Evidence for decreased precipitation variability in the Yucatán Peninsula during the mid-Holocene

Gabriela Serrato Marks¹,¹,¹,¹, Martín Medina-Elizalde², Stephen J Burns³,³,³,³, Syee Weldeab⁴,⁴,⁴,⁴, Fernanda Lases-Hernandez⁵,⁵,⁵,⁶, Gabriela Cazares¹,¹,¹,¹, David McGee⁷,⁷,⁷,⁷, and Martín Medina-Elizalde³,³,³

¹Massachusetts Institute of Technology
²Auburn University
³University of Massachusetts Amherst
⁴University of California, Santa Barbara
⁵UNAM Campus Juriquilla
⁶Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Unidad Sisal
⁷MIT

November 30, 2022

Abstract

The Yucatan Peninsula has a complex hydroclimate with many proposed drivers of interannual and longer-term variability, ranging from coupled ocean-atmosphere processes to frequency of tropical cyclones. The mid-Holocene, thought to have had warmer north Atlantic sea surface temperatures, provides an interesting opportunity to test the relationship between Yucatan Peninsula precipitation and ocean temperature. Here we present a new, annually resolved speleothem record of stable isotope ($\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$) and trace element (Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca) ratios for a section of the mid-Holocene (5.2-5.7 kyr BP). A meter-long stalagmite from Rio Secreto, a cave system in Playa del Carmen, Mexico, was dated using U-Th geochronology and layer counting, yielding ~decadal age uncertainty. The new proxy data were compared to a previously published late Holocene stalagmite from the same cave system, allowing us to examine changes in hydrology over time without potential inter-cave differences. The $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{13}C$ and trace element data consistently indicate higher mean precipitation and lower precipitation variability during the mid-Holocene compared to the late Holocene. Despite this reduced variability, spectral analysis suggests that multi-decadal precipitation variations were persistent in regional hydroclimate during the mid- and late Holocene. Wet-dry oscillations occurred in association with the higher summer solar input and higher mean precipitation of the mid-Holocene, though with reduced amplitude compared to the late Holocene. We therefore conclude that the Yucatan Peninsula is susceptible to dry periods across climate mean states.
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Abstract
The Yucatán Peninsula (YP) has a complex hydroclimate with many proposed drivers of interannual and longer-term variability, ranging from coupled ocean-atmosphere processes to frequency of tropical cyclones. The mid-Holocene, a time of higher Northern Hemisphere summer insolation, provides an opportunity to test the relationship between Yucatán Peninsula precipitation and ocean temperature. Here we present a new, annually resolved speleothem record of stable isotope (δ18O and δ13C) and trace element (Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca) ratios for a section of the mid-Holocene (5.2-5.7 kyr BP), before extensive agriculture began in the region. A meter-long stalagmite from Rio Secreto, a cave system in Playa del Carmen, Mexico, was dated using U-Th geochronology and layer counting, yielding multidecadal age uncertainty (median 2SD of ± 70 years). New proxy data were compared to an existing late Holocene stalagmite record from the same cave system, allowing us to examine changes in hydrology over time, and to paleoclimate records from the southern YP. The δ18O, δ13C and Mg/Ca data consistently indicate higher mean precipitation and lower precipitation variability during the mid-Holocene compared to the late Holocene. Despite this reduced variability, multidecadal precipitation variations were persistent in regional hydroclimate during the mid-Holocene. We therefore conclude that higher summer insolation led to increased mean precipitation and decreased precipitation variability in the northern YP, but that the region is susceptible to dry periods across climate mean states. Given projected decreases in wet season precipitation in the YP’s near future, we suggest that climate mitigation strategies emphasize drought preparation.
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Key points:
- Stable isotope data confirm a wetter, less variable mid-Holocene climate in the northern Yucatán Peninsula compared to the late Holocene
- Speleothem Mg/Ca has potential for use as a precipitation proxy in the Yucatán Peninsula
1 Introduction

The Yucatán Peninsula (YP) harbors diverse ecosystems, including the Mesoamerican barrier reef and tropical rainforests, and has been inhabited by Maya societies for thousands of years. Biological systems and human societies in the region developed under limited surface and groundwater availability and have therefore been vulnerable to hydroclimate extremes. Under future climate warming scenarios, the YP is projected to receive less wet season precipitation compared to current precipitation (Karmalkar et al., 2011). The important role of hydroclimate variability in shaping the past, present and future of human societies and ecosystems motivates efforts to better document past hydroclimate changes in the YP and their relationships to regional conditions and external forcings.

There has been extensive research on the potential drivers of YP climate variability during the Common Era (CE; past 2000 years), and on the role of drought in the decline of Maya civilization during the Preclassic (droughts at ~180 and 240 CE) and Terminal Classic Periods (750-950 CE) (e.g. Curtis et al., 1996; Hodell et al., 1995; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2010, 2016a). Climate simulations and paleoclimate records suggest that late Holocene precipitation in the YP was linked to North Atlantic climate variability. Potential controls on precipitation amount include changes in sea surface temperature (SST), sea level pressure (SLP) (Bhattacharya et al., 2017), tropical cyclone variability (Frappier et al., 2007, 2014; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a), and the mean position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) (e.g. Bush et al., 2009; Lechleitner et al., 2017; Pollock et al., 2016; Ridley et al., 2015). These climate variations are likely linked, further complicating diagnostics (McGee et al., 2014). YP precipitation variability also suggests a link with El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in the Pacific (Frappier et al., 2014; Giannini et al., 2000, Lachniet et al., 2017; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2017; Metcalfe et al., 2009; Pollock et al., 2016; Stahle et al., 2012).

The mid-Holocene is of particular interest to investigate the role of external forcing on hydroclimate variability in the Caribbean region. During the mid-Holocene, solar radiation was higher in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) during the boreal summer relative to the late Holocene and present (Hodell et al., 1995; Laskar et al., 2004) and ENSO variability was markedly decreased (Carré et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016; Emile-Geay et al., 2016). Limited data on Atlantic and Caribbean sea surface temperatures are available for this time period, but paleotemperature reconstructions indicate that global temperatures reached a local maximum around 6500 years before present (Kaufman et al., 2020). Based on increased NH summer radiation during the mid-Holocene, it is possible that there was stronger seasonality and higher summer SSTs in the North Atlantic and Caribbean (Marcott et al., 2013; Marsicek et al., 2018). Given modern connections between the North Atlantic and Caribbean hydroclimate (e.g. Bhattacharya et al., 2017) and previous paleo research in the southern YP (e.g. Pollock et al., 2016; Wahl et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2020), we expect that the mid-Holocene northern YP was wetter and less variable in precipitation than the late Holocene or the present.

Data from speleothems and sediment cores in the southern YP are broadly consistent with the expectation of wetter mid-Holocene conditions (e.g. Pollock et al., 2016; Wahl et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2020). However, existing paleoclimate records do not address the northeast YP, which is drier than the southern YP at present, nor do they offer a consensus regarding the
magnitude and frequency of precipitation variability during the Holocene. Published
paleoclimate records in the YP are based on proxy data from various archives, including
speleothems (e.g. Akers et al., 2016; Frappier et al., 2014; Pollock et al., 2016, Winter et al.,
2020) and lake, sinkhole, wetland, and swamp sediment cores (Anderson & Wahl, 2016; Curtis
et al., 1996; Douglas et al., 2015; Gutierrez-Ayala et al., 2012; Hodell et al., 2005; Metcalfe et
al., 2009; Rosenmeier et al., 2002; Roy et al., 2017). Therefore, there is a rich body of work that
serves as a foundation for further studies.

Although there are several valuable paleoclimate records available in the YP region (recent
examples include Kennett et al., 2012; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2010, Pollock et al., 2016; Richey
et al., 2009, Ridley et al., 2015, Wahl et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2020), many existing archives do
not have high enough temporal resolution (and low enough age uncertainty) to investigate
interannual to decadal hydroclimate variability in the region. Furthermore, the majority of the
existing records come from Belize and Guatemala (e.g. Pollock et al., 2016; Wahl et al. 2014;
Winter et al., 2020), so there is a paucity of data from the northern YP, including parts of
present-day Mexican states of Quintana Roo, Campeche, and Yucatán. Winter et al. (2020)
highlight the importance of considering the late versus mid-Holocene with sufficient complexity
— despite existing data, more granular records (spatially and temporally) are beneficial.
Therefore, there is a need for climate archives with high temporal resolution from the northern
YP to investigate changes in climate variability in the mid-Holocene.

In order to refine our understanding of hydroclimate variability in the YP and its underlying
drivers during the mid-Holocene, we present stalagmite δ¹⁸O, δ¹³C, Mg/Ca, and Sr/Ca records
spanning the interval between 5.2 and 5.7 kyr before present (BP). The stalagmite we use, named
RS1, was collected in April 2013 from an isolated chamber in the Rio Secreto Cave system (RS),
located in the northeastern YP (Figure 1a). An extensive drip water monitoring system was
installed in 2014; RS1 was sampled closest to Drip Station A referenced in Lases-Hernandez et
al. (2019). RS1 is a ∼1 m tall calcite stalagmite, which was partially collapsed at the time of
collection. It presents visually distinct lamination, allowing development of an age model based
on laminae counting and U-series dating (see Methods). Stalagmite δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C have often
been used to infer changes in precipitation in this region (e.g. Medina-Elizalde et al., 2010;
Ridley et al., 2015; Pollock et al., 2016), while Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca have not been examined
previously in the YP, but have been interpreted to reflect precipitation amount in other settings.

This study examines the new stalagmite record in comparison to another stalagmite-based
precipitation record, known as Itzamna, from the same well-studied cave, spanning ∼3 to 1.6 kyr
BP (Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a). Stalagmite proxy records from the same location allow us to
contrast inferred mid- and late Holocene hydroclimate variability despite the limited growth
interval of each individual sample, and decrease the uncertainty associated with comparing
stalagmite proxy records from different locations and cave environments. Furthermore, this
research focuses on a site located north of existing records, in present-day Quintana Roo (Figure 1a).

1.1 Regional climate
The whole YP experiences a strong seasonality in precipitation amount (Figure 1b), with over
90% of rainfall occurring between April and December in the southern YP (Anderson & Wahl,
2016). The rainy season occurs in the summer and is often interrupted by decreased rainfall in July or August (Anderson & Wahl, 2016; Karmalkar et al., 2011; Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019; Muñoz et al., 2008). About 70% of annual rainfall occurs between June and November (Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016b). Maximum precipitation often occurs in September, when the ITCZ is at its northernmost position and Atlantic tropical cyclone frequency peaks (Kovacs et al., 2017; Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019) (Figure 1b). Strong easterly winds, known as the Caribbean Low Level Jet (CLLJ), bring moisture from the warm Caribbean Sea to the YP (Karmalkar et al., 2011; Muñoz et al., 2008); if enhanced, the CLLJ drives increased moisture transport and convergence in the region (Karmalkar et al., 2011; Mestas-Nuñez et al., 2007; Muñoz et al., 2008). The large-scale structure of the vertically-integrated water vapor fluxes associated with the CLLJ links the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico regions to climate regimes in the US, particularly during boreal summer (Mestas-Nuñez et al., 2007; Muñoz et al., 2008). We note that historical precipitation variability in the YP region is linked to that of the broader Caribbean region, particularly the northern sector, as indicated by spatial-temporal correlation analyses of instrumental precipitation records (e.g. Medina-Elizalde et al., 2017). In addition, it is important to note that up to 20% of cumulative western North Atlantic annual precipitation comes from tropical cyclones (Larson et al., 2005); this indicates that tropical cyclones play an important role in YP precipitation amount.

Within the YP, there are differences in precipitation amount; this is part of the motivation for new paleo records from a less-studied area of the YP. Monthly climatology from 1901 to 2002 reveals that Playa del Carmen, where RS1 was collected, receives significantly less total wet season rainfall than other recently studied sites in the southern YP (Pollock et al., 2016; Wahl et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2020) (Figure 1a). However, RS is also more influenced by tropical storms than are the other sites; a study comparing all the Mexican states noted that Quintana Roo had the highest number of tropical cyclone landfalls on the east coast of Mexico from 1970 to 2010 (Farfán et al., 2014). Our analysis of historical (1842 – 2020 CE) storm tracks at each site indicated that there were 67 tropical cyclones near RS, 33 each at Chen Ha (Pollock et al., 2016) and Lago Puerto Arturo (Wahl et al., 2014), and 9 at Grutas del Rey Marcos (Winter et al., 2020) within 60 nautical miles of each site (Knapp et al., 2010, 2018; Landsea & Franklin, 2013); tropical cyclones included tropical storms through Category 5 hurricanes, but not extratropical storms or tropical depressions. Climate models based on future emissions scenarios indicate that tropical cyclone landfalls may increase in the YP (Appendini et al., 2019), though another study predicted lower wet season precipitation in the YP (Karmalkar et al., 2011). Due to the current frequency of tropical storms in the northeastern YP, it is important to have more climate data from this highly vulnerable region.

1.2 Climate proxies
Stalagmite $\delta^{18}O$ records in Mesoamerica, including the YP, are interpreted to reflect changes in precipitation amount (e.g. Akers et al., 2016; Lachniet et al., 2017; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a, 2016b), with more negative $\delta^{18}O$ values indicating increased precipitation, as expected from an amount effect, or the empirical relationship between precipitation amount and $\delta^{18}O$ composition observed in the tropics from seasonal to interannual timescales (Burns et al., 1998; Dansgaard, 1964; Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019; Vuille et al., 2003). Changes in $\delta^{13}C$ in stalagmites reflect a number of local processes associated with the soil cover, epikarst and vadose zone (Genty et al., 2006). Some of the most common controls include the ratio of C3 to C4 vegetation above the
cave (Burns et al., 2016; Dorale et al., 1998; Webb et al., 2004) and the amount of degassing in
the vadose zone (Lachniet et al., 2004). Rainfall amount can influence drip water δ¹³C (and
therefore stalagmite δ¹³C) by affecting soil moisture and organic matter production, bedrock
dissolution, degassing, and prior calcite precipitation (PCP) (Genty et al., 2006; Ridley et al.,
2015; Wong and Breecker, 2015).

In low-latitude caves where the overlying vegetation is expected to remain relatively stable over
time, stalagmite δ¹³C variability can reflect precipitation amount in the Central American region,
as observed in Belize (Ridley et al., 2015). Low precipitation enhances degassing and PCP,
increases bedrock carbon contributions and decreases soil bio-productivity, all ultimately
increasing drip water δ¹³C and stalagmite δ¹³C (e.g. Ridley et al., 2015; Pollock et al., 2016). In
the YP, we assume that the type of vegetation remained relatively constant over the ~500 years
captured in this research, or that the effects of vegetation changes were substantially smaller than
those of precipitation changes; this is a reasonable assumption because we focus on the mid-
Holocene, before extensive deforestation and maize agriculture (Anderson & Wahl, 2016;
Aragón-Moreno et al., 2012; Islebe et al., 2018). Furthermore, sediment core studies from
Guatemala indicate that there was persistent closed canopy forest during the mid-Holocene,
despite the relatively high occurrence of natural or human-generated fires (Anderson & Wahl,
2016.). Therefore, we will use stalagmite δ¹³C as a proxy for moisture availability in RS1.

Although stalagmite δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C records have been widely interpreted as hydroclimate
proxies, they are not without complexities. Stalagmite δ¹⁸O can be influenced by changes in
moisture source and upstream water vapor history. Similarly, stalagmite δ¹³C can be impacted by
soil and karst processes not directly related to precipitation variability (Genty et al., 2001;
Hellstrom et al., 1998). Moreover, both δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C can also be affected by kinetic
fractionation, especially in low humidity environments. Despite these potentially complicating
issues, previous studies in the YP and Belize present multiple lines of evidence that stalagmite
δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C can record local and regional precipitation amount (Medina-Elizalde et al., 2010,
2016a, 2016b, 2017; Pollock et al., 2016; Ridley et al., 2015). We analyze Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca
ratios to examine their magnitude and frequency variability and to test interpretations from the
more conventional δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C records. This is the first study that examines Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca
ratios in a stalagmite from the YP region.

Many stalagmite analyses in other locations have applied Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca for hydroclimate
reconstruction (e.g. Cruz et al. 2017; Fairchil et al., 2001; Lewis et al., 2011; Roberts et al.,
1998; Steponaitis et al., 2015). Trace element to calcium ratios can track PCP and/or water-rock
interactions, which change based upon soil and water conditions in the local environment (e.g.
Cruz et al., 2017; Fairchild et al., 2000, 2001; Sinclair et al., 2012). In drier conditions, water
moves more slowly through the karst above a cave, so it has more time to degas and become
saturated with calcite ( Tremaine and Froelich, 2013). During PCP, Mg and Sr are preferentially
decluded from the calcite crystal lattice, so Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios in groundwater increase
(Fairchild et al., 2000). Non-PCP interactions between water and host rock, also called calcite
recrystallization, can also occur in the karst, especially when water residence time is high during
dry periods. The chemical signature of recrystallization is similar to that of PCP, but with a
different relationship between Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca (Sinclair et al., 2012). Therefore, Mg/Ca and
Sr/Ca in stalagmites provide an estimate of aquifer recharge and water availability that can serve
as an independent hydroclimate proxy, providing a method to examine whether stalagmite $\delta^{18}O$
primarily reflects changes in local moisture availability (Tremaine & Froelich, 2013).

Figure 1. a. Total rainfall from the summer wet season (MJJAS) in Central America and the
Yucatan Peninsula, averaged yearly. Circles indicate study sites: Rio Secreto Cave (this work),
Lago Puerto Arturo (Wahl et al., 2014), Chen Ha (Pollock et al., 2016), and Grutas del Rey
Marcos (Winter et al., 2020). b. Monthly precipitation in the 0.5° x 0.5° grid cell closest to Rio
Secreto. Rainfall data in a and b are from the Centro de Ciencias de la Atmósfera at the
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) v0705 dataset, 1950 to 2002 (Mendez and
Caetano, 2007). c. Detail of mm-scale layers. Individual layers (orange) are deposited from
bottom to top, with visible changes in thickness over time and changes in growth axis (straight
lines). d. Same as c, without annotations. e. Darker region without visible layers (surrounding the
white rectangle); the region and the white segment below it were not used in this paper. f.
Potential hiatus near the top of RS1. g. Full stalagmite. Colored boxes indicate location of
images shown in c-f.

2 Methods

2.1 Regional setting and cave system
We collected the stalagmite outside the city of Playa del Carmen, Quintana Roo, in the northeast YP (20°35'24.4''N, 87°8'04.2''W, 10-20m above sea level) (Figure 1a). The Río Secreto Cave (RS) entrance is about 5 km from the Caribbean coast. Temperature and relative humidity in RS have been monitored continuously since 2014. Annual mean temperature in the collection chamber varied by 0.1°C, from 24.6 to 24.7°C (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016b). The steady temperature limits the effect of calcification temperature on stalagmite δ¹⁸O (δ¹⁸Ocalcite). RS has a relative humidity of 99.6 ± 0.9% throughout the year (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016b).

Three years of drip water δ¹⁸O (δ¹⁸O_drip) monitoring at 16 drip sites indicated that δ¹⁸O_drip reflects the δ¹⁸O composition of precipitation (δ¹⁸O_precip), and that evaporation does not influence δ¹⁸O_drip (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019). The average δ¹⁸O_drip is -3.9 ± 1‰ (∆2 SD; n = 1043 drip samples collected over 3 years throughout the RS cave system; each sample represents drip water collected for ~48 hours), and the amount-weighted δ¹⁸O_precip is -3.7‰ (n = 36 monthly rainfall samples) (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019). Therefore, the cave drip water accurately records regional δ¹⁸O_precip within typical variability. Although the permeable vadose zone is thin (~10 m), rainfall infiltration rates vary within the cave, with some drip sites showing increased discharge immediately after rainfall events and others lagging by up to three months (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019).

Modern dripwater analyses also showed that Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca decreased significantly during the transition from a dry hydrological year (only 53% of historical mean annual precipitation) to the wettest period studied with >1500 mm in one year (Lases-Hernandez, 2020). Furthermore, farmed calcite analyses indicate that there is active PCP occurring in RS (Lases-Hernandez, 2020). In farmed calcite grown over approximately 2 years, there are positive correlations between Mg/Ca and stable isotope data, but no significant correlation between Sr/Ca and stable isotope ratios (Lases-Hernandez, 2020); however, Lases-Hernandez (2020) argues that the lack of correlation with Sr/Ca could be due to the limited variations in precipitation amounts captured in farmed calcite; there was no farmed calcite experiment during the driest hydrological year in 2016.

Drip water samples closest to the RS1 collection site (Drip Station A) show muted ~2‰ intra-annual (seasonal) variability in δ¹⁸O (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019), and annual mean δ¹⁸O_drip similar to the amount-weighted annual mean δ¹⁸O_precip, which suggests that this chamber has a large reservoir with a mixture of seasonal and seepage flow that averages approximately one year of rainfall accumulation (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019); most recently, the water residence time was estimated to be 4 to 15 months (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2020). Therefore, this study focuses on variability at annual or greater scales. The stalagmite was sampled for proxies with the aim of producing ~annual resolution data. Therefore, we do not expect to resolve individual tropical cyclone events in the record.

2.2 U-Th dating, age modeling and microstratigraphy

The age model for RS1 is constrained by U-Th dating of 16 horizons distributed throughout the length of the stalagmite, performed at MIT and including replicates (Tables 1 and 2, Figure 2). Dating samples weighing ~150 mg were drilled with a vertical mill. Powders were dissolved and spiked with a ²²⁹Th-²³³U-²³⁶U tracer. Based on methods detailed in Edwards et al. (1987), U and
Th were isolated using co-precipitation with Fe oxyhydroxides, and eluted using columns with AG1-X8 resin. A total procedural blank was included with each set of dating samples. U and Th fractions were measured on a Nu Plasma II-ES MC-ICP-MS, as described in Burns et al. (2016). We used an initial $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ atomic ratio of $4.4 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$ to correct for initial $^{230}\text{Th}$ (Taylor & McLennan, 1985). Other initial ratios were also tested, but were not used because they did not change the stratigraphic order of the dates. All ages are reported as years before present (yr BP), where present is 1950 (Table 2).

Close to the bottom of the stalagmite (794 mm from the top), we observed a ~50 mm-long dark brown region (Figure 1). There were no visible layers within the darker region, suggesting that the layering was dissolved and recrystallized, so we infer that this dark area is a diagenetically altered segment. The top of the dark region was used as the cutoff for all analyses, so the dark portion and layers below were not included in this study. Visual inspection also revealed a potential hiatus near the top of the sample (Figure 1). Therefore, the region above the deposited dark material (the top 23 mm) was also excluded from climate analysis or age-depth calculations.

Six of the 16 total dates were not included in the final age model due to low reproducibility, location outside hiatuses, or proximity to possible dissolution features (Table 2; supporting information). Replicates from the same depth were discarded if they did not overlap within 2SD, and samples within 10 mm of a possible dissolution feature were not included.

Age-depth relationships were calculated with the COPRA program (Breitenbach et al., 2012) in MATLAB (version R2018b). The age-depth model was based on the median of 2000 Monte Carlo simulations of 8 unique U-Th dates (10 including replicates). We calculated upper and lower bounds of the 95% confidence interval (CI) to accurately report the uncertainty of the age-depth model. The median age model and the 95% CI limits all fall within the 2SD uncertainty of each U-Th date. The oldest part of the stalagmite used in this study was dated to 5809 ± 52 yr BP and the youngest was 5234 ± 130 yr BP. Therefore, based on U-Th results, the useable portion of the stalagmite spans 575 ± 91 years.

RS1 shows a high deposition rate with visually distinct ~2 mm-thick layers throughout the stalagmite, likely reflecting annual deposition (Figure 1). The layers were distinct enough to count and measure in photographs or hand sample, allowing for counting without microscopy or thin sections. We performed visual counts of the same vertical extent, which yielded 463 ± 38 layers (mean ± 2SD of multiple counts by GSM and GC). The U-Th age and layer count overlapped within uncertainty, so we established a layer count-enabled age-depth model.

We used two U-Th dates (one from the top and one from the bottom) as markers of absolute age: working from the top anchor date, we counted layers upwards to reach the top of the stalagmite (stopping at the hiatus), then went back to the anchor and counted layers downward between other U-Th data points to measure relative change in age. We repeated this process with the lower anchor, counting downward to the bottom (stopping at the dark excluded area), then upward between each U-Th date. There was a two year discrepancy between the counted age from the top versus bottom anchors, so we averaged them to make the counted model. We used the date second from the bottom as an anchor (instead of the date closest to the bottom) because of a major shift in growth rate based on U-Th age-depth modeling that was not replicated in the
stalagmite layer counting. Using the counted model, we generated a simplified age-depth model based on a cubic function ($r^2 > 0.99$; Figure 2) which was used to calculate ages for the time series of geochemical proxies. All age uncertainties reported in this study are based on the 95% CI of the U-Th age model. We also calculated an error-weighted mean age and 2SD error for replicate ages from depth = 133 and 426 mm using IsoplottR. The weighted results were 5346 ± 49 and 5465 ± 46; these weighted ages and errors were used as inputs for the U-Th age model. For a demonstration of how the oxygen isotope record varies when plotted on the layer count-enabled age model versus the median age-depth model from U-Th ages only, see supporting information.

**Table 1.** U-Series data for 16 samples from RSI based on analyses at MIT between 2015 and 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Depth mm</th>
<th>$^{238}$U ng/g</th>
<th>$^{232}$Th pg/g</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>$d^{234}$U %</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>(230Th/238U) activity</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>$^{230}$Th/232Th ppm atomic</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1225</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.95E-02</td>
<td>5.30E-04</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-A1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.84E-02</td>
<td>5.99E-04</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-A2</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.95E-02</td>
<td>5.93E-04</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1 - 3*</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.16E-02</td>
<td>7.00E-04</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1 - 4*</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.93E-02</td>
<td>8.00E-04</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B145</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.95E-02</td>
<td>4.13E-04</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-B1</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.96E-02</td>
<td>5.55E-04</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-B2</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.89E-02</td>
<td>5.49E-04</td>
<td>7475</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G2</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00E-02</td>
<td>7.84E-04</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G3</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.12E-02</td>
<td>5.35E-04</td>
<td>1837</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C100</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.13E-02</td>
<td>5.36E-04</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G4</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.20E-02</td>
<td>5.29E-04</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-3</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.25E-02</td>
<td>7.48E-04</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-C1</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.35E-02</td>
<td>5.52E-04</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-C2</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.80E-02</td>
<td>7.46E-04</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1 - 4</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.37E-02</td>
<td>1.20E-03</td>
<td>1762</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** U-Series dates (n = 16) calculated based on data in Table 1. Ages are given as corrected (corr.) and uncorrected (uncorr.); corrected age in years before present (where present is 1950) was used for age-depth modeling. Dates that were excluded (excl.) or were replicates that were averaged to one date (repl.) are noted in the final two columns. Calculated with an initial $^{230}$Th/$^{232}$Th atomic ratio of 4.4 ± 2.2 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Depth mm</th>
<th>Uncorr. Age (yr)</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>Corr. Age (yr before chem.)</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>$d^{234}$U init. %</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>Corr. Age (yr before 1950)</th>
<th>± 2σ</th>
<th>Excl.</th>
<th>Repl.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5564</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5345</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5280</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-A1</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5423</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5368</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-A2</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5581</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5451</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5390</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-3*</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>5800</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5710</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5640</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-4*</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6570</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B145</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>5560</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5520</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5450</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-B1</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>5578</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5548</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5480</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-B2</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>5517</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5514</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5450</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G2</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>5611</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5584</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5520</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G3</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>5775</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5761</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5690</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C100</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>5772</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5740</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5670</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-G4</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>5844</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5778</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5710</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-3</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>5912</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5870</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5806</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-C1</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>5867</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5756</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5690</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-C2</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>6541</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>6503</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6440</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-4</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>6013</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>5998</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5934</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Age-depth relationship for RS1 based on Monte Carlo modeling of ten U-Th dates and layer counting. The median and 95% confidence interval age models used U-Th dates only; the layer count-enabled model is shown in pink and is used for the time series plots in subsequent figures.

2.3 Proxy measurements ($\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$; Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca)
Calcite samples for stable isotope analysis were drilled at a ~2 mm resolution in a continuous track parallel to the growth axis (n = 335 samples). The δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C analyses were carried out using a Thermo Scientific MAT253 Stable Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer online coupled to a Kiel IV at University of California Santa Barbara. About 40-50 µg of each sample were reacted using 105% phosphoric acid addition. The evolving CO₂ was cryogenically cleaned before introduction into the mass spectrometer. The δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C data are reported on the Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) scale. The precision of the δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C analysis, assessed by analyzing NBS 19 standards, was ±0.07‰ and ±0.05‰ (2SE), respectively.

Additional samples (weight = ~2 mg) were drilled for trace element analysis at a ~2 mm resolution in locations within 0.25 mm of the stable isotope powder samples; for any difference larger than 0.25mm, the two types of data are reported at separate depths. Each sample was dissolved and diluted with 3% nitric acid. Standards with similar Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios and concentrations were prepared using single-element standards. Analyses of Mg, Sr, and Ca were performed at MIT on an Agilent 7900 ICP-MS in no-gas mode. Data were corrected for blank intensities, isotopic abundances, and instrumental drift. Relative deviation in standards during one day of analysis averaged 4% (n = 5 standards per day) after these corrections. Replicate runs of identical solutions on different days also varied by an average of 4%. Replicate powders drilled from the same depth but at different distances from the growth axis varied by 1% or less in both Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca. All future references to trace elemental ratios in this work will be referring to Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca.

2.4 Data analysis
We used Spearman’s rank correlation, a non-parametric correlation analysis, to test for relationships between the proxies. We used a two-tailed correlation and p-values < 0.05 were considered significant. The rationale behind using Spearman’s rank correlation instead of a parametric correlation analysis, like Pearson’s correlation coefficient, was to remove the assumption of a linear relationship between the proxies. Instead, Spearman’s ρ measures monotonic relationships. A monotonic relationship is more likely than a linear relationship between geochemical proxies controlled by different physical mechanisms, even if they are all controlled (at a high level) by hydroclimate; in other words, we expect both δ¹⁸O and Mg/Ca to increase with drying, but we do not expect Mg/Ca to increase linearly with δ¹⁸O. We also used a wavelet toolbox (Grinsted et al., 2004) with MATLAB versions 2018b and 2020a for wavelet analyses of periodicity (supporting information).

Results
3.1 U-Th dating and age model development
This stalagmite has precise age control, with age model uncertainty substantially lower than those found in nearby stalagmites of similar age due to its low detrital Th content (e.g. Akers et al., 2016; Pollock et al., 2016; Winter et al., 2020; Table 1); the median 2SD age uncertainty of U-Th dates was ±70 years. Therefore, RS1 and Ilzamna are the oldest stalagmite records from the YP with median age uncertainty <100 years (Medina-Elizalde et al., 2017).

After establishing the layer count-enabled age-depth model, we found that the usable portion of the stalagmite grew from 5727 ± 52 to 5264 ± 130 yr BP, or 463 years (2SD uncertainty based on U-Th dates).
3.2 Stable isotopes

3.2.1 Comparison to modern drip water

We sampled RS1 continuously at 2 mm resolution (n = 335 samples) in a region of the
speleothem modeled to span 463 years, meaning that each sample averaged ~1.3 years; all proxy
data were resampled to annual resolution to remove potential effects of sampling frequency and
variable growth rate. Mean $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ was $-5.50 \pm 1.02\%$ and mean $\delta^{13}C_{\text{calcite}}$ was $-9.43 \pm 1.99\%$
(n = 463 points; mean ± 2SD; see supporting information for statistics without resampling).
Mean $\delta^{18}O_{\text{drip}}$ in the modern RS cave system is $-3.9 \pm 1\%$ (VSMOW; ± 2SD). Using the
Tremaine et al. (2011) equation for equilibrium fractionation and temperature = 24.5°C, we
calculate that equilibrium precipitation of calcite would yield $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}} = -4.8\%$. This value
overlaps with $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ in the late Holocene stalagnite from RS (Itzamna $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}} = -4.8 \pm
0.1\%$; mean ± 2SE) within error, suggesting late Holocene precipitation at or near equilibrium.

For a back of the envelope calculation of potential drip water composition in the mid-Holocene,
we assume mean cave air temperature was still 24.5°C. The reversed Tremaine et al. (2011)
equilibrium calculation, using $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}} = -5.5\%$, suggests $\delta^{18}O_{\text{drip}}$ would have been
approximately -4.6%. This more negative value (in comparison to modern drip water, ~3.9%) supports previous research showing that the mid-Holocene was wetter than the late Holocene, as
detailed in the Discussion.

3.2.2 Timeseries analysis

$\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{calcite}}$ are significantly positively correlated with each other in the RS1 growth
period (Figures 4 and 5; $\rho = 0.507$, $p < 0.001$); furthermore, when the record was broken into
50-year-long windows, they are significantly correlated in 8 out of 9 windows. Although some
research has linked covariation in $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ to kinetic fractionation (e.g. Lachniet et al.,
2004), previous work in this cave found that kinetic fractionation was not significant and that
relative humidity is near 100% throughout the year (Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019; Medina-
Elizalde et al., 2016a); therefore, we suggest that the correlation between $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{calcite}}$
is due to their common dependence on hydrologic variability.

There were four short periods with $\delta^{18}O$ ratios 2SD less than the mean, interpreted as wet periods
that ended at 5551 ± 43, 5493 ± 36, 5351 ± 41, and 5299 ± 55 yr BP (Figure 3). There were also
five similarly short periods with $\delta^{13}O$ at least 2SD greater than the mean, interpreted as dry
periods, ending at 5668 ± 64, 5571 ± 63, 5404 ± 39, 5363 ± 39, and 5308 ± 49 yr BP (Figure 3).
Note that absolute age is based on the layer count-enabled age model and the 2SD age
uncertainty is based on the U-Th age model. During each of these events, both wetter and drier,$\delta^{18}O$ values >2SD outside the mean (interpreted as the culmination of the event) lasted for less
than 10 years, but were part of a longer period of change (decades-long).
Figure 3. δ^{18}O, δ^{13}C, Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca data for the growth period of RS1, a stalagmite from the Yucatan Peninsula, resampled to annual resolution. Vertical bars highlight periods with δ^{18}O values at least 2SD greater than (tan) or less than (blue) the mean (-5.50‰). See supporting information for a version of this figure without resampling.
Figure 4. a. Cross plots of trace element to calcium ratios and stable isotope data measured in RS1. All data have been resampled to annual resolution to remove sampling bias and are colored according to their age. b. Correlation coefficient (ρ) for Spearman’s rank correlation tests on 50-year-long windows and overall. Cross hatching shows p-value > 0.05, which is not significant. Cross plot of Sr/Ca and Mg/Ca ratios. The RS1 data have a nearly flat slope (m = 0.17). Higher slopes (m = 0.7 – 1) associated with prior calcite precipitation (Sinclair et al., 2012) are shown for reference, but do not match the RS1 data.
### 3.3 Trace elements

Our results show that mean mid-Holocene Mg/Ca was $2.61 \pm 1.29$ mmol/mol and Sr/Ca was $0.17 \pm 0.05$ mmol/mol (±2SD). Spearman’s rank correlations showed a weak but significant correlation between annual Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca data ($\rho = 0.35$, p-value << 0.01; Figure 4), meaning that Mg and Sr share some common controls. The youngest 50 years (5300 to 5250 yr BP) have the highest correlation ($\rho = 0.76$, p-value << 0.01), perhaps because Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca both increase during that period (at the same time as a short increase in $\delta^{18}$O), interpreted as drying. It is possible that this period was the beginning of a severe dry event that produced the hiatus that ended the RS1 record.

We tested whether the relatively low $\rho$ value for the correlation between Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca was due to sub-decadal noise by applying a low-pass Butterworth filter at 2-year and 5-year frequencies. The correlation only increased a small amount ($\rho = 0.36$ for 2-year low-pass and $\rho = 0.37$ for 5-year low-pass); the minimal increase in rank correlation indicates that sub-decadal noise was not the primary difference between Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca. Given these results, we hypothesize that Sr/Ca did respond to hydroclimate changes, but Sr incorporation was additionally influenced by growth rate and axis changes.

There is low but significant correlation between Mg/Ca and $\delta^{18}$O$_{calcite}$ ($\rho = 0.25$, p-value << 0.01) and $\delta^{13}$C ($\rho = 0.10$, p-value = 0.027) throughout the record (Figure 4). Correlations between Sr/Ca and stable isotope data were not significant, yielding $|\rho| < 0.06$ and p-value > 0.3 for both $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C (Figure 4).

We also tested correlations within 50-year-long windows, rather than in the full record, to allow for changes in the initial trace element composition of dripwater through time. Within these windows, Sr/Ca and Mg/Ca are more correlated with $\delta^{13}$C than they are with $\delta^{18}$O: trace element ratios and $\delta^{13}$C are significantly positively correlated in more windows (Sr/Ca = 4/9, Mg/Ca = 7/9) than trace elements and $\delta^{18}$O (Sr/Ca = 1/9, Mg/Ca = 3/9) (Figure 4).

That said, there are also several instances where Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca values increase dramatically, sometimes as much as two-fold. Many of the increases in trace element ratio values coincide with elevated stable isotope values (indicating drier conditions), despite a weaker Sr/Ca response (Figures 3 and 4). More specifically, increases in Mg/Ca occur synchronously with previously noted $\delta^{18}$O excursions at 5668 ± 64, 5404 ± 39, 5363 ± 39, and 5308 ± 49 yr BP. This result, along with significant correlations between Mg/Ca, $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O, supports the interpretation of $\delta^{18}$O as a proxy for local moisture availability.

However, we also note periods where $\delta^{18}$O and the other two proxies diverge. One example occurs at 5571 ± 63 yr BP, where $\delta^{13}$C, Sr/Ca, and Mg/Ca all increase rapidly a few years after an increase in $\delta^{18}$O (Figure 3, noted with tan bar); the trace element and $\delta^{13}$C values lag behind the $\delta^{18}$O values. These anomalies could be related to threshold behavior in the epikarst, meaning that increases in prior calcite precipitation, water-rock interactions, and degassing, and therefore increases in Sr/Ca, Mg/Ca, and $\delta^{13}$C, happen more slowly than the $\delta^{18}$O$_{precip}$ signal is transmitted to the stalagmite.
As indicated above, Sr/Ca behaves differently from Mg/Ca during several events in the RS1 record; these events are one source of low correlation between Sr/Ca and other proxies. For example, during the dry anomaly centered at 5404 ± 39 yr BP (Section 3.2.2), there is a synchronous increase in δ¹⁸O, δ¹³C, and Mg/Ca ratios, but not Sr/Ca (Figure 3). Increased proxy values suggest a 20-year-long period with drier hydroclimate, which we report with high confidence because of the significant correlations and similar event duration between 3 of the 4 proxies (Figure 3). During the 50-year-long window encompassing that event, there are significant positive correlations between δ¹⁸O, δ¹³C, and Mg/Ca, but not with Sr/Ca; in fact, there is a significant negative correlation between δ¹³C and Sr/Ca (Figure 4b).

Furthermore, when Sr/Ca was measured in modern farmed calcite and drip water from RS, results indicated that Sr/Ca was not correlated with precipitation amount on monthly timescales (Lases-Hernandez, 2020). The lack of correlation was ascribed to a lack of major differences in precipitation amount during the calcite growth. In combination with the calcite results reported here, it appears that there are additional factors controlling Sr/Ca in RS. These primary drivers could include sea spray and calcite growth rate changes; previous research in RS demonstrated that the drip water was enriched in chloride in comparison to rainfall (Lases-Hernandez, 2020).

3.3.1 Relationship with drip water trace element compositions
Regression of the calcite Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca data in log space yielded a nearly flat slope (m = 0.17; Figure 5c). This result suggests that PCP was not the dominant control on Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca during the mid-Holocene (Sinclair et al., 2012). Instead, the regression yields a slope similar to that reported to relate to water-rock interactions (m = 0.18), including calcite recrystallization (Sinclair et al., 2012). Therefore, calcite recrystallization could be the main driver of variability in Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios (Sinclair et al., 2012). During the last part of the record (from 5300 years BP to the hiatus), the data have a different slope (m = 1.11, n < 40 samples), which could indicate prior calcite precipitation leading into the hiatus at the top of the sample.

Lases-Hernandez (2020) reports that there is active PCP in the modern cave. Therefore, the lack of evidence for PCP in trace element ratios from RS1, when considered alongside stable isotope data that suggest a wetter hydroclimate during the mid-Holocene, supports increased precipitation in comparison to the late Holocene and today. We cannot definitively confirm whether there was PCP in the pre-industrial late Holocene, however, without trace element ratios from a late Holocene stalagmite. Therefore, Mg/Ca may provide an independent tool to assess whether the stable isotope data primarily reflect hydrological changes in RS, but Mg/Ca needs to be more broadly applied to be most useful.

3.4. Spectral Analysis
We used wavelet analysis to quantitatively examine periodicity (supporting information). The limited window captured by the stalagmite means that it is difficult to find long-term periodicity that is statistically significant, but the proxies recorded signals with 32-128 year periods with limited statistical significance (supporting information). Due to the lack of robust periods, we will focus on other types of comparisons.

3.5 Comparison to other records
There are several existing paleoclimate records from the YP region (see Section 1 for a longer summary), including both sediment and speleothem records. Here we compare RS1 to three such records: Lago Puerto Arturo (LPA) from Wahl et al. (2014), which used stable isotope ratios as proxies for hydroclimate; Chen Ha from Pollock et al. (2016), a stalagmite with a similar resolution covering a similar time period (Figure 5); and GU-RM1, a speleothem from Grutas del Rey Marcos, Guatemala in Winter et al. (2020), which provides longer context for RS1. The LPA core and GU-RM1 speleothem each have a much lower resolution than RS1 or Chen Ha, with only 9 data points from LPA and 8 from GU-RM1 during the RS1 growth period (Figures 7 and 8).

Qualitatively, however, the RS1 and LPA oxygen isotope records have a very similar pattern, with an increase toward wetter conditions around 5675-5650 yr BP, followed by a shift back to drier conditions after 5600 yr BP. RS1 appears to be a higher resolution version of the Wahl et al. (2014) record from the beginning to 5550 yr BP (Figure 5).
Pollock et al. (2016) does not show the same change toward wetter conditions around 5675 and has a different or lagged pattern than the other two records from 5700 to 5500 yr BP (Figure 5); for example, the maximum δ¹⁸O value in RS1 occurs at 5571 yr BP, and the Chen Ha sample has a similarly shaped local maximum at 5556. A lead or lag of ~25 years would be within age model uncertainty for both Pollock et al. (2016) and RS1.

Figure 5. Oxygen isotope ratio data for RS1 (this study, a), a sediment core from Lago Puerto Arturo (Wahl et al., 2014; b), and Chen Ha, a speleothem from Belize (Pollock et al., 2016; c). Data are plotted without resampling or smoothing.
Figure 6. Longer records from Guatemala covering the mid- and late Holocene. a, a sediment core from Lago Puerto Arturo (Wahl et al., 2014) and b, a speleothem from Grutas de Rey Marcos (Winter et al., 2020). The blue box indicates the growth period of RS1 (this study).

In context with longer YP records, such as those of Wahl et al. (2014) and Winter et al. (2020) from Guatemala, RS1 grew at the end of what previous studies have called the wetter mid-Holocene or stable regime (Figure 6). We compared RS1 to these two datasets because they each encompass the full length of RS1, plus several thousand years before and after its growth. RS1 stopped growing at 5234 ± 130 yr BP, just as a centennial-scale drying period began in the Winter et al. (2020) speleothem (Figure 6).

Itzamma, a stalagmite from RS that grew during a more recent time period than RS1 (~3000-1500 years BP), has been used to study the Maya Terminal Classic Period. Because these two stalagmites came from the same cave and have similar dating errors, comparing them allows for an analysis of precipitation variability and amount over time. The late Holocene Itzamma δ¹⁸O record has a lower resolution, with an average of 8 years per sample, so we applied an 8-year low-pass Butterworth filter to the higher resolution RS1 δ¹⁸O record. This filtering method served to remove any variance that would not have been captured in the Itzamma record.
Figure 7. a. Time series records of $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ in Itzamna (Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a) and RS1. 

b. Standard deviation of 500-year-long snapshots of $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ from Itzamna (Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a) and RS1 (with an 8-year low-pass Butterworth filter). Variability and median $\delta^{18}O$ are both significantly lower in RS1 than in Itzamna (F-test for variance, $p << 0.001$; Mann-Whitney U-test for median, $p << 0.001$).

The median $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ for Itzamna was -4.9‰, significantly less negative than RS1’s median $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ = -5.5‰ (Figure 7; Mann-Whitney U-test, $p << 0.001$). The variance in the two stalagmites is also statistically different (F-test, $p << 0.001$), with RS1 showing less variability than Itzamna (Figure 7); variability in Itzamna increased over time, but was always greater than that in RS1. We acknowledge that there may be differences in how $\delta^{18}O$ responded to precipitation change in the two stalagmites and that these results are not purely indicative of climatic shifts. Nonetheless, comparing samples from the same cave controls for regional or local climate features that could interfere with comparing stalagmites from different caves.

4 Discussion

4.1 Mid-Holocene hydrological variability in the RS1 record

There are notable dry periods (more positive ratios, greater than 2SD above mean $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$) reaching local $\delta^{18}O$ maxima at 5668 ± 64 and 5571 ± 63 yr BP that lasted for 20-50+ years. We
note that some Mesoamerican droughts in both the Common Era and the past century had similar multidecadal lengths (e.g. Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016a). This similarity shows that multidecadal precipitation cycles are an integral feature of YP hydroclimate, occurring even during a period of inferred higher mean precipitation and reduced precipitation variance. Both of the multidecadal dry periods have a sawtooth pattern in the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$, with slow drying and a rapid change back to wetter conditions. Although the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ was only outside the $2\sigma$ envelope briefly (a few years at the inferred maximum of the dry period), the slow drying lasted for decades.

The RS1 record also revealed three shorter dry intervals (duration $\leq$ 20 years) at 5404 $\pm$ 39, 5363 $\pm$ 39, and 5308 $\pm$ 49 yr BP, noted as anomalies in both stable isotope and Mg/Ca data, which were previously undetected in lower resolution records (e.g. Wahl et al., 2014). In addition, although wet intervals are less well-studied than droughts in the YP, we also found a $\sim$20 year-long event with a local $\delta^{18}O$ minimum at 5493 $\pm$ 36. These short events were only detected because of the $\sim$annual sampling resolution of RS1.

Taken together, the qualitative agreement and the statistical correlations between trace elements and stable isotopes show that it is feasible to use $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{13}C$, and Mg/Ca as paleoclimate proxies in this region on multi-year timescales. Furthermore, we suggest that it is prudent to collect data on multiple types of proxies because they record hydrological variability in different ways, potentially enriching the interpretation of the record.

4.2 Comparison to other records
Analysis of RS1 compared to Itzamna showed decreased variability and increased mean $\delta^{18}O$ in the mid-Holocene compared to the late Holocene. Although it would be ideal to have one stalagmite sample that grew over the entire mid- and late Holocene, we do not have that specimen. Instead, we have to assume that the differences in the variability of both $\delta^{18}O$ records are primarily due to changes in hydroclimate over time. Lower average $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ during the mid-Holocene (RS1 growth period) suggests that there was more precipitation than during the late Holocene. Trace element ratios with a lack of evidence for PCP (despite the presence of PCP in present-day RS) also support a wetter mid-Holocene, as the aquifer may have been too wet for PCP to occur in the epikarst.

These observations are consistent with results from previous sediment and stalagmite studies in Belize that found wetter, less variable mid-Holocene hydroclimate (e.g. Metcalfe et al., 2009; Pollock et al., 2016) in comparison to the later Holocene. Lacustrine records from the YP also showed higher mid-Holocene lake levels (e.g. Hodell et al., 1995; Whitmore et al., 1996), and a series of calcite rafts from other caves in the YP show progressive drying from 7,000 years BP to the present (Kovacs et al., 2017). While it is possible that the difference in $\delta^{18}O$ between RS1 and Itzamna is not solely due to decreased precipitation amount, the similarity between our findings and published data suggests that the northeastern YP, like Belize and Guatemala, was wetter during the mid-Holocene than the late Holocene.

The apparent $\sim$25 year lead/lag between Pollock et al. (2016) and RS1 is within age model uncertainty for both stalagmites, so it is possible that the two records are actually changing synchronously at a decadal scale. If there is indeed a lag between the major shifts in oxygen isotope ratios, that would indicate the presence of a precipitation control that first impacted the
northern YP and did not affect the southern YP and Belize until later. Regional agreement among these paleoclimate records, across proxies and archives, within age model uncertainty suggests that the driver of increased precipitation amount and decreased precipitation variability is not isolated to this cave site or restricted to this short interval of the mid-Holocene. Instead, the driver(s) is at least regional in scale, and persisted for a large portion of the mid-Holocene.

Furthermore, comparing RS1 to the sediment record from LPA (Wahl et al., 2014) showed that the $\delta^{18}O$ shifts seen in the southern YP between ~5750-5550 yr BP are reproducible at higher temporal resolution and are qualitatively similar to those found in a different archive from the northern YP. The coherence between the records further supports the use of $\delta^{18}O$ to reconstruct past hydroclimate in Mesoamerica.

Based upon the longer records shown in Figure 6, it appears that RS1 captured a representative part of the mid-Holocene, not an extremely wet or variable period, so we suggest that the ~500 year-long RS1 record applies to the whole mid-Holocene. We now explore potential drivers of increased precipitation amount and reduced variability in the mid-Holocene.

Increased precipitation amount is likely due (in part) to increased insolation seasonality during the mid-Holocene, which preferentially warmed North Atlantic summer SSTs, promoting increased YP precipitation via enhanced moisture transport by the CLLJ and a more northerly mean position of the Atlantic ITCZ. This link between North Atlantic SSTs and YP precipitation has been observed in the instrumental record and model simulations (Bhattacharya et al., 2017), and has been invoked to explain other observed proxy records (Ridley et al., 2015; Pollock et al., 2016; Wahl et al., 2014). Other work, however, also emphasizes the importance of the pressure gradient between the western tropical Atlantic and the eastern tropical Pacific in driving Mesoamerican precipitation variability in the late Holocene (Bhattacharya and Coats, 2020; Wahl et al., 2014), suggesting that wet mid-Holocene conditions in the YP may also have required relatively high SLP over the eastern tropical Pacific.

Increased tropical cyclone activity could have been partially responsible for higher YP precipitation in the mid-Holocene. Pausata et al. (2017) modeled tropical cyclone activity at 6 kyr BP and demonstrated that increased seasonality, a vegetated Sahara, and a reduction in Saharan dust emissions could lead to an increase in tropical cyclones during the mid-Holocene, especially in the Caribbean. Under modern conditions, RS is impacted by a greater number of historical tropical cyclones, but has less total summer precipitation than the Guatemala and Belize regions of the YP (Section 1). Therefore, an increase in tropical cyclones would have a larger impact on the northern YP than in the south, potentially explaining why the $\delta^{18}O$ change appears larger in RS1 than in the records from the southern YP (Figure 6). We cannot resolve individual high-precipitation events in our record due to the nature of water infiltration into the karst at RS, so other types of archives would be better suited to specifically identify the impact of individual tropical cyclones in the YP during the mid-Holocene.

Lower precipitation variability during the mid-Holocene could be related to reduced ENSO variability. Several studies have shown that the mid-Holocene was a period of reduced ENSO variance compared to the late Holocene (Carré et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016; Emile-Geay et al., 2016; Koutavas et al., 2006; Koutavas and Joanides, 2012). Summer CLLJ variability is thought
to be linked to tropical Pacific variability (Muñoz et al., 2008), so decreased Pacific SST
variability could lead to a more stable CLJJ, yielding the diminished precipitation variation we
observe in RS1. Furthermore, previous modeling, monitoring, and proxy data have suggested
that ENSO mean state influences tropical Atlantic cyclone formation (Elsner et al., 1999;
Frappiere et al., 2014; Lases-Hernandez et al., 2019; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2016b; Wu & Lau,
1992). Therefore, decreased ENSO variability during the mid-Holocene could reduce changes in
the frequency of tropical cyclones, further decreasing the amplitude of precipitation variability in
the YP.

Our study contributes to a wide range of work linking changes in Atlantic SSTs, including
Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV), to Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico hydroclimate
(Alexander et al., 2014; Battacharya et al., 2017; Karmalkar et al., 2011; Knight et al., 2006;
Winter et al., 2020). The high-resolution data presented here will allow for comparisons with
SST reconstructions to better understand how future SST shifts will impact Central American
hydroclimate. Instrumental, paleoclimate, and modeling data also support a link between AMV
and hydroclimate over multiple other regions, including the North Atlantic (Knight et al., 2006),
northeastern Brazil (Sutton et al., 2005), African Sahel (Folland et al., 1986; Rowell et al., 1992),
western Europe (Folland et al., 1986; Knight et al., 2006; Sutton et al., 2005), and North America
(Fensterer et al., 2012; Folland et al., 2001; Medina-Elizalde et al., 2017). Future work should
examine whether paleoclimate records with decadal-scale resolution from these other regions
also show reduced variance in the mid-Holocene relative to the late Holocene.

Regardless of the climate dynamics at play, the anomalous precipitation events (both those less
than 20 years long and others that were 20-50 years long) observed in RS1 indicate significant
multidecadal wet-dry cycles, much like there are in the present and late Holocene YP, despite the
wetter, warmer climate state of the mid-Holocene. Thus, we expect similar, multidecadal
droughts both under future climate warming and in other paleoclimate records from this region,
including others that overlap with shifts in ancient Maya society.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we have presented a precisely dated, high-resolution, multi-proxy YP paleoclimate
record spanning a 463-year-long interval (5727 ± 52 to 5264 ± 130 yr BP) of the mid-Holocene.
Results from this study suggest that multidecadal precipitation variations (both wet and dry)
were a persistent feature in regional hydroclimate during the mid-Holocene, just as they were in
the past 2 millennia, but with reduced amplitude. The record is consistent with previous
observations of southern YP hydroclimate, which found increased precipitation in the mid-
Holocene. High-resolution proxy sampling (1.3 years per sample) in RS1 also allowed us to
detect anomalous precipitation events with durations of less than 20 years.

Because the mid-Holocene had a different climate mean state (more summer solar input and
higher mean precipitation) than the late Holocene, we conclude that background climate can
impact precipitation variability in the YP. We suggest that mid-Holocene reductions in ENSO
and/or AMV variability, driven by altered seasonality, led to more stable precipitation patterns
throughout the YP. As background climate changes under anthropogenic warming conditions, it
will be important to examine changes in precipitation mean and variance indicated by climate
models. Model simulations of future hydroclimate can be tested by comparing predicted variance
at 6 kyr BP to that recorded in other proxy records and 6 kyr models. Given that the YP is already vulnerable to tropical cyclones and may also face decreased wet season precipitation in the future, it is critical for projections to be as accurate as possible. We suggest that the mid-Holocene offers an important test for model performance that can be used to assess the substantial disagreements between future projections in the region (Bhattacharya & Coats, 2020), providing improved confidence in climate adaptation strategies for its approximately 4 million residents.

This work presents the first record of stalagmite Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios in the Yucatán Peninsula. Our results support the inclusion of trace element ratios in stalagmites that cover changes in ancient Maya civilization to provide additional climate information. These results are a step forward in YP paleo proxy interpretations and provide a better understanding of controls on precipitation amount and variability.
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