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Abstract

A chain of magnetometers has been placed in Antarctica for comparisons with magnetic field measurements taken in the northern hemisphere. The locations were chosen to be on magnetic field lines that connect to magnetometers on the western coast of Greenland, despite the difficulty of reaching and working at such remote locations. We report on some basic comparisons of the similarities and differences in the conjugate measurements. Our results presented here confirm that the conjugate sites do have very similar (symmetric) magnetic perturbations in a handful of cases, as expected. Sign reversals are required for two components in order to obtain this agreement, which is not commonly known. More frequently, a strong Y component of the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) breaks the symmetry, as well as the unequal conductivities in the opposite hemispheres, as shown in two examples. In one event the IMF Y component reversed signs twice within two hours, while the magnetometer chains were approaching local noon. This switch provided an opportunity to observe the effects at the conjugate locations and to measure time lags. It was found that the magnetic fields at the most poleward sites started to respond to the sudden IMF reversals 18 min after the IMF reaches the bow shock, a measure of the time it takes for the electromagnetic signal to travel to the magnetopause, and then along magnetic field lines to the polar ionospheres. An additional 9 to 14 min is required for the magnetic perturbations to complete their transition.
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• Magnetic field measurements are obtained from magnetic conjugate points in both hemispheres
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Abstract

A chain of magnetometers has been placed in Antarctica for comparisons with magnetic field measurements taken in the northern hemisphere. The locations were chosen to be on magnetic field lines that connect to magnetometers on the western coast of Greenland, despite the difficulty of reaching and working at such remote locations. We report on some basic comparisons of the similarities and differences in the conjugate measurements. Our results presented here confirm that the conjugate sites do have very similar (symmetric) magnetic perturbations in a handful of cases, as expected. Sign reversals are required for two components in order to obtain this agreement, which is not commonly known. More frequently, a strong Y component of the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) breaks the symmetry, as well as the unequal conductivities in the opposite hemispheres, as shown in two examples. In one event the IMF Y component reversed signs twice within two hours, while the magnetometer chains were approaching local noon. This switch provided an opportunity to observe the effects at the conjugate locations and to measure time lags. It was found that the magnetic fields at the most poleward sites started to respond to the sudden IMF reversals 18 min after the IMF reaches the bow shock, a measure of the time it takes for the electromagnetic signal to travel to the magnetopause, and then along magnetic field lines to the polar ionospheres. An additional 9 to 14 min is required for the magnetic perturbations to complete their transition.

Plain Language Summary

Space science research has long relied on magnetometer measurements in the northern hemisphere to detect and observe the flow of currents in the ionosphere and magnetosphere. In the past few years it has become possible to acquire magnetic field measurements in the southern polar region as well, as a result of the placement of a chain of magnetometer stations in a remote part of Antarctica. Each of these magnetometers were placed where the Earth’s magnetic field connects to an existing magnetometer in the northern hemisphere, on the western coast of Greenland. The locations follow a roughly north-south meridian in geomagnetic coordinates. These “conjugate” magnetometer chains are useful for observing the similarities and differences between the ionospheric currents flowing in opposite hemispheres as a result of the solar wind’s interaction with the Earth’s magnetosphere. This paper presents results showing how the inter-hemispheric measurements are very similar in some cases, but only if the signs of two of the vector compo-
ments are reversed. In other cases the magnetic fields in the northern and southern hemisphere are different, mainly due to the summer-winter differences in conductivity. The conjugate measurement will be useful for future space science research.

1 Introduction

Due to the dipole nature of Earth’s magnetic field, electric fields and plasma motions in the outer magnetosphere map to the ionosphere at polar and auroral latitudes in both hemispheres. The resulting currents that flow in the ionosphere can be detected by their magnetic signature on the ground. Ground arrays of magnetometers at high latitudes are particularly useful for monitoring such space weather phenomena, and learning about the interactions between the solar wind, the magnetosphere, and ionosphere. Arrays of instruments in the polar regions can be used to supplement sparse observations from satellites in space. Measurements from polar instruments are also vital to the validation of global numerical models that may be used to describe and forecast space weather phenomena. It is, therefore, increasingly important to deploy arrays of geophysical instruments in polar regions to advance our understanding of the complex electrodynamic interactions that comprise space weather. It is assumed that the magnetometers at conjugate locations (at opposite ends of the magnetic field lines) should similar magnetic perturbations due to the magnetospheric flows, electric fields, and currents. On the other hand, differences should be expected because of the considerable asymmetries between the two hemispheres. For example, solar illumination differences between the summer and winter hemisphere produce large asymmetries in the conductance in the two polar ionospheres (Ostgaard & Laundal, 2012). The magnetic field in the Southern Hemisphere is significantly weaker, which also influences conductivity (Laundal et al., 2017). For these reasons the examination of simultaneous data from both the northern and southern polar regions is very important for understanding the causes and consequences of hemispheric asymmetries and, more broadly, to space science research. The results presented here use data from two ground magnetometer chains that are located at conjugate locations in both hemispheres. The similarities and differences in these data in are examined. This investigation concerns magnetic perturbations varying on timescales on the order of 1–10 min.
2 Data

A magnetometer chain that is located on the west coast of Greenland is operated by the Technical University of Denmark (DTU). These stations were first established in 1981–1986. Most of the magnetometers in this chain are variometers, except for three that are geomagnetic observatories (https://www.space.dtu.dk/English/Research/Scientific_data_and_models/Magnetic_Ground_Stations.aspx) that have accurate, absolute calibrations. Another chain is positioned on the East Antarctic plateau and is operated by Virginia Tech. The instrumentation is referred to as Autonomous Adaptive Low-Power Instrument Platforms (AAL-PIP) (Clauer et al., 2014), while the chain itself can be referred to as PENGUIn (Polar Experimental Network for Geospace Upper atmosphere Investigations). The six PENGUIn stations were flown to the remote Antarctic plateau in 2008–2016, at a pace of one to two per year, with some return visits for repairs. As illustrated in the photos by Clauer et al. (2014), the installation of these systems involved high altitude, cold-weather camping at each site. The AAL-PIP and Greenland data are both in sensor coordinates (NEZ). The northward axis of the magnetometers are aligned with the local magnetic field and the Z axis is pointed downward, so that the orientation of the eastward axis (in local magnetic coordinates) results through the right-hand rule. The units of all components are nT.

By design the AAL-PIP stations were placed at the magnetic conjugate points of the existing Western Greenland stations, with are situated (approximately) along the 40° magnetic meridian. The intended coordinates for these stations was determined through use of the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF), while the final exact locations were determined by whatever landing sites the plane pilots deemed to be suitable. The three-letter site identification codes of the Greenland stations are derived from the location names in the local, native language and the codes for the Antarctic stations are simply numbered from 0 to 5 with a “PG” prefix. The geographic and magnetic coordinates of these stations are listed in Table 1. Magnetic apex coordinates are used (VanZandt et al., 1972; Richmond, 1995), derived from the IGRF 2015 model. The PENGUIn and Greenland magnetometer data have previously been used to investigate interhemispheric asymmetries in magnetic perturbations (Hartinger et al., 2016, 2017; Martines-Bedenko et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2017, 2020).
### Table 1. Coordinates of the ground magnetometers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Geodetic</th>
<th>Geomagnetic&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Geodetic</th>
<th>Geomagnetic&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UPN</td>
<td>72.78</td>
<td>303.85</td>
<td>78.21</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>72.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMQ</td>
<td>70.68</td>
<td>307.87</td>
<td>75.62</td>
<td>41.07</td>
<td>70.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDH</td>
<td>69.25</td>
<td>306.47</td>
<td>74.46</td>
<td>38.08</td>
<td>69.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATU</td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>306.43</td>
<td>73.18</td>
<td>37.03</td>
<td>67.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKT</td>
<td>65.42</td>
<td>307.10</td>
<td>70.58</td>
<td>36.26</td>
<td>65.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHB</td>
<td>64.17</td>
<td>308.27</td>
<td>69.12</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>64.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG0</td>
<td>-83.67</td>
<td>88.68</td>
<td>-78.45</td>
<td>38.42</td>
<td>PG0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG1</td>
<td>-84.50</td>
<td>77.20</td>
<td>-77.06</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>PG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG2</td>
<td>-84.42</td>
<td>57.96</td>
<td>-75.34</td>
<td>39.22</td>
<td>PG2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG3</td>
<td>-84.81</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>-73.61</td>
<td>36.82</td>
<td>PG3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG4</td>
<td>-83.34</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>-70.88</td>
<td>36.46</td>
<td>PG4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG5</td>
<td>-81.96</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>-69.49</td>
<td>37.31</td>
<td>PG5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Geomagnetic locations are apex coordinates, calculated with the IGRF 2015 Model.

Interestingly, a comparison with the magnetic coordinates calculated with the IGRF 2020 model indicated that in five years the Antarctic sites had moved equatorward by 0.35 – 0.41°, while the Greenland sites moved poleward by 0.12 – 0.16°. Additionally, Global Positioning System (GPS) instrumentation included on the platforms also showed that the ice sheet on which the stations rest is slowly shifting. The speed varies from a few meters per year for the PG0, PG1, PG2, and PG3 (those closest to the poles) to a few tens of meters per year at PG4 and PG5, which are closest to the coast. Generally speaking, the stations move towards the coast, the closer to the coast the faster the speed. For PG3, PG4, and PG5 this is northeastward, toward Halley. PG2, PG1, and PG0 move more towards McMurdo.

### 3 Symmetric Magnetic Fields Observed at Magnetic Conjugate Points

Figure 1 shows an example of magnetic field measurements at both the PENGUIn sites and at the conjugate stations in the Northern hemisphere, taken on 16 November 2017. The blue lines in this graph show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1. All three components are graphed. Baseline offsets have been subtracted if present. This figure demonstrates
Figure 1. Symmetric magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 16 November 2017.

The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1.

In a case where the conjugate measurements are nearly the same, which indicates that the conjugate sites can indeed detect similar electrodynamic patterns in opposite hemispheres.
For example, the red and blue curves in Figure 1 exhibit very similar behavior at most station pairs. The magnetometers are detecting the effects of the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) merging with the Earth’s magnetic field and the resulting flow of plasma and electromagnetic energy in the magnetosphere and ionosphere. Some differences between the hemispheres are to be expected due to seasonal differences in conductivity. The two most poleward sites at the top of Figure 1 have some disagreements; these sites are likely within an area of open magnetic field lines, while the more equatorward sites are on closed field lines. The Supplemental Information contains four additional graphs in which the conjugate sites have very similar variations.

One detail that hadn’t been mentioned until now is the fact that the measurements in the southern hemisphere had their eastward and vertical components multiplied by -1 in order to obtain the agreements shown. The reasons for these sign changes are illustrated in Figure 2.

Starting with the northward component of $\Delta B$ in Figure 2(a), a Westward electrojet, or Hall current, is shown located near midnight in the polar graphs. In the Northern hemisphere the magnetic field underneath this electrojet is pointed away from the North pole, so this component has a negative sign. In the Southern hemisphere the magnetic field at ground level is actually located “above” the electrojet when viewed from above the North pole, as is the convention with polar graphs of the electrodynamic patterns that have 0 magnetic local time (MLT) at the bottom, 6 MLT at the right, and 12 MLT at the top. $\Delta B_n$ in this case points toward the Southern pole, but since the convention is that a positive $\Delta B_n$ points northward, then this component also has a negative sign.

The eastward component of $\Delta B$ is illustrated in Figure 2(b). This component typically has the smallest magnitude. While the electrojet near midnight MLT is typically in the Westward direction, it may have some tilt toward the pole or equator. In 2(b) the Hall current flows toward the equator, which produces a positive $\Delta B_e$ in the Northern hemisphere and a negative (westward) $\Delta B_e$ in the Southern hemisphere. Thus, $\Delta B_e$ in the south needs to have a sign flip in order to match the pattern in the north.

Finally, the vertical component of $\Delta B$ is illustrated in Figure 2(c). Previously D. R. Weimer et al. (2010) had found that the vertical component typically has a very good correlation with the overhead field aligned current (FAC) patterns (D. Weimer, 2001; D. R. Weimer,
**Figure 2.** Explanation for eastward and vertical sign reversals. (a) Northward $\Delta B$ underneath a westward electrojet is negative in both hemispheres. (b) Eastward $\Delta B$ positioned underneath equatorward directed electrojet have opposite signs at the conjugate points. (c) Vertical $\Delta B$ underneath downward field aligned currents (FAC) also have opposite signs.

In the Northern hemisphere, where the FAC flows into the ionosphere (positive) the vertical $\Delta B_z$ is also positive (downward) and vice versa. Figure 2(c) shows a downward FAC on the dawn side in both the northern and southern hemispheres on the dawn
side, which would be part of the Region 2 system (Iijima & Potemra, 1976). This downward FAC needs to close through diverging Pedersen currents that are shown in 2(c) as producing Pedersen currents and electric fields that point toward the equator on one side and toward the pole on the other side. The left side of 2(c) illustrates the Hall currents associated with these diverging electric fields, and the magnetic perturbations produced by these Hall currents. At the point directly under the FAC this perturbation points toward the ground in the north (positive $\Delta B_Z$) and away from the ground (negative $\Delta B_Z$). Thus, $\Delta B_Z$ in the south needs to have a sign change in order to match the pattern in the north. While the reasons for these sign changes are not intuitively obvious, the data shown in Figure 1 and the Supplemental Information confirm that they are necessary.

4 Broken Symmetry

In order for the symmetric magnetic field signatures to be present it is necessary for the magnitude of Z component of the IMF to be larger than the Y component. It is more common for the Y component to be dominant due to the sector structure of the solar wind and IMF. It is known that a strong Y component in the IMF produces a twisted magnetotail (White et al., 1998) and magnetopause (Siscoe et al., 2001), and electric potential patterns that differ between the two hemispheres (Siscoe et al., 2001; D. R. Weimer, 2005a). Thus, if a non-zero Y component is present with sufficient magnitude then the symmetry is broken between the magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations. Additionally, differences in the conductivity, due to unequal solar illumination in summer and winter, will also break the symmetry as well as the tilting of the dipole axis toward and away from the Sun.

Figure 3 shows an example of conjugate measurements from 3 December 2016 that do not agree, due to the influence of both the Y component of the IMF and the seasonal conductivity and tilt angle differences. The IMF measurements on the same day are shown in Figure 4. These data are from the Magnetic Field Instrument (MFI) (Smith et al., 1998) on the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) spacecraft. The IMF values are in the Geocentric Solar Magnetic (GSM) coordinate system. It is seen that the Z component (brown line at bottom) hovers around zero, while varying between -2 and +1 nT. The Y component (2nd from bottom, colored turquoise) varies between 1 and 4 nT. The solar wind velocity is plotted with the purple line in the third row from the bottom using data from the Solar Wind Electron, Proton, and Alpha Monitor (SWEPAM) on ACE.
Unequal magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 3 December 2016. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1.

(McComas et al., 1998). In Geocentric Solar Ecliptic (GSE) coordinates, the solar wind is moving in the -X direction (toward the Earth) at a fairly steady velocity of 300 km/sec.
The timeline on the abscissa axis indicates when the measurements were taken at the location of the ACE satellite, which is about $240R_E$ sunward from the Earth. The delay in time required for the solar wind, and the magnetic field that is embedded within, to reach the bow shock of the Earth is approximately 80 min, as shown with the green line at the top part of Figure 3.

The differences between the magnetic fields seen in the opposite hemispheres can be attributed to both the dominant Y component of the IMF as well as conductivity, with the southern hemisphere getting much more solar illumination in early December. To better understand the behavior of the measured magnetic fields we turn our focus to the time period of 7:00 to 19:00 UT on 3 December 2016. Figure 5 shows the Northward component of $\Delta B_X$ (northward) during this time at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (PG0–PG3) that are shown with the red lines in the bottom four panels in Figure 3. The measurements at their conjugate counterparts in the Northern hemisphere are drawn in blue. The top two rows shows the Y and Z components of the IMF that have been time shifted by 78.1 min, the mean value of the time delay (top of Figure 4) during this interval. For future reference, marks at 8:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UT are indicated with the superposed thin lines.

Figure 6 shows maps of ground-level magnetic perturbation patterns and ionospheric electric potentials at the three times on 3 December 2016 which help to explain the observed variations. The maps in the top and third row show the northward component of $\Delta B$ in the Northern and Southern hemispheres respectively that are derived using the empirical model by D. R. Weimer (2013). The maps in the second and forth (bottom) rows show the electric potential patterns from the empirical model by D. R. Weimer (2005b). The maps are generated using the mean of the IMF and solar wind values over the previous 20 minutes, after adding another 20 minutes to the propagation delay, that accounts for transmission of the electrodynamic signal through the bow shock and then from the magnetopause to the polar ionospheres (D. R. Weimer et al., 2010). The Southern hemisphere maps use IMF $B_Y$ values in the model inputs that have their signs flipped from the values used in north, and the dipole tilt angle is also reversed.

These maps are intended to show the context of the magnetic field measurements with respect to the mapped patterns, rather than for any comparison of exact values. It is seen in Figure 6(a) that at 08:00 UT the northern chain is situated in a region of
Figure 4. IMF measurements taken on the ACE satellite, 3 December 2016. From bottom to top: The Z component of the IMF, drawn in brown (sienna). The Y component of the IMF, colored turquoise. The -X component of the solar wind velocity (purple). At the top, the green line shows the propagation delay, in minutes, from the point of measurement to the Earth.
Figure 5. $Y$ and $Z$ components of the IMF and the $X$ (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ at four conjugate locations, from 7:00 to 19:00 UT on 3 December 2016. The upper two rows show the $Y$ and $Z$ components of the IMF, colored turquoise and brown respectively, and shifted in time by 78.1 min. The other four graphs show the Northward component of $\Delta B$ at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (drawn in red) and their Northern counterparts (blue). The thin vertical lines mark three times that are referenced in Figure 6.

negative $\Delta B_N$. At 18:00 UT in 6(c) they have moved to a region of mostly positive $\Delta B_N$, with the northernmost end of the chain near the transition between positive and negative, in qualitative agreement with the measurements shown in Figure 5. The south-
Figure 6. Maps of the (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ and electric potentials in both hemispheres. These maps are for 08:00 UT (left column), 12:00 UT (center column), and 18:00 UT (right column) on 3 December 2016. The maps in the top row show the Northward component of $\Delta B$ at the three times listed, with the location of the Greenland chain in magnetic latitude-local time coordinates superposed on the map with a blue line. The second row shows the electric potentials in the Northern hemisphere, with the magnetometer locations superposed. The third row shows the Northward component of $\Delta B$, with the location of the Antarctic chain marked with a red line. The bottom row shows the electric potentials in the Southern hemisphere. Minimum and maximum values of the mapped quantities are indicated in the lower left and right corners of each polar map.

The Greenland chain at 08:00 UT in 6(g) mostly lies in a more strongly negative $\Delta B_N$, with the most poleward end positioned near a transition to a positive region. At 18:00 UT in 6(i) the
southern sites have moved to a region of positive $\Delta B_N$ at the low latitude end while the poleward sites cross zero into negative territory, in agreement with Figure 5. Throughout this day the higher conductivity in the souther hemisphere obviously influences the larger magnetic field values that are seen. The influence of IMF $B_Y$ is most apparent at 18 UT, and the changes seen throughout the day are mostly the result of the sites simply moving in local time.

5 IMF $B_Y$ Step Transitions

Another case in which the Y component of the IMF has an even greater influence on the observed asymmetry is shown in Figure 7, in the same format as Figures 1 and 3, from 4 February 2016. The IMF measurements on the same day, 4 February 2016, are shown in Figure 8, in the same format as Figure 4. The Z component fluctuates around a value of +5 nT during most of the day, except for a period from approximately 09:00 to 15:00 UT when it drops to less than zero on two occasions. The Y component is in the range of +5 to +8 nT through most of the day, except for a prominent transition to -5 nT for just over two hours before flipping back to +5 nT. The solar wind velocity, shown with the purple line in the third row from the bottom, runs between 400 to 480 km/sec. This velocity results in a time delay for the solar wind to reach the bow shock of the Earth in approximately 50 min, as shown with the green line in the top row, if it is assumed that the IMF fluctuations lie within a flat plane that is perpendicular to the flow direction.

As found by D. R. Weimer et al. (2002), the IMF transitions often lie within planes that are tilted at varying angles with respect to the Earth-Sun line (GSE X axis) rather than perpendicular, which results in complicated variations in the propagation times. The magenta-colored line that is superposed in the top row shows the expected time delays that take these tilted orientations into consideration, using the method outlined by D. R. Weimer and King (2008). Refer to the articles and illustrations therein by J. Borovsky (2008) and J. E. Borovsky (2018) for a description of the geometrical structure of the IMF that causes the variations in the propagation times. This modification to the delays is included in Figure 8 due to the need for more accurate timings later in this paper.
Figure 7. Unequal magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 4 February 2016. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1.

Figure 9 shows a closer look at the time period around the IMF $B_Y$ transitions on 4 February 2016, from 09:00 UT to 14:00 UT. The format of this figure is similar to that
Figure 8. IMF measurements taken on the ACE satellite, 4 February 2016. From bottom to top: The Z component of the IMF, drawn in brown. The Y component of the IMF, colored turquoise. The X component of the solar wind velocity (purple). At the top, the green line shows the “flat plane” propagation delay, in minutes from the point of measurement at L1 to the Earth, and the superposed magenta line show the propagation delay that accounts for phase front tilt angles.

in Figure 5, with the four bottom rows showing the northward component of $\Delta B$ at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (PG0–PG3) drawn with the red lines while the North-
Figure 9. Y component of the IMF and the X (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ at four conjugate locations, from 09:00 to 14:00 UT on 4 February 2016. The upper two rows show the Y and Z component of the IMF drawn in turquoise and brown, shifted in time to the bow shock using variable lags. The other four graphs show the Northward component of $\Delta B$ at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (drawn in red) and their Northern counterparts (blue). Dotted lines on the time axis mark three times at 10:00, 12:00, and 13:00 UT that are referenced in Figure 10.
Figure 10. Maps of the (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ and electric potentials in both hemispheres. These maps are for 10:00 UT (left column), 12:00 UT (center column), and 13:00 UT (right column) on 4 February 2016. The format of this figure is the same as Figure 6.

The variable timings shown in Figure 8. Reference marks at 10:00, 12:00, and 13:00 UT are indicated on the horizontal axis using dotted lines. The first mark at 10:00 UT is just before IMF $Y$ flips from positive to negative, 12:00 UT is near the end of the negative time interval (during which the electrodynamic pattern has had time to reconfigure), and 13:00 UT is approximately a half-hour after the transition of IMF $Y$ back to a positive value.
Figure 10 shows maps of ground-level magnetic perturbation patterns and ionospheric electric potentials on 4 February 2016 at the three times just mentioned. The format of this figure is the same as in Figure 6. As before, the maps in this figure show an overview of the northern and southern magnetometer chain locations with respect to the global electric potential and magnetic perturbation patterns. At 10:00 UT the northern chain is situated in a region of negative $\Delta B_N$, except at the most poleward site which is near zero, as seen in 10(a). The measurements shown with the blue lines in Figure 9 at this time are in agreement, with the UPN site being located the most poleward. The southern chain in 10(g) is positioned entirely within a region of negative $\Delta B_N$ but having a larger magnitude. This southern chain is positioned within the dawn electric potential cell in 10(j), while the northern chain in 10(d) is at the dayside end of the dawn cell and extending into the anti-sunward plasma flow.

In 10(b) at 12:00 UT, after IMF $B_Y$ flips from positive to negative, the northern chain is now in a region of more strongly negative $\Delta B_N$. Figure 10(h) shows that the southern chain at this time is in the negative region at the more equatorward end, while the more poleward end is in the positive part of the map, in agreement with data shown in Figure 9.

After the IMF $B_Y$ flips back to positive, by 13:00 UT the northern chain extends from weakly positive at the low latitude end to near zero at the poleward end, as illustrated with the blue lines in Figures 9 and 10(c). At the same time, 10(i) shows that the southern chain transitions from near zero at the equatorward end to strongly negative at the poleward end, also in agreement with Figure 9.

### 6 Time Lags and Response Times

The sharp transitions in IMF $B_Y$ on 4 February 2016 provide an opportunity to reexamine the time lags between changes in the IMF and the observed ground-level magnetic response. From enlarged versions of Figure 9 (not shown) it was found that $B_Y$ flips from positive to negative at 10:17 UT while the magnetic field at the PG0 and PG1 sites start to increase from negative toward positive 18 min later, at 10:35 UT. These transitions reach their peak 13 min later at 10:48 UT. The lags at the northern sites UPN and UMQ are similar, but difficult to ascertain with certainty due to the much smaller
variations in the winter hemisphere. At the more equatorward sites in both hemispheres
the changes in the magnetic fields are unremarkable.

At the next IMF transition $B_Y$ crosses zero going positive at 12:23 UT, while at
the same time $B_Z$ is also moving from negative to positive. At southern sites PG0 and
PG1 the measured $\Delta B_N$ have been decreasing since 12:00 UT, and then at 12:41 UT the
rate of change accelerates. Again, this change occurs 18 min after the IMF $B_Y$ flip. The
most negative value is reached 14 min later at 12:55 UT at PG0, and after 9 min at 12:50
UT at PG1, with similar but much smaller responses seen at the northern conjunction
sites. Speculating, PG1 may have reacted faster than PG0 by being located closer to the
center of the anti-sunward convection “throat” in Figure 10(k).

7 Discussion and Conclusion

It has long been assumed that the ionospheres in the northern and southern hemi-
spheres have similar electrodynamic patterns. Under some conditions the magnetic per-
turbations at opposite ends of the magnetic field lines are expected to be similar. The
placement of the PENGUIn magnetometers at locations conjugate to stations on the west
coast of Greenland provided an opportunity to verify these assumptions. The results pre-
sented here (and in Supplemental Information figures) confirm that the conjugate sites
do have identical or similar (symmetric) magnetic perturbations under the right condi-
tions. We’ve shown that sign reversals are required for the $Y$ (eastward) and $Z$ (down-
ward) components in order to obtain this agreement. More often than not, a dominant
IMF $B_Y$ can break the symmetry, as well as the presence of unequal conductivities in
the opposite hemispheres. Statistical maps of electric potentials and magnetic pertur-
bations are shown to be useful for explaining the temporal changes that occur in both
hemispheres. During the course of the day, it is often the movement of magnetometers
in local time that causes the observed variations. It would be possible to use numerical
simulations and other models in a similar manner to provide the context of the magne-
tometer locations with respect to the global patterns.

In one event the Y component of the IMF flipped from strongly positive to strongly
negative, and back again about two hours later, while the northern and southern mag-
netometer chains were approaching noon in local time. This fortuitous occurrence pro-
vided a unique opportunity to observe the broken symmetry at the conjugate locations
and to measure the time lags between the IMF transitions and the resulting magnetic field reaction. It was found that the magnetic fields at most poleward sites started to respond to the sudden IMF changes after 18 min, a measure of the time it takes for the electromagnetic signal in the solar wind and embedded IMF to reach the magnetopause, after travel from the bow shock through the magnetosheath, and then propagate along magnetic field lines to the polar ionospheres. The propagation delay is also referred to as the “communication time,” which can be in the range of 8–14 min (Ridley et al., 1998). An additional 9 to 14 min is required for the magnetic perturbations to complete the transition. The time delays are longer at the more equatorward locations. These results agree with previous findings by Ridley et al. (1998), D. R. Weimer et al. (2010), and references therein, but with better temporal resolution.

Space science investigations have long relied on magnetometer measurements in the northern hemisphere to indirectly observe the flow of currents in the ionosphere and magnetosphere. It has only been more recently that it has been possible to acquire magnetic field measurements in the southern polar region in order to observe hemispheric similarities and differences. While there are substantial engineering and logistical challenges in putting magnetometers on the Antarctic plateau (Clauer et al., 2014), the expansion and maintenance of such infrastructure will advance future research which will yield insight into the causes and consequences of multi-scale hemispheric asymmetries”

Open Research Section

The magnetometer data are available at these web sites:

http://mist.nianet.org
http://128.173.89.68:48000/
https://www.space.dtu.dk/English/Research/
https://ftp.space.dtu.dk/data/

The interplanetary magnetic field and solar wind measurements from the ACE spacecraft can be obtained at https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/data/ace/
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Key Points:

• Magnetic field measurements are obtained from magnetic conjugate points in both hemispheres
• Under optimal conditions the conjugate magnetic fields are very similar, provided that signs are reversed on two of the vector components
• More often the fields differ due to different seasonal conductivities and asymmetrical driving by the magnetic field in the solar wind
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**Abstract**

A chain of magnetometers has been placed in Antarctica for comparisons with magnetic field measurements taken in the northern hemisphere. The locations were chosen to be on magnetic field lines that connect to magnetometers on the western coast of Greenland, despite the difficulty of reaching and working at such remote locations. We report on some basic comparisons of the similarities and differences in the conjugate measurements. Our results presented here confirm that the conjugate sites do have very similar (symmetric) magnetic perturbations in a handful of cases, as expected. Sign reversals are required for two components in order to obtain this agreement, which is not commonly known. More frequently, a strong Y component of the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) breaks the symmetry, as well as the unequal conductivities in the opposite hemispheres, as shown in two examples. In one event the IMF Y component reversed signs twice within two hours, while the magnetometer chains were approaching local noon. This switch provided an opportunity to observe the effects at the conjugate locations and to measure time lags. It was found that the magnetic fields at the most poleward sites started to respond to the sudden IMF reversals 18 min after the IMF reaches the bow shock, a measure of the time it takes for the electromagnetic signal to travel to the magnetopause, and then along magnetic field lines to the polar ionospheres. An additional 9 to 14 min is required for the magnetic perturbations to complete their transition.

**Plain Language Summary**

Space science research has long relied on magnetometer measurements in the northern hemisphere to detect and observe the flow of currents in the ionosphere and magnetosphere. In the past few years it has become possible to acquire magnetic field measurements in the southern polar region as well, as a result of the placement of a chain of magnetometer stations in a remote part of Antarctica. Each of these magnetometers were placed where the Earth’s magnetic field connects to an existing magnetometer in the northern hemisphere, on the western coast of Greenland. The locations follow a roughly north-south meridian in geomagnetic coordinates. These “conjugate” magnetometer chains are useful for observing the similarities and differences between the ionospheric currents flowing in opposite hemispheres as a result of the solar wind’s interaction with the Earth’s magnetosphere. This paper presents results showing how the inter-hemispheric measurements are very similar in some cases, but only if the signs of two of the vector compo-
ments are reversed. In other cases the magnetic fields in the northern and southern hemi-
sphere are different, mainly due to the summer-winter differences in conductivity. The
conjugate measurement will be useful for future space science research.

1 Introduction

Due to the dipole nature of Earth’s magnetic field, electric fields and plasma mo-
tions in the outer magnetosphere map to the ionosphere at polar and auroral latitudes
in both hemispheres. The resulting currents that flow in the ionosphere can be detected
by their magnetic signature on the ground. Ground arrays of magnetometers at high lat-
itudes are particularly useful for monitoring such space weather phenomena, and learn-
ing about the interactions between the solar wind, the magnetosphere, and ionosphere.
Arrays of instruments in the polar regions can be used to supplement sparse observa-
tions from satellites in space. Measurements from polar instruments are also vital to the
validation of global numerical models that may be used to describe and forecast space
weather phenomena. It is, therefore, increasingly important to deploy arrays of geophys-
ical instruments in polar regions to advance our understanding of the complex electro-
dynamic interactions that comprise space weather. It is assumed that the magnetome-
ters at conjugate locations (at opposite ends of the magnetic field lines) should similar
magnetic perturbations due to the magnetospheric flows, electric fields, and currents. On
the other hand, differences should be expected because of the considerable asymmetries
between the two hemispheres. For example, solar illumination differences between the
summer and winter hemisphere produce large asymmetries in the conductance in the two
polar ionospheres (Ostgaard & Laundal, 2012). The magnetic field in the Southern Hemi-
sphere is significantly weaker, which also influences conductivity (Laundal et al., 2017).
For these reasons the examination of simultaneous data from both the northern and southern
polar regions is very important for understanding the causes and consequences of hemi-
spheric asymmetries and, more broadly, to space science research. The results presented
here use data from two ground magnetometer chains that are located at conjugate lo-
cations in both hemispheres. The similarities and differences in these data in are exam-
ined. This investigation concerns magnetic perturbations varying on timescales on the
order of 1–10 min.
2 Data

A magnetometer chain that is located on the west coast of Greenland is operated by the Technical University of Denmark (DTU). These stations were first established in 1981–1986. Most of the magnetometers in this chain are variometers, except for three that are geomagnetic observatories (https://www.space.dtu.dk/English/Research/Scientific_data_and_models/Magnetic_Ground_ Stations.aspx) that have accurate, absolute calibrations. Another chain is positioned on the East Antarctic plateau and is operated by Virginia Tech. The instrumentation is referred to as Autonomous Adaptive Low-Power Instrument Platforms (AAL-PIP) (Clauer et al., 2014), while the chain itself can be referred to as PENGUIn (Polar Experimental Network for Geospace Upper atmosphere Investigations). The six PENGUIn stations were flown to the remote Antarctic plateau in 2008–2016, at a pace of one to two per year, with some return visits for repairs. As illustrated in the photos by Clauer et al. (2014), the installation of these systems involved high altitude, cold-weather camping at each site. The AAL-PIP and Greenland data are both in sensor coordinates northward, eastward, and vertical (NEZ). The northward axis of the magnetometers are aligned with the local magnetic field and the Z axis is pointed downward, so that the orientation of the eastward axis (in local magnetic coordinates) results through the right-hand rule. The units of all components are nT.

By design the AAL-PIP stations were placed at the magnetic conjugate points of the existing Western Greenland stations, with are situated (approximately) along the 40° magnetic meridian. The intended coordinates for these stations was determined through use of the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF), while the final exact locations were determined by whatever landing sites the plane pilots deemed to be suitable. The three-letter site identification codes of the Greenland stations are derived from the location names in the local, native language and the codes for the Antarctic stations are simply numbered from 0 to 5 with a “PG” prefix. The geographic and magnetic coordinates of these stations are listed in Table 1. Magnetic apex coordinates are used (VanZandt et al., 1972; Richmond, 1995), derived from the IGRF 2015 model. The PENGUIn and Greenland magnetometer data have previously been used to investigate interhemispheric asymmetries in magnetic perturbations (Hartinger et al., 2016, 2017; Martines-Bedenko et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2017, 2020).
Table 1. Coordinates of the ground magnetometers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Northern Hemisphere Magnetometers</th>
<th>Southern Hemisphere Magnetometers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site ID</td>
<td>Geodetic °Lat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPN</td>
<td>72.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMQ</td>
<td>70.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDH</td>
<td>69.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATU</td>
<td>67.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKT</td>
<td>65.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHB</td>
<td>64.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Geomagnetic locations are apex coordinates, calculated with the IGRF 2015 Model.

Interestingly, a comparison with the magnetic coordinates calculated with the IGRF 2020 model indicated that in five years the Antarctic sites had moved equatorward by 0.35 – 0.41°, while the Greenland sites moved poleward by 0.12 – 0.16°. Additionally, Global Positioning System (GPS) instrumentation included on the platforms also showed that the ice sheet on which the stations rest is slowly shifting. The speed varies from a few meters per year for the PG0, PG1, PG2, and PG3 (those closest to the poles) to a few tens of meters per year at PG4 and PG5, which are closest to the coast. Generally speaking, the stations move towards the coast, the closer to the coast the faster the speed. For PG3, PG4, and PG5 this is northeastward, toward Halley. PG2, PG1, and PG0 move more towards McMurdo.

3 Symmetric Magnetic Fields Observed at Magnetic Conjugate Points

Figure 1 shows an example of magnetic field measurements at both the PENGUIIn sites and at the conjugate stations in the Northern hemisphere, taken on 16 November 2017. The blue lines in this graph show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1. All three components are graphed. Baseline offsets have been subtracted if present. This figure demonstrates
**Figure 1.** Symmetric magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 16 November 2017.

The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1.

In a case where the conjugate measurements are nearly the same, which indicates that the conjugate sites can indeed detect similar electrodynamic patterns in opposite hemispheres.
For example, the red and blue curves in Figure 1 exhibit very similar behavior at most station pairs. The magnetometers are detecting the effects of the Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF) merging with the Earth’s magnetic field and the resulting flow of plasma and electromagnetic energy in the magnetosphere and ionosphere. Some differences between the hemispheres are to be expected due to seasonal differences in conductivity. The two most poleward sites at the top of Figure 1 have some disagreements; these sites are likely within an area of open magnetic field lines, while the more equatorward sites are on closed field lines. The Supplemental Information contains four additional graphs in which the conjugate sites have very similar variations.

One detail that hadn’t been mentioned until now is the fact that the measurements in the southern hemisphere had their eastward and vertical components multiplied by -1 in order to obtain the agreements shown. The reasons for these sign changes are illustrated in Figure 2.

Starting with the northward component of $\Delta B$ in Figure 2(a), a Westward electrojet, or Hall current, is shown located near midnight in the polar graphs. In the Northern hemisphere the magnetic field underneath this electrojet is pointed away from the North pole, so this component has a negative sign. In the Southern hemisphere the magnetic field at ground level is actually located “above” the electrojet when viewed from above the North pole, as is the convention with polar graphs of the electrodynamic patterns that have 0 magnetic local time (MLT) at the bottom, 6 MLT at the right, and 12 MLT at the top. $\Delta B_n$ in this case points toward the Southern pole, but since the convention is that a positive $\Delta B_n$ points northward, then this component also has a negative sign.

The eastward component of $\Delta B$ is illustrated in Figure 2(b). This component typically has the smallest magnitude. While the electrojet near midnight MLT is typically in the Westward direction, it may have some tilt toward the pole or equator. In 2(b) the Hall current flows toward the equator, which produces a positive $\Delta B_e$ in the Northern hemisphere and a negative (westward) $\Delta B_e$ in the Southern hemisphere. Thus, $\Delta B_e$ in the south needs to have a sign flip in order to match the pattern in the north.

Finally, the vertical component of $\Delta B$ is illustrated in Figure 2(c). Previously D. R. Weimer et al. (2010) had found that the vertical component typically has a very good correlation with the overhead field aligned current (FAC) patterns (D. Weimer, 2001; D. R. Weimer,
Figure 2. Explanation for eastward and vertical sign reversals. (a) Northward $\Delta B$ underneath a westward electrojet is negative in both hemispheres. (b) Eastward $\Delta B$ positioned underneath equatorward directed electrojet have opposite signs at the conjugate points. (c) Vertical $\Delta B$ underneath downward field aligned currents (FAC) also have opposite signs.

In the Northern hemisphere, where the FAC flows into the ionosphere (positive) the vertical $\Delta B_Z$ is also positive (downward) and vice versa. Figure 2(c) shows a downward FAC on the dawn side in both the northern and southern hemispheres on the dawn
side, which would be part of the Region 2 system (Iijima & Potemra, 1976). This down-
ward FAC needs to close through diverging Pedersen currents that are shown in 2(c) as
producing Pedersen currents and electric fields that point toward the equator on one side
and toward the pole on the other side. The left side of 2(c) illustrates the Hall currents
associated with these diverging electric fields, and the magnetic perturbations produced
by these Hall currents. At the point directly under the FAC this perturbation points to-
ward the ground in the north (positive $\Delta B_Z$) and away from the ground (negative $\Delta B_Z$).
Thus, $\Delta B_Z$ in the south needs to have a sign change in order to match the pattern in
the north. While the reasons for these sign changes are not intuitively obvious, the data
shown in Figure 1 and the Supplemental Information confirm that they are necessary.

4 Broken Symmetry

In order for the symmetric magnetic field signatures to be present it is necessary
for the magnitude of Z component of the IMF to be larger than the Y component. It is
more common for the Y component to be dominant due to the sector structure of the
solar wind and IMF. It is known that a strong Y component in the IMF produces a twisted
magnetotail (White et al., 1998) and magnetopause (Siscoe et al., 2001), and electric po-
tential patterns that differ between the two hemispheres (Siscoe et al., 2001; D. R. Weimer,
2005a). Thus, if a non-zero Y component is present with sufficient magnitude then the
symmetry is broken between the magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations. Ad-
ditionally, differences in the conductivity, due to unequal solar illumination in summer
and winter, will also break the symmetry as well as the tilting of the dipole axis toward
and away from the Sun.

Figure 3 shows an example of conjugate measurements from 3 December 2016 that
do not agree, due to the influence of both the Y component of the IMF and the seasonal
conductivity and tilt angle differences. The IMF measurements on the same day are shown
in Figure 4. These data are from the Magnetic Field Instrument (MFI) (Smith et al.,
1998) on the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) spacecraft. The IMF values are
in the Geocentric Solar Magnetic (GSM) coordinate system. It is seen that the Z com-
ponent (brown line at bottom) hovers around zero, while varying between -2 and +1 nT.
The Y component (2nd from bottom, colored turquoise) varies between 1 and 4 nT. The
solar wind velocity is plotted with the purple line in the third row from the bottom us-
ing data from the Solar Wind Electron, Proton, and Alpha Monitor (SWEPAM) on ACE
Figure 3. Unequal magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 3 December 2016. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1.

(McComas et al., 1998). In Geocentric Solar Ecliptic (GSE) coordinates, the solar wind is moving in the -X direction (toward the Earth) at a fairly steady velocity of 300 km/sec.
The timeline on the abscissa axis indicates when the measurements were taken at the location of the ACE satellite, which is about $240R_E$ sunward from the Earth. The delay in time required for the solar wind, and the magnetic field that is embedded within, to reach the bow shock of the Earth is approximately 80 min, as shown with the green line at the top part of Figure 3.

The differences between the magnetic fields seen in the opposite hemispheres can be attributed to both the dominant Y component of the IMF as well as conductivity, with the southern hemisphere getting much more solar illumination in early December. To better understand the behavior of the measured magnetic fields we turn our focus to the time period of 7:00 to 19:00 UT on 3 December 2016. Figure 5 shows the Northward component of $\Delta B_X$ (northward) during this time at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (PG0–PG3) that are shown with the red lines in the bottom four panels in Figure 3. The measurements at their conjugate counterparts in the Northern hemisphere are drawn in blue. The top two rows shows the Y and Z components of the IMF that have been time shifted by 78.1 min, the mean value of the time delay (top of Figure 4) during this interval. For future reference, marks at 8:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UT are indicated with the superposed thin lines.

Figure 6 shows maps of ground-level magnetic perturbation patterns and ionospheric electric potentials at the three times on 3 December 2016 which help to explain the observed variations. The maps in the top and third row show the northward component of $\Delta B$ in the Northern and Southern hemispheres respectively that are derived using the empirical model by D. R. Weimer (2013). The maps in the second and forth (bottom) rows show the electric potential patterns from the empirical model by D. R. Weimer (2005b). The maps are generated using the mean of the IMF and solar wind values over the previous 20 minutes, after adding another 20 minutes to the propagation delay, that accounts for transmission of the electrodynamic signal through the bow shock and then from the magnetopause to the polar ionospheres (D. R. Weimer et al., 2010). The Southern hemisphere maps use IMF $B_Y$ values in the model inputs that have their signs flipped from the values used in north, and the dipole tilt angle is also reversed.

These maps are intended to show the context of the magnetic field measurements with respect to the mapped patterns, rather than for any comparison of exact values. It is seen in Figure 6(a) that at 08:00 UT the northern chain is situated in a region of
Figure 4. IMF measurements taken on the ACE satellite, 3 December 2016. From bottom to top: The $Z$ component of the IMF, drawn in brown (sienna). The $Y$ component of the IMF, colored turquoise. The -$X$ component of the solar wind velocity (purple). At the top, the green line shows the propagation delay, in minutes, from the point of measurement to the Earth.
Figure 5.  Y and Z components of the IMF and the X (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ at four conjugate locations, from 7:00 to 19:00 UT on 3 December 2016. The upper two rows show the Y and Z components of the IMF, colored turquoise and brown respectively, and shifted in time by 78.1 min. The other four graphs show the Northward component of $\Delta B$ at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (drawn in red) and their Northern counterparts (blue). The thin vertical lines mark three times that are referenced in Figure 6.

negative $\Delta B_N$. At 18:00 UT in 6(c) they have moved to a region of mostly positive $\Delta B_N$, with the northernmost end of the chain near the transition between positive and negative, in qualitative agreement with the measurements shown in Figure 5. The south-
Figure 6. Maps of the (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ and electric potentials in both hemispheres. These maps are for 08:00 UT (left column), 12:00 UT (center column), and 18:00 UT (right column) on 3 December 2016. The maps in the top row show the Northward component of $\Delta B$ at the three times listed, with the location of the Greenland chain in magnetic latitude-local time coordinates superposed on the map with a blue line. The second row shows the electric potentials in the Northern hemisphere, with the magnetometer locations superposed. The third row shows the Northward component of $\Delta B$, with the location of the Antarctic chain marked with a red line. The bottom row shows the electric potentials in the Southern hemisphere. Minimum and maximum values of the mapped quantities are indicated in the lower left and right corners of each polar map.

The Greenland chain at 08:00 UT in 6(g) mostly lies in a more strongly negative $\Delta B_N$, with the most poleward end positioned near a transition to a positive region. At 18:00 UT in 6(i) the
southern sites have moved to a region of positive $\Delta B_N$ at the low latitude end while the
poleward sites cross zero into negative territory, in agreement with Figure 5. Throughout
this day the higher conductivity in the southern hemisphere obviously influences the
larger magnetic field values that are seen. The influence of IMF $B_Y$ is most apparent
at 18 UT, and the changes seen throughout the day are mostly the result of the sites sim-
ply moving in local time.

5 IMF $B_Y$ Step Transitions

Another case in which the Y component of the IMF has an even greater influence
on the observed asymmetry is shown in Figure 7, in the same format as Figures 1 and
3, from 4 February 2016. The IMF measurements on the same day, 4 February 2016, are
shown in Figure 8, in the same format as Figure 4. The Z component fluctuates around
a value of +5 nT during most of the day, except for a period from approximately 09:00
to 15:00 UT when it drops to less than zero on two occasions. The Y component is in
the range of +5 to +8 nT through most of the day, except for a prominent transition
to -5 nT for just over two hours before flipping back to +5 nT. The solar wind veloc-
ity, shown with the purple line in the third row from the bottom, runs between 400 to
480 km/sec. This velocity results in a time delay for the solar wind to reach the bow shock
of the Earth in approximately 50 min, as shown with the green line in the top row, if it
is assumed that the IMF fluctuations lie within a flat plane that is perpendicular to the
flow direction.

As found by D. R. Weimer et al. (2002), the IMF transitions often lie within planes
that are tilted at varying angles with respect to the Earth-Sun line (GSE X axis) rather
than perpendicular, which results in complicated variations in the propagation times.
The magenta-colored line that is superposed in the top row shows the expected time de-
lays that take these tilted orientations into consideration, using the method outlined by
D. R. Weimer and King (2008). Refer to the articles and illustrations therein by J. Borovsky
(2008) and J. E. Borovsky (2018) for a description of the geometrical structure of the
of the IMF that causes the variations in the propagation times. This modification to the
delays is included in Figure 8 due to the need for more accurate timings later in this pa-
per.
Figure 7. Unequal magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 4 February 2016. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the Southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1.

Figure 9 shows a closer look at the time period around the IMF $B_Y$ transitions on 4 February 2016, from 09:00 UT to 14:00 UT. The format of this figure is similar to that
Figure 8. IMF measurements taken on the ACE satellite, 4 February 2016. From bottom to top: The Z component of the IMF, drawn in brown. The Y component of the IMF, colored turquoise. The X component of the solar wind velocity (purple). At the top, the green line shows the “flat plane” propagation delay, in minutes from the point of measurement at L1 to the Earth, and the superposed magenta line show the propagation delay that accounts for phase front tilt angles.

...in Figure 5, with the four bottom rows showing the northward component of $\Delta B$ at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (PG0–PG3) drawn with the red lines while the North-
Figure 9. Y component of the IMF and the X (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ at four conjugate locations, from 09:00 to 14:00 UT on 4 February 2016. The upper two rows show the Y and Z component of the IMF drawn in turquoise and brown, shifted in time to the bow shock using variable lags. The other four graphs show the Northward component of $\Delta B$ at the four most poleward PENGUIn sites (drawn in red) and their Northern counterparts (blue). Dotted lines on the time axis mark three times at 10:00, 12:00, and 13:00 UT that are referenced in Figure 10.

The top two rows show the Y and Z components of the IMF drawn with turquoise and brown colors. These IMF data have been shifted in time to the position of the solar wind bow shock in front of the Earth, using...
Figure 10. Maps of the (Northward) component of $\Delta B$ and electric potentials in both hemispheres. These maps are for 10:00 UT (left column), 12:00 UT (center column), and 13:00 UT (right column) on 4 February 2016. The format of this figure is the same as Figure 6.

the variable timings shown in Figure 8. Reference marks at 10:00, 12:00, and 13:00 UT are indicated on the horizontal axis using dotted lines. The first mark at 10:00 UT is just before IMF Y flips from positive to negative, 12:00 UT is near the end of the negative time interval (during which the electrodynamic pattern has had time to reconfigure), and 13:00 UT is approximately a half-hour after the transition of IMF Y back to a positive value.
Figure 10 shows maps of ground-level magnetic perturbation patterns and ionospheric electric potentials on 4 February 2016 at the three times just mentioned. The format of this figure is the same as in Figure 6. As before, the maps in this figure show an overview of the northern and southern magnetometer chain locations with respect to the global electric potential and magnetic perturbation patterns. At 10:00 UT the northern chain is situated in a region of negative $\Delta B_N$, except at the most poleward site which is near zero, as seen in 10(a). The measurements shown with the blue lines in Figure 9 at this time are in agreement, with the UPN site being located the most poleward. The southern chain in 10(g) is positioned entirely within a region of negative $\Delta B_N$ but having a larger magnitude. This southern chain is positioned within the dawn electric potential cell in 10(j), while the northern chain in 10(d) is at the dayside end of the dawn cell and extending into the anti-sunward plasma flow.

In 10(b) at 12:00 UT, after IMF $B_Y$ flips from positive to negative, the northern chain is now in a region of more strongly negative $\Delta B_N$. Figure 10(h) shows that the southern chain at this time is in the negative region at the more equatorward end, while the more poleward end is in the positive part of the map, in agreement with data shown in Figure 9.

After the IMF $B_Y$ flips back to positive, by 13:00 UT the northern chain extends from weakly positive at the low latitude end to near zero at the poleward end, as illustrated with the blue lines in Figures 9 and 10(c). At the same time, 10(i) shows that the southern chain transitions from near zero at the equatorward end to strongly negative at the poleward end, also in agreement with Figure 9.

6 Time Lags and Response Times

The sharp transitions in IMF $B_Y$ on 4 February 2016 provide an opportunity to reexamine the time lags between changes in the IMF and the observed ground-level magnetic response. From enlarged versions of Figure 9 (not shown) it was found that $B_Y$ flips from positive to negative at 10:17 UT while the magnetic field at the PG0 and PG1 sites start to increase from negative toward positive 18 min later, at 10:35 UT. These transitions reach their peak 13 min later at 10:48 UT. The lags at the northern sites UPN and UMQ are similar, but difficult to ascertain with certainty due to the much smaller
variations in the winter hemisphere. At the more equatorward sites in both hemispheres
the changes in the magnetic fields are unremarkable.

At the next IMF transition $B_Y$ crosses zero going positive at 12:23 UT, while at
the same time $B_Z$ is also moving from negative to positive. At southern sites PG0 and
PG1 the measured $\Delta B_N$ have been decreasing since 12:00 UT, and then at 12:41 UT the
rate of change accelerates. Again, this change occurs 18 min after the IMF $B_Y$ flip. The
most negative value is reached 14 min later at 12:55 UT at PG0, and after 9 min at 12:50
UT at PG1, with similar but much smaller responses seen at the northern conjunction
sites. Speculating, PG1 may have reacted faster than PG0 by being located closer to the
center of the anti-sunward convection “throat” in Figure 10(k).

7 Discussion and Conclusion

It has long been assumed that the ionospheres in the northern and southern hemi-
spheres have similar electrodynamic patterns. Under some conditions the magnetic per-
turbations at opposite ends of the magnetic field lines are expected to be similar. The
placement of the PENGUIn magnetometers at locations conjugate to stations on the west
coast of Greenland provided an opportunity to verify these assumptions. The results pre-
sented here (and in Supplemental Information figures) confirm that the conjugate sites
do have identical or similar (symmetric) magnetic perturbations under the right condi-
tions. We’ve shown that sign reversals are required for the Y (eastward) and Z (down-
ward) components in order to obtain this agreement. More often than not, a dominant
IMF $B_Y$ can break the symmetry, as well as the presence of unequal conductivities in
the opposite hemispheres. Statistical maps of electric potentials and magnetic pertur-
bations are shown to be useful for explaining the temporal changes that occur in both
hemispheres. During the course of the day, it is often the movement of magnetometers
in local time that causes the observed variations. It would be possible to use numerical
simulations and other models in a similar manner to provide the context of the magne-
tometer locations with respect to the global patterns.

In one event the Y component of the IMF flipped from strongly positive to strongly
negative, and back again about two hours later, while the northern and southern mag-
netometer chains were approaching noon in local time. This fortuitous occurrence pro-
vided a unique opportunity to observe the broken symmetry at the conjugate locations
and to measure the time lags between the IMF transitions and the resulting magnetic 
field reaction. It was found that the magnetic fields at most poleward sites started to 
respond to the sudden IMF changes after 18 min, a measure of the time it takes for the 
emittered signal to reach the magnetopause, 
after travel from the bow shock through the magnetosheath, and then propagate along 
magnetic field lines to the polar ionospheres. The propagation delay is also referred to 
as the “communication time,” which can be in the range of 8–14 min (Ridley et al., 1998). 
An additional 9 to 14 min is required for the magnetic perturbations to complete the tran-
sition. The time delays are longer at the more equatorward locations. These results agree 
with previous findings by Ridley et al. (1998), D. R. Weimer et al. (2010), and references 
therein, but with better temporal resolution.

Space science investigations have long relied on magnetometer measurements in the 
northern hemisphere to indirectly observe the flow of currents in the ionosphere and mag-
netosphere. It has only been more recently that it has been possible to acquire magnetic 
field measurements in the southern polar region in order to observe hemispheric simi-
larities and differences. While there are substantial engineering and logistical challenges 
in putting magnetometers on the Antarctic plateau (Clauer et al., 2014), the expansion 
and maintenance of such infrastructure will advance future research which will yield in-
sight into the causes and consequences of multi-scale hemispheric asymmetries”

**Open Research Section**

The magnetometer data are available at these web sites:

http://mist.nianet.org

http://128.173.89.68:48000/

https://www.space.dtu.dk/English/Research/

https://ftp.space.dtu.dk/data/

The interplanetary magnetic field and solar wind measurements from the ACE space-
craft can be obtained at https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/data/ace/

The Weimer 2005 electric potential model is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2530324, and maps produced by the Weimer 2013 magnetic perturbation model 
are available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3985988.

**Acknowledgments**
This work was funded by NSF grants OPP-1543364, OPP-1744828, and AGS-2027168 to Virginia Tech. MDH was supported by NSF grant AGS-2027210.
References


McComas, D. J., Bame, S. J., Barber, P., Feldman, W. C., Phillips, J. L., & Riley,


Weimer, D. R. (2005a). Improved ionospheric electrodynamical models and applica-


Supporting Information for “Magnetic Field Observations on Interhemispheric Conjugate Chains”

DOI: 10.1002/2023EA00xxxx

D. R. Weimer\textsuperscript{1,2}, C. R. Clauer\textsuperscript{1,2}, Z. Xu\textsuperscript{1,2}, S. Coyle\textsuperscript{1}, and M. D. Hartinger\textsuperscript{3}

\textsuperscript{1}Center for Space Science and Engineering Research, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA
\textsuperscript{2}National Institute of Aerospace, Hampton, Virginia, USA
\textsuperscript{3}Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO, USA

Contents of this file

1. Figures S1 to S4

Introduction

This Supporting Information contains 4 additional figures that supplement the figures included in the main body of the paper. Figures S1–S4 show additional examples of very similar magnetic field measurements obtained at both the southern hemisphere PENGUIn sites and at the conjugate stations in the northern hemisphere. The blue lines in these graph show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the southern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Site locations are shown in Table 1 in the main body of the paper.
Figure S1. Symmetric magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 15 February 2016.

The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. The vertical component data at the GHB site are unavailable on this day.
Figure S2. Symmetric magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 17 March 2016. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. The vertical component data at the GHB site are unavailable on this day.
Figure S3. Symmetric magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 15 April 2016. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. The vertical component data at the GHB site are unavailable on this day.
Figure S4. Symmetric magnetic fields observed at conjugate locations on 1 February 2017. The blue lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the blue labels on the left side, and the red lines show the magnetic fields measured in the northern hemisphere at the sites indicated with the red labels on the left side. Data from the UPN site are unavailable on this day.