Seasonality of phytoplankton growth limitation by iron and manganese in subantarctic waters

Pauline Latour¹, Robert F. Strzepek², Kathrin Wuttig³, Pier van der Merwe⁴, Sam Eggins⁵, Lennart T. Bach¹, Philip W. Boyd¹, Michael J. Ellwood⁵, Terry L. Pinfold⁶, and Andrew R. Bowie¹

¹Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania ²Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre (ACE CRC), University of Tasmania ³Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre (ACE CRC), University of Tasmania ⁴Australian Antarctic Program Partnership (AAPP), Institute for Marine and Antarctic

Studies, University of Tasmania

⁵Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University

⁶School of Medicine, College of Health and Medicine, University of Tasmania

November 26, 2022

Abstract

Phytoplankton indirectly influence the climate, through their role in the ocean biological carbon pump. Hence factors limiting phytoplankton growth directly impact the strength of the biological carbon pump and consequently climate. In the Southern Ocean, the subantarctic zone represents an important carbon sink, yet variables limiting phytoplankton growth are not fully constrained. Co-limitation by iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) has recently been observed in the coastal and offshore Southern Ocean, but very few studies have focused on the subantarctic zone. In addition, no study has investigated the seasonal variability of Mn (co-)limitation of phytoplankton growth in the Southern Ocean. Using three shipboard bioassay experiments, we evaluated the seasonality of Fe and Mn co-limitation of subantarctic phytoplankton growth, south of Tasmania. We observed a strong seasonal variation in phytoplankton Fe limitation, and that the response of phytoplankton to Mn was subtle and thus readily masked by the responses to Fe. Combined addition of Fe and Mn enhanced carbon uptake of nanoeukaryotes in spring and microeukaryotes in summer while the addition of Mn alone stimulated the growth of picocyanobacteria in autumn. These results suggest the importance of Mn may vary seasonally and its control on phytoplankton growth may be associated with specific taxa.

1	Seasonality of phytoplankton growth limitation by iron and manganese in
2	subantarctic waters
3	Pauline Latour ^{1,2,*} , Robert F. Strzepek ^{2,3} , Kathrin Wuttig ² , Pier van der Merwe ³ , Sam Eggins ⁴ , Lennart

- 4 T. Bach¹, Philip W. Boyd^{1,2,3}, Michael J. Ellwood⁴, Terry L. Pinfold⁵ and Andrew R. Bowie^{1,2,3}
- ¹Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Locked Bag 129, Hobart, TAS
 7001, Australia
- ²Antarctic Climate and Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre (ACE CRC), University of Tasmania,
 Private Bag 80, Hobart, TAS 7001, Australia
- ³Australian Antarctic Program Partnership (AAPP), Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies,
 University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS, 7001, Australia
- ⁴Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, 142 Mills Rd, Acton ACT,
 Canberra, 0200 Canberra
- ⁵School of Medicine, College of Health and Medicine, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS 7000,
 Australia
- *Corresponding author at: Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Locked
 Bag 129, Hobart, TAS, 7001, Australia.
- 17 Email: <u>pauline.latour@utas.edu.au</u>
- Keywords: iron; manganese; co-limitation; phytoplankton; Southern Ocean; subantarctic zone,
 radioisotopes; photophysiology; flow cytometry.

20 Key findings:

- 21 Seasonality in phytoplankton Fe limitation with the strongest signal in summer
- 22 Mn addition preferentially stimulated the growth of cyanobacteria in autumn
- Combined Fe and Mn additions stimulated nanoplankton carbon fixation in spring and
 microplankton in summer, suggesting Fe and -Mn co-limited parts of the phytoplankton
 community

26 Abstract

- 27 Phytoplankton indirectly influence the climate, through their role in the ocean biological carbon pump.
- 28 Hence factors limiting phytoplankton growth directly impact the strength of the biological carbon pump
- 29 and consequently climate. In the Southern Ocean, the subantarctic zone represents an important carbon
- 30 sink, yet variables limiting phytoplankton growth are not fully constrained. Co-limitation by iron (Fe)
- 31 and manganese (Mn) has recently been observed in the coastal and offshore Southern Ocean, but very
- 32 few studies have focused on the subantarctic zone. In addition, no study has investigated the seasonal
- 33 variability of Mn (co-)limitation of phytoplankton growth in the Southern Ocean. Using three shipboard
- 34 bioassay experiments, we evaluated the seasonality of Fe and Mn co-limitation of subantarctic
- 35 phytoplankton growth, south of Tasmania. We observed a strong seasonal variation in phytoplankton
- 36 Fe limitation, and that the response of phytoplankton to Mn was subtle and thus readily masked by the
- 37 responses to Fe. Combined addition of Fe and Mn enhanced carbon uptake of nanoeukaryotes in spring
- 38 and microeukaryotes in summer while the addition of Mn alone stimulated the growth of

- 39 picocyanobacteria in autumn. These results suggest the importance of Mn may vary seasonally and its
- 40 control on phytoplankton growth may be associated with specific taxa.

41 Introduction

42 Phytoplankton play a major role in the marine carbon cycle by driving the transfer of carbon dioxide 43 from the atmosphere into the ocean through photosynthesis. This process is part of the biological carbon 44 pump, and its strength varies between and within oceanic regions (Lenton et al. 2013; Deppeler and 45 Davidson 2017). The Southern Ocean is comprised of several biogeochemical regions with contrasting 46 hydrographic and nutrient conditions: the subantarctic zone, the polar front zone, the Antarctic zone, 47 and the seasonal sea ice zone, each delimited by fronts (Orsi et al. 1995). South of the subtropical front, 48 phytoplankton growth is mainly limited by very low concentrations of iron (Fe) (Boyd et al. 2000; 49 Deppeler and Davidson 2017). Other factors may also limit phytoplankton growth, such as low light 50 and temperature, or specifically north of the polar front, low silicic acid levels (Boyd 2002; Bowie et 51 al. 2009; Strzepek et al. 2012). These limiting factors (alone or combined) directly impact the strength 52 of regional biological carbon pump and hence need to be identified to project changes to the oceanic 53 carbon cycle during the Anthropocene.

54 Interest in nutrient co-limitation of Southern Ocean phytoplankton has recently grown (Middag et al. 55 2013; Browning et al. 2014; Browning et al. 2021). Specifically, several studies have identified Fe and 56 manganese (Mn) co-limitation in both coastal (Wu et al. 2019) and open ocean waters (Browning et al. 57 2021) of the Southern Ocean. Co-limitation occurs when two or more elements limit phytoplankton 58 growth simultaneously, and several kinds of co-limitation have been identified. Saito et al. (2008) 59 classified Mn co-limitation as a type II "Biochemical substitution co-limitation", in which two elements 60 are expected to substitute for each other for the same active site of an enzyme, for example, Fe and Mn 61 within the superoxide dismutase enzyme. Manganese is an essential element for phytoplankton growth, 62 used in the oxygen-evolving complex for the water-splitting reaction of photosynthesis and in the 63 superoxide dismutase enzyme to defend against reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Sunda et al. 1983; 64 Peers and Price 2004). Therefore, phytoplankton growth may be limited in regions where dissolved Mn 65 (dMn) concentrations are particularly low, such as the Southern Ocean (Westerlund and Öhman 1991; Middag et al. 2011, 2013; Latour et al. 2021). Importantly, phytoplankton Mn requirements may vary 66 67 depending on Fe conditions. Peers and Price (2004) observed that diatoms increased their Mn content 68 under Fe stress, presumably to produce more superoxide dismutase enzyme to counter the additional ROS production associated with Fe limitation. If Fe limitation increases the cellular requirement for 69 70 Mn, Mn (co-)limitation may be expected in Southern Ocean phytoplankton limited by Fe (Boyd et al. 71 2000; Deppeler and Davidson 2017). However, several earlier shipboard incubation experiments in 72 Southern Ocean waters did not observe an effect of Mn addition in either coastal or open waters of the 73 Southern Ocean during the austral spring and summer (Buma et al. 1991; Scharek et al. 1997; Sedwick

et al. 2000), suggesting that Mn (co-)limitation is not pervasive within the Southern Ocean and may
vary between regions and seasons.

76 The subantarctic zone, the northernmost region of the Southern Ocean, sustains the strongest carbon 77 uptake of all the Southern Ocean biogeochemical regions (Lenton et al. 2013). In terms of biology, this 78 region sees the transition from phytoplankton communities containing coccolithophores and fewer 79 diatoms in northern waters towards more diatoms and less coccolithophores in polar waters (Trull et al. 80 2001). Usually, pico- and nanoplankton dominate phytoplankton communities in terms of cell counts, 81 but high grazing pressure keeps their abundance relatively low with little seasonal variability (Deppeler 82 and Davidson 2017 and references therein). In this region, Fe was demonstrated as the main factor 83 limiting phytoplankton growth, with silicic acid possibly (co-)limiting diatoms (Boyd et al. 1999; 84 Westwood et al. 2011; Eriksen et al. 2018). Until now, the study of Fe-Mn co-limitation of 85 phytoplankton growth has been restricted to a few polar Southern Ocean sites (Buma et al. 1991; 86 Scharek et al. 1997; Sedwick et al. 2000; Wu et al. 2019; Browning et al. 2021), with only the Browning 87 et al. (2021) study looking at potential co-limitation within subantarctic waters. A recent study showed 88 that dMn concentrations are low in subantarctic waters south of Tasmania, with an average 89 concentration of 0.24 nM measured within the surface mixed layer during the austral summer 2018 90 (Latour et al., 2021). In this region, Mn, like Fe, may be delivered to the ocean through atmospheric 91 inputs from Tasmania and mainland Australia or sedimentary inputs from the Tasmanian shelf. 92 Southward advection of subtropical waters has also been observed to supply Fe and Mn enriched waters 93 to the subantarctic zone (Sedwick et al. 2008; Bowie et al. 2009; Latour et al. 2021). To date, no studies 94 have investigated Fe and Mn co-limitation in the Australian sector of the Southern Ocean. Additionally, 95 to our knowledge, there has been no prior study of the seasonality of Mn or Fe-Mn (co-)limitation in 96 any subantarctic region.

97 This study presents the results of three shipboard incubation experiments performed in subantarctic 98 waters in the Australian sector of the Southern Ocean examining Fe-Mn co-limitation in austral spring, 99 summer, and autumn. We expect that following wind-mixing in winter, both dissolved Fe (dFe) and 100 dMn levels should be higher in surface waters during spring due to supply from deeper 101 waters/subsurface maxima and external sources (e.g. about 0.3-0.4 nM for dFe and dMn; Bowie et al. 102 2009; Latour et al. 2021). Therefore, we hypothesize Fe and Mn will not (co-)limit phytoplankton 103 growth in spring. In summer, dFe and dMn should decrease due to biological uptake and reduced 104 vertical nutrient inputs resulting from stronger stratification. Hence, Fe limitation of phytoplankton 105 growth will likely occur. Iron stress may increase phytoplankton Mn requirements (Peers and Price 106 2004), and due to the decrease of dMn concentrations from biological uptake during the spring season, 107 dMn may (co-)limit phytoplankton growth. In autumn, trace metal levels should be at their lowest, 108 hence we hypothesize Mn, Fe or both will strongly limit phytoplankton growth, depending on the ratios 109 of both elements relative to biological demand.

110 Material and Methods

- 111 SAMPLING
- 112 The bioassay experiments were performed onboard the RV Investigator during three voyages, IN2018-
- 113 V04 (September/October 2018, austral spring), IN2019-V02 (March/April 2019, austral autumn) and
- 114 IN2020-V08 (December/January 2020-21, austral summer). The first experiment was conducted at
- 115 Process Station 2 (PS2) of the East Australian Current voyage IN2018-V04 (45.44°S, 153.31°E) and
- 116 the following two experiments at the Southern Ocean Time Series (SOTS) station (46.80°S, 141.884°E)
- 117 (Figure 1). Both sites are within the subantarctic zone to the southeast and southwest of Tasmania,
- 118 respectively (Bowie et al. 2011).



120

121 Figure 1: Sites sampled for each experiment. The background image colour shading represents the monthly 122 average surface chlorophyll-a concentrations measured by satellite (MODIS-Aqua, 8-day average 4 km) for the 123 month when each of the bioassay experiments was performed. A: phytoplankton incubations at PS2 during the 124 spring voyage (IN2018-V04), monthly average for September 2018. B: phytoplankton incubations at SOTS during 125 the summer voyage (IN2020-V08), monthly average for December 2020. C: phytoplankton incubations at SOTS 126 during the autumn voyage (IN2019-V02), monthly average for March 2019.

127 Seawater used for the bioassay experiments was collected at 15 m depth for the first two experiments

128 (spring and autumn) and at 20 m for the summer experiment using a polyurethane powder-coated

- 129 aluminium rosette, or "Trace Metal Rosette" (TMR) (Sea-bird Scientific, USA; Holmes et al. 2020).
- 130 Samples for macronutrients, flow cytometry and photophysiology analyses were collected from the

131 TMR to characterise the initial phytoplankton communities. Polycarbonate bottles used for the 132 incubations were washed with Neutracon detergent for 48h, and then in 10% hydrochloric acid (HCl) 133 for 7 days to remove trace metal contamination. After multiple Milli-Q water rinses, bottles were dried 134 overnight in an ISO Class 5 laminar flow hood before being double-bagged in plastic. Onboard, the 135 bottles were rinsed three times with the incubation seawater before filling them inside an ISO Class 5 136 containerized clean room. The seawater was unamended (Control) or spiked with a solution of Fe, Mn 137 or a combination of both. The Fe and Mn spikes were prepared in 0.01 M Ultrapure HCl using ultrapure 138 salts of FeCl₃ (or FeNO₃ for the spring experiment) and MnCl₂. Triplicates were used for each treatment, resulting in 12 bottles for 4 treatments, named hereafter: Control, +Fe, +Mn, and +FeMn. 139 140 Concentrations of Fe and Mn were adjusted to reach a final concentration of at least 2 nM, which we 141 considered as nutrient-replete conditions (Browning et al. 2021). The bottles were then incubated in 142 deck board incubators inside mesh bags to reproduce the light penetrating the surface ocean, at 143 approximately 15 m (80% of incident irradiance). Deck board incubators allowed the algal communities 144 to follow their regular diel light:dark cycles. The temperature of the incubators was maintained by a 145 continuous flow of seawater, keeping the bottles at the same temperature as the surrounding surface (~ 146 7 m) seawater. Sampling was done at day 7 for macronutrients, flow cytometry and photophysiology 147 analyses for each experiment. Flow cytometry samples were fixed using 2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde 148 (Electron-microscope grade, 25%), for phytoplankton samples collected during the second voyage in 149 autumn 2019. For the summer 2020 voyage, a mixture of formaldehyde-hexamine (18%:10% v/w) was 150 used to preserve phytoplankton samples. Due to a technical issue, flow cytometry samples from the 151 spring 2018 voyage were lost and are therefore not presented in this study. All bacteria samples were fixed using 2% glutaraldehyde (Electron-microscope grade, 25%). All flow cytometry samples were 152 held at 4°C in the dark for 25-30 min after being fixed and were then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and 153 154 stored in a -80°C freezer until analyses back onshore.

155 Following the subsampling, a portion of the remaining seawater was dispensed into 300 mL acidwashed polycarbonate bottles and spiked with 16-20 µCi of Sodium ¹⁴C-bicarbonate (NaH¹⁴CO₃; 156 specific activity 1.85 GBq mmol⁻¹; PerkinElmer, USA) and 0.2 nM of an acidified ⁵⁵Fe solution (⁵⁵FeCl₃) 157 in 0.1 M Ultrapure HCl; specific activity 30 MBg mmol⁻¹; PerkinElmer; Ellwood et al. 2020). Bottles 158 159 were then incubated in the deck board incubators for another 24 h, under the same conditions as the 160 bioassay experiments. The spiked samples were then filtered sequentially through 0.2, 2 and 20 µm 161 polycarbonate filters (47 mm diameter; Poretics, USA), separated by 200 µm nylon mesh spacers. The 162 filters were washed with Titanium(III) EDTA – citrate reagent for 5 min to dissolve Fe (oxy)hydroxides 163 and remove extracellular particle-bound ferric ions and rinsed three times with 15 mL of 0.2 µm-filtered 164 seawater. Finally, filters were placed in 20 mL glass vials (Wheaton Industries, USA) and acidified with 165 200 µL of 1.2 M HCl. These filters were then stored at room temperature for analyses on shore.

166 ANALYSIS

167 Dissolved macronutrients were analysed onboard using segmented flow analysis (Rees et al. 2018). One 168 silicic acid measurement was removed from the analysis due to an inconsistent result (autumn experiment, in the "Mn" treatment). In summer, several silicic acid concentrations measured had a value 169 170 below the detection limit $(0.2 \ \mu M)$ and were therefore replaced by this same value. Final nitrate 171 concentrations are not presented due to the use of an FeNO₃ solution for the Fe spike during the spring 172 experiment. However, initial nitrate concentrations are mentioned in the discussion. Phosphate and 173 silicic acid uptake rates were calculated by subtracting the final value measured in each bottle from the 174 initial concentrations to calculate an average uptake rate per week over the 7-day period of incubation. 175 Initial dissolved trace metal concentrations were measured through Sector Field Inductively Coupled Plasma mass spectrometry (SF-ICP-MS) after preconcentration and matrix removal through seaFAST 176 177 at the Australian National University (Canberra, Australia). Dissolved Fe and Mn concentrations were 178 used to estimate Mn deficiency relative to Fe as $Mn^* = dMn - dFe/R_{Fe:Mn}$, where $R_{Fe:Mn}$ is the average Fe:Mn ratio of phytoplankton (Moore 2013; Browning et al. 2021). If Mn* > 0.1, this suggests Mn 179 180 replete conditions.

Fast Repetition Rate Fluorometry (FRRF) was used to determine the maximum photochemical 181 182 efficiency (F_v/F_m) and functional absorption cross section (σ_{PSII}) of photosystem II (PSII) using a Light-183 induced Fluorescence Transients Fast Repetition Rate (LIFT-FRR) fluorometer (Soliense, USA). After 184 low light (2 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) acclimation for ~30 minutes, samples were exposed to 140 flashes of 185 light every 2.5 µsec (saturation sequence) to saturate PSII and the first stable electron acceptor, QA after 186 which the time interval between flashes was increased exponentially (relaxation sequence) for 90 187 flashes. F_v/F_m (where $F_v = F_m - F_o$) was calculated from F_o and F_m , which refer to the minimum and 188 maximum fluorescence in the dark-acclimated state, respectively. F_v/F_m and σ_{PSII} were determined from 189 the mean of 200 iterations of the fluorescence induction and relaxation protocol measured at 470 nm. 190 At least 10 acquisitions were measured for each sample and used to calculate the average value of F_v/F_m 191 and σ_{PSII} . Due to recalibration of the instrument between voyages, no direct comparison of the initial 192 fluorescence (F_0) results can be made between seasons, but only between treatments for the same 193 season.

194 Flow cytometry samples were analysed at Menzies Institute for Medical Research (University of 195 Tasmania, Hobart), using an Aurora Cytek flow cytometer. This instrument can measure particles 196 ranging from 200 nm up to at least 60 µm. However, the largest size particles possibly measured by this 197 instrument has not yet been determined. Briefly, frozen samples were thawed at 37°C for 5-10 minutes 198 before running 500 µL of unstained samples at flow rates of ~50 µL per minute, using Milli-Q water as 199 sheath fluid. Violet and blue excitation lights were used to differentiate main phytoplankton groups 200 through their fluorescence pigments: chlorophyll with red fluorescence and phycoerythrin with orange 201 fluorescence, respectively, against forward scatter (FSC). All scatter and fluorescence parameters were

202 analysed based on values from the integrated area of the excitation peak. Results obtained from both 203 the summer and autumn voyages were analysed using SpectroFlo software. For an overall comparison between the two seasons, phytoplankton communities were divided into three gates: picoeukaryotes, 204 205 nanoeukaryotes and large phytoplankton (microeukaryotes), identified on the violet channel (V12, 405 206 nm excitation, 692 nM emission) against FSC. If the signal from V12 was saturated, we used another 207 excitation wavelengths (B7, 488 nm excitation, 661 nM emission). Picocyanobacteria were isolated on 208 another fluorescence channel (B4, 488 nm excitation, 581 nM emission) due to the presence of 209 phycoerythrin (Marie et al. 1999). Cell counts per unit volume were determined from the instrument 210 through the known volume analysed. We then used the cell counts to calculate the relative importance 211 of each group in terms of population size (*Fpop* described below) by comparing their size (FSC) and 212 abundance, using the following equation from Bach et al. (2018):

213 $Fpop = \frac{Npop \times FSCpop}{Nall \times FSCall}$ (1)

Where F represent the fraction of size (size is here represented by the parameter FSC) produced by a specific population (pop). N represents an abundance via cell count of a specific population or all phytoplankton cells (all).

Heterotrophic bacterial counts were performed after the addition of SYBR Green I stain (1000-fold dilution) on thawed fixed samples. Samples were incubated with the stain for 15 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Then, a 50 μ L aliquot of stained sample was run on the instrument at high flow rate. Bacteria were identified using blue excitation and green fluorescence (B2, 488 nm excitation, 525 nM emission). Cell counts were determined as described above for phytoplankton.

Iron uptake and net primary productivity (carbon uptake) were determined by measuring disintegrations per minute (DPM) on a liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Tri-Carb 2910 TR). Filters were incubated at least 24h prior analysis in 10 mL of Ultima Gold liquid scintillation cocktail (Perkin Elmer). Daily carbon incorporation rates were estimated following Hoppe et al. (2017). The uptake of ⁵⁵Fe and ¹⁴C were corrected for ambient dFe and dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations.

227 STATISTICAL TESTS

Statistical analyses were performed in R (R "stats" package; R Core Team 2020). Datasets were initially examined for homogeneity of variance using a Levene's test, and normality using a Shapiro-Wilk. Where data were both normally distributed and homoscedastic, significant differences between treatments were investigated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey's HSD post hoc test. Otherwise, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed followed by a Wilcoxon signed-rank test where the former result was significant. A p-value of 0.05 was used to identify significant difference

between treatments.

During the autumn experiment, no statistical tests could be performed on the Fe uptake results for the
 +Fe treatment due to a mistake in the radioisotope additions.

237 Results

238 INITIAL CONDITIONS

239 Oceanographic conditions differed between the three experiments across temperature, salinity and 240 silicic acid profiles (Figure 2). In spring, the surface ocean was characterized by a deep mixed layer 241 depth (MLD), down to 200 m. Temperature, salinity and silicic acid concentrations were constant within 242 the mixed layer with values at about 10.5°C, 34.9 g kg⁻¹ and $< 3 \mu$ M, respectively. In summer, stronger 243 stratification was observed with the MLD reaching just below 100 m. The surface temperature was like 244 spring but lower below 25 m (about 10°C). In summer, the salinity was much lower than in spring (< 34.6 g kg⁻¹). Similarly, silicic acid concentrations were lower in summer, down to 1 μ M in surface 245 waters. In autumn, the MLD reached 100 m, where the temperature was $\geq 11^{\circ}$ C and the salinity was 246 247 like summer conditions. Silicic acid concentrations were the lowest, with less than 1 µM in surface 248 waters.





Figure 2: Temperature (A), salinity (B) and silicic acid concentrations (C) depth profiles measured at the experiment sites: PS2 (spring) and SOTS (summer and autumn). Colours represent the seasons: spring in green, summer in blue and autumn in brown.

- 253 Initial dFe and dMn concentrations present in the incubated seawater were slightly different between
- seasons (Table 1). The dFe concentration was the highest in summer, with intermediate values measured
- in spring and lowest concentrations in autumn. Similarly, the lowest dMn concentration was also
- recorded in autumn. However, both the spring and summer experiments had similar initial dMn
- concentrations. The calculated Mn* values were high (0.16-0.25) with the lowest Mn* observed in
- autumn (Table 1).

Table 1: Initial mean dFe and dMn concentrations with standard deviations measured in (or near) the seawater incubated for the three experiments and the calculated Mn* according to Browning et al. (2021): spring at PS2 in 2018 (n = 2), summer at SOTS in 2020 (n = 1) and autumn at SOTS in 2019 (n = 3). *Single measurements were performed for dFe and dMn in summer and dMn in autumn, in these cases the method error is indicated. In autumn, both dFe and dMn values came from a near cast.

Experiment	Spring (PS2)	Summer (SOTS)	Autumn (SOTS)
Depth of water collected (m)	15	20	15
dFe (nM)	0.31 ± 0.001	$0.50\pm0.03*$	0.15 ± 0.04
dMn (nM)	0.37 ± 0.032	$0.44\pm0.03^{\ast}$	$0.26\pm0.03^{\ast}$
Mn*	0.25	0.25	0.16

264

265 MACRONUTRIENT DRAWDOWN

266 Both initial phosphate and silicic acid concentrations present in the seawater incubated for each

experiment, along with the final concentrations measured after 7 days of incubations are presented in

268 Figure 3. Focusing on the initial conditions, phosphate concentrations ranged from 0.71 to 0.82 μ M,

with the lowest value observed in autumn and the highest in spring. Similarly, the lowest initial silicic

acid concentrations were observed in autumn (0.8 μ M) and the highest in spring (2.8 μ M).





Figure 3: Phosphate (A) and silicic acid (B) concentrations (μ M) measured in the initial water incubated ("Initial"), and after seven days of incubations for each treatment: Control ("Control"), +Fe ("Fe"), + Mn ("Mn"), +FeMn ("FeMn"). The colour represents the season of the experiment: green for spring, blue for summer and brown for autumn. Error bars represent the standard deviations and are smaller than the symbols when not visible (n = 3, except for the initial treatment where n = 1).

277 Phosphate and silicic acid concentrations decreased over the 7-day incubation, across all seasons and 278 treatments. However, the uptake of both nutrients between each treatment varied seasonally. In spring, 279 no significant differences were observed by day 7 in phosphate and silicic acid concentrations, between the control and the other treatments (ANOVA). In summer, we observed a significant decrease in 280 281 phosphate concentrations only in the treatments where Fe was added (+Fe and +FeMn), compared to 282 the control (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). No significant drawdown of phosphate was observed in the 283 Mn treatment, compared to the control. In summer, all treatments were characterized by final silicic 284 acid concentrations below the detection limit (0.2 μ M). In autumn, no significant differences were 285 observed in either phosphate or silicic acid concentrations between treatments (ANOVA).

The uptake ratios for both phosphate and silicic acid differed seasonally (Table 2). In spring, no significant differences in phosphate and silicic acid uptake rate were observed between treatments (ANOVA). In summer, both Fe additions (+Fe and +FeMn) resulted in a very strong increase in the phosphate uptake rate, which doubled compared to the control and Mn treatments (*p-value < 0.05*, Tukey's HSD). During this season, the treatment effects are impossible to interpret for the silicic acid uptake rates as concentrations were drawn down below the detection limit (0.2 μ M) (Figure 3 and Table

- 292 2). In autumn, we did not observe any significant differences in the uptake rates for either phosphate or
- silicic acid between treatments (ANOVA).

Table 2: Average uptake rates of phosphate and silicic acid (μ M week⁻¹) and standard deviations for each treatment calculated over the 7-day incubation period for each experiment (n=3). *In summer, all final silicic acid concentrations were below the detection limit (0.2 μ M) and hence replaced with 0.2 μ M. Consequently, the calculated uptake rate is identical in each treatment and cannot be interpreted.

	Treatment	Control	+Fe	+Mn	+FeMn
Phosphate	Spring	0.18 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.05	0.19 ± 0.02	0.30 ± 0.09
	Summer	0.04 ± 0.001	0.09 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.002	0.08 ± 0.01
	Autumn	0.06 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.07	0.06 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.03
Silicic acid	Spring	0.73 ± 0.12	0.77 ± 0.26	0.87 ± 0.06	1.25 ± 0.21
	Summer	$0.66^* \pm NA$	$0.66^{*} \pm NA$	$0.66^* \pm NA$	$0.66^{\ast} \pm NA$
	Autumn	0.20 ± 0.20	0.27 ± 0.15	0.05 ± 0.20	0.33 ± 0.06

298

299 PHOTOPHYSIOLOGY

300 The photochemical efficiency of PSII (F_v/F_m) differed between treatments and seasons (Figure 4A). In

301 spring, no significant differences in final F_v/F_m values were measured between treatments (ANOVA).

302 In summer, only the treatments with Fe additions (+Fe and +FeMn) maintained F_v/F_m values as high as

303 the initial community, and significantly higher than the control and +Mn treatments (p-value < 0.05,

Tukey's HSD). In autumn, we measured significantly higher F_v/F_m values in both treatments with Fe additions (+Fe and +FeMn) compared to the +Mn treatment (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). However,

 F_v/F_m values measured in both Fe treatments were not significantly higher than the control (ANOVA).

307 The functional absorption cross section of PSII (σ_{PSII}) differed between seasons (Figure 4B). The initial 308 value was higher in summer compared to spring and autumn. In spring, we observed a significant 309 decrease in σ_{PSII} only in the +FeMn treatment, compared to the other treatments (*p*-value < 0.05,

Tukey's HSD). In summer, both treatments with Fe additions (+Fe and +FeMn) were characterized by

311 a decrease in σ_{PSII} compared to the control and +Mn treatments (*p*-value < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In

312 autumn, no significant differences in σ_{PSII} were observed between treatments (ANOVA).



313

Figure 4: A) Photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (F_v/F_m) and B) functional absorption cross section of PSII (σ_{PSII}) in nm² quanta⁻¹, measured for the initial algal communities incubated ("Initial") and after 7 days of incubation, in each treatment: Control, +Fe ("Fe"), + Mn ("Mn"), +FeMn ("FeMn"). The three colours show the different seasons: green for spring, blue for summer and brown for autumn. Error bars represent the standard deviations (n = 3, except for the initial treatment where n = 1).

319 FLOW CYTOMETRY

320 Notable differences in phytoplankton community composition were observed between summer and

321 autumn. In summer, picoeukaryotes dominated the cell counts (Table 3). However, nanoeukaryotes

dominated community population size, as defined by equation (1) in the method section (Figure 5A).

323 In autumn, cyanobacteria dominated the counts (Table 3) while nanoeukaryotes dominated the

324 community population size (Figure 5B).

Table 3: Counts of phytoplankton cell (cell mL⁻¹) measured in the main gated populations: picoeukaryotes ("Picoeuk."), cyanobacteria ("Cyano."), nanoeukaryotes ("Nanos."), large phytoplankton ("Large phyto.") and bacteria for the summer and autumn experiments, in each treatment. The mean value along with the standard deviation (n=3) is presented.

Summer						
Treatment	Picoeuk.	Cyano.	Nanos.	Large phyto.	Bacteria	
Initial	10880	4150	5630	130	620400	
Control	4820 ± 683	5517 ± 1142	15540 ± 560	213 ± 32	379703 ± 92672	
Fe	4847 ± 3032	4980 ± 1802	21070 ± 2208	650 ± 191	401147 ± 32324	

Mn	5203 ± 942	5883 ± 924	12430 ± 1311	170 ± 36	410350 ± 29142	
FeMn	6317 ± 3163	5967 ± 1438	25593 ± 12130	593 ± 15	388403 ± 79888	
Autumn						
Treatment	Pico.	Cyano.	Nano.	Large phyto.	Bacteria	
Initial	22230	25240	2260	80	655040	
Control	12733 ± 3958	18743 ± 5479	4473 ± 2789	67 ± 21	734727 ± 123795	
Fe	14220 ± 9869	27023 ± 2675	4230 ± 1897	77 ± 15	1080060 ± 764544	
Mn	23865 ± 460	65405 ± 30823	4800 ± 891	55 ± 35	1280305 ± 323593	
FeMn	12830 ± 1193	29450 ± 16046	4987 ± 876	117 ± 32	940517 ± 219637	

329

After 7 days of incubation, no significant difference in cell counts were observed between treatments across seasons (ANOVA), but some small changes occurred in the sized-based metric. In autumn, the addition of Mn led to an increase in the cyanobacteria population size relative to the whole phytoplankton community (*p*-value < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). This change was not observed during the summer experiment.



Figure 5: Relative contribution of four gated populations compared to all phytoplankton cells: large phytoplankton (microeukaryotes), nanoeukaryotes, picoeukaryotes and cyanobacteria in terms of population size (FSC), as defined in equation (1) for summer (A) and autumn (B). These values were calculated according to the equation of Bach et al. (2018). Error bars represent the standard deviations (n = 3, except for the initial treatment where n = 1).

340 IRON AND CARBON UPTAKE

341 Different rates of Fe uptake were observed between seasons and size fractions (Figure 6). Focusing on 342 the 0.2-2 μ m size fraction, no significant differences were observed between treatments across seasons. 343 However, in summer and autumn, Fe uptake rates increased under Fe additions, with higher average 344 values in the +Fe addition alone. The highest Fe uptake was observed in autumn (396.8 ± 169 pM d⁻¹), 345 whereas mean Fe uptake was lower when both Fe and Mn were added (174.6 ± 27 pM d⁻¹). No 346 significative difference was observed between treatments in autumn, likely resulting from a small 347 dataset (only 2 data points for the +Fe treatment).



348

Figure 6: Fe uptake $(pM d^{-1})$ measured in each size fraction and for the three seasons: spring in green, summer in blue and autumn in brown. During the autumn experiment, only two datapoints were recorded for the +Fe treatment. Error bars represent the standard deviations and are smaller than the symbols when not visible (n = 3).

- In the 2-20 µm size class (Figure 6B), Fe uptake was highest in spring with significantly higher Fe 352 uptake under both Fe additions compared to the control and +Mn treatments (*p*-value < 0.05, Tukey's 353 354 HSD). The +Mn treatment induced an increase in Fe uptake. However, it was not significantly higher than the control. In comparison, both summer and autumn seasons were characterized by much lower 355 356 Fe uptake in the 2-20 µm size fraction. In summer, Fe uptake rates were significantly higher than the control only in the +Fe treatment, with a mean value four times higher than Fe uptake in the control (p-357 358 value < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). The combined +FeMn addition did not result in a significant stimulation 359 of Fe uptake compared to the control (*p-value* = 0.06, Tukey's HSD). In autumn, no significant 360 differences in Fe uptake were observed between treatments (Kruskal-Wallis test).
- 361 The >20 μ m size class (Figure 6C) was also characterized by higher Fe uptake values measured in the 362 spring. In both spring and summer, Fe uptake was significantly higher in both treatments with Fe 363 additions (+Fe and +FeMn), compared to the control and +Mn treatments (*p*-value < 0.05, Tukey's

- HSD). In autumn, no significant differences in Fe uptake were observed between treatments, whichcould result from a low number of data points (Kruskal-Wallis test).
- Net primary productivity, measured through carbon uptake, also strongly varied between seasons and size fractions (Figure 7). In spring, no significant difference in carbon uptake rates were observed between treatments in the small size fraction (ANOVA). In summer, we measured the highest carbon uptake for picoeukaryotes in the +Fe treatment compared to the control (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD).
- 370 In addition, both Fe treatments (+Fe and +FeMn) had significantly higher carbon uptake rates than the
- +Mn treatment (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In autumn, no significant differences in carbon uptake
- 372 were observed in the 0.2-2 µm size class (Kruskal-Wallis test).



373

Figure 7: Carbon uptake (μ M d⁻¹) measured in each size fraction and for the three seasons: spring in green, summer in blue and autumn in brown. Due to a manipulation mistake during the autumn experiment, only one datapoint was recorded for the +Fe treatment. For the other treatments, error bars represent the standard deviations and are smaller than the symbols when not visible (n = 3).

- For the nanoeukaryotes (2-20 μ m) during the spring season, only the +FeMn treatment had higher carbon uptake rates than the control (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In summer, a significant difference between carbon uptake was only observed between the +Fe and +Mn treatments (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD), with higher carbon uptake with Fe addition alone. In autumn, no significant differences were observed between treatments (Kruskal-Wallis test).
- In the >20 μ m size class, there was no significant difference in carbon uptake between treatments in spring (Kruskal-Wallis test). In summer, carbon uptake was only significantly higher in the +FeMn treatment compared to the control treatment (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). The carbon uptake rates measured in the +Fe treatment, while elevated, were not significantly different than the control (*p-value* = 0.05, Tukey's HSD). However, both +Fe and +FeMn treatments had a higher carbon uptake than in

- 388 the +Mn treatment (p-value < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In autumn, no significant differences were observed 389 in the carbon uptake between treatments within this size class (Kruskal-Wallis test).
- 390 Iron to carbon (Fe:C) uptake ratios differed between seasons and treatments, with overall higher ratios
- 391 measured in autumn (Figure 8). Across all sizes, Fe:C ratio ranged between 33 to 153 µmol mol⁻¹ in
- 392 spring, 1 to 18 μ mol mol⁻¹ in summer and from 34 to 915 μ mol mol⁻¹ in autumn. In the 0.2-2 μ m size
- 393 fraction, no significant differences were observed between treatments across seasons (ANOVA for
- 394 spring and summer; and Kruskal-Wallis test for autumn).



395

Figure 8: Iron to carbon (Fe:C) uptake ratio (μ mol mol⁻¹) measured in each size fraction and for the three seasons: spring in green, summer in blue and autumn in brown. The Fe:C ratio from the +Fe treatment in autumn was not included due to missing data. Error bars represent the standard deviations and are smaller than the symbols when not visible (n = 3).

- 400 For the nanoeukaryotes (2-20 µm), spring Fe:C uptake ratios were higher in +Fe and +FeMn treatments
- 401 compared to the control treatment (p-value < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In summer, Fe:C ratios measured in
- 402 +Fe and +FeMn treatments were higher than ratios measured in both the control and +Mn treatments
- 403 (*p-value* < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In autumn, no significant differences were observed, likely resulting
- 404 from a small dataset (Kruskal-Wallis test).
- 405 No significant differences in Fe:C uptake ratios for the microeukaryotes (>20 µm) were observed during
- 406 the spring experiment (ANOVA), while Fe:C ratios were higher under +Fe and +FeMn compared to
- 407 the control and +Mn treatments in summer (*p*-value < 0.05, Tukey's HSD). In autumn, no significant
- 408 differences were observed but again, this may result from a small dataset (Kruskal-Wallis test).

409 Discussion

410 CONTRASTING HYDROGRAPHIC SITES

411 Contrasting results may be expected between experiments due to the different locations of the spring 412 experiment, done at PS2, and the two other experiments (summer and autumn), performed at SOTS. 413 The intrusion of warmer and saltier waters from the subtropical zone are commonly observed in the northern part of the subantarctic zone near SOTS and can originate from either the mixing with waters 414 415 from the Zeehan Current or mixing with waters and eddies from the East Australian Current (Bowie et 416 al. 2011). In this study, the PS2 station, located southeast of Tasmania, is much more likely to be 417 influenced by the East Australian Current, compared to the SOTS site. This explains the strong 418 difference in salinity observed in the spring experiment compared to the two other experiments. 419 However, autonomous seasonal records of phytoplankton communities from the SOTS station revealed 420 no change in community composition due to the input of subtropical waters in the subantarctic zone 421 (Eriksen et al. 2018). Hence, we suggest that the results of the three experiments are comparable, despite 422 the influence of subtropical waters at PS2 in the spring experiment.

423 The three experiments undertaken were characterized by different initial macronutrient concentrations. 424 Higher phosphate and silicic acid concentrations were observed at the beginning of the spring 425 experiment, which is a characteristic of the early season following winter mixing of surface waters 426 (Rintoul and Trull 2001). In contrast, macronutrient concentrations were lowest in autumn. Phosphate 427 concentrations decrease during the summer season due to biological uptake but are expected to remain 428 higher than limiting levels (Rintoul and Trull 2001). On the other hand, silicic acid concentrations 429 decrease during the growth season, due to consumption from silicifying phytoplankton such as diatoms, 430 silicoflagellates and radiolarians (Deppeler and Davidson 2017; Eriksen et al. 2018). In autumn, silicic 431 acid concentrations reached limiting levels, down to 0.8 µM (Paasche 1973; Hutchins et al. 2001; 432 Westwood et al. 2011). Therefore, silicic acid growth limitation of silicifying organisms may be 433 expected during the autumn experiment. Nitrate concentrations are not presented here but initial levels 434 were not considered limiting (nitrate + nitrite: 11.0 µm in spring, 10.2 µm in summer, 8.3 µm in 435 autumn).

Initial trace metal concentrations were highest in spring for dMn and summer for dFe. It is surprising to observe higher summer dFe concentrations compared to the spring experiment. Usually, higher dissolved concentrations are recorded in the early season, resulting from i) aerosol depositions coming from proximal land (Perron et al. 2020), ii) southern advection of Fe and Mn enriched subtropical waters from the East Australian Current (Sedwick, et al. 2008; Bowie et al. 2009) and/or iii) replete trace metal levels present after the winter season associated with wind-mixing (Bowie et al. 2009). At the SOTS site, higher dFe concentrations observed in summer may result from entrainment following windmixing events while decreasing autumn concentrations for both elements likely result from biologicalconsumption.

445 Initial phytoplankton biomass in summer and autumn was dominated by pico- and nanoplankton, as 446 previously observed in this subantarctic region (Fourquez et al. 2020). In summer, picoeukaryotes 447 dominated phytoplankton abundance while picocyanobacteria were relatively important in autumn (Figure 5). It is likely that *Synechococcus* sp. dominated the picocyanobacteria, as has been previously 448 449 observed at SOTS (Cassar et al. 2015; Fourquez et al. 2020). In all seasons, *in-situ* light limitation of 450 phytoplankton growth is expected due to the deep mixed layer depths present (Figure 2). Indeed, Rintoul 451 and Trull (2001) previously observed that a mixed layer depth of 75 to 100 m was deep enough to light limit phytoplankton growth in this region. Here, the mixed layer depth was at or ≥ 100 m (Figure 2). 452 453 Initial physiological measurements indicated that the bulk phytoplankton communities were relatively healthy ($F_v/F_m > 0.5$) at all seasons (Figure 4). However, our data indicated various degrees of Fe 454 455 limitation.

456 SEASONALITY OF IRON LIMITATION

457 Phytoplankton growth in subantarctic waters is usually assumed to be Fe limited (Boyd et al. 1999; 458 Sedwick et al. 1999; Hutchins et al. 2001; Petrou et al. 2011). However, our experiments demonstrate 459 that the degree of Fe limitation is seasonal. A previous review suggested Fe may limit subantarctic 460 phytoplankton communities in spring (Boyd 2002). Contrasting with this hypothesis, no clear evidence 461 of Fe stress was observed in our spring experiment. This may result from relatively elevated dFe 462 concentrations in the early season, sufficient to maintain optimal phytoplankton growth at that time. This was supported by the high F_v/F_m values measured in all treatments (Figure 4A), suggesting efficient 463 464 light utilization in PSII (Greene et al. 1992; Hopkinson and Barbeau 2008). Unfortunately, the lack of flow cytometry data for this season means that the initial composition of the phytoplankton community 465 466 and how it evolved with Fe and Mn additions were not assessed. Previous reports showed this 467 subantarctic region is characterized by a succession from large diatoms in spring toward weakly silicified diatoms in summer/autumn (Eriksen et al. 2018). From our Fe and carbon uptake results, it 468 469 was observed that most of the Fe and carbon uptake came from nano- and microplankton in spring 470 (Figure 6 and 7). Hence, it is possible the spring experiment took place during the transition from large 471 diatoms (> 20 μ m) toward smaller (2-20 μ m) and more weakly silicified diatoms in response to 472 decreasing ambient dFe and silicic acid concentrations (Eriksen et al. 2018).

473 The strongest signal of Fe limitation was observed during the summer experiment as highlighted by i)

the drawdown of phosphate concentrations in both treatments where Fe was added (Figure 3A), and ii)

- 475 the increase F_v/F_m and the decrease in σ_{PSII} with Fe additions (Figure 4). These results suggest that the
- 476 addition of Fe alleviated phytoplankton stress (Greene et al. 1992; Petrou et al. 2011) and agreed with
- 477 previous suggestion of dominant Fe limitation in summer (Boyd 2002). Although nitrate levels were

478 greatly drawn down by the end of the experiment within both Fe treatments (between 0.6 to $2 \,\mu$ M in 5 479 replicate bottles, and down to < detection limit levels in 1 replicate bottle), co-limitation from Fe and 480 silicic acid may more likely occur toward the end of the experiment due to ongoing nutrient 481 consumption (Figure 3B). Flow cytometry results indicated that nanoeukaryotes dominated the initial 482 population size and remained the dominant group throughout the experiment in all treatments (Figure 483 5A). Combined with the high uptake of silicic acid observed in summer (Figure 3B), these results 484 suggest the growth stimulation of relatively small diatoms, within the nanoeukaryote size range, in 485 agreement with previous results (Eriksen et al. 2018). Despite an overall dominance of smaller diatoms, 486 large phytoplankton (>20 μ m) dominated primary productivity (Figure 7C). Microeukaryotes 487 comprised about 15% of the population size (Figure 5) and may be composed of large diatoms and large 488 dinoflagellates, as previously observed in subantarctic waters (Cassar et al. 2015; Eriksen et al. 2018). 489 Coincident with this relatively high carbon uptake, very low Fe uptake rates were measured in both the 490 nano- and micro- size classes, which suggest that these large summer phytoplankton species, likely 491 diatoms, have low cellular Fe requirements (Strzepek et al. 2011; Gao et al. 2021). This assertion was 492 supported by the very low Fe:C uptake ratios observed during summer in all size classes (Figure 8), 493 implying that diatoms were able to sustain growth and substantial carbon assimilation with very low Fe 494 requirements. Similarly, it is notable that the $0.2-2 \,\mu m$ size class had carbon uptake rates as high as the 495 2-20 µm size fraction, implying a similar efficiency in assimilating carbon between both size classes 496 (Figure 7). However, relatively higher Fe uptake rates observed in the $0.2-2 \,\mu m$ size class may indicate 497 higher efficiency in Fe uptake, possibly due to their lower surface area volume ratio (Sunda and 498 Huntsman 1995; Strzepek et al. 2011). Notably, this size fraction also includes Fe uptake by 499 heterotrophic bacteria but their contribution to Fe uptake was not determined.

In autumn, Fe limitation was evident, supported by the increase in F_v/F_m with Fe addition (Figure 4; 500 501 +Fe treatment only) but to a lesser extent than in summer. In contrast to the summer experiment, 502 phosphate and silicic acid drawdown remained much lower in autumn (Table 2), indicating that a factor 503 other than Fe may be (co-)limiting phytoplankton growth. Given the low initial silicic acid levels 504 observed (0.8 µM), silicic acid may be the primary variable limiting the growth of silicified organisms 505 (Hutchins et al. 2001; Eriksen et al. 2018) and not dFe concentrations or other macronutrients 506 considering phosphate (0.71 μ M) and nitrate + nitrite levels (8.3 μ M) remained above limiting levels 507 (Sedwick et al. 1999; Rintoul and Trull 2001). However, the possibility of Fe and silicic acid co-508 limitation of diatoms growth cannot be excluded (Boyd 2002). A previous study in the subantarctic 509 zone suggested a seasonal succession of limiting variables, with both Fe and silicic acid concentrations 510 limiting the growth of heavily silicified diatoms in late summer and autumn, leading to a community 511 shift toward non-silicified and/or lightly silicified diatoms with low Fe requirements (Hutchins et al. 512 2001). Relatively high Fe uptake rates were measured in all size classes during the autumn experiment 513 compared to summer (Figure 6), possibly due to an upregulation of Fe acquisition in response to chronic

Fe limitation in these late season phytoplankton communities. In the >20 μ m size class, it is possible dinoflagellates dominated phytoplankton abundance as silicic acid levels were likely limiting the growth of large diatoms (Eriksen et al. 2018). Unfortunately, we cannot confirm the phytoplankton community composition of the medium and small size class as additional information would be necessary, such as pigments analyses or microscopy. Flow cytometry did allow the identification of picocyanobacteria, which represented an important group during this season.

520 In autumn, picocyanobacteria, most likely Synechococcus sp. (Cassar et al. 2015) numerically 521 dominated the phytoplankton community (Table 3). Previous flow cytometric analyses showed 522 picocyanobacteria are a significant group within the subantarctic phytoplankton community, 523 contributing about 20% to total phytoplankton biomass in mid-late summer (Cassar et al. 2015). In 524 autumn, the contribution of picocyanobacteria to the population size doubled with +Mn addition (Figure 525 5). The photophysiology of picocyanobacteria differs from diatoms and other major phytoplankton 526 groups (Suggett et al. 2009). This is mostly due to their use of phycobilisomes as light-harvesting 527 pigments which results in lower maximum PSII photochemical efficiency (Suggett et al. 2004). 528 Previous studies reported F_v/F_m values ranging from 0.1 to 0.6 for picocyanobacteria (Campbell et al. 529 1998; Kobližek et al. 2001; Suggett et al. 2009). Hence, it is not straight-forward to link relatively low 530 F_v/F_m values with Fe limitation within a phytoplankton community dominated by cyanobacteria. The 531 increase in F_v/F_m observed in the +Fe treatment (Figure 4A) may indicate that a different population 532 with an intrinsically higher F_v/F_m responded to Fe addition. The slightly higher silicic acid uptake rates 533 observed with Fe additions (Table 2) suggest the growth of silicified organisms, possibly weakly 534 silicified diatoms in this late season. However, it was previously shown that picocyanobacteria can 535 accumulate silicon intracellularly as a hydrated siliceous network, associated with magnesium or 536 calcium (Ohnemus et al. 2018). Hence, the higher silicic acid uptake may have also resulted from 537 picocyanobacteria stimulation. These results highlight the complexity of identifying nutrient stress 538 conditions from a bulk phytoplankton community dataset, where signals from specific taxonomic 539 groups can get easily lost (Suggett et al. 2009). However, our findings provide evidence for a strong 540 seasonality of Fe limitation and a seasonal succession of various phytoplankton groups, associated with 541 their responses to key environmental constraints, particularly dFe and silicic acid concentrations 542 (Eriksen et al. 2018). In addition, seasonality in phytoplankton responses to Mn additions were also 543 observed.

544 EVIDENCE OF IRON-MANGANESE CO-LIMITATION

545 Overall, these seasonal experiments did not show a clear signal of Fe-Mn co-limitation, in comparison 546 to the strong responses observed from Fe additions. This outcome concurred with the high Mn* values 547 calculated for the three seasons (Table 1), fitting within the range of Browning et al. (2021) (0.16 -0.31 548 nM) for which Fe was limiting but not Mn. However, we observed some interesting responses to Mn 549 addition, particularly from picocyanobacteria. In autumn, the addition of Mn noticeably stimulated the 550 growth of picocyanobacteria (Figure 5). The lower bulk F_v/F_m value observed in this treatment may 551 support the hypothesis of a dominant contribution from cyanobacteria, which often have an intrinsically 552 lower F_v/F_m than eukaryotic algae (Campbell et al. 1998; Koblıžek et al. 2001; Suggett et al. 2009). The 553 stimulation of the picocyanobacterial population under Mn addition may indicate that Mn was limiting 554 cyanobacterial growth. However, the F_v/F_m parameter is not a reliable indicator of PSII efficiency in 555 cyanobacteria as they have more flexible electron transport systems (Campbell et al. 1998) and PSII is 556 poorly excited by the wavelength (470 nm) used in this study. Cyanobacterial Mn requirements are still 557 poorly understood. Previous laboratory studies of Synechocystis (a freshwater cyanobacteria) showed 558 that dMn concentrations ≤ 100 nM reduces oxygen evolution capacity and results in the accumulation 559 of partially assembled PSII systems, and changes in the organization of photosystem I complexes 560 (Salomon and Keren 2011). In their most limiting Mn treatment, Salomon and Keren (2011) measured 561 a background dMn concentration of 1.8 nM, which is still much higher than what is commonly observed 562 in Southern Ocean open waters. However, oceanic strains may have adapted to lower surrounding dMn 563 concentrations by lowering their Mn requirements. This was previously shown in cyanobacteria 564 regarding adaptation to Fe limitation (Ferreira and Straus 1994). Twining et al. (2010) reported Mn cell 565 quotas (normalised to phosphate) ranging from 0.46 to 0.81 mmol/mol in Synechococcus sp. cells from 566 the Sargasso Sea, with strong variations between cyclonic/anticyclonic eddies and mode waters. In Fe-567 limited Southern Ocean waters, for which there are no data on cyanobacteria, much lower Mn to 568 phosphate ratios were measured in autotrophic flagellates and, unlike diatoms, the ratio increased once 569 Fe stress was alleviated (Twining et al. 2004). Overall, there is insufficient information on the Mn 570 requirements of subantarctic cyanobacterial strains to predict the dMn concentrations at which they 571 become limited. However, our results provide the first evidence that Mn may limit cyanobacteria growth 572 in autumn, when small picoplankton dominate the biomass and surrounding dMn concentrations are 573 lowest. This implies Mn may be linked to deep carbon export as cyanobacteria have been observed to 574 significantly contribute to downward carbon export in subantarctic waters through aggregation (Waite 575 et al. 2000; Cassar et al. 2015) which increases their sinking rate (Jackson 2005). Hence, there may be 576 seasonality in the importance of Mn in stimulating phytoplankton growth, associated with specific 577 phytoplankton taxa such as cyanobacteria.

578 Another interesting result associated with Mn additions was the significant stimulation of carbon uptake 579 within the 2-20 μ m size class in spring and within the >20 μ m size class in summer, only occurring 580 under combined Fe and Mn additions (Figure 7). Increased carbon fixation and hence, photosynthesis, 581 suggest that these size classes of the phytoplankton community benefited from the combined addition 582 and may be Fe-Mn co-limited. Phytoplankton Mn requirements are directly linked to photosynthesis by 583 two processes: i) the number of PSII reaction centres, due to the central role of Mn in the oxygen-584 evolving complex of PSII (Armstrong 2008) and, ii) the need for Mn to produce the superoxide 585 dismutase enzyme, to detoxify the cell of superoxide produced during photosynthesis (Peers and Price 586 2004; Wolfe-Simon et al. 2006). Increased Mn requirements were previously observed in Fe-limited 587 diatoms, due to additional ROS production associated with Fe limitation (Peers and Price 2004). Hence, 588 stimulation of carbon uptake observed under combined Fe and Mn additions during the summer 589 experiment may be linked to ROS production and increased Mn requirement, knowing that 590 phytoplankton communities were strongly Fe-limited (see previous section). Conversely, stimulation 591 of carbon fixation measured under combined addition in spring is surprising considering phytoplankton 592 communities were not Fe-limited. Instead, this enhanced carbon fixation may result from higher Mn 593 demands associated with higher Fe requirements observed in these early phytoplankton communities.

Our results support the hypothesis that Mn concentrations may be low enough to limit the growth of a subset of the primary producers in this subantarctic region and hence to influence phytoplankton community composition. However, these effects appear to vary seasonally, and are subtle. Here, the evaluation of primary productivity through size-fractionated carbon uptake measurements coupled with flow cytometry helped us to identify these co-limitation signals but this approach is not commonly used. This highlights the need to use a combination of existing techniques, and to develop new tools, to identify Mn (co-)limitation within subpopulations of the phytoplankton community.

601 Conclusion

602 In conclusion, the signal of Mn (co-)limitation observed during these multi-seasonal experiments was 603 masked by the strong seasonality and responses associated with Fe limitation. Our results suggest spring 604 Fe and Mn concentrations were high enough to not limit phytoplankton growth. Conversely, 605 phytoplankton communities were strongly Fe limited in summer. In autumn, we suggest low silicic acid 606 levels limited diatom growth. However, the possibility that silicic acid and Fe were co-limiting diatom 607 growth cannot be excluded. Manganese additions induced subtle community and physiological changes. 608 In autumn, the addition of Mn alone stimulated the growth of cyanobacteria, most likely Synechococcus 609 sp. These results suggest cyanobacteria may be Mn-limited in autumn when they constitute an important 610 part of resident phytoplankton biomass and dMn concentrations are lowest following the phytoplankton 611 growth season. In spring and summer, combined Fe and Mn additions stimulated carbon fixation in the 612 nano- and micro- size classes, respectively. This was hypothesized to be due to the high Mn 613 requirements of the spring community and ROS production linked to Fe limitation in summer. These 614 results indicate that Mn may play an important role in controlling/stimulating specific phytoplankton 615 taxa, with seasonal variability. In addition, our results show that Mn (co-)limitation signal may be hard 616 to capture in conventional bioassays, especially when pronounced Fe responses are observed.

617 Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the officers and crew of the RV *Investigator* (Australian Marine National
Facility) for the deployment of all the instruments during the three voyages (IN2018-V04; IN2019-V02

and IN2020-V08), and the hydro-chemistry team who performed the macronutrients analyses onboard.

- 621 We thank Pamela Barrett and Robin Grun for the collection and analyses of trace metal samples
- 622 performed for the first two voyages. This work was funded through the Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems
- 623 Cooperative Centre (ACE CRC) and by the Australian Antarctic Program Partnership (AAPP).

624 References

- Armstrong, F. A. 2008. "Why Did Nature Choose Manganese to Make Oxygen?" *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 363 (1494): 1263–70.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2223.
- Bach, L. T., Lohbeck, K. T., Reusch, T. B., and U. Riebesell. 2018. "Rapid Evolution of Highly
 Variable Competitive Abilities in a Key Phytoplankton Species." *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2 (4): 611–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0474-x.
- Bowie, A. R., F. Griffiths, B., Dehairs, F., and T. W. Trull. 2011. "Oceanography of the Subantarctic
 and Polar Frontal Zones South of Australia during Summer: Setting for the SAZ-Sense
 Study." *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 58 (21–22): 2059–70.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.05.033.
- Bowie, A. R., Lannuzel, D., Remenyi, T. A., Wagener, T., Lam, P. J., Boyd, P. W., Guieu, C.,
 Townsend, A. T., and T. W. Trull. 2009. "Biogeochemical Iron Budgets of the Southern
 Ocean South of Australia: Decoupling of Iron and Nutrient Cycles in the Subantarctic Zone
 by the summertime supply." *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 23 (4): n/a-n/a.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003500.
- Boyd, P. W., LaRoche, J., Gall, M., Frew, R., and R. M. L. McKay. 1999. "Role of Iron, Light, and
 Silicate in Controlling Algal Biomass in Subantarctic Waters SE of New Zealand." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 104 (C6): 13395–408. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC900009.
- Boyd, P. W. 2002. "Environmental factors controlling phytoplankton processes in the Southern
 Ocean." *Journal of Phycology* 38 (5): 844–861.
- Boyd, P. W., Watson, A. J., Law, C. S., Abraham, E. R., Trull, T., Murdoch, R., Bakker, D. C. E., et
 al. 2000. "A Mesoscale Phytoplankton Bloom in the Polar Southern Ocean Stimulated by Iron
 Fertilization." *Nature* 407 (6805): 695–702. https://doi.org/10.1038/35037500.
- Browning, T. J., Achterberg, E. P., Engel, A., and E. Mawji. 2021. "Manganese Co-Limitation of
 Phytoplankton Growth and Major Nutrient Drawdown in the Southern Ocean." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 884. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21122-6.
- Buma, A. GJ, De Baar, H. JW, Nolting, R. F. and A. J. Van Bennekom. 1991. "Metal Enrichment
 Experiments in the Weddell-Scotia Seas: Effects of Iron and Manganese on Various Plankton
 Communities." *Limnology and Oceanography* 36 (8): 1865–1878.
- Campbell, D., Hurry V., Clarke, A. K., Gustafsson, P. and G. Öquist. 1998. "Chlorophyll
 Fluorescence Analysis of Cyanobacterial Photosynthesis and Acclimation." *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 62 (3): 667–83. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.62.3.667683.1998.
- Cassar, N., Wright, S. W., Thomson, P. G., Trull, T. W., Westwood, K. J., de Salas, M., Davidson, A.,
 Pearce, I., Davies, D. M. and R. J. Matear. 2015. "The Relation of Mixed-Layer Net
 Community Production to Phytoplankton Community Composition in the Southern Ocean." *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 29 (4): 446–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004936.
- Deppeler, S. L. and A. T. Davidson. 2017. "Southern Ocean Phytoplankton in a Changing Climate."
 Frontiers in Marine Science 4 (February). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00040.
- Ellwood, M. J., Strzepek, R. F., Strutton, P. G., Trull, T. W., Fourquez, M., & Boyd, P. W. (2020).
 Distinct iron cycling in a Southern Ocean eddy. Nature communications, 11(1), 1-8.
- Eriksen, R., Trull, T. W., Davies, D., Jansen, P., Davidson, A. T., Westwood, K., and R. van den
 Enden. 2018. "Seasonal Succession of Phytoplankton Community Structure from
 Autonomous Sampling at the Australian Southern Ocean Time Series (SOTS) Observatory." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 589 (February): 13–31. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12420.
- Ferreira, F. and N. A. Straus. 1994. "Iron Deprivation in Cyanobacteria." *Journal of Applied Phycology* 6 (2): 199–210. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02186073.

- Fourquez, M., Bressac, M., Deppeler, S. L., Ellwood, M., Obernosterer, I., Trull, T. W. and P. W.
 Boyd. 2020. "Microbial Competition in the Subpolar Southern Ocean: An Fe–C CoLimitation Experiment." *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6 (January): 776.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00776.
- Gao, X., Bowler, C. and E, Kazamia. 2021. "Iron Metabolism Strategies in Diatoms." Edited by
 Janneke Balk. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 72 (6): 2165–80.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa575.
- Greene, R, M., Geider, R. J., Kolber, Z. and P. G. Falkowski. 1992. "Iron-Induced Changes in Light Harvesting and Photochemical Energy Conversion Processes in Eukaryotic Marine Algae." *Plant Physiology* 100 (2): 565–75. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.100.2.565.
- Holmes, T. M., Wuttig, K., Chase, Z., Schallenberg, C., van der Merwe, P., Townsend, A. T., &
 Bowie, A. R. (2020). Glacial and hydrothermal sources of dissolved iron (II) in Southern
 Ocean waters surrounding Heard and McDonald Islands. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Oceans, 125, e2020JC016286. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016286
- Hopkinson, B. M. and K. A. Barbeau. 2008. "Interactive Influences of Iron and Light Limitation on
 Phytoplankton at Subsurface Chlorophyll Maxima in the Eastern North Pacific." *Limnology and Oceanography* 53 (4): 1303–18. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.4.1303.
- Hoppe, C.J.M., Klaas, C., Ossebaar, S., Soppa, M.A., Cheah, W., Laglera, L.M., Santos-Echeandia, J.,
 et al. 2017. "Controls of Primary Production in Two Phytoplankton Blooms in the Antarctic
 Circumpolar Current." *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 138
 (April): 63–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.10.005.
- Hutchins, D. A., Sedwick, P. N., DiTullio, G. R., Boyd, P. W., Quéguiner, B., Griffiths, F. B. and C.
 Crossley. 2001. "Control of Phytoplankton Growth by Iron and Silicic Acid Availability in
 the Subantarctic Southern Ocean: Experimental Results from the SAZ Project." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 106 (C12): 31559–72. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000333.
- Jackson, G. A. 2005. "Role of Algal Aggregation in Vertical Carbon Export during SOIREE and in
 Other Low Biomass Environments." *Geophysical Research Letters* 32 (13): L13607.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023180.
- Kobližek, M., Kaftan, D. and L. Nedbal. 2001. "On the Relationship between the Non-Photochemical
 Quenching of the Chlorophyll Fluorescence and the Photosystem II Light Harvesting
 Efficiency. A Repetitive Flash Fluorescence Induction Study," 12.
- Marie, D., Partensky, F., Vaulot, D. and C. Brussaard. 1999. "Enumeration of Phytoplankton, Bacteria, and Viruses in Marine Samples." *Current Protocols in Cytometry* 10 (1). https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142956.cy1111s10.
- Moore, C. M. 2013. "Processes and Patterns of Oceanic Nutrient Limitation." *Nature Geosciences*. 6:
 10.
- Ohnemus, D. C., Krause, J. W., Brzezinski, M. A., Collier, J. L., Baines, S. B. and B. S. Twining.
 2018. "The Chemical Form of Silicon in Marine Synechococcus." *Marine Chemistry* 206
 (October): 44–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2018.08.004.
- Paasche, E. 1973. "Silicon and the Ecology of Marine Plankton Diatoms. I. Thalassiosira Pseudonana
 (Cyclotella Nana) Grown in a Chemostat with Silicate as Limiting Nutrient." *Marine Biology*19 (2): 117–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00353582.
- Peers, G. and N. M. Price. 2004. "A Role for Manganese in Superoxide Dismutases and Growth of Iron-Deficient Diatoms." *Limnology and Oceanography* 49 (5): 1774–83. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2004.49.5.1774.
- Perron, M.G., Proemse, B. C., Strzelec, M., Gault-Ringold, M., Boyd, P. W., Sanz Rodriguez, E.,
 Paull, B. and A. R. Bowie. 2020. "Origin, Transport and Deposition of Aerosol Iron to
 Australian Coastal Waters." *Atmospheric Environment* 228 (May): 117432.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117432.
- Petrou, K., Hassler, C. S., Doblin, M. A., Shelly, K., Schoemann, V., van den Enden, R., Wright, S.
 and P. J. Ralph. 2011. "Iron-Limitation and High Light Stress on Phytoplankton Populations from the Australian Sub-Antarctic Zone (SAZ)." *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 58 (21–22): 2200–2211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.05.020.
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/

- Rees, C., Pender, L., Sherrin, K., Schwanger, C., Hughes, P., Tibben, S., Marouchos, A. and Mark
 Rayner. 2018. "Methods for Reproducible Shipboard SFA Nutrient Measurement Using
 RMNS and Automated Data Processing." *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*,
 December. https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10294.
- Rintoul, S. R. and T. W. Trull. 2001a. "Seasonal Evolution of the Mixed Layer in the Subantarctic
 Zone South of Australia." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 106 (C12): 31447–62.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000329.
- Salomon, E. and N. Keren. 2011. "Manganese Limitation Induces Changes in the Activity and in the
 Organization of Photosynthetic Complexes in the Cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* Sp. Strain
 PCC 6803." *Plant Physiology* 155 (1): 571–79. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.164269.
- Scharek, R., Van Leeuwe, M. A., and H. JW De Baar. 1997. "Responses of Southern Ocean
 Phytoplankton to the Addition of Trace Metals." *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 44 (1–2): 209–227.
- Sedwick, P. N., DiTullio, G. R., Hutchins, D. A., Boyd, P. W., Griffiths, F. B., Crossley, A. C., Trull,
 T. W. and B. Quéguiner. 1999. "Limitation of Algal Growth by Iron Deficiency in the
 Australian Subantarctic Region." *Geophysical Research Letters* 26 (18): 2865–68.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GL002284.
- Sedwick, P. N., DiTullio, G. R. and D. J. Mackey. 2000. "Iron and Manganese in the Ross Sea,
 Antarctica: Seasonal Iron Limitation in Antarctic Shelf Waters." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 105 (C5): 11321–36. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000256.
- Sedwick, P.N., Bowie, A.R. and T.W. Trull. 2008. "Dissolved Iron in the Australian Sector of the
 Southern Ocean (CLIVAR SR3 Section): Meridional and Seasonal Trends." *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 55 (8): 911–25.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2008.03.011.
- Strzepek, R. F., Maldonado, M. T., Hunter, K. A., Frew, R. D. and P. W. Boyd. 2011. "Adaptive
 Strategies by Southern Ocean Phytoplankton to Lessen Iron Limitation: Uptake of
 Organically Complexed Iron and Reduced Cellular Iron Requirements." *Limnology and Oceanography* 56 (6): 1983–2002. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.6.1983.
- Suggett, D. J., MacIntyre, H. L. and R. J. Geider. 2004. "Evaluation of Biophysical and Optical
 Determinations of Light Absorption by Photosystem II in Phytoplankton: Evaluation of Light
 Absorption by PSII." *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 2 (10): 316–32.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2004.2.316.
- Suggett, D. J., Moore, C. M., Hickman, A. E., and R. J. Geider. 2009. "Interpretation of Fast
 Repetition Rate (FRR) Fluorescence: Signatures of Phytoplankton Community Structure
 versus Physiological State." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 376 (February): 1–19.
 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07830.
- Sunda, W. G. and S. A. Huntsman. 1995. "Iron Uptake and Growth Limitation in Oceanic and Coastal
 Phytoplankton." *Marine Chemistry* 50 (1–4): 189–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304 4203(95)00035-P.
- Twining, B. S., Baines, S. B. and N. S. Fisher. 2004. "Element Stoichiometries of Individual Plankton
 Cells Collected during the Southern Ocean Iron Experiment (SOFeX)." *Limnology and Oceanography* 49 (6): 2115–28. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2004.49.6.2115.
- Twining, B. S., Nuñez-Milland, D., Vogt, S., Johnson, R. S. and P. N. Sedwick. 2010. "Variations in
 Synechococcus Cell Quotas of Phosphorus, Sulfur, Manganese, Iron, Nickel, and Zinc within
 Mesoscale Eddies in the Sargasso Sea." *Limnology and Oceanography* 55 (2): 492–506.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.2.0492.
- Waite, A. M., Safi, K. A., Hall, J. A. and S. D. Nodder. 2000. "Mass Sedimentation of Picoplankton
 Embedded in Organic Aggregates." *Limnology and Oceanography* 45 (1): 87–97.
 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.1.0087.
- Westwood, K. J., F. Griffiths, B., Webb, J. P. and S. W. Wright. 2011. "Primary Production in the
 Sub-Antarctic and Polar Frontal Zones South of Tasmania, Australia; SAZ-Sense Survey,
 2007." *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 58 (21–22): 2162–78.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2011.05.017.

- Wolfe-Simon, F., Starovoytov, V., Reinfelder, J. R., Schofield, O. and P. G. Falkowski. 2006.
 "Localization and Role of Manganese Superoxide Dismutase in a Marine Diatom." *Plant Physiology* 142 (4): 1701–9. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.088963.
- Wu, M., McCain, J. S. P., Rowland, E., Middag, R., Sandgren, M., Allen, A. E. and E. M. Bertrand.
 2019. "Manganese and Iron Deficiency in Southern Ocean Phaeocystis Antarctica
 Populations Revealed through Taxon-Specific Protein Indicators." *Nature Communications*10 (1): 3582. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11426-z.
- 787