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Ocean worlds have been identified as high-priority astrobiology targets due to the link between life and liquid water. Young surface terrain on many icy bodies indicates they support active geophysical cycles that may facilitate ocean-surface transport that could provide observables for upcoming missions. Accurately interpreting spacecraft observations requires constraining the relationship between ice shell characteristics and interior dynamics. On Earth, the composition, physical characteristics, and bioburden of ocean-derived ices are related to their formation history and parent fluid composition. In such systems the ice-ocean interface, which exists as a multiphase mushy layer, dictates the overlying ice’s properties and evolution. Inclusion of the physics governing these boundaries is a novel strategy in modeling planetary ices, and thus far has been limited to 1D approaches. Here we present results from 2D simulations of an archetypal ice-ocean world. We track the evolution of temperature, salinity, porosity, and brine velocity within a thickening ice shell enabling us to place improved constraints on ice-ocean world properties, including: the composition of planetary ice shells, the thickness and hydraulic connectivity of ice-ocean interfaces, and heterogeneous dynamics/structures in the interfacial mushy layer. We show that stable eutectic horizons are likely a common feature of ice-ocean worlds and that ocean composition plays an important role in governing the structure and dynamics of the interface, including the formation of chemical gradient-rich regions within the mushy layer. We discuss the geophysical and astrobiological implications of our results and highlight how they can be validated by instrument specific measurements.
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Plain Language Summary
Our solar system houses numerous ocean worlds that have the potential to harbor life. Typically these oceans reside beneath a thick global icy shell. Accordingly, much of what we know about these bodies relies on interpreting spacecraft observations of their icy exteriors. To illuminate the interior properties and dynamics of these worlds this requires an understanding of the relationship between internal processes and external observables. In ice-ocean environments the relationship between ice and ocean properties is governed by complex dynamics occurring at the ice-ocean interface. This interface is characterized by a slushy mixture of ice and brine (a mushy layer), who’s physical structure, fluid flow, and chemical dynamics determine the resultant ice properties. Very few models of planetary ices include these dynamics, and so far there only exists one-dimensional models that do. Here we present the first two-dimensional model of planetary ices which includes the physics needed to accurately simulate the ice-ocean interface. We show that ice shell composition is governed by the two-dimensional dynamics of the ice-brine mushy layer, that the thickness of this layer scales directly with ice shell thickness, and that gradient rich regions in the mushy layer could provide sheltered and chemically favorable environments for organisms.

1 Introduction
The icy satellites of the outer solar system are some of the most enigmatic and inspirational bodies in planetary science, in large part due to their astrobiological potential (Des Marais et al., 2008; Hendrix et al., 2019; B. E. Schmidt, 2020). Ongoing geological activity and geomorphological features indicative of persistent subsurface water reservoirs suggests that these ice-ocean worlds may house aqueous environments suitable for the formation and evolution of life (Chivers et al., 2020; Hand et al., 2009; Marion et al., 2003; C. D. Parkinson et al., 2008; Porco et al., 2006; B. E. Schmidt, 2020; B. E. Schmidt et al., 2011). One of the most promising of these bodies is Europa (Hand et al., 2009, 2007; Marion et al., 2003; B. E. Schmidt, 2020). Europa likely possesses a global subsurface ocean (~100 km thick) underlain by a silicate mantle and roofed by a dynamic ~10-30 km thick ice shell (Schubert et al., 2004). Serpentinization reactions at the benthic water-rock interface likely provide an ongoing source of reductants to the ocean, which, when coupled with
surface generated oxidant delivery due to ice shell overturn (e.g. (Allu Peddinti & McNamara, 2015; Buffo et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2017)), could facilitate redox disequilibrium expression mechanisms of Europa is constraining the physicochemical evolution of its ice shell (Allu Peddinti & McNamara, 2015; Hand et al., 2007; Kargel et al., 2000; B. E. Schmidt et al., n.d.; B. E. Schmidt, 2020; Vance et al., 2016). As the barrier to and facilitator of ocean-surface interaction, the material and transport properties of the ice shell will govern the geomorphological evolution of Europa’s surface, the entrainment of ocean-derived impurities in the shell, and the chemistry of the underlying ocean (Buffo et al., 2020; Vance et al., 2016). Moreover, the ice shell will act as the primary observational medium for upcoming spacecraft missions (e.g. Europa Clipper, JUICE) (Pappalardo et al., 2017; Grasset et al., 2013), which makes quantifying the relationship between empirical ice shell properties and interior processes an imperative for optimal data interpretation and synthesis (e.g. (Kalousová et al., 2017)). However, while numerous investigations have emphasized the importance of physicochemical heterogeneities within and the material transport capabilities of the ice shelf to both geophysical and potential astrobiological processes on Europa (e.g. (Barr & McKinnon, 2007; Han & Showman, 2005; Johnson et al., 2017; Kargel et al., 2000; Vance et al., 2020)) the structural and compositional details of the shell remain largely unconstrained. It is widely believed that Europa’s ice shell is in a stagnant lid thermal regime; suggesting the presence of a thin (∼3-5 km), brittle, ice lithosphere overlying a thicker (∼10-30 km), ductile, isothermal icy mantle undergoing solid state convection (Barr & McKinnon, 2007; McKinnon, 1999; Schubert et al., 2004). Additionally, a number of investigations have highlighted likely trends in non-ice material distribution within Europa’s ice shell (e.g. (Buffo et al., 2020; Kargel et al., 2000; Zolotov & Kargel, 2009)), with higher impurity entrainment in the shallow shell (Zolotov & Kargel, 2009) and around intrusive hydrological features within the shell (Buffo et al., 2020) and more efficient solute rejection as the ice shell thickened and ice-ocean interfacial growth rates slowed. Nevertheless, only...
Buffo et al. (2020) provide quantitative estimates for the compositional profile of Europa’s shell and themselves recognize the limitations of a one-dimensional model in an inherently multidimensional system (Buffo et al., 2021). A similar one-dimensional approach has been implemented to investigate the composition of Triton’s ice shell by Hammond et al. (2018).

Multiphase reactive porous media, or ‘mushy layers’, play a fundamental and disproportionate role in the dynamics and evolution of both biogeochemical and geophysical systems (e.g. (Loose et al., 2011; Steefel et al., 2005; Tedesco & Vichi, 2014)). The ice-ocean layers of icy worlds, including Earth, are no exception. The complex reactive transport processes occurring near ice-ocean interfaces govern heat and mass transport between the two reservoirs and dictate the physicochemical properties of and impurity entrainment in the overlying ice (Buffo et al., 2020; Hunke et al., 2011; Thomas & Dieckmann, 2008). With direct implications for ice rheology (Assur, 1958; Durham et al., 2005), buoyancy (Han & Showman, 2005), eutectic point (McCarthy et al., 2011, 2007), bioburden (Santibáñez et al., 2019; Buffo, 2019; Brown et al., 2020), and conductivity (Kalousová et al., 2017) constraining the dynamics of ice-ocean/brine interfaces can improve our fundamental understanding of icy world geophysics and aid in spacecraft data analysis/interpretation (e.g. ice penetrating radar (Schroeder et al., 2016)). Furthermore, the ice-ocean interface of terrestrial ices provides a gradient rich substrate where both micro- and macro-fauna thrive in appreciable densities (Ackley & Sullivan, 1994; Daly et al., 2013; Spindler, 1994; Thomas & Dieckmann, 2003).

It has been suggested that the thick ice shells of low-gravity moons will support thicker multiphase boundary layers at their ice-ocean interfaces (on the order of meters to tens of meters), as this layer’s thickness is inversely proportional to the interfacial thermal gradient (Buffo et al., 2021). This is supported by observations of columnar sea ice formed beneath the Ross Ice Shelf, which exhibited brine channels, high impurity entrainment, and hydraulic connectivity to the underlying ocean throughout the bottom 6 m of ice (Zotikov et al., 1980). Convective overturn of high salinity brine in these porous boundary layers leads to the formation of heterogenous channel structures within the ice matrix (Cottier et al., 1999; Wells et al., 2011; Wettlaufer et al., 1997) and can produce brinicles that extend into the underlying ocean from the basal ice surface (Cartwright et al., 2013). As cold, saline brine is convected out of the mushy layer in localized downwelling plumes it acts as a heat sink for the surrounding seawater, in some cases depressing the local temperature enough that an ice membrane forms around the saline fluid (e.g. (Mahadevan, 2017)). These tubular ice membranes produce regions with exceptionally high thermal and chemical gradients that could serve as an oasis for biology, akin to terrestrial hydrothermal and chemical garden systems (Cartwright et al., 2013; Vance et al., 2019). This possibility is strengthened by the fact that the ice-ocean interface will be the site of oxidant delivery to the ocean, providing a redox boon for any potential organisms (Allu Peddinti & McNamara, 2015; Vance et al., 2016).

Understanding the structure and dynamics of the ice-ocean interface of icy worlds has both geophysical and astrobiological implications (Buffo et al., 2021, 2020). It is a mandatory port of call for ocean-surface interaction, a core-mantle boundary in the cryospheric system that could facilitate regional geomorphological heterogeneities, and likely one of the most habitable environments on high priority astrobiology targets. Here, we simulate the multiphase two-dimensional evolution of Europa’s ice-ocean interface. We provide improved constraints on the compositional profile of the growing ice shell and the relationship between ice-ocean interface thermal gradient and impurity entrainment. We show that the multiphase ice-ocean boundary layer thickens as Europa’s ice shell thickens and interfacial thermal gradients decrease. Additionally, we show that brinicles are a likely byproduct of the convective overturn of brine in the porous basal ice layer. Finally, we discuss how our estimations of ice shell structure and composition can be utilized to improve geophysical models, constrain the habitability, and aid in spacecraft mission planning and data analysis of Europa and other icy worlds.
2 Methods

To simulate the two-dimensional evolution of Europa’s ice-ocean interface we use the reactive porous media model SOFTBALL: SOlidification, Flow, and Thermodynamics in Binary ALLoys. First introduced in J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, and Katz (2020), SOFTBALL is an open source code capable of efficiently simulating the phase evolution, heat transport, and mass transport in mushy layers. The code has been tested extensively (cf. (J. R. G. Parkinson, 2019; J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, & Katz, 2020; Wells et al., 2019)), and we provide an additional validation of the model’s ability to reproduce the compositional and structural properties of terrestrial sea ice in Supplementary Section S1.

The equations governing the evolution of ice-ocean interfaces are well documented in the literature (e.g. (Feltham et al., 2006; Hunke et al., 2011; Worster, 1997). Employing the Boussinesq approximation and assuming no phase change driven flow ($\rho_{br} = \rho_{i}$, where $\rho_{br}$ is brine density, and $\rho_{i}$ is ice density), conservation of mass in a reactive porous media requires

$$\nabla \cdot q = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $q = (u, v)$ is the two-dimensional Darcy velocity. We assume the ice matrix is rigid and immobile and restrict mass transport to the fluid phase. Fluid flow is governed by the incompressible form of Darcy’s law

$$q = -\frac{\Pi}{\mu} (\nabla p + \rho_{br} g)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\Pi$ is permeability (typically a function of porosity, here we utilize the Kozeny-Carman relationship given in J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, and Katz (2020), see Eq. 7 below), $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of the brine, $p$ is dynamic pressure, and $g$ is gravity. Conservation of energy is given by

$$\frac{\rho c}{\rho} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\rho_{br} c_{br} q \cdot \nabla T + \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) - \rho_{i} L \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $c$ is specific heat, $T$ is temperature, $k$ is thermal conductivity, and $L$ is the latent heat of the water-ice phase transition. Quantities with overbars are volume averaged quantities (i.e. $\bar{k} = \phi k_{br} + (1 - \phi) k_{i}$, where $\phi$ is liquid fraction (porosity)). This equation accounts for heat transport via advection and diffusion as well as the generation/usage of heat due to freezing/melting. Similarly, the conservation of salt is given by

$$\phi \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = -q \cdot \nabla C + \nabla \cdot (D \nabla C) - C \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $C$ is brine concentration, and $D$ is the diffusion coefficient of the solute. We assume no salt is present in the ice phase, in line with contemporary approaches (Feltham et al., 2006; J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, & Katz, 2020). This allows for evolution of the brine phase via advection, molecular diffusion, and concentration/dilution caused by freezing/melting of ice. The system of Equations 1-4 can be closed by assuming that the system obeys an idealised eutectic phase diagram (Figure 1a), which relates brine concentration to temperature and liquid fraction. We follow the methodology of (J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, & Katz, 2020) (their Section 2.2 and Appendix A), and define the liquidus and solidus curves by

$$T_L(C) = T_m - mC$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
where $T_L$ is the liquidus temperature, $T_m$ is the freezing temperature of pure water, $m$ is a solutal freezing point depression coefficient with units of $K \ kg \ g^{-1}$ (equivalently, $K \ ppt^{-1}$), $T_{Sol}$ is the solidus temperature, and $C_e$ is the eutectic composition. If the temperature is above the liquidus the system will be a fluid ($\phi = 1$), if it is below the solidus the system will be a solid ($\phi = 0$) and any residual salt is assumed to exist as a hydrated solid phase. Between these curves the system will exist as a mixture of pure ice and brine with a concentration governed by Equation 5.

Here we simulate the top-down solidification of a hypothetical Europan ocean using the initial and boundary conditions presented in Figure 1b and the physical parameter values given in Table 1. We have chosen to use an ocean with a salinity of 35 ppt and linear liquidus slope of -0.048 K/ppt as this lies comfortably within the range of predicted Europan ocean concentrations (Hand & Chyba, 2007; Zolotov & Shock, 2001) and compositions (Trumbo et al., 2019; Zolotov & Shock, 2001). Simulations are initiated as a completely fluid filled domain subject to an undercooled upper boundary ($T_s=100 \ K$) which induces the formation of an ice shell. Throughout the simulation SOFTBALL tracks the evolving temperature ($T$), bulk salinity ($C$), porosity ($\phi$), and velocity ($u,v$) within the system. By assuming the domain exists as a thin Hele-Shaw cell a finite fluid permeability (J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, & Katz, 2020)

$$\Pi(\phi) = \left\{ \frac{12}{d^2} + \left[ \frac{K_0 \phi^3}{(1-\phi)^2} \right]^{-1} \right\}^{-1}$$

allows us to solve for flow throughout the entire domain using Darcy’s law (Eq. 2). $K_0$ is a permeability factor and $d$ is the Hele-Shaw cell spacing. While an important factor in governing the dynamic evolution of ice-brine mushy layers (Buffo et al., 2021, 2020), as it dictates the advection of heat and solutes throughout the system, the precise permeability-porosity relationship of ocean-derived ices remains under constrained and an active topic of research in both terrestrial and planetary ice science (Golden et al., 2007; McCarthy et al., 2013, 2007). Our selected permeability-porosity relationship is consistent with previous studies of ice-ocean mushy layer systems (e.g. (Katz & Worster, 2008; J. R. G. Parkinson, Martin, Wells, & Katz, 2020)) and agrees well with power law permeability-porosity relationships ($\Pi(\phi) \propto \phi^n$, where $n \in [1,3]$) that have been adopted by other studies of ice shell mushy layers (e.g. (Buffo et al., 2020; Hammond et al., 2018)) for porosities representative of mushy layer interiors ($\phi < 0.8$, see Figure 4 of Katz and Worster (2008) and Supplementary Figure S4). The value of the permeability factor implemented here, $K_0 = 2 \times 10^{-9} \ m^2$, was selected such that it reproduces bulk salinity values and trends observed in terrestrial sea ice (see Supplementary Section S1 and Supplementary Figure S3). Moreover, this value is in agreement with reference permeabilities used in previous studies (e.g. $K_{ref} = 10^{-9} \ m^2$ in Hammond et al. (2018) and Golden et al. (2007)). Nevertheless, both permeability-porosity relationships and potential percolation thresholds - the limitation of fluid flow below a critical porosity (Golden et al., 2007) - have substantial implications for the dynamics and evolution of mushy layers (Buffo et al., 2021, 2020) and constraining their values will be essential for improving the accuracy of planetary ice shell models.

The Hele-Shaw cell approach optimizes computation speed as the Darcy equation is simpler to solve than the full Navier-Stokes (a noted challenge for mushy layer systems (Chung & Worster, 2002)) and restricting flow in the underlying fluid permits the use of larger CFL-limited time steps. Additionally, it limits phase boundary effects (removing the need to define interfacial conditions between the fluid and porous regions, e.g. multiple domain Stokes-Darcy approaches (Le Bars & Worster, 2006)) while ensuring the underlying fluid has an amplified permeability such that downwelling plumes will not stagnate and
artificially impact the evolution of the overlying porous region (Supplementary Section S4). Previous works have implemented this approach to simulating mushy layers (e.g. (Wells et al., 2019; Katz & Worster, 2008)). It should be noted that the numerical solutions in the underlying fluid are inherently less realistic than those in the mushy layer due to the implementation of a finite permeability. As such, results of structural and dynamic evolution in the free fluid region should be interpreted with this in mind. We discuss the limitations of the Hele-Shaw cell approach in describing the dynamics of the underlying fluid at length in Supplementary Section S4.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Density coefficient for salt</td>
<td>5.836e-4 kg ppt$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>Specific heat of the ocean</td>
<td>3985 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{br}$</td>
<td>Specific heat of ice</td>
<td>2000 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_e$</td>
<td>Eutectic composition</td>
<td>230 ppt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_i$</td>
<td>Ocean composition</td>
<td>35 ppt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>Hele-Shaw cell spacing</td>
<td>5e-5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>1.886e-3 Pa s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Gravity</td>
<td>1.32 m s$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Scale height</td>
<td>(varies) m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{br}$</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity of the ocean</td>
<td>0.6 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity of ice</td>
<td>2.0 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_s$</td>
<td>Salt diffusivity in water</td>
<td>2e-9 m$^2$ s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_0$</td>
<td>Permeability factor</td>
<td>2e-9 m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Latent heat of fusion</td>
<td>334774 J kg$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Linear liquidus slope</td>
<td>0.048 K ppt$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_s$</td>
<td>Surface porosity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{oc}$</td>
<td>Ocean porosity</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_s$</td>
<td>Partition coefficient</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{br}$</td>
<td>Brine density</td>
<td>1000+1000$\times$$\beta$$\times$C kg m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_i$</td>
<td>Ice density</td>
<td>917 kg m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_e$</td>
<td>Eutectic temperature</td>
<td>273.15 - $m$$\times$$C_i$ K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{oc}$</td>
<td>Ocean temperature</td>
<td>273.15 - $m$$\times$$C_i$ + 0.01 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_s$</td>
<td>Surface temperature</td>
<td>100 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Variables used by the SOFTBALL code. The scale height, $H$, is used to define the simulation domain size and nondimensionalize the system.
dritic structure and density distribution (channel collapse as the ice thickens) of the high salinity regions matches both observations and theoretical predictions of ice-ocean systems (Rees Jones & Worster, 2013; Wells et al., 2011, 2019; Worster & Rees Jones, 2015; Middleton et al., 2015). Also apparent is the existence of a thin (in relation to the overall ice thickness), dynamic mushy layer at the ice-ocean interface. The convective overturn of brine within this layer (Figure 2b) is responsible for the desalination of, and thus the level of ocean-derived material entrainment in, the ice shell (Buffo et al., 2020; Wells et al., 2019; Wettlauffer et al., 1997; Worster, 1997). Darcy velocities within the mushy layer range from $\sim 10^{-11}$ m/s near the ice-mush interface where porosity approaches zero and $\sim 10^{-6}$ m/s in the downwelling saline plumes.

**Figure 2.** The compositional (bulk salinity) and structural (porosity) profile in Europa’s growing ice shell. **a)** Two-dimensional nondimensionalized bulk salinity profile using a scale height, $H$, of 10 m (domain size: 18m x 20m). Where nondimensional bulk salinity, $\theta = \frac{C - C_e}{C_e - C_i}$. Fossilized high salinity regions can be seen in the upper ice and dense, high salinity plumes can be seen emanating from the ice-ocean interface. **b)** Magnified view of the shaded box in panel (a), with velocity vectors included, showing the convective overturn of brine within the interfacial mushy layer. For scale, black arrows in the top left have lengths that represent Darcy velocities of $10^{-6}$ m/s. **c)** Two-dimensional porosity profile for the same region depicted in panel (a). **d)** Magnified view of the shaded box in panel (c). Low porosity brine channels are associated with high salinity downwellings.

To investigate how the ice shell composition varies with depth we horizontally average the bulk salinity of each two-dimensional run and plot it against its corresponding location within the shell (Figure 3a-c). It can be shown that the temperature profile in the forming ice varies negligibly from a simple conductive (linear) profile (See Supplementary Section S2), and thus the ice-ocean interface thermal gradient is well represented by

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \frac{T_{oc} - T_s}{H_{shell}},$$

where $T_{oc}$ is ocean temperature, $T_s$ is surface temperature, and $H_{shell}$ is the depth of the ice-ocean interface from the surface. Plots of bulk salinity as a function of interfacial
The functional form of the best fit lines closely follow the analytical solutions derived by Buffo et al. (2020) (Equations 26 & 27 of their manuscript) for the compositional evolution of a simplified ice-ocean system. Here we implement a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to relate bulk salinity and depth within the ice shell using the equation

\[ C(z) = a + \frac{b}{c - \frac{z}{d}} \left[ 1 - d \exp \left( -f z \right) \right] \]  

(9)

where \( C \) is bulk salinity, \( z \) is depth within the shell, and \( a, b, c, d, \) and \( f \) are constant coefficients that account for stretches and translations. Similarly, we relate bulk salinity to thermal gradient using the equation

\[ C \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = a + \frac{b \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + c \right)}{\left( d + f \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)} \left[ 1 - h \exp \left( -j \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) \right]. \]  

(10)

where \( a, b, c, d, f, h, \) and \( j \) are constant coefficients that account for stretches and translations.

**Figure 3.** Bulk salinity characteristics of Europa’s ice shell for a 35 ppt ocean.  

- **a-c)** Bulk salinity variation with depth in the shell. High salinity values near the surface quickly decrease with depth and asymptotically approach a lower limit of 0.984 ppt, according to the best fit line of Equation 9 (coefficients: \( a=0.984, b=-1014, c=-123.4, d=-3.529, f=0.1529 \)).
- **d-f)** Bulk salinity variation with ice-ocean interface thermal gradient (assuming a conductive profile in the overlying ice shell). At large thermal gradients (>100 K/m) all salt is trapped within the ice. There is a prominent ‘shoulder’ region between 3-10 K/m that is captured well by the fit line of Equation 10 (coefficients: \( a=7.864, b=-2576, c=-5.148, d=-2067, f=-869.2, h=-10.9, j=27.2 \)). This regime could be indicative of a transition between dominant desalination mechanisms in the mushy layer (Buffo et al., 2020, 2021). (Note: Two data points with bulk salinity >35 ppt visible in panels (a-c) do not appear in panels (d-f) as they are the result of interfacial thermal gradients which exceed 250 K/m. These results negligibly affect the fit lines of Equations 9 and 10 while highlighting the difficulty of accurately simulating extreme thermal environments, as we expect these values to be 35 ppt)
The simulated bulk salinity values are well approximated by the functional forms of Equation 9 & 10, and exhibit a number of important trends and features predicted by earlier studies (e.g. (Buffo et al., 2020)). These include a trend toward compositional homogeneity as the ice shell thickens and interfacial thermal gradients decrease; a nonzero lower limit for entrainment rate (0.98 ppt as $z \to \infty$ and 1.45 ppt as $\partial T/\partial z \to 0$), as predicted by Equations 9 & 10, respectively); one hundred percent salt retention when ice forms under large thermal gradients (representative of rapid freezing); and the existence of transitional regimes in mushy layer dynamics (evidenced by the ‘shoulder’ region of Figure 3e). These properties and their implications for the geophysics and astrobiology of Europa and other ice-ocean worlds are discussed in Section 4.

### 3.2 Ice-Ocean Interface Mushy Layer Thickness

The porous region near the ice-ocean interface (e.g. Figure 2d) plays an important role in governing the properties and evolution of the ice shell. This dynamic region dictates the chemical composition and physical structure of forming ice, governs heat and solute transport between the ocean and ice shell reservoirs, and determines the hydraulic connectivity of the deep ice shell. Studies suggest that the properties of this layer are dynamic and evolve as the overlying ice cover thickens and interfacial thermal gradients decrease (e.g. (Buffo et al., 2021)). Furthermore, the environmental parameters (e.g. gravity, ocean composition) of a given system will impact the layer’s structure, suggesting that a diverse array of deep ice shell environments exist across the solar system and throughout individual ice-ocean world’s lifetimes.

Figure 4. Thickness and structure of the ice-ocean interface mushy layer at various depths within the ice shell. The mushy layer thickness increases as the ice shell thickens, consistent with previous observations and theory (Buffo et al., 2021; Wells et al., 2011). The majority of fluid motion is concentrated in a high porosity region near the base of the mushy layer (e.g. Figure 2b), resulting in a 'stagnant' region with decreased fluid flow underlain by a thin convecting boundary layer. This was predicted theoretically by Worster (1991) for systems with large mush Rayleigh numbers, which is the case for the mushy layers considered here (see Supplementary Section S3). White arrows demarcate the horizontally averaged mushy layer thickness. (Note: The left image depicts a 10 m x 10 m domain while the center and right images depict a 100 m x 100 m domain.)

To constrain the evolving thickness of Europa’s ice-ocean interface mushy layer we slightly modified the boundary conditions presented in Figure 1 such that the upper thermal boundary was governed by a Neumann (gradient/flux) boundary condition ($\partial T/\partial z = (T_{oc} - T_s)/H_{shell}$) and carried out high resolution, top-down solidification simulations for descending depths within the shell ($H_{shell} = 0$ m, 25 m, 50 m, 100 m, 250 m, 500 m, and 1000 m). Porosity profiles during three of these runs can be seen in Figure 4. Periodically
Figure 5. Ice-ocean interface mushy layer thickness and its relationship to ice shell thickness. (Left) Mushy layer thicknesses recorded during seven simulations, each initiated with a different ice-ocean interface position (overlying ice shell thickness, \(H_{\text{shell}}\)). The relationship between mushy layer thickness and ice shell thickness is well captured by the fit line of Equation 11, here ‘ML Paper Fit’. A simple linear relationship also closely matches the data, evidenced by its overlap with the fit line of Equation 11 until the plot is magnified. (‘ML Paper Fit’ coefficients: \(a=0.02685, b=-11.64, c=-62.28\); linear relationship = 0.0539). Inset – magnified view of the shallow ice shell region (0-200 m). (Right) The fractional percentage of the ice shell occupied by the mushy layer. The red line corresponds to the linear trend of 0.0539.

during these runs the porosity profile was horizontally averaged and the region satisfied by \(10^{-5} < \phi < 0.95\) was measured, giving the mushy layer thickness. These bounds were selected in lieu of \(0 < \phi < 1\) to avoid measuring any residual low porosity regions in the upper ice shell and any high porosity structures extending from the ice-ocean interface (e.g. brines - the focus of Section 3.3). The relationship between ice-ocean interface mushy layer thickness and ice shell thickness can be seen in Figure 5a. Figure 5b shows the fraction of the ice shell occupied by the mushy layer and its relationship to ice shell thickness. The increase in mushy layer thickness with growing ice shell thickness is well fit by the analytical solution derived by (Buffo et al., 2021), (modified from Equation 25 of (Buffo et al., 2021)):

\[
h_{\text{ML}} = aH_{\text{shell}} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{b}{H_{\text{shell}}} - \frac{c}{H_{\text{shell}}^2}} \right)
\]

(11)

where \(h_{\text{ML}}\) is mushy layer thickness, \(H_{\text{shell}}\) is ice shell thickness, and \(a, b,\) and \(c\) are constants that allow for translations and stretches. The relationship between mushy layer thickness and ice shell thickness is also well approximated by a simple linear trend (relationship coefficient = 0.0539). This suggests that accretionary regions of Europa’s ice shell likely possess a substantial multiphase ice-ocean boundary layer that occupies as much as 5% of the ice shell thickness. Notably, the linear relationship coefficient closely matches the eutectic horizon predicted by assuming a conductive (linear) thermal profile within the ice shell \((h_{\text{eul}} = \frac{T_{\text{ocean}} - T_e}{T_{\text{ocean}} - T_s} = 0.0548)\). While slightly overestimating the mushy layer’s thickness, eutectic horizons likely provide an exceptional first order estimate of ice shell hydrological structure. This has important implications for regional and global geophysical processes, ocean-derived material entrainment in the shell, and Europa’s potential habitability (further discussed in Section 4).
3.3 Fine Scale Heterogeneities and Brinicle Formation

The fine scale structure in the multiphase region near the ice-ocean interface (e.g. Figure 2) supports thermochemical gradients that when combined with the porous nature of the ice-brine matrix could provide an ample substrate for any potential organisms. Vance et al. (2019) suggested that brinicles (hollow tubes of ice formed around downwelling brine plumes) could form at the ice-ocean interfaces of icy worlds and result in chemical garden like structures. Similar to the hydrothermally formed chemical garden systems at Earth’s seafloor, an oasis for life (Barge et al., 2015; Cartwright et al., 2002), brinicles and the mushy layer they grow from could provide a gradient rich habitat for an inverted benthic community (Cartwright et al., 2013).

To investigate the physicochemical properties of brinicles on Europa we performed high resolution simulations of the ice-ocean interface for a number of overlying ice shell thicknesses (similar to Section 3.2). The resulting porosity, brine salinity, and salinity gradient profiles for three such simulations are depicted in Figure 6. Brinicle structures can be seen extending from the mushy layer in all three cases (left column of Figure 6). The size of the brinicle structures increases with ice shell thickness. This is consistent with the convective patterns in a thickening mushy layer, where downbellings drain an increasingly large region of the mushy layer as brine channel spacing increases. Brinicle size may also be affected by their longevity. The ice-ocean interface is quite dynamic and brinicle structures grow and disappear repeatedly during simulations. The timescale over which this cycling occurs increases with depth, suggesting a thicker ice shell may promote the formation of larger and more stable ‘brinicle gardens’. The lifetimes of brinicles at an ice-ocean interface depth of 10 m, 50 m, and 1000 m are on the order of hours, days, and years, respectively. Salinity gradients are highest near brine channels within the mushy layer and at the edges of downwelling high salinity plumes, the distribution and geometry of which mirror those imaged in laboratory experiments of directional solidification of brine in a Hele-Shaw cell (Middleton et al., 2015). While the brinicle structures themselves do not house large salinity gradients, they form directly adjacent to regions that do (downwelling plumes). This is expected as brinicle formation is a result of the difference between thermal and molecular diffusivity in the system (Cartwright et al., 2013).

It is important to note, however, that brinicles on Earth only occur near land, in sheltered water where currents are low. Stronger currents induce turbulent mixing near the ice-ocean interface, dissipating the downwelling plumes and preventing brinicle formation. Given the substantial latitudinal currents predicted for icy satellites in the outer solar system (e.g. (Soderlund et al., 2014; Soderlund, 2019)) it is quite possible that brinicles may not be able to form. Conversely, the gradient rich brine channels within the mushy layer are protected from the underlying shear flow by the surrounding ice matrix. This suggests a putative ice-ocean interface habitat more akin to the terrestrial infaunal benthos rather than the extensional structures of chemical gardens. The importance of the ice-ocean interface as a potentially habitable environment on icy worlds as well as potential limitations of the current model in simulating brinicle geometry and evolution is discussed in Section 4 and Supplementary Section S4, respectively.Briefly, the implementation of Darcy’s law throughout the domain (Equation 2) will under predict fluid velocities in the underlying ocean, potentially impacting downwelling plume dynamics and altering the formation and evolution of brinicles, as these structures exist in and interact with the free fluid beneath the ice-ocean interface mushy layer.

4 Discussion

The ability to simulate, at high resolution, the two-dimensional evolution of Europa’s ice-ocean interface offers insight into the important role this boundary plays on icy worlds. A few examples are: the distribution of ocean-derived material within the ice shell; the relationship between impurity entrainment and ice-ocean interfacial thermal gradient; the
physicochemical structure of the ice-ocean interface; and the potential implications the ice-ocean interface may have on habitability and geophysical processes.

Our work corroborates the work of Buffo et al. (2020) who implemented a one-dimensional reactive transport model to predict the bulk salinity profile of Europa’s forming ice shell and the evolution of hydrological structures within the shell. The functional relationship between bulk salinity and depth within the ice shell derived by Buffo et al. (2020) captures the structure of the bulk salinity profile simulated in this study (Figure 3a-c). In the shallow shell substantial amounts of salt are retained in the forming ice, but as the ice shell thickens salt is more efficiently drained from the mushy layer and bulk salinity asymptotically approaches a nonzero lower limit. In congelation ice (ice formed due to conductive heat loss to the overlying ice shell), such as we simulate here, this lower limit is governed by the permeability of the mushy layer at low porosities. This is in contrast to marine ice (formed by the buoyant deposition and compaction of ice crystals formed in the water column (Lewis & Perkin, 1983; Buffo et al., 2021; Soderlund, 2019)), which more efficiently excludes solutes and has been observed to have salinities an order of magnitude lower (J. Tison et al., 2001). While an extremely important value in predicting the dynamics of the ice-ocean

Figure 6. The physicochemical properties of brinicles on Europa. (Top-Bottom) Simulation results when the ice-ocean interface is at a depth of Top – 11 m (2.75 m x 2.75 m grid), Middle – 56 m (5.5 m x 5.5 m grid), and Bottom – 1084 m (27.5 m x 27.5 m grid). (Left-Right) Porosity (contours demarcate porosities of 0.15 to 0.95 in increments of 0.2), brine salinity, and absolute salinity gradient (∂C/∂x + ∂C/∂y) profiles during the simulations. (Note: the scale of the color bars differs between some of the images so as to highlight gradients within individual images. Additionally, these images have been extracted from simulations spanning much larger domains, ensuring the mushy layer is not impacted by edge effects.)
interface, permeability, and its relationship to porosity, is not well constrained (Buffo et al., 2020; Golden et al., 1998, 2007) and remains a contentious topic and active field of research (Freitag & Eicken, 2003; McCarthy et al., 2013; Petrich et al., 2006). Reduced permeability in the ice-ocean interfacial mushy layer or the existence of a percolation threshold below a critical ice porosity (e.g., (Golden et al., 2007, 1998)) would result in amplified impurity entrainment, while enhanced permeability would result in reduced impurity entrainment (see Supplementary Section S1 and Figure S3). Similarly, our results show that at high thermal gradients (>100 K/m) ice effectively traps all of the salt from the parent liquid (i.e., freezing is too rapid for salts to be expelled from the ice). Our results demonstrating the relationship between salt entrainment and interfacial thermal gradient (Figure 3d-f) are an improvement on the results of Buffo et al. (2020) whose one-dimensional model struggled with stability issues under thermal gradients >20 K/m.

We have shown that there exists a distinct and quantifiable relationship between the thermochemical environment of the ice-ocean interface at the time of solidification and the properties of the ice that forms. With the likelihood of ongoing hydrological activity within Europa’s ice shell in the form of lenses (B. E. Schmidt et al., 2011; Spaun et al., 1998), sills (Chivers et al., 2020; Craft et al., 2016; Manga & Michaut, 2017; Michaut & Manga, 2014), dikes, fractures (Dombard et al., 2013; Rudolph & Manga, 2009; Walker et al., 2014), and plumes (Jia et al., 2018; Sparks et al., 2016) understanding the characteristics of ice formed in an array of thermal environments is imperative in constraining the mechanical, dielectric, and eutectic properties of refrozen features. The presence of salt alters the rheological properties of ice (Assur, 1958; Durham et al., 2005; McCarthy et al., 2011) and could facilitate the reactivation of fractures as well as the dynamics of solid-state convection in the ductile portion of the ice shell (e.g. Buffo et al. (2020)). Shallow lenses within the shell can be drastically affected by the dynamics of ice formation and salt entrainment/rejection. Separated from the underlying ocean, progressive freezeout of these features results in concentration of the residual brine, depressing the freezing point of the fluid, increasing the lens’ longevity, and potentially resulting in the precipitation of salt hydrate layers when the reservoir reaches saturation (Buffo et al., 2020; Chivers et al., 2020). Ice penetrating radar observations depend critically on the dielectric properties of the ice shell (Di Paolo et al., 2016; Kalousová et al., 2017; Moore, 2000), thus detecting and distinguishing features within the ice shell as well as the ice-ocean interface relies on our understanding of the ice shell’s composition.

Any chemical measurements of plume particles (e.g. Cassini’s measurements of Enceladus’ south polar plumes using the CDA (Hansen et al., 2011; Matson et al., 2007; Waite et al., 2017)) rely on assumptions about the origin of the particles (ocean derived or sourced from within the shell) and the quality of chemical signatures retained from the parent reservoir. Our results suggest that flash freezing at thermal gradients >100 K/m would produce ice particles that retain an exceptional chemical fingerprint of their parent fluid. However, if plume particles form through a more temperate process (e.g. slow ascension through a warm fracture (e.g. (J. Schmidt et al., 2008))) they may only preserve a fraction of the source fluid’s composition. If the thermal environment in the region of particle generation is known, our results can be utilized to link particle chemistry observations to parent reservoir chemistry. Lastly, any ocean-surface transport will be mediated by impurity entrainment at the ice-ocean interface (Allu Peddinti & McNamara, 2015). Thus, constraining material entrainment rates is crucial to assessing the fluxes associated with potential chemical overturn within the ice shell that may facilitate disequilibrium chemistries favorable for life (Hand et al., 2007; Vance et al., 2016) and will govern observable biosignature delivery to the surface/upper ice shell (B. E. Schmidt, 2020).

Although there are important parallels between the ice-ocean interfaces of icy worlds and those found on Earth beneath sea ice and ice shelves (e.g. (Buffo et al., 2020; Greeley et al., 1998; Pappalardo & Coon, 1996)), there are important facets of their scale and structure which may facilitate unique processes not represented in terrestrial analog systems. For
Europa, the thickness of the ice shell (~10-30 km) coupled with a gravity approximately
equation 11 and the coefficients given in the caption of Figure 5). These thicknesses far
exceed the ~10-30 cm thick mushy layers observed in sea ice (Feltham et al., 2006; Hunke et
al., 2011; Worster & Rees Jones, 2015) and meters to tens of meters of hydraulic connectivity
observed at the base of ice shelves (Craven et al., 2009; Zotikov et al., 1980). Moreover, if
there exist other ocean impurities that further depress its eutectic temperature the ice-ocean
interface mushy layer could be even thicker. It is important to note that these mushy layer
thickness predictions are associated with an actively growing ice shell. It is possible that
contemporary ice shells have reached a quasi-equilibrium thermal state (e.g. (Hussmann et
al., 2002)) in which temporal and spatial patterns of thickening and thinning have conspired
to produce a heterogeneous mushy layer (or lack thereof in regions which are thinning or
have reached an equilibrium thickness) (Buffo et al., 2021). This has important implications
for the geophysics and astrobiological potential of ice-ocean interface environments, a full
account of which can be found in (Buffo et al., 2021).

With the likely global nature of Europa’s ice-ocean interface, and its adjacency to the
moon’s ductile, likely convective, icy mantle (Barr & McKinnon, 2007; Han & Showman,
2005; McKinnon, 1999), we suggest that in a number of aspects it may be best thought of as
a core-mantle phase boundary, akin to the $D''$ layer of Earth. Existing as a multiphase layer,
separating a denser fluid underlying a lighter solid (outer core and mantle, respectively),
this elusive layer plays an integral role in Earth’s geophysical evolution (Burke et al., 2008;
Maruyama et al., 2007; Olson et al., 1987). In this analogy, regional topography and
heterogeneous heat flow could drive ice dynamics mirroring diapirc mantle plumes and
tectonic processes on Earth (Burke et al., 2008; Lay et al., 2008; Olson et al., 1987), both
of which have been suggested by geomorphological observations of features on Europa’s
surface (Head et al., 1999; Kattenhorn & Hurford, 2009; Kattenhorn & Prockter, 2014;
Pappalardo & Barr, 2004; Prockter et al., 2002; B. E. Schmidt et al., 2011). If this is
the case, the multiphase ice-ocean boundary of Europa not only governs the rates of heat
and mass transport between the two reservoirs via micro- and mesoscopic physics but may
also dictate regional and global scale geophysical processes. With the importance the $D''$
layer plays in magmatic and tectonic processes on Earth, and analogous cryovolcanism (ice
shell hydrology) (Fagents et al., 2000; Sparks et al., 2017) and ice tectonism (Kattenhorn &
Hurford, 2009; Kattenhorn & Prockter, 2014) likely occurring on Europa, constraining the
structure and heterogeneity of the ice-ocean interface mushy layer promises to provide novel
insight on the dynamics and evolution of icy worlds. Additionally, and perhaps surprisingly,
the ice-ocean interface of Europa provides a more accessible (See NASA’s SESAME project
(Howell & Pappalardo, 2020)) analog of the terrestrial $D''$ layer and could be used to explore
hypotheses regarding the Earth’s interior.

The porous and reactive nature of the ice-ocean interface would provide an exceptional
niche for any biology in the oceans of icy satellites. As the location where surface derived
oxidants would be introduced into the theoretically reduced ocean (Hand et al., 2007; Vance
et al., 2016), the ice-ocean boundary layer of Europa would be rich in chemical gradients
and disequilibria in an otherwise likely oligotrophic water column (less the ocean-rock inter-
face $\sim$100 km below) (Lipps & Rieboldt, 2005). This is in addition to the chemical
gradients produced by ice formation (e.g. Section 3.3). On Earth, the basal surfaces of
oceanic ices (sea ice, ice shelves) house a rich community of bacteria, algae and higher or-
der heterotrophs (Daly et al., 2013; Gradinger et al., 1999; Loose et al., 2011; Spindler,
1994; Thomas & Dieckmann, 2003). On icy worlds, devoid of sunlight, any biotic systems
will likely be fueled by chemolithoautotrophic primary producers (Hoover & Pikuta, 2010;
Pikuta & Hoover, n.d.; B. E. Schmidt, 2020), similar to hydrothermal, deep benthic, and/or
endolithic environments on Earth. In thick ice shells with permeable layers $>1$ km thick
there may be an array of unique ice-ocean/brine sub-environments within these layers which
could be colonized by extremophiles adapted to take advantage of regional sources of en-
energy. With Europa’s prominence amongst high priority astrobiology targets constraining the physicochemical dynamics and habitability of a long-lived (in comparison to shallow features) and accessible (in comparison to the seafloor) biologically favorable niche is in line with a multitude of NASA goals (NRC, 2012; Des Marais et al., 2008; Hendrix et al., 2019).

5 Conclusion

The ice-ocean interface of Europa and other icy worlds is likely characterized by a dynamic mushy zone consisting of porous ice and saline interstitial brine. Such reactive phase change boundaries play an integral role in both the biogeochemical cycling and geophysics of the Earth (Loose et al., 2011; Hunke et al., 2011). Similarly, as a likely ubiquitous feature on Europa and other icy satellites the ice-ocean interface dictates ocean-surface transport, the physicochemical characteristics of the ice shell, likely governs both regional and global geophysical processes, and may provide a gradient rich oasis for any resident organisms. Constraining the dynamics and properties of the ice-ocean interface will improve geophysical models of the ice shell, aid in the planning and synthesis of missions in the lens of planetary exploration and planetary protection directives, and help constrain the habitability of ice-ocean worlds.
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Introduction

Below we include four supplementary sections which aim to bolster the conclusions of the main manuscript. Section S1 provides an independent validation of the two-dimensional multiphase reactive transport model (SOFTBALL (Parkinson et al., 2020)) utilized throughout the work via simulations of sea ice growth and explores the impact of variable permeability on the efficiency of impurity entrainment. Section S2 supports the implementation of a linear conductive profile approximation for estimating the ice-ocean interface thermal gradient by comparing simulated and approximated ice shell thermal profiles. Section S3 builds on and supports the work of Section 3.3 of the main manuscript by comparing the brine channel spacing produced under Europan conditions to those predicted by contemporary models simulating terrestrial ice-ocean/brine environments (Wells April 23, 2021, 10:06pm
et al., 2011; Parkinson, 2019). Section S4 discusses potential limitations of the single domain Darcy velocity approach for investigating the geometry and longevity of brinicles.

**Text S1 - Model Validation**

To independently validate the two-dimensional reactive transport model of Parkinson et al. (2020) we simulated the physicochemical evolution of sea ice under simplified polar conditions. Model runs were initiated by assuming the domain was entirely filled with seawater (35 ppt) just above it’s melting temperature (-1.68C), subject to an undercooled atmosphere above (-23.15C) and an ambient ocean below (-1.68C). To ensure the model produces consistent results across a range of spatial scales (important for our upscaling to the Europa environment), we carried out four different runs at varying resolutions, the results of which can be seen in Figure S1. While it is clear that the detailed structure of brine channels within the ice layer are less well resolved when a coarser resolution is employed, the overall bulk salinity profile of the ice is maintained across all resolutions. This can be seen by horizontally averaging the two-dimensional bulk concentration profiles of Figure S1. The results of such an averaging can be seen in Figure S2, which depicts bulk salinity profiles for all four simulated resolutions of Figure S1. There exists only minor variations in bulk salinity, primarily near the ice-ocean interface, where the coarsest resolution simulation struggles to resolve details of the phase change interface between 0.75-0.85 m. Additionally, the simulated bulk salinity profiles qualitatively and quantitatively match empirical measurements of both natural and laboratory grown sea ice (e.g. (Eicken, 1992; Nakawo & Sinha, 1981; Notz et al., 2005)), exhibiting a characteristic ‘c-shape’ salinity profile with amplified salinities near both the upper ice-atmosphere interface and the basal ice-ocean interface as well as bulk salinity values well within the observational range (Eicken, 1992; Nakawo & Sinha, 1981; Notz et al., 2005). The ability of the model to reproduce bulk salinity profiles of sea ice provides an additional and
independent validation of the model, expanding upon the existing literature (Parkinson, 2019; Parkinson et al., 2020; Wells et al., 2019), and lending confidence to the model’s application to planetary ice-ocean systems.

An important, yet under constrained, component of nearly all multiphase ice models is the implementation of a permeability-porosity relationship (e.g. (Golden et al., 2007; Katz & Worster, 2008; Buffo et al., 2020; Parkinson et al., 2020)). This relationship governs the ability of fluid to flow throughout the system and aims to broadly capture the fine-scale microstructural properties of the complex porous ice matrix. This is a common challenge in porous media studies and a range of permeability-porosity relationships exist (Bear, 2013). Our selection of a Kozeny-Carman permeability-porosity relationship for our Hele-Shaw cell simulations is consistent with previous studies of unidirectional ice-brine solidification investigations (Katz & Worster, 2008; Parkinson et al., 2020; Wells et al., 2019). Nevertheless, this relationship (Equation 7 of the main text) relies on the selection of a permeability factor, $K_0$. This is akin to the reference permeabilities in the power law permeability-porosity relationships of other multiphase ice-brine system studies (e.g. (Hammond et al., 2018; Golden et al., 2007)). Regardless, the selection of this parameter will impact the permeability, and thus fluid transport, of the system and should reproduce empirical observations of ocean-derived ices. To demonstrate the importance of the permeability factor ($K_0$) we simulated the physicochemical evolution of sea ice (as described above) using a range of permeability factors and compared their resulting bulk salinity profiles. The results of these simulations can be seen in Figure S3. In varying the permeability factor by a factor of 20 bulk salinity in regions of the ice varied by as much as 30%. Such variations can have drastic effects on the properties of the resultant ice, and highlights the need to further constrain our understanding of ocean-derived ice permeability via both experimental and numerical techniques. Our selected value of
$K_0$ used throughout the study $K_0 = 2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2$ produces bulk salinity profiles consistent with observations of natural and laboratory grown sea ice (Eicken, 1992; Nakawo & Sinha, 1981; Notz et al., 2005). Furthermore, the Hele-Shaw cell limited Kozeny-Carman relationship employed in our work is consistent with empirically derived power law permeability-porosity relationships for sea ice (Golden et al., 2007). Figure S4 shows a comparison of the power law permeability-porosity relationship derived empirically by Golden et al. (2007), the power law relationship employed in the modeling investigation of Buffo et al. (2020), the Kozeny-Carman permeability-porosity relationship employed in the manuscript (without Hele-Shaw cell limitation), and the same Kozeny-Carman permeability-porosity relationship when it is employed in a Hele-Shaw cell, limiting the permeability of the free fluid to a finite value. While there are slight variations in the predicted permeability values, for porosities relevant to the interior of the mushy layer ($\phi < 0.8$) predicted permeabilities are within two orders of magnitude.

**Text S2 - Ice Shell Thermal Profile**

To demonstrate the validity of implementing the simple linear conduction approximation for the ice-ocean interface thermal gradient (Equation 8 of the main text), we compare the thermal profile during a selected simulation to that predicted by the linear conduction approximation:

$$T(z) = T_s + \frac{T_{oc} - T_s}{H_{shell}} z$$

where $z$ is depth beneath the surface, $T_{oc}$ is ocean temperature, $T_s$ is the surface temperature, and $H_{shell}$ is the thickness of the ice shell. The results of this comparison can be seen in Figure S5. The profiles vary only slightly, with true temperatures being slightly higher throughout the majority of the shell. This is an expected result as the ice-ocean interface mushy layer will buffer heat loss to the cold upper surface due to the lower ther-
mal conductivity of brine compared to ice. Such variations in ice shell thermal profiles have previously been shown to have a negligible affect on interface dynamics and ice shell physicochemical characteristics (Buffo et al., 2020).

**Text S3 - Brine Channel Spacing**

The distribution of brine channels in the mushy layer plays a fundamental role in the desalination and structure of the ice-ocean interface, and thus the physicochemical properties of ocean-derived ices. Channel spacing is a dynamic property and depends on a number of environmental pressures which control convective motion in the porous region near the ice-ocean phase boundary. Brine channel spacing in saltwater systems has previously been investigated, both experimentally (Wakatsuchi & Saito, 1985; Tison & Verbeke, 2001) and theoretically (Wells et al., 2011; Parkinson, 2019). Wells et al. (2011) and Parkinson (2019) demonstrate that the non-dimensional brine channel aspect ratio, defined as:

\[ a_s = \frac{L}{2h} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( L \) is the horizontal distance between brine channels and \( h \) is the thickness of the ice-ocean interface mushy layer, is strongly controlled by the mush Rayleigh number (Wells et al., 2011; Parkinson, 2019):

\[ Ra_{ML} = \frac{K_0 \rho_{br} g \beta \Delta C h}{\kappa_{br} \eta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( K_0 \) is a characteristic permeability, \( \rho_{br} \) is the density of the underlying ocean/brine, \( g \) is gravity, \( \beta \) is the solutal contraction coefficient, \( \Delta C \) is the difference in salinity between the eutectic concentration and the underlying fluid, \( h \) is mushy layer thickness, \( \kappa_{br} \) is the thermal diffusivity of the underlying fluid, and \( \eta \) is dynamic fluid viscosity.
To investigate the relationship between ice-ocean interface environment and brine channel spacing, in both planetary and terrestrial systems, we measured brine channel aspect ratios for seven simulations (ice shell depths ranging from 10 m to 1000 m) under Europan conditions and compare our results to those of Wells et al. (2011) and Parkinson (2019) (Figure S6). Additionally, we measured brine channel aspect ratios during a simulation of sea ice to ensure our results agree with those of Parkinson (2019) who simulate the top-down solidification of sea ice under a range of thermal forcing and during a simulation where a concentration ratio $C=1$ is implemented to bridge the existing gap between the concentration ratios investigated by Wells et al. (2011) ($C=2,5,10,15$) and those utilized in Parkinson (2019) and the current work ($C=0.18$). Following Wells et al. (2011), the concentration ratio is defined as:

$$C = \frac{C_0 - C_s}{C_e - C_0}$$

(4)

where $C_0$ is the salinity of the underlying fluid, $C_s$ is the salinity of ice (here taken to be zero), and $C_e$ is the eutectic concentration.

Our simulation results, as well as the results of Wells et al. (2011) and Parkinson (2019), show a general trend of decreasing brine channel aspect ratio with increasing mush Rayleigh number, approaching a steady state value for $Ra_{ML} >> Ra_c$, as reported by Wells et al. (2011), where $Ra_c$ is the critical Rayleigh number where mushy layer convection initiates. This suggests that after onset of convection in the mushy layer the rate of mushy layer thickening (increasing $h$) outpaces the collapse/merging of brine channels (a phenomenon well documented in the literature by both experimental and modeling studies, which leads to increasing $L$), until a steady state aspect ratio is achieved. The primary environmental factor affecting the value of the steady state aspect ratio is the concentration ratio, for while simulations of sea ice and Europan ice had substantially
different environmental forcing (gravity, characteristic permeability) their steady state aspect ratios vary minimally when compared to the difference in steady state aspect ratios of simulations with variable concentration ratios. An additional control on brine channel spacing is the finite width of our simulation domain, which necessarily quantizes the number of brine channels. We have taken care to select domain widths which facilitate a large number of brine channels whenever possible to reduce the impact of this numerical limitation. Another interesting feature of the simulations presented in Figure S6 is the existence of convective modes; apparent in all simulations except those of Wells et al. (2011), due to their method of selecting $L$ such that it maximized solute flux from the mushy layer (Wells et al., 2012) and observing the evolution of $h$, as jumps between well defined groupings that are well represented by the inverse fits of Figure S6 (additionally, transition between convective modes can be seen in Supplementary Movie S1 and S2 as brine channels collapse and reform). This alternation between quasi-stable convective modes in a confined porous media is a well documented natural phenomenon (e.g. (Karani & Huber, 2017)) which we believe is amplified by the finite resolution of the simulations. That is, as the resolution is coarsened, as is needed to simulate thicker mushy layers deep within the ice shell of Europa, the lower modes (associated with smaller aspect ratios) are likely not explicitly resolved by the simulation. This does not affect the accuracy of the physicochemical results of the model, however, as it was shown in Section S1 that bulk salinity profiles remain constant regardless of whether discrete brine channels are resolved (e.g. Figures S1 S2).

Due to the rapid rate at which ice-ocean systems exceed their critical Rayleigh numbers (e.g. the presence of brine channels in thin sea ice (Wettlaufer et al., 1997; Cox & Weeks, 1974)) we conclude that the thick ice shells of icy worlds such as Europa should have ice-ocean interfaces characterized by brine channel aspect ratios near their steady
state limit. This suggests progressively widening channel spacing as the ice shell thickens that will scale with mushy layer thickness. Given the results of Section 3.2 of the main manuscript (that mushy layer thickness can be well approximated as a linear function of ice shell thickness, linear relationship coefficient=0.0539), and noting that the steady state brine channel aspect ratio is 0.08 (Blue lines of Figure S6), Europan ice shells of thickness 1 km, 10 km, and 30 km would have expected brine channel spacing of 8.62 m, 86.2 m, and 259 m, respectively. Constraining channel spacing is important in understanding the desalination and heterogeneity of the lower ice shell, which may have substantial implications for the geophysical processes and habitability of ice-ocean worlds, as outlined in the main manuscript.

**Text S4 - A Note on Simulated Brinicle Geometry**

It is important to note that the geometry of the brinicles simulated in Section 3.3 may be impacted by the Darcy approximation implemented in the SOFTBALL code. The Darcy technique is employed to simplify the ice-ocean system and involves simulating the entire domain (liquid and solid) as a porous media (rather than solving Darcy’s law in the porous region and the Navier-Stokes equation in the fluid). This is a widely used method and its accuracy hinges on the large amplification of permeability in the fluid region (compared to the porous region). A large, but finite, permeability means flow is faster in the fluid than in the porous region (ensuring accurate evolution of the multiphase layer), while still being computationally tractable and avoiding a difficult boundary value problem. The reduction of flow speed in the free fluid from its true value (permeability ≠ infinity), however, means that diffusive processes are likely overly expressed in the fluid region. This could lead to amplified spacing between brinicle walls beneath downwelling high salinity regions (e.g. Figure 6). In the real system downwelling plumes will not be subject to a finite permeability and are likely to be more jet-like, reducing dissipative
flow near the interface and potentially supporting more stable, longer-lived ice structures than suggested in Section 3.3 (See Wells et al. (2019)). We therefore suggest our results be taken as a lower limit for the longevity of brinicle structures at Europa’s ice-ocean interface.

**Movie S1.**

Nondimensional bulk salinity evolution under Europan conditions. (Domain: 20m x 20m (512 x 512 grid), Resolution: 3.9cm)

**Movie S2.**

Porosity evolution under Europan conditions. (Domain: 20m x 20m (512 x 512 grid), Resolution: 3.9cm)
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Figure S1. The effects of variable resolution. **Top Left**) 16x16 starting grid, no Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR) (6.25 cm resolution). **Top Right**) 32x32 starting grid, no AMR (3.13 cm resolution). **Bottom Left**) 32x32 starting grid, 1 level AMR (1.56 cm resolution). **Bottom Right**) 32x32 starting grid, 2 level AMR (0.78 cm resolution).
Figure S2. Horizontally averaged bulk salinity profiles. Using the results of Figure S1, bulk salinity is horizontally averaged and compared. Profiles have characteristic ‘c-shape’ of first year sea ice, with appropriate bulk salinity values, and are in good agreement across all resolutions.
**Figure S3.** The effects of variable permeability factors on sea ice bulk salinity. Horizontally averaged bulk salinity profiles of sea ice generated using identical conditions less the permeability factor, $K_0$. 

- a) $K_0 = 1e^{-10}$
- b) $K_0 = 2e^{-10}$
- c) $K_0 = 8e^{-10}$
- d) $K_0 = 2e^{-9}$

In all plots colors represent progressive temporal snapshots during the simulation (as the ice thickens).
Figure S4. A comparison of common permeability-porosity relationships. The modified Kozeny-Carmen relationship of Parkinson et al. (2020) (purple line), employed here, is comparable to the Kozeny-Carmen relationship of Katz and Worster (2008) as well as the power law relationships of Golden et al. (2007) and Buffo et al. (2020). The Hele-Shaw cell modifications place a finite limit on the permeability of the underlying fluid ($\phi \to 1$).
Figure S5. Thermal profiles in an ice shell. The blue curve is the horizontally averaged temperature profile extracted from a top-down solidification simulation under Europa conditions. The ice-ocean interface occurs at $\sim 758$ m below the surface. The red curve is the linear conduction approximation given by Equation S1.
Figure S6. Brine channel aspect ratio as a function of mush Rayleigh number. Solid circles are results from Wells et al. (2011) and black curves are inverse fits to this data. Solid squares are results from Parkinson (2019) (Chapter 5.1.5) and red curves are inverse fits to this data. Open circles and solid stars/diamonds are simulation results and mean values for Europan environments runs, respectively, and blue curves are inverse fits to this data. Blue and yellow solid triangles correspond to our sea ice and $C = 1$ simulations, respectively, and the pink and green curves are their associated inverse fits. As the mush Rayleigh number increases brine channel aspect ratios approach a steady state value primarily governed by the concentration ratio, in agreement with the results of (Wells et al., 2011). ‘Modes’, as listed in the figure legend, are associated with mushy layer convective modes within simulation domains. These quasi-steady states are a common feature of convection in a confined porous media (Karani & Huber, 2017) and are amplified by the finite resolution of simulations.