 2013) or Raptis et al. (2022). However, in-depth analysis of plasmoids, SLAMS and mixed structures, their generation and connection to the MSH jets are required and will be carried out in the near future.
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