A Hurst-based Diffusion Model using Time Series Characteristics for Influence Maximization in Social Networks

Vinay Chamola, Bhawna Saxena, Vikas Saxena, Nishit Anand, Vikas Hassija, and Amir Hussain

1Birla Institute of Technology & Science Pilani
2Jaypee Institute of Information Technology
3Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology
4Edinburgh Napier University School of Computing

February 24, 2023

Abstract

Online Social Networks (OSNs) have grown exponentially in the last few years due to their applications in real life like marketing, recommendation systems, and social awareness campaigns. One of the most important research areas in this field is Influence Maximization (IM). IM pertains to finding methods to maximize the spread of information (or influence) across a social network. Previous works in IM have focused on using a pre-defined edge propagation probability or using the Hurst exponent (H) to identify which nodes to be activated. This is calculated on the basis of self-similarity in the time series depicting a user’s (node) past temporal interaction behaviour. In this work, we propose a Time Series Characteristic based Hurst-based Diffusion Model (TSC-HDM). The model calculates Hurst Exponent (H) based on the stationary or non-stationary characteristic of the time series. Furthermore, our model selects a handful of seed nodes and activates every seed node’s inactive successor only if $H > 0.5$. The process is continued until the activation of successor nodes is not possible. The proposed model was tested on 4 datasets - UC Irvine messages, Email EU-Core, Math Overflow 3, and Linux Kernel mailing list. We have also compared the results against 4 other Influence Maximisation models - Independent Cascade (IC), Weighted Cascade (WC), Trivalency (TV), and Hurst-based Influence Maximisation (HBIM). Our model achieves as much as 590% higher expected influence spread as compared to the other models. Moreover, our model attained 344% better average influence spread than other state-of-the-art models.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In terms of size and reach, Online Social Networks (OSNs) have grown exponentially during the last few years. OSNs enable umpteen users to connect and share information. OSNs have become an integral part of our lives and its immense usage has led to the generation of unprecedented volumes of user-related data. The availability of this data has presented researchers with newer opportunities, pertaining to the research on user behaviour in social networks. The widespread popularity of OSNs is owing to their applicability in numerous areas like finance, bio-informatics, politics, healthcare, social awareness, etc. Using OSNs for diffusing (spreading) information is a part of many real-life activities such as viral marketing [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], online recommendation systems [1], [2], [3], online advertising [1], [2], [3], influential blogger identification [7], [5], healthcare communities [7], [5], and political and social awareness campaigns.

Information gets spread or diffused through interactions between individuals in the society [8]. A real-world social group can be conceptualized as a huge social network (graph) wherein “a node is an abstract representation of an individual user in the real world [9].” Interaction between two users indicates a relation between them and is depicted by an edge connecting the two corresponding nodes [10], [11].

Social Interactions Analysis [12] is all about analysing social interactions for a better comprehension of social structures and user relations. Social Influence Analysis is a favoured research domain under social interactions analysis, “which focuses on studying the process of information diffusion in social networks along with the identification of influential users [3].” Influence Maximization (IM), a prominent research topic within the domain of social influence analysis, aims at finding an answer to the question, "how to maximize the spread of influence across an OSN."

A predominant commercial application of IM is viral (word-of-mouth) marketing an organization does for its product or service. Consider a scenario such that an organization plans to utilize an OSN for viral marketing of its new offering by utilizing an OSN. The organization would thus aim to maximize the spread (reach) of its campaign and touch as many potential customers as possible. Achievement of this goal is reliant on two core steps. First step is seed node identification. Seed nodes are a small subset of OSN users who are the initial adopters of information and initiate the diffusion process. The second task involves the development of a diffusion model pertaining to the underlying information diffusion process. The diffusion model signifies how information would spread from a node to its neighbours, over the edge connecting the two of them. Studies indicate
that “how information is propagating from one user to another heavily impacts the influence spread achieved [13]”. Hence, selection of diffusion model is very critical as the diffusion model outlines the condition(s) for node activation.

The diffusion model helps in assessing the influence spread anticipated to be achieved by the selected seed nodes. Some existing diffusion models for IM are Independent Cascade (IC) [14], Weighted Cascade (WC) [14], Linear Threshold (LT) [14], Trivalency (TV) model [15], and Dynamic Independent Cascade (DIC) model [16]. In all these aforesaid diffusion models, diffusion is reliant on some pre-decided value for propagation probability and is either selected randomly or from a pre-defined set of values. Deng et al. developed the Credit Distribution with Node Features model [17], in which the credits allocated to a node are the deciding factor for its activation. The credits are decided based on the past interactions carried out by the node. Under the Voter model of diffusion [18], [19], a node chooses a successor, based on the probability derived from the assigned edge weights, and aligns its own opinion with the opinion of the chosen successor [20], [21].

Edges surely depict the probable paths for diffusion, but how much diffusion has been carried out over a path, can only be known by studying the connecting node’s past temporal behaviour. Thus, “to develop a more realistic diffusion model, node’s actual past interactions should also be considered [22]” for node activation. Propagation probability signifies likelihood of diffusion, but node’s past interaction pattern presents a more truthful view of diffusion that has actually taken place [23].

User behaviour (interactions) being a time dependent phenomenon, can be represented in the form of a time series and this time series can further be analyzed to discover the existence of a pattern/trend. Although, human are assumed to behave randomly in general, researchers have found that human behaviour tends to repeat over time, thereby displaying statistical similarity. Studies reveal that time series generated analogous to how humans behave in the real-world, has been found to exhibit self-similarity [24]. On similar lines, the behaviour of OSN users over time can also be expected to exhibit statistical self-similarity. Although “the role of self-similarity in edge creation in OSNs [25]” has been explored, exhibition of self-similarity in an OSN users’ past interaction pattern is not a much explored aspect.

Saxena and Saxena [26] presented the Hurst-based Influence Maximization (HBIM) diffusion model, in which firstly a time series has been generated corresponding to each node’s past interactions. Thereafter, Hurst exponent (H) is computed for quantifying the self-similarity trend (SST) displayed by each node’s generated time series. Under HBIM model node activation is reliant on its degree along with the H value quantifying its past activity’s SST.

A time series can be either stationary or non-stationary. Researchers have observed that applying the same method for computing the H value of a stationary as well as non-stationary time series may lead to inaccurate evaluations [27], [28]. Drawing motivation from this notion, a novel diffusion model, called Time Series Characteristic based Hurst-based Diffusion Model (TSC-HDM) is being presented in this paper, which augments the aforementioned HBIM model [26] and incorporates the usage of different methods to compute H value, depending upon if the time series is stationary or not.

Under the proposed TSC-HDM model, firstly a time series corresponding to the past interactions of each node is generated, followed by the computation of H value for quantifying the SST displayed by the time series. Based upon the characteristic of the time series under consideration, i.e., whether it is stationary or not, different methods have been used to compute H value. Thereafter, based on the nature of SST, node activation takes place. Thus, node’s activation is dependent on its past real-time behaviour.

The proposed work has been presented in 5 sections. A brief discussion about the existing models of diffusion for IM is presented in Section II. Section III presents the work proposed in this paper. Experimental setup and analysis of results are discussed in Section IV. Lastly, section V presents the final conclusion.

A. Research contributions of this work

Following are the key contributions of the proposed work:

1. We have proposed a novel diffusion model called Time Series Characteristic based Hurst-based Diffusion Model (TSC-HDM) wherein activation of node relies on the SST displayed by its past interaction pattern, which is quantified by computing the H value for the time series generated based on node’s past interactions.

2. Our model takes into account each node’s influence potential as a criteria for its activation. It does not rely on a random edge propagation probability like many of the existing works done before in the field of IM.

3. Our model computes H value on the basis of whether the generated time series is stationary or non-stationary. This has not been done before and is the first of its kind in the field of IM.

4. This has led to TSC-HDM model outperforming other existing models by 344%. Our model also achieved anywhere from 27% to 590% higher expected influence spread as compared to Independent Cascade (IC), Weighted Cascade (WC), Trivalency (TV), and Hurst-based Influence Maximisation (HBIM) models.

5. Our model is the first to place so much importance on the characteristics of time series - whether they are stationary or non-stationary, and then calculate H value depending on that and this has led to our model performing much better. Apart from this, our paper will be an important landmark as it proves that node activation during the diffusion process should be dependent on the node’s past temporal interaction behaviour.
II. RELATED WORKS

IM is explored as an algorithmic problem in the seminal work of Domingos and Richardson [1]. Following that, for addressing the problem of IM in OSNs, many diffusion models along with seed identification algorithms have been designed. Influence Maximization (IM) largely covers two activities, which are development of the diffusion model and identification of the seed node. “The various existing seed identification algorithms can be classified into two types - heuristic based approaches and greedy based approaches. [7], [13]”. Seed selection in greedy based approaches is done on the basis of the nodes’ marginal gain, whereas in heuristic based approaches seed selection is reliant on the fulfilment of some condition(s).

Maximizing the influence spread across a given network is IM’s aim. “The extent of influence spread attained by the chosen seed nodes is dependant upon the diffusion model [13]”. Hence, quantifying the diffusion process and deciding the criteria for node activation is a critical activity. The following section briefly describes some of the existing diffusion models.

A. Existing Diffusion Models

Three diffusion models were discussed by Kempe et al. [14] namely Independent Cascade (IC), Weighted Cascade (WC), and Linear Threshold (LT). Under IC diffusion model, the network edges are assigned a randomly chosen pre-defined propagation probability. In LT, edges are assigned a randomly chosen pre-defined weight and a pre-defined random threshold value is allotted to each node. When the sum of edge weights of the active neighbours of a node exceeds its assigned threshold value, the node gets activated. WC model is a variant of the IC model, wherein each edge’s probability of propagation is equivalent to the reciprocal of the recipient node’s in-degree. Chen et al. [15] proposed the Trivalency (TV) diffusion model, where an edge’s probability of propagation is randomly chosen from amongst three values, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001.

As can be observed, in all these aforementioned models, diffusion is driven by a randomly chosen value or weight assigned to the edges in the network. These models get behind the idea that an inactive node’s chances of transitioning to an active state increases when the inactive node’s active neighbours increase. Table I given below talks about related works on Influence Maximization.

Dynamic Independent Cascade model (DIC) [16], extends the IC model. Under the DIC model, the edge propagation probability is randomly picked from a pre-defined distribution, but unlike IC, the edge’s probability of propagation isn’t the same for all of the edges. Deng et al. [17] developed the Credit Distribution with Node Features model, where credits are assigned to a node on the basis of it’s past interactions and depending on these credits, the node is activated. Both, dynamic and static influence are taken into consideration while assigning credits to these nodes.

In Voter model of diffusion [19], [18], first a weight is assigned to every edge in the network. Thereafter, based on the probability proportional to the assigned edge weights, a node selects one of its successors and adopts the opinion held by the chosen successor. The Voter model was extended by Li et al. [31] in order to incorporate negative relationships. In their model, if the edge to the chosen successor holds positive opinion, then the opinion of the successor is adopted by the node. Whereas if negative opinion is held by the edge, then an opinion opposite to the opinion of the successor is adopted by the node.
The Opinion-based Cascading (OC) model, which was developed by Zhang et al. [29], studies the spread of positive opinion across an OSN. Under the OC model, an opinion indicator is attached to each node, which depicts a positive, negative, or neutral opinion. When for a node its active predecessors’ collective edge weight exceed the node’s pre-defined threshold, activation of the node takes place. On activation, a node adopts the opinion of the incoming influence.

Saxena and Kumar [22] developed Activity-based IC (AbIC) and Activity-based LT (AbLT) models of diffusion, which draw inspiration from the IC [14] and LT [14] models, respectively. Under AbIC and AbLT models, the number of interactions a node initiated in the past are the basis on which edge propagation probability is computed.

An extension of the classic IC model is the second-order IC model [32]. In the second order IC model, activation takes place at both node and edge level. Each of the seed nodes propagates influence to its out-neighbours with a pre-defined influence probability. Thus, node to node activation takes place in the first order. Additionally, whenever a seed node successfully activates it’s out-neighbour, the connecting edge also becomes active. Thereafter, in the second-order, edge to edge influence propagation takes place, in which every edge which is active attempts to convert their inactive out-edges to active ones with some constant probability.

Yu and Li [33] developed the CMMI model for diffusion that incorporates user preferences and diffusion enhancement. Under CMMI model, a node on receiving information, changes state from inactive to active. Once a node becomes active, it can then move into accepted or rejected state, if the node’s probability of accepting product is greater or less than a pre-defined node transition threshold, respectively. Probability of accepting product is computed based on internal influence (user preference), influence of adjacent nodes, and external influence. Accepted state is indication that the node has accepted the product and will be propagating it further, whereas rejected state is an indication that the node has rejected the product and has refused to propagate it further.

Hudson and Khamfroush [34] developed the Behavioral Independent Cascade diffusion model (BIC) for the purpose of opinion maximization, where user nodes’ opinions and their personalities form the basis for propagation probabilities. Though the BIC model makes use of IC model [14] framework, it differs from it in certain aspects. Unlike the classical IC model, propagation probabilities in the BIC model are not static and pre-determined. Rather, they are dynamically computed before each activation using behaviour and opinion parameters assigned to each node. Further, BIC model permits multiple activation attempts.

Li et al. [35] proposed the User Behavior Model (UBM) for undirected networks. Under UBM, a message is sent by every node to all its neighbours with a pre-defined probability (similar to classic IC model [14]). On the successful receipt of the message, a node gets activated and responds to the message as per its personal interest, and may then send out a message (forward or reply) to all its neighbours. Diffusion stops when all nodes have tried responding to the received messages.

With the aim of developing an integrated information diffusion model, Kong et al. [36] proposed the Diffusion and Influence Model (DIM), which combined the two aspects - diffusion as well as information influence together. DIM consists of two stages, one is called the diffusion stage and the other is called the influence stage. These two stages are represented using diffusion and influence functions, respectively. The diffusion function is a probabilistic function depicting the chance of information being spread by users. The influence function is also a probabilistic function, representing how users in a network get influenced. DIM presents a unified approach for implementing classic diffusion...
models, which can be done by varying the settings of the diffusion and influence functions.

Shrivastava et al. [30] proposed a cost-effective diffusion approach motivated by the classic IC model [14]. Under the proposed model, each active node tries to infect its most influential neighbour with a pre-defined constant probability. In case the node fails to activate its most influential neighbour, it then tries infecting the second most influential neighbour. If it fails again, it tries infecting the third most influential neighbour and so on.

III. PROPOSED TIME SERIES CHARACTERISTIC BASED HURST-BASED DIFFUSION MODEL (TSC-HDM)

This section first of all provides a brief overview of the concepts that have been used for our model and the later part of the section explains the proposed model.

A. Rescaled Range (R/S) analysis

R/S analysis technique helps in assessing a time series’s variability over time [37]. For computing $H$ using R/S analysis technique, firstly divide the full-length time series into various shorter, varying length time series. Thereafter, computation of an average value for the R/S is done [38]. For a given time series $X_t$ of length $n$, where $t \in n$, R/S is calculated as follows:

1) Firstly, mean ($x$) of given time series ($X$) is computed using Eqn. 1

$$x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

2) Then, generate the mean-adjusted series ($Z$) using Eqn. 2

$$Z_t = X_t - x, \hspace{0.5cm} t = 1, 2, \ldots n$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

3) Then, compute cumulative deviate series ($C$) using Eqn. 3

$$C_t = \sum_{j=1}^{t} Z_j, \hspace{0.5cm} t = 1, 2, \ldots n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

4) Generate range ($R$) and standard deviation ($S$) series as indicated in Eqn. 4 and 5

$$R_t = \max(C_1, C_2, \ldots C_n) - \min(C_1, C_2, \ldots C_n), \hspace{0.5cm} t = 1, 2, \ldots n$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$S_t = \sqrt{\frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=1}^{t} (Y_j - u)^2}, \hspace{0.5cm} t = 1, 2, \ldots n$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Use Eqn. 6 to compute Rescaled Range value:

$$(R/S)_t = \frac{R_t}{S_t}, \hspace{0.5cm} t = 1, 2, \ldots n$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Thereafter, estimation of $H$ is done by fitting a straight line through the plot of the values of $\log(R/S)$ vs. $\log(t)$ and ($n$ being the time series length):

$$(R/S)_t \propto t^H$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Slope of the fitted line represents $H$ [37], [38].

B. Detrended Fluctuation Analysis

(DFA) DFA [39] is used for quantifying the SST of a non-stationary time series [27], [40]. For computing $H$ using DFA technique, a time series of length $K$ is first integrated. Assume a bounded time series $y_t$ of length $K$, where $t \in K$. Eqn. 8 first of all converts the bounded time series to unbounded time series $X_t$ [41].

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (y_i - \langle y \rangle),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\langle y \rangle$ denotes the mean of the time series and $x(t)$ denotes the summation profile. Then, integrated time series is divided into shorter time series (boxes) of length $k$ samples each. Next, the fluctuation (mean-squared residual) is calculated using:

$$F(k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{D} \sum_{t=1}^{D} [x(t) - x_{\Delta_k(t)}]^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$D$ signifying the total number of data points. Thereafter, using fluctuation the self similarity in the time series is calculated (Eqn. 10), which is further used to calculate the $H$.

$$F(k) \propto k^{\alpha},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$ln(F(k)) = \alpha ln(k) + ln(C)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $C$ and $\alpha$ denote constant of proportionality and scaling exponent estimated using least-squares fit, respectively. Finally, $H$ is calculated using Eqn. 12

$$H = \alpha(2) - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Development of a model for diffusion of information (influence) across an OSN is an important aspect of IM. A node is either active (influenced) or inactive. Diffusion model outlines the condition(s) to be fulfilled by a node to transition from inactive to active state.

As stated earlier, in the widely popular IC model [14], diffusion is not reliant on any node characteristic, rather it is dependent on a pre-decided probability of propagation. Further, all edges are assigned the same propagation probability. In the LT diffusion model [14], a threshold is assigned to each node, which again is not dependent on any node characteristic and is the same for all nodes in the OSN. This threshold value assigned to a node is to be crossed by the incoming influence, only then the node can get activated. Additionally, influence propagated over an edge is a randomly chosen pre-defined value, which is again same for all edges. The propagation probability in WC model [14], is equivalent to the reciprocal of the recipient node’s degree. TV model [15] picks the propagation probability from the set 0.001, 0.01, 0.1 at random. Under the DIC model [16], propagation probability is randomly picked from a pre-defined distribution.

It can be observed that in most of these popular diffusion models, node activation is not relying on any node characteristics, and is mostly based on a randomly
chosen edge propagation probability or weight. Instead of selecting a random propagation probability, a better approach would be to consider each node’s influence potential as a criterion for its activation. To assess the influence potential of a node, its structural and temporal characteristics are usually considered.

As mentioned before, an aspect that has not been explored much in context with OSN users (nodes) is the SST displayed by a node’s past interactions. Study of human behaviour conducted by Fan et al. [24] suggests that humans have a tendency of repeating their actions in real world, i.e., human behaviour over time can be exhibits statistical self-similarity. On similar lines, OSN users can also be presumed to repeat their behaviour and hence exhibit statistical self-similarity [26]. User behaviour is a time dependent phenomenon and can be represented as a time series and the extent of self-similarity (auto-correlation) in this generated time series can be assessed using Hurst exponent ($H$) [24], [27], [40]. “$H$ measures the relative inclination of a time series to either regress strongly to the mean or to cluster in one direction [42].”

$H$ helps figure out whether a time series is exhibiting an anti-persistent, random, or persistent trend. The value of $H$ lies between 0 and 1. $H$ value between 0 – 0.5 signifies an anti-persistence (long-term switching between high and low values). $H = 0.5$ signifies uncorrelated (random) time series, for which establishment of any trend becomes difficult owing to the non-existence of correlation. $H$ between 0.5 – 1 signifies persistence indicating the possibility of a high value being followed by another high value, and the trend will possibly remain so for a long time.

Drawing motivation from the aforementioned notion, Saxena and Saxena [26] developed the HBIM diffusion model which utilizes degree of the node and the $H$ value corresponding to the SST of its past interactions, as the criteria for node activation. Under HBIM model, a node gets activated if its degree is greater than the average node degree in the network, and if its $H$ value is greater than 0.5. In the HBIM model R/S analysis technique is used to compute the value of $H$ corresponding to a generated time series. But, a time series can be either stationary or non-stationary. Kirichenko et al. [27], Resta [40], and Wairimu [28] have found that applying the same method for computation of $H$ for stationary as well as non-stationary time series may lead to inaccurate evaluations. R/S analysis method has been found to be more suitable for computing $H$ corresponding to a stationary time series, and Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA) method has been found to give more accurate evaluations for non-stationary time series [27], [40], [28].

For getting a realistic estimation of a node’s influence potential, its past temporal behaviour must be regarded as a significant contributor. Driven by this belief, a novel Time Series Characteristic based Hurst-based Diffusion Model (TSC-HDM) is being proposed in this paper, which augments the aforementioned HBIM model [26] and aims to develop a diffusion model for IM, in which diffusion is based on the $H$ value corresponding to the time series of the recipient node’s past interactions, and the method used for computing $H$ is reliant on the characteristic (stationary or non-stationary) of the generated interaction time series. The proposed work supports the premise that node activation during the diffusion process should be dependent on its influence potential, instead of some pre-defined probability or threshold [22], [26].

The HBIM model makes use of R/S analysis method for computing $H$ value corresponding to each generated time series, while not considering the nature (stationary or non-stationary) of the time series. Though R/S analysis is prevalently used for computing $H$, researchers have been found that DFA method is more suitable for making accurate evaluations pertaining to a non-stationary time series [27], [40], [28]. Hence, in the proposed work, each generated time series is first checked for stationarity, and thereafter depending upon the characteristic of the time series (i.e., stationary or non-stationary). R/S analysis and DFA methods have been used for computation of $H$ for stationary and non-stationary time series respectively.

**Algorithm 1** TSC-HDM Diffusion Model

```plaintext
Graph G = (V, E), seed_nodes []; seed node set of size k
expec_spread: expected spread to be achieved

for each node n do
    Generate time series based on past interactions, TS(n),
    for the time span under consideration Using Augmented
    Dicky Fuller method, check if TS(n) is stationary or
    non-stationary if TS(n) is stationary then
        Compute $H$ for TS(n) using the Rescaled Range
        Analysis method
    else
        Compute $H$ for TS(n) using the Detrended Fluctuation
        Analysis method

influenced_nodes[] := seed_nodes[] expec_spread := LEN(influenced_nodes[])

for each seed in seed_nodes do
    for each successor of seed do
        if successor not in influenced_nodes then
            if IF $H$(successor) > 0.5 then
                expec_spread := expec_spread + 1
                influenced_nodes[expec_spread] := successor

Return expec_spread
```

Under the proposed TSC-HDM model diffusion is modelled as a two-step process. First step focuses on the computation and assignment of $H$ to each node based on the SST exhibited by a node’s past interactions. For this, a time series is first created corresponding to each node’s past interaction pattern. Thereafter, using the widely popular Augmented Dicky Fuller (ADF) method the generated times series is checked for stationarity. If the generated time series is found to be stationary, then the $H$ value is computed using the R/S analysis method. However, if the generated time
series exhibits non-stationarity, then DFA method is used for computing the $H$ value corresponding to the SST depicted by the time series under consideration. Once the $H$ values get assigned to each node, the second step commences.

In the second step, the diffusion cascade is initiated using a k-sized seed set, and the diffusion propagates from an active node to its inactive successor if for the successor $H > 0.5$. So, during the first iteration, active seed nodes contact their yet inactive successors, and activate those successors for whom $H > 0.5$. Thereafter, in each subsequent iteration, an active node checks on all its yet inactive successors and activates those whose $H > 0.5$. Thus, in the proposed TSC-HDM model node activation takes place if the node’s predecessor is active and the node’s self $H$ value is greater than 0.5. “Activation criteria of $H > 0.5$ is based on the fact that, $H > 0.5$ depicts a persistent time series [26]”. Diffusion process keeps continuing until no further activation cannot be done. Algorithm 1 presents an outline of the proposed TSC-HDM diffusion model.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

For evaluating the proposed TSC-HDM diffusion model, its performance has been compared with four existing diffusion models for IM, namely IC [14], WC [14], TV [15], and HBIM [26] models. In the IC model, the edge’s probability of propagation has been set as 0.1 for evaluation purposes. All the experiments have been run on Windows Operating System in a Python Environment. The machine on which the experiments have been run has an Intel Core i5-8250U CPU, running at a base clock speed of 1.6GHz. The machine has 8GB RAM. The following section describes the datasets used.

A. Datasets

Four real-world social network datasets, available publicly, were used for evaluations. Each of the four datasets is a directed temporal network. Details of all the four datasets have been shown in Table I.

Each dataset, for the purpose of evaluation, is split into two parts. For calculating $H$ as well as creating time series, the first part is used. For studying the diffusion process, the second part is used. First 100 days data of the UC Irvine dataset, first 1-year data of the Email EU-Core dataset, first 4 years data of the Math Overflow dataset, and first 5 years data of the Linux Kernel dataset is used to compute $H$ and create interaction time series. To study the spread of influence, the remaining data is used.

B. Evaluation of TSC-HDM model

The proposed TSC-HDM diffusion model’s performance is compared to the performance of four diffusion models, namely IC [14], WC [14], TV [43], and HBIM [26] models. The four datasets mentioned previously have been used to do evaluation. Initial sets of seeds having different sizes ($k = 10, 20, 30, 40, \text{ and } 50$) were created using the below-mentioned algorithms for seed selection (number of nodes in the initial set of seeds is denoted by $k$):

- **Degree [14]** – The $k$ nodes which have the highest degree are selected as the seed nodes by this seed selection algorithm.
- **SingleDiscount [43]** – In this algorithm, the node with highest degree becomes the seed node, and the degree of every inactive neighbour of that node gets discounted by 1.
- **DegreeDiscountIC [43]** – It this scheme, node having highest degree node is chosen as seed node. After that, the degree of the node’s yet inactive neighbours is discounted based on the degree of that inactive neighbour and how many of its neighbours have been selected as seeds.

The generated seed sets were given as input to the IC, WC, TV, HBIM and proposed TSC-HDM models. The spread attained by the given seeds under the 5 diffusion models under consideration has been computed and compared. If we start the process with $k$ seed nodes, the overall number of nodes which are expected to be influenced when we reach the end of the diffusion process, are denoted by the Influence Spread attained.

The spread of influence is calculated independently for all the five different seed set sizes, i.e., $k = 10, 20, 30, 40, \text{ and } 50$. For the purpose of representing, the spread of influence attained by the seed set having $k = 50$ has been shown in Fig 1(a), 1(b), 1(c), and 1(d) corresponding to the UC Irvine, the Email EU-Core, the Math Overflow, and the Linux Kernel datasets respectively.

Obtained results depict that the spread of influence attained by our proposed TSC-HDM model is far greater than the influence spread attained by the remaining four existing models under consideration, by the generated seed sets. Hence it has been found that, a greater number of nodes are getting influenced in the proposed TSC-HDM model, in comparison with the IC, WC, TV, as well as HBIM models. Fig 1(a) shows that for the UC Irvine dataset, the spread of influence achieved by the seed set initialized using Degree algorithm under our TSC-HDM model is about 351% more than the influence spread attained under Independent Cascade model, 29% more than WC model, 644% more than TV model, and 114% more than HBIM model. For seed set generated using SingleDiscount algorithm, spread achieved under TSC-HDM is 332% higher than IC, 27% higher than WC, 627% higher than TV model, and 114% more than HBIM model. For seed nodes chosen using DegreeDiscountIC algorithm, influence spread under TSC-HDM is 315% more than IC, 31% more than WC, 616% more than TV, and 110% more than the spread achieved under the HBIM model.

Fig 1(b) shows the spread of influence attained by the seed set generated pertaining to Email EU-Core dataset. Spread achieved by seeds chosen utilizing the Degree
algorithm by our TSC-HDM model is about 67% higher than the influence spread attained by the Independent Cascade model, 96% higher than WC model, and 275% higher than TV model. Seed nodes selected using SingleDiscount algorithm, attain 67% more spread under TSC-HDM as compared to IC model, 94% more than WC, and 264% more than TV model. For seeds chosen using DegreeDiscountIC algorithm, spread under TSC-HDM is 65% more than IC, 103% more than WC, and 245% higher than the spread obtained under the TV model. Influence Spread achieved by seed sets chosen by all the seed selection algorithms which are under consideration, is about 88% higher in our TSC-HDM model, in comparison with the influence spread attained by the same seeds in the HBIM model.

Fig 1(c) shows that for the Math Overflow dataset, influence spread attained by our TSC-HDM model is about 252% more than the influence spread attained by the Independent Cascade model, 134% more than WC, and 852% more than TV model for those seed sets which are initialized by making use of the Degree algorithm. Spread of influence in TSC-HDM is 250% higher than IC, 136% more than WC and 855% more than TV for seed set generated using SingleDiscount algorithm. For seed nodes selected using DegreeDiscountIC algorithm, spread under proposed TSC-HDM is 248% higher than IC, 150% higher than WC, and 840% higher than TV model. Spread of influence attained in our TSC-HDM model is approximately 95% higher than the influence spread attained in the HBIM model by all the seed sets which are under consideration.

Fig 1(d) shows that the spread of influence attained by seeds chosen using Degree and SingleDiscount algorithms is approximately 253% higher under TSC-HDM in comparison with the influence spread attained by the same set of seeds when they are under the Independent Cascade model, and approximately 259% higher for seed set generated using DegreeDiscountIC algorithm. Compared to the spread of influence attained by the WC model, spread attained under proposed TSC-HDM increases by approximately 157% for
Degree seed set, 155% for SingleDiscount seed set and 200% for DegreeDiscountIC seed set. Compared to the spread attained under TV model, the spread achieved under TSC-HDM model increases by approximately 590% for seeds of Degree and SingleDiscount algorithms, and 595% for seeds selected using DegreeDiscountIC algorithm. Compared to the spread attained under HBIM model, the spread of influence attained by our TSC-HDM model is approximately 50% more for seeds of Degree and SingleDiscount algorithms, and 49% for seeds selected using DegreeDiscountIC algorithm.

Thus, it has been affirmed by the results obtained, that in comparison with the influence spread attained under four existing diffusion models under consideration, namely IC, WC, TV, and HBIM models, there is a higher spread of influence in our TSC-HDM model. Consequently, on account of the results obtained, we can come to the conclusion that taking aspects of a node’s past interaction pattern into consideration, results in a better assessment of the influence potential of a node and hence, should be considered as a criterion for node activation during the diffusion process. Furthermore, on comparing the obtained results for HBIM and proposed TSC-HDM models, it can be observed that using different methods for computing $H$ value (corresponding to generated interaction time series), depending upon the time series’ nature - stationary or non-stationary, significantly improves the expected influence spread.

Researchers generally don’t present a comparison of research work related to Influence Maximization in Online Social Networks with existing approaches because the results which are obtained are not comparable directly. Results obtained by a particular approach depend on different parameters such as different types of datasets used. Datasets which are used, many times differ with regards to the type of information they consist of as well as the time period for which the dataset’s data is being considered. For the purpose of comparison, the proposed TSC-HDM model’s performance, with regards to the spread of influence achieved, is compared to nine state-of-the-art existing approaches for IM (where each uses the initial seed set size, $k = 50$), namely LIR [44], A-Greedy [16], LPIMA [45], Genetic Algorithm with Dynamic Probabilities [46], NeighborsRemove [47], DegreeDecrease [47], IGIM [48], IRR [49], and PHG [50].

As per the reported results, LIR [44] achieves an average percentage of spread of influence of 9.13%, A-Greedy [16] attains 1.6%, LPIMA [45] achieves 2.7% and Genetic Algorithm with Dynamic Probabilities [46] achieves 11.33%. NeighborsRemove [47] and DegreeDecrease [47] have been reported to achieve an average percentage of spread of...
influence of 18.91% as well as 18.58% respectively. Average spread percentage for IGIM [48], as per reported results, is 13.27%, for IRR [49] it is 3.39%, and for PHG [50] it is 7.98%. However, an average percentage of spread of influence of 84% is achieved under the proposed TSC-HDM model. Fig. 2 compares the average percentage of influence spread attained under these ten aforementioned approaches towards IM in OSN. The average percentage of spread of influence values for all of the aforementioned nine algorithms along with the proposed TSC-HDM model have also been presented in Table II.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

OSNs have long been researched upon to better understand online social structures and behaviour. A favored research field with regards to OSN is IM, which aims to maximize influence spread in an OSN. The underlying diffusion model imparts significant contribution towards IM as “the influence spread attained by the chosen seed nodes is heavily affected by the underlying diffusion model [13]”.

On reviewing existing literature pertaining to IM, it has been observed that in many of the prevalent models of diffusion, diffusion depends either on a randomly chosen pre-defined edge propagation probability, or on the node’s degree. Though a node’s connections represent the probable paths for diffusion of information, but whether those paths are actually being used for diffusing information from one node to the next can only be known by examining the node’s actual past temporal behaviour. Examining a node’s past interaction pattern can lead to a more truthful view of diffusion that has actually taken place between the node and its neighbours. Hence, to achieve a more realistic diffusion model, actual past temporal behaviour of a node must be taken into consideration, when deciding the criteria for diffusion.

The proposed work is motivated by the belief that to assess a node’s influence potential, the actual extent to which its making use of its connections should be considered as a significant contributor. “A user having less connections but using them often may prove to be more influential than a user having a greater number of connections but using them occasionally” [22]. By studying a node’s past interaction pattern, it can be found out as to how much is it utilising its connections and whether any repetitive pattern (self-similarity) exists in its temporal behaviour [26]. In the proposed work, Time Series Characteristic based Hurst-based Diffusion Model (TSC-HDM) for IM in OSNs has been presented. TSC-HDM draws inspiration from the HBIM model [26], wherein node activation is done based on the statistical SST displayed by the node’s past interactions. The SST exhibited by the time series generated from the node’s past interactions has been quantified using Hurst exponent \(H\).

HBIM model makes use of the same method for computing the \(H\) value of each time series under consideration. However, researchers have found that for computing more accurate value of \(H\) corresponding to a time series, the method for computing \(H\) should take into consideration the nature (stationary or non-stationary) of the time series. Driven by this notion, in the proposed TSC-HDM model, the nature of each time series is first explored, i.e., it is first found out whether the time series under consideration is stationary or non-stationary, and then depending upon the characteristic of the generated time series, separate methods have been used for computing \(H\) value.

The proposed TSC-HDM diffusion model was evaluated using four real-world OSN datasets. Obtained results reveal that TSC-HDM outperforms IC [14], WC [14], TV [15], and HBIM [26] models, as the given seeds achieve much higher influence spread under TSC-HDM. For example, influence spread attained by seed nodes selected from one of the datasets under consideration, is around 253% higher than the spread attained by them under IC model, 157% higher than WC model, 590% higher than TV model, and 50% more than the spread attained under HBIM model.

Hence, taking the node’s past interaction details into consideration, has been found to contribute notably towards improving the influence spread achieved by a seed set. Furthermore, on comparing the performance of the TSC-HDM (proposed) model with the HBIM model, it has been found that using different methods for computing \(H\) value for a time series, by taking stationarity characteristic of time series into consideration, remarkably enhances the spread achieved by a seed set.
A limitation of the work presented in this paper is that in alignment with much of the research works carried pertaining to IM in OSNs, a static snapshot of the data pertaining to the node’s past interactions is being used to assess its temporal behaviour. A node’s past static behaviour forms the base of the proposed TSC-HDM diffusion model, with the assumption that the node can be expected to continue displaying similar behaviour in the future. Approaches developed by taking the other aspects of node’s structure and behaviour into consideration can be further explored.
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