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Abstract

Quantitative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) quartz microtextural analysis can reveal the transport histories of modern and ancient sediments. However, because workers identify and count microtextures differently, it is difficult to directly compare quantitative microtextural data analyzed by multiple workers. As a result, the defining microtextures of certain transport modes and their probabilities of occurrence are not well constrained. We used principal component analysis (PCA) to directly compare modern and ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples from the literature with 9 new samples from active aeolian and glacial environments. Our results demonstrate that PCA can group microtextural samples by transport mode and identify the microtextures that differentiate between aeolian and fluvial/glacial transport modes, regardless of study. The PCA ordinations indicate that aeolian samples are distinct from fluvial and glacial samples, which are in turn difficult to disambiguate from each other. The ancient and modern sediments are also shown to have quantitatively similar microtextural relationships. Therefore, PCA may be a useful tool to constrain the ambiguous transport histories of some ancient sediment grains. As a case study, we analyzed two samples with ambiguous transport histories from the Cryogenian Bråvika Member (Svalbard). Integrating PCA with field observations, we find evidence that the Bråvika Member facies investigated here includes aeolian deposition and may be analogous to syn-glacial Marinoan aeolian units including the Bakoye Formation in Mali and the Whyalla Sandstone in South Australia.
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ABSTRACT

Quantitative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) quartz microtextural analysis can reveal the transport histories of modern and ancient sediments. However, because workers identify and count microtextures differently, it is difficult to directly compare quantitative microtextural data analyzed by different workers. As a result, the defining microtextures of certain transport modes and their probabilities of occurrence are not well constrained. We used principal component analysis (PCA) to directly compare modern and ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples from the literature with 9 new samples from active aeolian and glacial environments. Our results demonstrate that PCA can group microtextural samples by transport mode and differentiate between aeolian and fluvial/glacial transport modes across studies. The PCA ordination indicates that aeolian samples are distinct from fluvial and glacial samples, which are in turn difficult to disambiguate from each other. Ancient and modern sediments are also shown to have quantitatively similar microtextural relationships. Therefore, PCA may be a useful tool to constrain the ambiguous transport histories of some ancient sediment grains. As a case study, we analyzed two samples with ambiguous transport histories from the Cryogenian Bråvika Member (Svalbard). Integrating PCA with field observations, we find evidence that the Bråvika Member facies investigated here includes aeolian deposition and may be analogous to syn-glacial Marinoan aeolian units including the Bakoye Formation in Mali and the Whyalla Sandstone in South Australia.

INTRODUCTION

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) quartz microtextural analysis reveals microscale features (microtextures) that are formed during transport (Krinsley and Takahashi 1962; Krinsley
Because different transport modes imprint specific suites of microtextures onto quartz grains, quartz microtextural analysis is a useful technique to understand the transport histories of modern and ancient sedimentary deposits (Krinsley and Doornkamp 1973; Mahaney 2002; Vos et al. 2014). Quantitative quartz microtextural analysis, which treats microtextural data as a multidimensional statistical problem, is a particularly promising method to quantify the probabilities of occurrence of each microtexture in a specific transport mode (Mahaney et al. 2001; Říha et al. 2019). However, because workers identify and count microtextures differently—even for sand grains from the same depositional environment (Culver et al. 1983)—it is difficult to directly compare quantitative microtextural data analyzed by more than one worker in the same reference frame.

Here we use principal component analysis (PCA) to directly compare quantitative microtextural data from modern and ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial sediments across workers. Because experimental studies have shown that certain microtextures form in specific transport settings (Krinsley and Takahashi 1962; Lindé and Mycielska-Dowgiałło 1980; Costa et al. 2012; Costa et al. 2013; Costa et al. 2017), we expect the PCA ordinations to distinguish aeolian, fluvial, and glacial sediments from each other regardless of worker. We also hypothesize that the modern and ancient samples will be quantitatively similar to each other in PCA space, and that the depositional histories of ambiguous ancient sedimentary environments can be constrained using this method.

One such case of an ambiguous ancient sedimentary environment is the Cryogenian (720–635 Ma) Bråvika Member (northeastern Svalbard, Norway). The Bråvika Member is a northward-thickening and coarsening-upward wedge of quartz arenite with lenses and beds of dolomite (Halverson et al. 2004). Since the Bråvika Member was first recognized as a unit by
Halverson et al. (2004), there have been three prevailing hypotheses for what depositional environment the Bråvika could represent:

1) a glaciofluvial outwash plain associated with the overlying Wilsonbreen Formation (Halverson et al. 2004), which is correlated with the Marinoan “Snowball Earth” pan-glaciation (Hoffman et al. 2012);

2) an aeolian depositional environment associated with either the glacial conditions of the Wilsonbreen Formation or the tropical equatorial conditions of the underlying upper Elbobreen Formation (Halverson 2011), the latter of which is correlated with the Cryogenian interglacial period (Fairchild et al. 2016); or

3) a tropical fluvial environment associated with the upper Elbobreen Formation (Hoffman et al. 2012).

To test if our PCA analysis method can constrain the transport histories of ambiguous ancient sedimentary environments, we transformed two microtextural samples of the Bråvika Member from Buldrevågen (north-northeast Spitsbergen) into the PCA ordinations. Integrating the microtextural data with field observations from Buldrevågen, Geerabukta (Ny Friesland), and Gimleodden (Nordaustlandet), we show that PCA is not only able to distinguish aeolian, fluvial, and glacial transport modes from each other using microtextural data, but it is also able to help elucidate the ambiguous transport histories of ancient sediment grains.

**MATERIALS**

**Modern Samples**

**New Modern Samples.** — We present five new aeolian samples from the McMurdo Dry Valleys (Antarctica), Algodones Dunes of California (Cocopah (Kwapa), Kumeyaay, Salt
Figure 1. Global map of all samples analyzed in this study. The number in each marker corresponds to the sample group number in Tables 1 and 2.
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Table 1. List of the samples from modern depositional environments considered in this study. Each group of samples is assigned a number for later reference in Figures 1 and 5 (Column #). Column S indicates the number of samples in each sample group, and column N indicates the number of quartz grains in each sample group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>Transport</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>GPS Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Study</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake Fryxell, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>77°36'48&quot;S, 163°06'40&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lake Joyce, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>77°43'11&quot;S, 161°36'25&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lake Vanda, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77°31'38&quot;S, 161°36'24&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Algodones Dunes, California, U.S.</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33°08'57&quot;N, 115°18'48&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Waynoka Dunes, Oklahoma, U.S.</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36°33'35&quot;N, 98°53'56&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Llewellyn Glacier, B.C. (JIF19-C26-01)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59°00'49&quot;N, 134°07'15&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Llewellyn Glacier, B.C. (JIF19-C26-02)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>59°00'48&quot;N, 134°07'13&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Llewellyn Glacier, B.C. (JIF19-C26-03)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>59°00'48&quot;N, 134°07'13&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Llewellyn Glacier, B.C. (JIF19-C26-04)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59°00'50&quot;N, 134°07'14&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Anza-Borrego Desert, California, U.S.</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>32°54'00&quot;N, 116°16'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Auster and Storelvi Rivers, Norway</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>61°32'00&quot;N, 06°57'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Austerdal Glacier Moraine, Norway</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61°32'00&quot;N, 06°57'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rio Guayanés, Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>18°03'00&quot;N, 65°54'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Rio Parón, Peru</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>09°00'00&quot;S, 77°42'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Moraine Proximal to Lake Parón, Peru</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>09°00'00&quot;S, 77°42'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Russell Glacier, Greenland (CE1, CE2, CE8)</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>67°05'00&quot;N, 50°20'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Russell Glacier, Greenland (CE12, CE13)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>67°07'00&quot;N, 50°05'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12 km Past Tana River Confluence to the Copper River, Alaska, U.S. (CR-24 to CR-41)</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>61°21'42&quot;N, 143°46'34&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Moraine Proximal to Lake Parón, Peru</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55°51'56&quot;N, 14°10'02&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Coastal Sand Dune, Vittekövle, Sweden</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59°36'26&quot;N, 13°53'03&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Inland Sand Dune, Brattforsheden, Sweden</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59°36'26&quot;N, 13°53'03&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Lichen Valley, Vestfold Hills, Antarctica (Site A)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>68°28'53&quot;S, 78°10'24&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ackerman Ridge, Scott Glacier area, Antarctica (Sites B – C)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>85°45'00&quot;S, 153°00'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Southern Inexpressible Island, Antarctica (Site D)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>74°54'00&quot;S, 163°39'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Taylor Glacier, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica (Site E)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77°44'00&quot;S, 162°10'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Hatherton Glacier, Antarctica (Site F)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>79°55'00&quot;S, 157°35'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Roberts Massif, Antarctica (Sites G – H)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>85°32'00&quot;S, 177°05'00&quot;W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Barwick Valley, Antarctica (Site I)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77°23'24&quot;S, 161°02'18&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Cambridge Glacier, Antarctica (Site J)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76°57'00&quot;S, 160°31'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Southern Inexpressible Island, Antarctica (Site D)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75°38'00&quot;S, 161°05'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Luther Peak Basin, Edisto Inlet, Antarctica (Site L)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>72°22'00&quot;S, 169°50'00&quot;E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lake Joyce (documented in Mackey et al. 2015) and one from Lake Vanda (documented in Mackey et al. 2017). The bulk of coarse-grained sedimentation under the ice cover of these lakes is wind-blown quartz- and feldspar-rich sand that melts through the ice and is deposited within layers of microbial mats on the lake floor (Gumbley 1975; Green et al. 2004; Shacat et al. 2004; Jungblut et al. 2016). The lakes’ lack of wind-driven turbulence (Spigel and Priscu 1998) and neutral to high pH (Green et al. 2004; Shacat et al. 2004; Jungblut et al. 2016) suggest that these aeolian grains are negligibly overprinted by lacustrine transport or acidification processes after they melt through the ice.

The remaining two aeolian samples are from the Algodones Dunes and the Waynoka Dunes (both documented by Adams 2018; Adams and Soreghan 2020). Both dunefields are sourced from fluvial deposits (Winspear and Pye 1995; Lepper and Scott 2005) and have been active since the late Holocene (Stokes et al. 1997; Lepper and Scott 2005). Given that aeolian transport over short distances and timeframes rapidly imprints aeolian microtextures on quartz grains (Costa et al. 2013), we expect there to be negligible fluvial overprinting on these samples.

The four glacial samples from the Llewellyn Glacier on the Juneau Icefield were collected from lateral glacial moraines (JIF19-C26-02 and JIF19-C26-03) and an ephemeral glaciofluvial melt stream 10 m downstream from a separated branch of ice from the Llewellyn Glacier (JIF19-C26-01 and JIF19-C26-04; Fig. S1). Because many kilometers of fluvial transport are needed to create a fluvial microtextural overprint on glacial sediment (Pippin 2016; Sweet and Brannan 2016; Křížek et al. 2017), samples JIF19-C26-01 and JIF19-C26-04 are more representative of a glacial setting than a fluvial setting.

**Modern Literature Samples.** — Previously published aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples comprise the remainder of modern samples considered in this study (Fig. 1; Table 1).
We selected 5 studies to use in this modern dataset: Mahaney et al. (1996), Stevic (2015), Sweet and Brannan (2016), Kalińska-Nartiša et al. (2017), and Smith et al. (2018).

Mahaney et al. (1996) analyzed 11 glacial samples distributed around the Antarctic continent. Stevic (2015) analyzed two aeolian samples, one from a coastal dune in Vittskövle, Sweden and another from an inland sand dune near Brattforsheden, Sweden. Sweet and Brannan (2016) investigated the microtextural transition from glacially-dominated samples to fluvially-dominated ones using 46 samples of sand collected along a transect from the Chitina Glacier to the Copper River in Alaska. For the purposes of sorting these samples into glacial and fluvial bins, we use Sweet and Brannan's (2016) 5-point averaged fluvial-glacial (F/G) microtextural ratio. Samples with a 5-point averaged F/G > 1 are classified as fluvial samples and samples with a 5-point averaged F/G < 1 are classified as glacial. Kalińska-Nartiša et al. (2017) analyzed three aeolian samples and two glacial samples from the Russell Glacier in southwest Greenland. Finally, Smith et al. (2018) analyzed 25 fluvial and glacial samples from the Anza-Borrego Desert in California, the Auster and Storelvi Rivers in Norway, the Rio Guayanés in Puerto Rico, and the Rio Parón in Peru. Because Smith et al. (2018) saw no significant change in percussion features along each of the river transects—even in glaciofluvial settings—the fluvial samples in Smith et al. (2018) are defined as those collected along river transects and the glacial samples are defined as those collected at moraines.

Ancient Samples

Cryogenian Bråvika Member, Svalbard, Norway. — We analyzed two samples of the Bråvika Member from a site at Buldrevågen in north-northeast Spitsbergen (Fig. 2), one at 12 m and another at 22 m above the base of the Bråvika Member. We will present field observations
Figure 2. Geologic context and stratigraphy of the Cryogenian Bråvika Member in Svalbard. A) Map of the Svalbard archipelago. Each star indicates a site observed in this study: Buldrevågen (red), Geerabukta (white), and Gimleoddon (black). B) Generalized stratigraphic nomenclature for the Cryogenian Polarisbreen Group in Svalbard after Halverson et al. (2018). As shown here, the Bråvika Member is assigned to neither the Wilsonbreen nor the Elbobreen formations, as its assignment is a key question explored in this study. The Petrovbreen Member is correlated with the Sturtian pan-glaciation and the Wilsonbreen Formation is correlated with the Marinoan pan-glaciation (Hoffman et al. 2012). The MacDonaldryggen and Slangen members are correlated with the Cryogenian interglacial (Fairchild et al. 2016). C) Stratigraphic column of the Bråvika Member at Buldrevågen. The black circles indicate where samples 32 (J1701-156) and 33 (J1701-166) were collected for microtextural analysis.
correlated with the warm Cryogenian interglacial period (Fairchild et al. 2016), which spanned from the Sturtian deglaciation to the Marinoan glacial initiation. Absolute age constraints on this period are limited, but the Sturtian deglaciation is constrained between >662.7 ± 6.2 Ma (U-Pb SIMS in South China; Yu et al. 2017) to >657.2 ± 2.4 Ma (Re-Os in Southern Australia; Kendall et al. 2006), and the Marinoan glacial onset is constrained between <654.6 ± 3.8 Ma (U-Pb SIMS in South China; Zhang et al. 2008) to >639.29 ± 0.26/0.31/0.75 Ma (U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS in Congo; Prave et al. 2016). The overlying glacial diamictites of the Wilsonbreen Formation share a reciprocal thickness relationship with the Bråvika Member and are correlated with the Marinoan glaciation (Hoffman et al. 2012), which ended between 636.41 ± 0.45 Ma (U-Pb CA-ID-TIMS in Southern Australia; Calver et al. 2013) and 635.2 ± 0.6 Ma (U-Pb zircon in South China; Condon et al. 2005).

**Ancient Literature Samples.** —— In addition to the two Bråvika Member samples, we compiled a set of ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial microtextural samples from 4 studies: Mahaney and Kalm (1995), Mahaney et al. (2001), Deane (2010), and Nartišs and Kalińska-Nartiša (2017) (Fig. 1; Table 2).

Mahaney and Kalm (1995) analyzed 23 glacial samples from the Pleistocene Dainava, Ugandi, Varduva, and Latvia Tills in Estonia. Mahaney et al. (2001), following Mahaney and Kalm (2000), used quantitative microtextural analysis and Euclidean distances to characterize 29 Pleistocene glacial samples, 3 Pleistocene glaciofluvial samples, and 21 Middle Devonian fluvial samples from Estonia. All of these samples were previously collected and analyzed in Mahaney and Kalm (2000). Deane (2010) compared 9 Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) glaciogenic samples from Costa Rica with 9 potentially-glaciogenic samples from the Dominican Republic and found that the two sample sets were statistically indistinguishable, supporting a glaciogenic history for
Table 2. List of the samples from ancient depositional environments considered in this study. Each group of samples is assigned a number for reference in Figures 1, 2, and 6 (Column #). Column S indicates the number of samples in each sample group, and column N indicates the number of quartz grains in each sample group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Transport</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>GPS Point</th>
<th>Geologic Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This Study</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Bråvika Mbr. – Buldrevågen (J1701-156)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>79°59'29&quot;N, 17°31'20&quot;E</td>
<td>Cryogenian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Bråvika Mbr. – Buldrevågen (J1701-166)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>79°59'29&quot;N, 17°31'20&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nartišs and Kalińska-Nartiša (2017)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Middle Gauja Lowland, Latvia (Mielupīte 1.3)</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57°30'00&quot;N, 26°00'00&quot;E</td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Middle Gauja Lowland, Latvia (Mielupīte 1.7)</td>
<td>Aeolian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57°30'00&quot;N, 26°00'00&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deane (2010)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Till, Costa Rica (Sample 2)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>09°29'35&quot;N, 83°29'07&quot;W</td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Till, Costa Rica (Sample 3)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>09°29'35&quot;N, 83°29'07&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Till, Costa Rica (Sample 4)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>09°29'35&quot;N, 83°29'07&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Till, Costa Rica (Sample 5)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>09°29'35&quot;N, 83°29'07&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Till, Costa Rica (Sample 8)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>09°29'35&quot;N, 83°29'07&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Till, Dominican Republic (Sample 10)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19°02'01&quot;N, 71°04'22&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Till, Dominican Republic (Sample 11)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19°01'60&quot;N, 71°04'26&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Till, Dominican Republic (Sample 17)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19°02'07&quot;N, 71°04'38&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Till, Dominican Republic (Sample 18)</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19°01'39&quot;N, 71°02'30&quot;W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahaney et al. (2001)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Arküla Stage Sandstone, Estonia</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>58°15'00&quot;N, 26°30'00&quot;E</td>
<td>Middle Devonian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Glaciofluvial Sand, Estonia</td>
<td>Fluvial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58°15'00&quot;N, 26°30'00&quot;E</td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Till, Estonia</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>58°15'00&quot;N, 26°30'00&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Varduva Till, Estonia</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58°13'28&quot;N, 26°25'16&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Upper Ugandi Till, Estonia</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58°13'28&quot;N, 26°25'16&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Lower Ugandi Till, Estonia</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58°13'28&quot;N, 26°25'16&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Upper Dainava Till, Estonia</td>
<td>Glacial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58°13'28&quot;N, 26°25'16&quot;E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the samples from the Dominican Republic. In our study, we include samples from Deane (2010) that were collected directly from known or hypothesized glacial diamicts and moraines in Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic; we did not include samples from glaciolacustrine environments and debris-flows. Nartišs and Kalińska-Nartiša (2017) analyzed two aeolian
samples from periglacial aeolian dunes associated with the retreat of the Fennoscandian ice sheet after the LGM in Latvia.

**METHODS**

*Field Work and Sample Collecting*

Samples analyzed for the first time in this study were collected over multiple field seasons using a variety of methods. The samples from the McMurdo Dry Valleys were originally collected as microbial mats using the methods described in Mackey et al. (2015), Jungblut et al. (2016), and Mackey et al. (2017). Samples from the Algodones Dunes and Waynoka Dunes were collected using the methods described in Adams and Soreghan (2020). On the Juneau Icefield, four sand samples of ~50 g each were collected in August 2019 from glacial moraines and an ephemeral glaciofluvial melt stream on the Llewellyn Glacier (Camp 26) nunatak. Field work on the Bråvika Member in Buldrevågen, Geerabukta, and Gimleodden was performed in 2017.

*Microtextural Sample Disaggregation and SEM Preparation*

Most samples collected for this study were unconsolidated sediment, but consolidated samples were disaggregated before analysis. Both dolomite-cemented Bråvika Member samples from Svalbard were disaggregated using 1N hydrochloric acid (HCl) at 50°C for 24 hours. Sand samples from Lake Joyce, Lake Fryxell, and Lake Vanda were disaggregated from the microbial mats using 30% hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) solution at 50°C for 24 hours to remove organics and 1N HCl at 50°C for 24 hours to remove carbonate.

All of the samples were then prepared for blind microtextural analysis in the style of Smith et al. (2018). Samples were distributed into vials and given unique codes unknown to the
primary researcher. These blinded conditions were maintained until after each sample’s microtextural data were collected.

After sample randomization, each sample was gently wet sieved into a 125 µm – 1 mm grain size fraction and dried in an oven. After drying, the samples were treated with 30% H₂O₂ solution at 50°C for 24 hours to remove organics. Samples were then treated with 1N HCl solution for 24 hours at 50°C to remove any remaining carbonate coatings. Neither H₂O₂ nor low-concentration HCl at these temperatures and time frames affects quartz microtextures (Pye 1983; Keiser et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2018).

Samples were then treated using the citrate-bicarbonate-dithionite (CBD) method (Janitsky 1986) to remove iron-oxide and manganese-oxide coatings. Between all chemical treatments, the samples were thoroughly rinsed and dried. These samples were not sonicated to prevent artificially inducing microtextures (Porter 1962).

Following these treatments, 50 grains that appeared to be quartz (e.g. translucent, no obvious cleavage, etc.) were randomly selected from each sample for microtextural analysis using a reflected-light microscope. The selected grains were mounted on an aluminum SEM stub with double-sided carbon tape in a 10x5 grid and then coated with a 5 nm thick platinum-palladium alloy (Pt/Pd; 80/20) sputter coating to prevent charging under the SEM. Although a gold (Au) or gold-palladium alloy (Au/Pd) coating is frequently used for SEM samples (Vos et al. 2014), Pt/Pd is a better alternative to Au coatings because Pt/Pd coatings have a smaller grain size that allows for higher-resolution analysis (5-10 nm Au vs. 4-8 nm Au/Pd vs. 2-3 nm Pt/Pd; Goldstein et al. 1992).

SEM Imaging and Analysis
All grains in each sample were photographed at a 30° tilt on a Zeiss FESEM Supra55VP using a secondary electron (SE2) detector at 20 kV EHT. Viewing the grains at a 30° angle helps to identify smaller microtextures that are difficult to identify at a 0° angle (Margolis and Krinsley 1971). During imaging, energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to confirm the composition of each quartz grain.

After imaging, each quartz grain was analyzed for the presence or absence of 20 microtextures (Fig. 3) according to the methods of Mahaney et al. (2001) and Mahaney (2002). The microtextures are grouped into five bins as defined by Sweet and Soreghan (2010) that differentiate features by formation process: polygenetic, percussion, high-stress, chemical, and grain relief. The following formation descriptions are from Sweet and Soreghan (2010).

Polygenetic features are formed through a variety of processes. Percussion features are formed via grain saltation. High-stress features are formed when grains are subjected to high shear stresses. Chemical features are formed via silica dissolution or precipitation. Grain relief refers to the difference between the high and low points on the grain surface.

Grains with extreme diagenetic overprint (e.g. ≥~90% estimated coverage of diagenetic overprint; Fig. S2) were removed from the sample dataset. The probability of occurrence for each microtexture \( p_m \) was calculated by dividing the sum of the counts for a given microtexture by the total number of grains in the sample (Smith et al. 2018).

Previous microtextural studies have used a range of sample sizes, from less than 20 grains per sample (Krisnley and Funnell 1965; Coch and Krinsley 1971; Blackwelder and Pilkey 1972) to 100 grains or more per sample (Vincent 1976; Setlow 1978; Deane 2010). This study analyzed ≤ 50 grains per sample as a midpoint between these. However, non-quartz grains and diagenetically overprinted grains were removed from the sample dataset, making 50 grains the...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microtexture</th>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Formation Process</th>
<th>Example Photo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abrasion Features</td>
<td>af</td>
<td>Rubbed or worn surface</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deep tears or breaks caused by impact; Several microns deep and typically spaced &gt; 5 µm apart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc-Shaped Steps</td>
<td>as</td>
<td>Blocky void marking removal of material, typically along an edge</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakage Blocks</td>
<td>bb</td>
<td>Smooth, curved fracture</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conchoidal Fractures</td>
<td>cf</td>
<td>Smooth and clean fractures</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture Faces</td>
<td>ff</td>
<td>Smooth and clean fractures</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Steps</td>
<td>ls</td>
<td>Widely spaced linear features, typically &gt; 5 µm apart</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp Angular Features</td>
<td>saf</td>
<td>Distinct sharp edges on grain surface</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subparallel Linear Fractures</td>
<td>slf</td>
<td>Linear fractures, typically &lt; 5 µm spacing</td>
<td>Polygenetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge Rounding</td>
<td>er</td>
<td>Rounded edges on grains</td>
<td>Percussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Shaped Percussion Cracks</td>
<td>vc</td>
<td>V-shaped fractures or indentations with typical sizes ranging from 1 µm to 30 µm</td>
<td>Percussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3A.** Photos and description of microtextures used in this study. Scale bars are 100 µm unless otherwise noted.
## Figure 3B

Photos and description of microtextures used in this study. Scale bars are 100 µm unless otherwise noted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microtexture</th>
<th>Abbr.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Formation Process</th>
<th>Example Photo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crescentic Gouges</td>
<td>crg</td>
<td>Crescent-shaped gouges with convex and concave limbs that have depths &gt; 5 µm</td>
<td>High-Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Curved abrasion feature caused by sustained high stress contact with another grain, &lt; 5 µm deep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curved Grooves</td>
<td>cg</td>
<td></td>
<td>High-Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grooves &gt; 10 µm deep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Troughs</td>
<td>dt</td>
<td></td>
<td>High-Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grooves &gt; 10 µm deep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight Grooves</td>
<td>sg</td>
<td>Linear grooves &lt; 10 µm deep</td>
<td>High-Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Surfaces of impact where plates of variable size are partially torn from surface, typically &gt; 5 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Relief Plates</td>
<td>up</td>
<td></td>
<td>High-Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topographically irregular surface with pronounced swells and swales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution Etching</td>
<td>de</td>
<td>Cavities from chemical dissolution; often crystallographically oriented</td>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation Features</td>
<td>pf</td>
<td>Coatings of amorphous silica precipitation</td>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Relief</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>Nearly smooth surface without topographic irregularities</td>
<td>Entire history of grain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Relief</td>
<td>med</td>
<td>Semi-smooth surface with topographic irregularities</td>
<td>Entire history of grain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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upper limit for samples in this study. To address this, samples with ≥ 15 eligible quartz grains were considered statistically significant for analysis; samples with < 15 eligible quartz grains were not analyzed. This limit of 15 grains was selected because it is the midpoint of the lower limit recommended sample sizes of Costa et al. (2012), who advocated for a median number of 20 grains per sample, and of Vos et al. (2014), who advocated for a lower limit of 10 grains per sample.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

We performed PCA on the modern and ancient suites of microtextural data using Scikit-learn 0.21.2 (Pedregosa et al. 2011). This ordination excluded microtextures that were not analyzed by all authors, leaving 12 microtextures that were analyzed by every author in the dataset. These microtextures were arc-shaped steps, conchoidal fractures, linear steps, sharp angular features, subparallel linear fractures, edge rounding, v-shaped percussion cracks, curved grooves, precipitated features, low relief, medium relief, and high relief (Fig. 3; Tables S1–S2). The principal component axes are first derived from the modern suite of microtextural data and then the ancient samples are fitted to these new axes. These axes are shown in three biplots: PC1 vs. PC2; PC1 vs. PC3; and PC2 vs. PC3. In each biplot, 95% confidence ellipses centered at the mean were calculated for each modern transport mode using the methods of Schelp (2019). The broken-stick criterion (Frontier 1976; Jackson 1993; Legendre and Legendre 1998; Peres-Neto et al. 2003) was used to determine the significance of the microtextural loadings.

RESULTS
**Figure 4.** Field observations of the Bråvika Member and related units. All field photographs are of the Bråvika Member and are credited to K.D. Bergmann unless otherwise noted. A) Annotated photograph of large-scale bedforms exposed at Gimleodden. Dashed lines trace bedding surfaces. Hammer for scale. B) Photograph of frost-shattered trough crossbedding at 12 m in Buldrevågen (Fig. 2C), where the fracture planes are bedding surfaces. Arrow points upsection. The box highlights the location of C) (Photo credit: A.B. Jost). C) Annotated close-up of trough crossbedding. The dashed lines trace bedding surfaces and the arrow points upsection. D) Adhesion ripples on a bedding plane at Geerabukta. E) Potential adhesion ripples on a bedding plane at Gimleodden. F) Pinstripe lamination at Geerabukta. G) Photomicrograph of frosted grains from the Bråvika Member at Buldrevågen after dissolution of the dolomite cement with
acid (Photo credit: J.N. Reahl). H) Close-up of sand intraclasts with diffuse edges at Buldrevågen. I) Soft sediment deformation in the upper Bråvika Member under the Wilsonbreen tillite at Gimleodden, consistent with deformation of un lithified Bråvika sand by overriding ice. Dashed line marks the diffuse contact between the two units and solid lines trace contorted, folded beds within the Bråvika Member. Hammer for scale. J) Sandstone intraclasts with diffuse boundaries and greenish tan, pebbly, coarse sandstone intraclasts at 22 m in Buldrevågen (Fig. 2C). Bar is 40 cm long. K) Line drawing of J at the same scale; sandstone intraclasts are shaded gray, and greenish tan pebbly, coarse sandstone intraclasts are shaded red. L) The Wilsonbreen Formation at Buldrevågen, pictured here, has a greenish tan pebbly sandstone matrix.

Bråvika Member Field Observations

Field observations of the Bråvika Member in Buldrevågen (79°59’29”N, 17°31’20”E), Geerabukta (79°38’06”N, 17°43’48”E), and Gimleodden (79°48’19”N, 18°24’04”E) show evidence of bedforms with 5-10 m wavelength and 1-3 m amplitude, trough cross-bedding, adhesion ripples, pinstripe lamination (at 9 m in Fig. 2C) and grains that are frosted, well-rounded, and well-sorted (Fig. 4A-G). At the Gimleodden site, there is also evidence of soft sediment deformation in the Bråvika Member at the contact with the Wilsonbreen Formation (Fig. 4I). At the Buldrevågen site, the Bråvika Member hosts sandstone intraclasts with diffuse boundaries and no obvious cements at 22 m above the base of the Bråvika Member, as well as pebbly sandstone intraclast conglomerates at 18 m and 22 m (7 m and 3 m below the Wilsonbreen Formation contact, respectively; Figs. 2C, 4J-K). The pebbly sandstone intraclast conglomerate is similar in color to the overlying Wilsonbreen Formation (Fig. 4L).

Microtextural Dataset Description

This microtextural dataset is composed of 113 data points from modern and ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial settings. 92 of these data points come from modern settings and 21 come from ancient settings. The data are compiled from 10 studies: this study (10% of the total
Most data points in this analysis represent a single sample of N grains. The data points from Mahaney and Kalm (1995) and Mahaney et al. (2001) are instead the published averages of larger sets of unavailable raw data from each study.

Within the modern samples, 10% of the samples are aeolian, 45% are fluvial, and 45% are glacial. 60% of the modern aeolian samples come from periglacial settings and 73% of the modern fluvial samples come from glaciofluvial settings. All of the modern glacial samples come from active glacial environments. Within the ancient samples, 90% are constrained to particular depositional environments: 10% of the samples are aeolian, 10% are fluvial, and 71% are glacial. The remaining 10% of the ancient samples are from the Cryogenian Bråvika Member, and determining their depositional setting is a goal of this study.

**Probability of Occurrence**

**Modern Samples.** —— Modern aeolian samples are the most likely to have edge rounding (0.90 avg.), precipitated features (0.59 avg.), and low relief (0.31 avg.) compared to modern fluvial and glacial samples, which in turn are more likely to have high relief (0.40 fluvial avg.; 0.36 glacial avg.) and subparallel linear fractures (0.63 fluvial avg.; 0.50 glacial avg.) (Fig. 5). These transport modes also share similar probabilities of occurrence for some features. Glacial and aeolian samples share similar probabilities of curved grooves (0.33 glacial avg., 0.27 aeolian avg.) compared to fluvial samples. Fluvial and aeolian samples also share similar probabilities of v-shaped percussion cracks (0.45 fluvial avg., 0.48 aeolian avg.) compared to
Figure 5. Heatmap of the microtextural probabilities of occurrence from 0 to 1 for each modern sample group used in the analysis. Samples are binned into aeolian, fluvial, and glacial transport modes. Refer to Table 1 for sample group numbers and descriptions. Data are averaged for sample groups that contain more than one sample (S > 1). Refer to Figure 3A and B for microtextural abbreviations. The average of each transport mode for the modern samples (AVG) is at the bottom of each bin. Microtextures that were not analyzed within a study are grayed out.
Study-specific variations in microtextural probabilities occur within each transport mode. In the aeolian transport mode, samples from Stevic (2015) (samples 20–21; Table 1) are more likely to have curved grooves (0.80–0.93) compared to other aeolian samples in the dataset (0.13–0.19). The fluvial grains from Sweet and Brannan (2016) (sample 19) are more likely to have v-shaped percussion cracks (0.82) compared to the remaining fluvial samples from Smith et al. (2018) (0.15–0.40). Glacial grains from this study (samples 6–9) and Kalińska-Nartiša et al. (2017) (sample 17) have the highest probabilities of edge rounding (0.29–0.91) and precipitated features (0.55–0.88) compared to the remaining glacial samples. The glacial grains from Kalińska-Nartiša et al. (2017) are also the most likely to have low relief (0.68).

**Ancient Samples.** — Both samples from the Cryogenian Bråvika Member (samples 32–33; Table 2) have high probabilities of edge rounding (1.00), precipitated features (1.00), and upturned plates (0.85–0.97; Fig. 6). Pleistocene aeolian sand samples from Nartišs and Kalińska-Nartiša (2017) (samples 34–35) have high abundances of edge rounding, dissolution etching, and precipitated features (all categorized as “abundant”; >0.75 probability of occurrence). Grains from the middle Devonian Arküla Stage fluvial sand samples (sample 45) and Pleistocene glaciofluvial sand samples (sample 46) from Estonia (Mahaney et al. 2001) are more likely to have edge rounding (0.56–0.64), v-shaped percussion cracks (0.53–0.61), and low relief (0.35–0.59) compared to grains from the modern fluvial average. The fluvial samples from Mahaney et al. (2001) also have lower probabilities of arc-shaped steps (0.00–0.23), conchoidal fractures (0.06–0.39), linear steps (0.00–0.26), subparallel linear fractures (0.08–0.35), upturned plates (0.00–0.04), and high relief (0.05–0.18) compared to the modern fluvial average. Grains from the Pleistocene tills in Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic (samples 36-44; Deane 2010) are more likely to have subparallel linear fractures (0.86-0.96) and medium relief (0.60-0.76).
**Figure 6.** Heatmap of the microtextural probabilities of occurrence from 0 to 1 for each ancient sample group used in the analysis. Samples are binned into “unknown” (UNK; Bråvika Member), aeolian, fluvial, and glacial transport modes. Refer to Table 2 for sample group numbers and descriptions. Data are averaged for sample groups that contain more than one sample (S > 1). Refer to Figure 3A and B for microtextural abbreviations. The average of each transport mode for the modern samples (M. AVG) from Figure 5 is at the bottom of each bin. Microtextures that were not analyzed within a study are grayed out.

Compared to the modern glacial average. The Pleistocene tills from Mahaney et al. (2001) (sample 47) and Mahaney and Kalm (1995) (samples 48-52) are broadly comparable to the modern glacial average.

**Principal Component Analysis**

Within the PCA ordination, the PC1, PC2, and PC3 axes capture about 66% of the variance in the modern dataset (27.01%, 21.33%, and 17.43%, respectively). Along the PC1 axis...
Figure 7. Boxplots of the modern aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples along the PC1 (A), PC2 (B), and PC3 (C) axes. The small black diamonds represent modern outliers for each transport mode. The ancient samples are plotted as individual points over the boxplots.

(Figs. 7–8; Table S3), the aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples are distributed along both sides of the axis with no clear separation. However, the samples are generally separated by study along PC1: the samples from Stevic (2015) and Smith et al. (2018) are distributed between -2.9 and -1.1 and the samples from Mahaney et al. (1996) and Sweet and Brannan (2016) are distributed between -0.2 and 3.5. The samples from this study and Kalińska-Nartiša et al. (2017) are widely distributed on PC1, where the samples from this study are distributed between -3.2 to 3.3 and the Kalińska-Nartiša et al. (2017) samples are distributed between -3.1 and 1.7. The sample separation along PC1 is predominantly driven by the abundance of linear steps and arc-shaped steps, which have the largest (-0.489) and second largest (-0.425) negative loadings along PC1 (Table 3). However, neither of these loadings are strongly associated with PC1 according to the broken-stick criterion.

Along the PC2 axis, modern aeolian samples are distinctly separated from modern glacial and fluvial samples. This separation between aeolian and fluvial/glacial samples along PC2 is
Figure 8. PCA ordination using all 12 microtextures analyzed by all studies. Each row is a biplot in A) PC1-PC2 space; B) PC1-PC3 space; and C) PC2-PC3 space. Column 1 plots the modern sample data within each space (this study through Mahaney et al. 1996), Column 2 plots the microtextural loadings, and Column 3 plots the ancient sample data (this study, Nartišs and Kalińska-Nartiša 2017 through Mahaney and Kalm 1995) over the existing modern reference frame. Refer to Table 3 for the loadings in Column 2. Microtextures with significant loadings in Column 2 are in bold. The ellipses are 95% confidence intervals of each modern transport mode that are centered at the mean of the transport mode in each coordinate space. The ellipses are calculated using the methods of Schelp (2019).

driven by low relief, edge rounding, and precipitated features in the positive direction (loadings of 0.457, 0.455, and 0.432) and high relief in the negative direction (-0.427), which are all associated with PC2 according to the broken-stick criterion.

Along the PC3 axis, the three transport modes are distributed along both sides of the axis with no clear separation, similar to the distribution along PC1. However, unlike the distribution
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Table 3. Ranked loadings and squared loadings of microtextures from the PCA ordination (Fig. 8). Refer to Figure 3A and B for microtexture abbreviations. The microtextures in bold have squared loadings that are greater than the expected value of their associated principal component according to the broken-stick criterion (Frontier 1976; Jackson 1993; Legendre and Legendre 1998; Peres-Neto et al. 2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microtexture</th>
<th>PC1 Loading</th>
<th>PC1 Loading²</th>
<th>PC2 Loading</th>
<th>PC2 Loading²</th>
<th>PC3 Loading</th>
<th>PC3 Loading²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>saf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>er</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vc</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>pf</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>as</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>slf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saf</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>ls</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>er</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>er</td>
<td>-0.128</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>med</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pf</td>
<td>-0.272</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>saf</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>ls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>med</td>
<td>-0.300</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>cg</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ef</td>
<td>-0.324</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>vc</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>eg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slf</td>
<td>-0.335</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>cf</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>cf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as</td>
<td>-0.425</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>slf</td>
<td>-0.350</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>vc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ls</td>
<td>-0.489</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>-0.427</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>med</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Along PC1, the samples are not as distinctly separated by study. The significant microtextures along PC3 are sharp angular features and high relief in the positive direction (0.592 and 0.411), and medium relief in the negative direction (-0.482). All of these microtextures are associated with PC3 according to the broken-stick criterion.

Along each principal component axis, at least 89% of the ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples plot within the upper and lower adjacent values of the boxplot of their modern counterparts: 89% on PC1, 95% on PC2, and 100% on PC3 (Fig. 7). In each biplot (Fig. 8), at least 74% of these ancient samples plot within the 95% confidence ellipses of their modern counterparts: 89% in the PC1-PC2 biplot (A3), 74% in the PC1-PC3 biplot (B3), and 95% in the PC2-PC3 biplot (C3). The median of the percent agreement between the ancient samples and their modern counterparts is 92%.
The 92% median agreement between the modern and ancient samples demonstrates that PCA of modern and ancient samples provides a valid framework for interpreting the fingerprint of depositional environments in ancient samples with ambiguous depositional histories. In this ordination, the two Bråvika Member samples with ambiguous depositional histories consistently plot within the upper and lower adjacent values of the modern aeolian samples in each principal component axis (Fig. 7) and the 95% confidence ellipses of the modern aeolian samples in each biplot (Fig. 8). This placement suggests that the Bråvika Member samples analyzed in this study have an aeolian origin.

**DISCUSSION**

*Interpreting the PCA Ordination*

PC1 separates the modern samples by author and accounts for the most variance in the dataset (27.01%), indicating that author-specific microtextural variance is the largest individual source of variance in the modern dataset. This result is consistent with the observation that SEM operator variance exerts significant influence on the probabilities of occurrence of individual microtextures (Culver et al. 1983). However, as Culver et al. (1983) observed using canonical variate analysis, author variance is overall negligible in determining a sample’s depositional environment: the combined variance of PC2 and PC3 accounts for over a third of the variance in the modern dataset (21.33% and 17.43%, respectively). The PC2 axis separates the samples into aeolian and fluvial/glacial transport modes, and the PC3 axis separates the samples neither by transport mode nor by study (Fig. 8).

*Which Microtextures Distinguish Transport Modes?*
Aeolian sediment is defined by high probabilities of low relief, edge rounding, and precipitated features, and fluvial and glacial sediments are defined by high probabilities of high relief and subparallel linear fractures. The modern (Fig. 5) and ancient (Fig. 6) heatmaps show that aeolian samples have the highest probabilities of low relief, edge rounding, and precipitated features, and fluvial and glacial samples have the highest probabilities of high relief and subparallel linear fractures. PC2 also separates the aeolian samples from the fluvial and glacial samples using low relief, edge rounding, and precipitated features in the positive (aeolian) direction and high relief in the negative (fluvial/glacial) direction (Fig. 8; Table 3). These findings are consistent with previous observations of these microtextures: low relief, edge rounding, and precipitated features have all previously been associated with windblown sediment (Nieter and Krinsley 1976; Lindé and Mycielska-Dowgiałło 1980; Krinsley and Trusty 1985; Mahaney 2002; Vos et al. 2014); high relief can occur on both fluvial and glacial sediments (Mahaney 2002; Vos et al. 2014); and subparallel linear fractures are often associated with glacial and glaciofluvial settings, the latter of which makes up 73% of the modern fluvial samples in this study (Mahaney and Kalm 2000; Deane 2010; Immonen 2013; Vos et al. 2014; Woronko 2016).

Although fluvial and glacial samples are microtexturally distinct from aeolian samples, it is difficult to disambiguate the fluvial and glacial transport modes from each other in this dataset. Features that are typically associated with glacial environments, such as arc-shaped steps, conchoidal fractures, linear steps, and sharp angular features (Mahaney and Kalm 2000; Mahaney 2002; Immonen 2013; Woronko 2016), had comparable probabilities across all three modern transport modes, indicating that these features are not exclusively associated with glacial environments (Fig. 5). Smith et al. (2018) also observed that arc-shaped steps and linear steps
may not be indicators of glacial transport. These results are consistent with Sweet and Soreghan (2010)’s classification of these features as polygenetic features that are formed through a variety of transport processes. Subparallel linear fractures are also associated with glacial and glaciofluvial settings (Mahaney and Kalm 2000; Deane 2010; Immonen 2013; Vos et al. 2014; Woronko 2016), but the modern fluvial average for subparallel linear fractures is higher than the glacial average. Although glaciofluvial samples make up 73% of the modern fluvial samples, the non-glacial fluvial samples (samples 10 and 13; Fig. 5) have similar probabilities of subparallel linear fractures compared to glaciofluvial samples (samples 11, 14, and 19), suggesting that subparallel linear fractures may not be an exclusively glacial feature. These results suggest that fluvial and glacial samples may share microtextural similarities, but more studies comparing the microtextural features of non-glacial fluvial, glaciofluvial, and glacial samples are needed to understand the differences between these transport environments.

These results highlight the importance of precipitated features as a primary indicator of transport instead of an exclusive product of diagenesis. If precipitated features were only an indicator of post-depositional diagenesis, then the probability of precipitated features should increase with age. However, all of the modern samples have some probability of having precipitated features—particularly the aeolian samples—and the ancient samples do not show a consistent increase in the probability of chemical features as the sediment age increases (Figs. 5–6). Both of these observations point to precipitated features being a primary microtextural feature. Although Sweet and Soreghan (2010) suggested that precipitated features should not be counted because they can form via diagenesis and overprint a sample, our results indicate that these features can also be a primary feature and should not be discounted, even in situations where diagenesis is a concern.
Some microtextures often used in microtextural studies could not be included in this analysis: abraded features, breakage blocks, crescentic gouges, fracture faces, deep troughs, straight grooves, upturned plates, and dissolution etching. Many of these microtextures have been previously associated with certain transport environments. Breakage blocks, straight grooves, and fracture faces have been associated with glacial environments (Woronko 2016) and upturned plates and dissolution etching have been associated with aeolian environments (Margolis and Krisley 1974; Mahaney 2002). For the purposes of comparing microtextural data from multiple studies, we were limited to using the most often used microtextures in the literature. Moving forward, it would be helpful to establish a consistent minimum set of microtextures to be used in microtextural studies.

Test Case: The Cryogenian Bråvika Member

We now shift our focus to using the microtextural data, PCA, and stratigraphic observations to constrain the depositional environment of the Cryogenian Bråvika Member from Buldrevågen, Svalbard. Our combined field observations and microtextural data suggest that the Bråvika Member includes aeolian deposition that may be time equivalent with the onset of the syn-glacial Marinoan Wilsonbreen Formation.

The microtextural evidence point to an aeolian origin for the Bråvika Member. Both samples from the Bråvika Member have particularly high occurrences of edge rounding, precipitated features, and low relief (samples 32 and 33; Fig. 6), which have all been previously associated with aeolian transport (Nieter and Krisley 1976; Lindé and Mycielska-Dowgiallo 1980; Krinsley and Trusty 1985; Mahaney 2002; Vos et al. 2014). The Bråvika Member samples also have high probabilities of upturned plates, which have been associated with grain frosting.
(Margolis and Krinsley 1971). Compared to the modern and ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples, the Bråvika Member samples are most similar to the aeolian samples, sharing similar probabilities of low relief, edge rounding, and precipitated features (Fig. 6). These samples also consistently plot within the upper and lower adjacent values (Fig. 7) and 95% confidence ellipse (Fig. 8) of the modern aeolian samples. Because the ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial samples are accurately matched with their modern counterparts 92% of the time when transformed into modern PCA space, the PCA ordination is able to accurately plot samples with ambiguous depositional histories alongside their most likely modern microtextural analogs.

An aeolian interpretation for the microtextural data is consistent with field observations made in 2017 of the Bråvika Member in Buldrevågen, Geerabukta, and Gimleodden (Fig. 4). Bedforms with 5–10 m wavelengths and 1–3 m amplitudes at the Gimleodden (Fig. 4A) and Buldrevågen (Fig. 4B–C) sites are consistent with aeolian dunes in scale and style (Wilson 1972; Pye and Tsoar 2009). There is also evidence of adhesion ripples on bedding planes at the Geerabukta (Fig. 4D) and Gimleodden (Fig. 4E) sites. Adhesion ripples are formed when dry, windblown sand is blown onto a wet surface, and these features have been previously observed on ancient aeolian deposits (Kocurek and Fielder 1982). The presence of pinstripe lamination at the Buldrevågen (Fig. 2C) and Geerabukta (Fig. 4F) sites are a strong indicator for aeolian deposition (Fryberger and Schenk 1988). The high degree of grain rounding at this interval (Fig. 4G) is also characteristic of grains transported by aeolian processes (Folk 1980); subaqueous transport does not typically produce such a high degree of grain rounding (Pettijohn 1957). The frosted grains within these samples (Fig. 4G) are also a strong indicator of aeolian transport (Pye and Tsoar 2009).
Field evidence also suggests that the aeolian strata of the Bråvika Member may be syn-depositional with the Marinoan pan-glaciation as opposed to the Cryogenian interglacial. The pebbly sandstone intraclast conglomerates’ proximity to the contact with—and similar color and texture as—the Wilsonbreen Formation (Figs. 2, 4) suggest that they are sourced from this unit. These intraclasts’ occurrences at 7 m and 3 m below the Wilsonbreen Formation contact (Fig. 2C) suggest that the Bråvika Member in Buldrevågen was syn-depositional with the Wilsonbreen Formation and the Marinoan pan-glaciation. The intraclasts with diffuse boundaries and no obvious cements at 22 m (Figs. 2, 4) are putative ice-cemented sand intraclasts. Ice-cemented intraclasts form when water within the pore space of unconsolidated sand freezes portions of sand into discrete clasts that can be transported and deformed into new orientations before the cementing ice melts. Sand intraclasts are routinely identified as ice-cemented in glaciogenic deposits (Browne and Naish 2003), and Runkel et al. (2010) has reported putative ice-cemented sand intraclasts preserved in rocks as old as the middle to late Cambrian. The putative ice-cemented intraclasts indicate that the Bråvika Member was at least unconsolidated during the Marinoan pan-glaciation, and the occurrence of possible Wilsonbreen intraclasts 3 m below the Wilsonbreen Formation contact (Fig. 2C) suggests that the upper Bråvika Member was syn-depositional with the Marinoan glaciation. Evidence of soft sediment deformation at the contact between the Bråvika Member and Wilsonbreen Formation at Gimleodden (Fig. 4I) is also consistent with the upper Bråvika Member being unconsolidated during the Marinoan glaciation.

Integrating microtextural and field observations, we suggest that the upper Bråvika Member includes aeolian deposition and may represent a syn-glacial aeolian sand sea, or erg, contemporaneous with the Marinoan glaciation. This setting is akin to previously identified Marinoan syn-glacial ergs in the Bakoye Formation of Mali (Deynoux et al. 1989) and the
Whyalla Sandstone (Elatina glaciation) of South Australia (Williams 1998; Rose et al. 2013; Ewing et al. 2014). Hoffman and Li (2009) suggested that katabatic winds coming off of the Marinoan ice sheet are the primary transport mechanism for these syn-glacial ergs. The northward paleoflow direction of the Bråvika Member and the Bråvika Member’s reciprocal thickness relationship with the Wilsonbreen Formation (Halverson et al. 2004) may reflect this transport mechanism, where a northward-advancing ice margin represented by the Wilsonbreen Formation drives the Bråvika Member to the north with katabatic winds coming off of the Marinoan ice sheet.

The microtextural samples analyzed in this study are specific to the interval in Buldrevågen that is proximal to the Wilsonbreen contact. Given the wide range of possible facies proposed by Halverson et al. (2004), Halverson (2011), Hoffman et al. (2012), and this study, the Bråvika Member may represent multiple depositional environments across localities that capture a transition from the Cryogenian interglacial to the Marinoan pan-glaciation.

Important questions remain about the apportionment of time within the strata that record the Cryogenian interglacial in Svalbard. The absence of the pre-Marinoan Trezona negative δ^{13}C excursion below the Wilsonbreen Formation has been used to suggest that the sedimentary package between the Petrovbreen Member and the Wilsonbreen Formation is top-truncated (Hoffman et al. 2012; Fairchild et al. 2016; Halverson et al. 2018). The locations of the hiatal surfaces within the Bråvika Member remain ambiguous, and their locations are critical to understanding the apportionment of time in these units and in the interglacial. Our work suggests that the uppermost aeolian deposition within the Bråvika Member is continuous with the start of Wilsonbreen deposition, but there may be important hiatal surfaces lower in the Bråvika Member.
CONCLUSIONS

Quartz surface microtextures preserve the transport histories of modern and ancient sediment. However, because workers count microtextures differently for samples from the same depositional environment, the defining microtextures of certain transport modes are not well constrained. We used PCA to directly compare quantitative microtextural data from modern and ancient aeolian, fluvial, and glacial sediments across workers. Although differences between workers are the largest sources of variance in the dataset, the PCA ordination shows that aeolian samples are microtexturally distinct from fluvial and glacial samples across studies. Fluvial and glacial samples are difficult to disambiguate from each other in this dataset, indicating that more work needs to be done comparing fluvial, glaciofluvial, and glacial samples with each other. The PCA ordination also demonstrates that ancient sediments and modern sediments have quantitatively similar microtextural relationships. Therefore, PCA may be a useful tool to elucidate the ambiguous transport histories of some ancient sediment grains. As a test case, we used PCA to constrain the depositional environment of the ambiguous Cryogenian Bråvika Member from Svalbard. This ordination, combined with field observations, indicates that the Bråvika Member includes aeolian deposition, and suggests that the Bråvika Member may be analogous to syn-glacial Marinoan aeolian sand seas such as the Bakoye Formation in Mali and the Whyalla Sandstone in South Australia. This study demonstrates that PCA can distinguish sedimentary environments across multiple studies, which in turn helps constrain the depositional history of ambiguous sedimentary deposits like the Bråvika Member.
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