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Abstract

Groundwater is the largest source of Earth’s liquid freshwater and plays a critical role in global food security. With the rising global demand for drinking water and increased agricultural production, overuse of groundwater resources is a major concern. Because groundwater withdrawals are not monitored in most regions with the highest use, methods are needed to monitor withdrawals at a scale suitable for implementing sustainable management practices. In this study, we combine publicly available datasets into a machine learning framework for estimating groundwater withdrawals over the state of Arizona. This extends a previous study in which we estimated groundwater withdrawals in Kansas, where the climatic conditions and aquifer characteristics are significantly different. Datasets used in our model include energy-balance (SSEBop) and crop coefficient evapotranspiration estimates, precipitation (PRISM), and land-use (USDA-NASS Cropland Data Layer), and a watershed stress metric. Random forests, a widely popular machine learning algorithm, are employed for predicting groundwater withdrawals from 2002-2018 at 5 km spatial resolution. We used in-situ groundwater withdrawals available over the Arizona Active Management Area (AMA) and Irrigation Non-Expansion Area (INA) from 2002-2010 for training and 2011-2018 for validating the model respectively. The results show high training (R² ≥ 0.98) and good testing (R² ≥ 0.82) scores with low normalized mean absolute error ≤ 0.42 and root mean square error ≤ 1.29 for the AMA/INA region. Using this method, we are able to spatially extend estimates of groundwater withdrawals to the whole state of Arizona. We also observed that land subsidence in Arizona is predominantly occurring in areas having high yearly groundwater withdrawals of at least 100 mm per unit area. Our model shows promising results in sub-humid and semi-arid (Kansas) and arid regions (Arizona), which proves the robustness and extensibility of our integrated approach combining remote sensing and machine learning into a holistic, automated, and fully-reproducible workflow. The success of this method indicates that it could be extended to areas with more limited groundwater withdrawal data under different climatic conditions and aquifer properties.
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Groundwater is the largest source of Earth’s liquid freshwater and plays a critical role in global food security. Hence, overuse of groundwater resources is a major concern.

It is hard to estimate groundwater use or storage at local scales. Existing satellite methods for estimating groundwater storage change involve using GRACE/GRACE-FO (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/GRACE_and_GRACE-FO) data at a coarse resolution (~ 400 km).

In this study, we combine publicly available datasets into a machine learning framework for estimating groundwater withdrawals (which are related to change in groundwater storage) at very high resolution (5 km) over the state of Arizona.
Here, we use data from various sensors that measure different components of the water balance for monitoring groundwater withdrawal (SSEBop (https://earlywarning.usgs.gov/ssebop/modis), PRISM (https://prism.oregonstate.edu/), USDA-NASS CDL (https://www.nass.usda.gov/Research_and_Science/Cropland/SARS1a.php)).

This extends a previous study (Majumdar et al., 2020) in which we estimated groundwater withdrawals in Kansas, where the climatic conditions and aquifer characteristics are significantly different.

Random forests (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Random_forest) (RF), a widely popular machine learning algorithm, is employed for predicting groundwater withdrawals from 2002-2018 at 5 km spatial resolution.

We used in-situ groundwater withdrawals available over the Arizona Active Management Area (AMA (https://new.azwater.gov/sites/default/files/media/AMAFACTSHEET2016%20%2828%29_0.pdf)) and Irrigation Non-Expansion Area (INA (http://infoshare.azwater.gov/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-10190/Irrigation%20Non-Expansion%20Areas%20(INAs).pdf)) from 2002-2010 for training and 2011-2018 for validating the model respectively.

The predictors for the RF model include SSEBop evapotranspiration (ET), PRISM precipitation (P), agriculture (AGRI), surface water (SW), urban (URBAN) densities, crop coefficient (CC), and watershed stress (WS) metrics (Smith & Majumdar, 2020), WS_PA (WS calculated using averaged P) and WS_PA_EA (WS calculated using averaged P adjusted with averaged ET), with the response variable being groundwater (GW) withdrawal.
Figure 3: Groundwater basins and sub-basins in Arizona highlighting the AMA/INA regions. This map has been downloaded from the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) (https://new.azwater.gov/sites/default/files/GWBasin_ShowingCAP_0.pdf) portal.
Figure 4: Groundwater (GW) pumping analysis for the test data (2011-2018).

- The NA (no data) values inside the state of Arizona in Figures 4 (a) and (b) represent unknown or unreported groundwater pumping data.
- A total of 113,896 samples are present in this region spanning all the years from 2002-2018. The training (2002-2010) and testing (2011-2018) data split is 53%-47%.
Accordingly, URBAN is the most important predictor followed by AGRI, ET, and SW.

For the RF model, we kept the number of trees as 500, and maximum number of features as 8.
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Figure 6: Mean groundwater (GW) withdrawals for (a) the AMA/INA region in Arizona and (b) the entire state of Kansas (Majumdar et al., 2020).

- The predicted groundwater withdrawals at 5 km spatial resolution for both Arizona and Kansas show good accuracy.

Figure 7: Mean predicted groundwater withdrawals and mean land subsidence maps for the 2010-2018 period.

- Most of the land subsidence is occurring in southern and south-eastern Arizona.
- All subsiding areas have high or moderate predicted groundwater pumping.
- Groundwater withdrawals are slightly correlated with land subsidence. Subsidence is a function of withdrawals, clay content and aquifer confinement. The mismatch between predicted pumping and subsidence can provide clues to these properties.
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

- Our machine learning model shows promising results in sub-humid and semi-arid (Kansas) and arid regions (Arizona) at very high resolution (5 km), which proves the robustness and extensibility of our integrated approach combining remote sensing and machine learning into a holistic, automated, and fully-reproducible workflow.

- The success of this method indicates that it could be extended to areas with more limited groundwater withdrawal data under different climatic conditions and aquifer properties.

![Proposed deep learning framework](image)

Figure 8: Proposed deep learning framework as part of future work
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Groundwater is the largest source of Earth’s liquid freshwater and plays a critical role in global food security. With the rising global demand for drinking water and increased agricultural production, overuse of groundwater resources is a major concern. Because groundwater withdrawals are not monitored in most regions with the highest use, methods are needed to monitor withdrawals at a scale suitable for implementing sustainable management practices. In this study, we combine publicly available datasets into a machine learning framework for estimating groundwater withdrawals over the state of Arizona. This extends a previous study in which we estimated groundwater withdrawals in Kansas, where the climatic conditions and aquifer characteristics are significantly different.

Datasets used in our model include energy-balance (SSEBop) and crop coefficient evapotranspiration estimates, precipitation (PRISM), and land-use (USDA-NASS Cropland Data Layer), and a watershed stress metric. Random forests, a widely popular machine learning algorithm, are employed for predicting groundwater withdrawals from 2002-2018 at 5 km spatial resolution. We used in-situ groundwater withdrawals available over the Arizona Active Management Area (AMA) and Irrigation Non-Expansion Area (INA) from 2002-2010 for training and 2011-2018 for validating the model respectively. The results show high training ($R^2 \approx 0.98$) and good testing ($R^2 \approx 0.82$) scores with low normalized mean absolute error $\approx 0.28$ and root mean square error $\approx 1.28$ for the AMA/INA region. Using this method, we are able to spatially extend estimates of groundwater withdrawals to the whole state of Arizona.

We also observed that land subsidence in Arizona is predominantly occurring in areas having high yearly groundwater withdrawals of at least 100 mm per unit area. Our model shows promising results in sub-humid and semi-arid (Kansas) and arid regions (Arizona), which proves the robustness and extensibility of our integrated approach combining remote sensing and machine learning into a holistic, automated, and fully-reproducible workflow. The success of this method indicates that it could be extended to areas with more limited groundwater withdrawal data under different climatic conditions and aquifer properties.

Figure 1. Mean Groundwater (GW) withdrawals for (a) the AMA/INA region in Arizona and (b) the entire state of Kansas.
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