Detection of CSF1 gene derangement in ‘sclerosing mucoepidermoid carcinoma with eosinophilia’ of the parotid gland masquerading as Langerhans cell histiocytosis
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\textit{Introduction}

Malignant salivary gland tumours characterized by mucoepidermal differentiation with sclerotic stroma rich in lymphocytes and eosinophils have been designated the name sclerosing mucoepidermoid carcinoma with eosinophilia\textsuperscript{1-4} (SMECE). However, it has not been listed as an entity in the chapter on salivary gland, 2022 WHO Classification of Head and Neck Tumours\textsuperscript{5}. Some reports highlighted the lack of MAML2 translocation in these tumours, as distinct from classical mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) of the salivary glands. Some argued against grouping them under MEC based on their variable morphological features and the lack of MAML2 translocation. This counterargument is supported by the prominence of keratinization in the squamoid component and relatively reduced glandular or intermediate cell component noted in SMECE, such that other entities e.g. adenosquamous carcinoma should be considered in the differential diagnosis. The lack of a well-documented molecular marker also makes categorizing SMECE as a distinct entity difficult. A same-named tumour has been described in the thyroid\textsuperscript{6}. The thyroid SMECE lacks common thyroid cancer mutations nor MAML2 translocation according to studies by Shah et al\textsuperscript{7}. Whether SMECE of the head and neck region share similar histogenetic origin or molecular derangement requires further studies on larger tumour series. The underlying mechanism for the sclerotic stroma and eosinophilia has received little attention as these features could be seen in other tumours. We report a similar case in the parotid gland that was initially diagnosed as Langerhans cell histiocytosis due to the prominent Langerhans cell and eosinophilic reaction. It recurred 2 years later as a frank carcinoma fitting into the SMECE category by morphology. Molecular studies provided possible new understanding concerning the Langerhans cell and eosinophilic reaction.

\textit{Clinical history and findings}

The patient was a 66-year-old Chinese woman who presented in another hospital 3 years ago with a slowly enlarging left cheek swelling for a few months. The swelling measured about 3 cm in diameter, hard in consistency and was situated in the parotid gland on radiological examination. Partial parotidectomy was done and specimen (S1) was initially diagnosed as Langerhans cell histiocytosis associated with benign glandular proliferations focally extending to margin. The regional lymph node sampled showed reactive changes with increased Langerhans cells. After 2 years, the patient noticed recurrent cheek swelling over the same site. Clinical-radiological work-up revealed a recurrent mass in the parotid gland associated with enlarged regional lymph nodes. Biopsy of the swelling showed frank carcinoma and was reported as sclerosing...
mucoepidermoid carcinoma with eosinophilia. The patient was then transferred to our hospital for further management. Radical parotidectomy sacrificing the lower branches of the facial nerve with reconstruction using the sural nerve, and regional lymph node dissection were performed. The specimen was subsequently sent for pathological study. Post-operative external radiotherapy to the neck was administered and the patient was free from disease 6 months after operation.

Material and Method

Formalin-fixed-paraffin-embedded H&E sections were made from the radical parotidectomy specimen in the usual manner. Immunostainings for AE1/3, p16, p40, S100 and Ki67 were performed using the Dako Omnis and Leica Bond-III automated IHC systems, immunostaining for CD1a used the Leica Bond-III automated IHC system.

Representative sections were subjected to CSF1 break-apart FISH test with FISH probes targeting the 5’end and 3’end of the CSF1 locus labeled orange and green respectively (Empire Genomics). Any fusion transcripts of the specimen were studied by Illumina RNA pan-cancer RNA-seq panel (Illumina), which covered the fusion transcripts of 1385 cancer-related genes including CSF1 gene. The expression of CSF1 gene was detected by RNAscope Probe- Hs-CSF1 (Advanced Cell Diagnostics).

Result

Gross and microscopic examination of the radical parotidectomy specimen (S2) showed a 4 cm high grade carcinoma (AFIP grading for MEC) invading salivary gland, skeletal muscle and trapping hypertrophic nerves (Figure 1a&b). The tumour consisted of solid lobules, cords and trabeculae of moderate to highly pleomorphic carcinoma cells with focal keratinization (Figure 1c). Primitive glandular differentiation with foamy cells and abortive lumens staining positive for mucicarmine (Figure 1d) could be focally seen. Atypical mitoses (5/10 HPF) and necrosis were present. Immunohistochemical studies showed tumour cells positive for cytokeratin AE1/3, p63 and p40; negative for S100. Ki67 proliferative index varied from 15-30%. There was marked stromal sclerosis forming fibrous strands and bands. Heavy chronic inflammatory infiltrates including many Langerhans cells (CD1a positive, Figure 1d) and eosinophils were present in the background. The adjacent salivary gland showed non-sclerosing chronic sialadenitis with intralobular lymphocytic infiltrates and scanty lymphoepithelial lesions (Figure 1b). This high-grade carcinoma with mucoepidermoid differentiation and the accompanying features fit into the published criteria of SMECE. Ten regional lymph nodes dissected showed no malignancy.

Slide review of the initial excisional specimen (S1) was performed and showed a well-circumscribed low grade (AFIP grading) carcinoma hiding within a fibroinflammatory background (Figure 2a) and focally touching resection margin. Compared with S2, there was a similar SMECE picture but much less aggressive. It consisted of lobules and cords of squamoid cells with keratinization and minimal nuclear pleomorphism (Figure 2b). Cystic change and ductal differentiation with well-formed lumens positive for PAS (diastase resistant, Figure 2b&cd) lined by foamy columnar mucinous cells were focally seen. Mitosis numbered about 1-2/10 HPF. There was no evidence of IgG4 associated disease. Immunohistochemistry profile (Figure 2d) was the same as that in S2 (Ki67 not available). There were distinctive sheets of Langerhans cells and eosinophils forming eosinophilic abscesses mimicking Langerhans cell histiocytosis (Figure 2e). Definite tumour progression on recurrence from low (S1) to high grade carcinoma (S2) could be demonstrated with respect to cellular pleomorphism, necrosis, tumour differentiation and invasiveness. The AJCC pathological staging also progressed from pT2 to pT4a.

FISH using break-apart probe for CSF1 was performed to detect possible derangement of CSF1 gene with subsequent CSF1 over-expression. In most tumour cells there was 1 copy of the normal gene (fused green and orange signal giving orange-red color), and multiple green signals signifying duplicated CSF1 3’ end with deletion of the 5’ end in the other chromosome (Figure 3a). Illumina TruSight RNA pan-cancer assay detected no fusion transcripts in the specimen. On the other hand, expression of CSF1 gene in tumour cells could be demonstrated by RNA in-situ hybridization (RNA scope) as coarse and fine granules (Figure 3b).
Discussion

The present case highlighted the difficult diagnoses in anatomical pathology of a sclerosing salivary gland lesion accompanied by lympho-histiocytic infiltrates and eosinophilia. Differential diagnoses included Langerhans cell histiocytosis, fibroinflammatory conditions such as IgG4 associated disease, benign lymphoepithelial lesion and necrotizing sialometaplasia. Malignant tumours obscured by the fibroinflammatory background should also be considered. An accurate and early diagnosis with appropriate treatment would certainly improve prognosis and mitigate patient morbidity.

SMECE of the salivary gland is extremely rare, numbering about 12 in literature reviews\textsuperscript{2,4,8}. It is not a well-defined entity and was not listed in the 2022 WHO Classification of Head and Neck Tumours. According to most reports, tumours falling into this category showed mucoepidermoid differentiation with low grade cytology and a sclerotic background with heavy eosinophilic infiltrates, without mention of Langerhans cells. No molecular markers have been found associated with this tumour, except for the negative MAML2 rearrangement. A host of molecular defects have been demonstrated in ‘MAML2 translocation-negative mucoepidermoid carcinoma’ in one study\textsuperscript{9}, but there was no mention of this SMECE subtype. Thus, the true nature and nomenclature of this group of tumours awaits further clarification.

The present case with the abundant Langerhans cells masquerading as Langerhans cell histiocytosis triggered the search for an underlying mechanism. Our studies were inspired by the findings of CSF1 rearrangement and its overexpression in tenosynovial giant cell tumours (TSGCT), attracting dendritic cells including Langerhans cells (CSF1 receptor+) to migrate into the mesenchymal tumour and proliferate\textsuperscript{10,11}. Instead of CSF1 gene rearrangement, we detected increased CSF1 (3'end) copy number with deletion of the 5' end, coupled with increased expression of CSF1 in tumour cells on RNA scope. Over-expression of CSF1 without documented translocation has also been found in a proportion of TSGCT\textsuperscript{12}. CSF1 over-expression is the most likely cause of the marked Langerhans cell reaction, leading to subsequent eosinophilia and sclerosis. Whether this molecular defect with subsequent ‘landscape effect’ was an isolated event, or a significant tumour marker merits further studies on this group of tumours.

Conclusion

SMECE of the salivary gland is a rare entity that could be easily missed on biopsy due to the masquerading effect of the company it keeps. Sclerosing background, abundance of eosinophils and Langerhans cells would prompt the anatomical pathologist to look for an underlying tumour. Further studies on a larger series are needed to define its histogenesis, possible molecular defect and succinct categorization for better patient management and research.
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