Bathymetry and Resolution: Keys to Develop a Channel-to-Ocean Basin-Scale Hydrodynamic Model for the US East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts

María Teresa Contreras Vargas\textsuperscript{1}, Joannes Westerink\textsuperscript{2}, Zach Cobell\textsuperscript{3}, William Pringle\textsuperscript{1}, Damrongsak Wirasaet\textsuperscript{1}, Coleman Blakely\textsuperscript{1}, Saeed Moghimi\textsuperscript{4}, and Edward Myers\textsuperscript{5}

\textsuperscript{1}University of Notre Dame  
\textsuperscript{2}Univ Notre Dame  
\textsuperscript{3}Water Institute of the Gulf  
\textsuperscript{4}Oregon State University  
\textsuperscript{5}NOAA

November 25, 2022

Abstract

Coastal interfaces blend processes dominated by upland region hydrology and ocean hydrodynamics (tides, winds, waves, baroclinic fluctuations, among others). These areas tend to be vulnerable to flooding, a matter of concern considering that around 40\% of the world’s population lives within 100 km of the ocean. Specifically, The US East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts are heavily affected by extratropical storms every year with catastrophic consequences. Models that integrate the dynamics of both oceans and river networks are needed in order to better improve flood forecast systems in coastal areas. Due to their spatial and temporal scale differences, traditional models solve river and ocean hydrodynamics independently. As a first step toward unifying coastal interface modeling, we designed an ADCIRC-based model that uses unstructured, highly variable-sized triangular meshes that can accurately represent both ocean basins and inland river networks. This meshing technique allows for incorporating features that control the dynamics of the nearshore area, such as barrier islands, jetties, and dredged channels. We analyze how mesh design impacts water level estimations in the deep ocean as well as inland rivers. Accuracy in the deep ocean is sensitive primarily to bathymetry in areas with high energy dissipation, whereas water level prediction within river networks depends on both bathymetry and resolution. While a minimum resolution in the order of a hundred meters is enough to accurately predict water level for most rivers with tidal influence, smaller tributaries require resolutions down to tens of meters. Future research will use these findings to build precipitation and rainfall-runoff into the model for a more comprehensive understanding of the coastal interface hydrodynamics.
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Motivation – Global Warming

Clausius-Clapeyron relation: With every 1 degree Celsius (1.8 F) increase in temperature, there can be about 7% more moisture in the air.

A small bit of added moisture can lead to a lot more rain:

Intensity of rainfall is expected to increase as the climate warms

Hurricane Ida 2021

Observed rainfall totals for the last 48 hours ending 9 am Thursday September 2nd. Rainfall rates at some locations were 2.5-3.5 inches per hour. Newark NJ received 3.24” from 8-9 pm & Central Park saw 3.15” from 9-10 pm, both all time records for highest 1-hour rainfall totals
Motivation – Current ESTOFS

- 250 m resolution ESTOFS model
- Sub-optimal grids: linear variation of resolution
- Highly simplified river network
- Poor definitions of inland waterbodies: source of instabilities and inaccuracy

Calcasieu Lake, LA

M2 Amplitude

St Johns River, FL
Objective

*Develop methodologies/tools to create models that efficiently and accurately resolve the hydrodynamics of both, ocean basins and riverine systems.*

Resolve the complexity of the nearshore region
Increase the heterogeneity of the systems to resolve
Efficient distribution of resolution for operational purposes
Study case: East and Gulf of Mexico Coast of the US
Key to incorporate additional physical forcing in future research
Mesh implementation

**Basin-to-channel East and Gulf of Mexico Coasts of the US**

- Two regional models: 30 and 120 m minimum resolution
- Model solve the deep ocean (North Atlantic) and intercoastal complex features, including inland river network
- Model 120 m is a good balance between accuracy and efficiency
- Model 30 m for design studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 30 m</th>
<th>Model 120 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min. Res</td>
<td>30 m</td>
<td>120 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Res</td>
<td>24 Km</td>
<td>24 Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodes</td>
<td>21.5 M</td>
<td>6.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>43.0 M</td>
<td>11.8 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mesh validation - Tides

Tidal analysis for amplitude and phase of 8 major constituents
Comparison of results against ~800 tidal gauges

Amplitude

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>O1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$ [cm]</td>
<td>3.332</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>1.573</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>$ [cm]</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ [-]</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>O1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>15.903°</td>
<td>21.930°</td>
<td>13.871°</td>
<td>12.863°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>$ [cm]</td>
<td>-7.005°</td>
<td>-7.281°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ [-]</td>
<td>10.177°</td>
<td>13.185°</td>
<td>7.892°</td>
<td>8.840°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison with TPXO and ESTOFS
Bonus: NOAA Operational Global Model

Global shell + HR inserts:

8 M nodes mesh, 6 sec timestep
Forecast 4 times a day for 7 days
Parallel system at ND for a prototype of v3.0
Collecting global statistics

https://cera.coastalrisk.live/
https://gm-ling.github.io/GESTOFS-develop/

Hurricane Ida 2021
Key N°1: Wet/dry separation

Aligning nodes along the ocean/floodplain interface allows for representing the smallest scale features in the model.

- Clear hydraulic connectivity of small channels
- Incorporation of barrier islands and small islands
- Provides more stability
Key N°2: Resolution distribution

Parametrization of nodal distribution based on topo-bathymetric features and their geometry

- Balance between accuracy and efficiency
- Prioritization of the complex nearshore region
- Meet computational cost constraint for operational systems

1) Water side

- OceanMesh2D
  - Min. resolution
  - Bathymetric gradients
  - Man-made structures
  - Wavelength
  - Feature size
  - Expansion grade
  - Natural deep channels (High res DEMs)
  - Dredged channels

2) Floodplain

- Mesh-2D
  - OceanMesh2D edge function
  - Max elevation 10 m approx.
Key N°2: Resolution distribution

- Resolution distribution
- Bathymetric gradients
  - Continental shelf break, submarine ridges, and rough bathymetry
  - Change on wave celerity due to quick change of water depth
  - Mechanism of internal tides generation

High-dissipation areas – Gulf of Maine
Key N°2: Resolution distribution

**Feature Size – Expansion grade**

- Estimation of medial axis and feature width
- Nodal distribution based on shoreline complexity
- Prioritization of channels, islands, and shoreline geometry
- Increment of element size offshore
- Crucial for efficiency on distribution of resolution

**Complex channel network**

- 30 m Min. Res.
- 120 m Min. Res.

**Complexity of intertidal zone**

- 30 m Min. Res.
- 120 m Min. Res.
Key N°2: Resolution distribution

**Natural deep and dredged channels**

- Deep narrow channels that require higher definition to properly capture the water depth
- Crucial to preserve the conveyance
- Dredged channels based on USACE database, and natural channels derived from DEMs
- Adjustment of resolution and bathymetry
Key N°2B: Man-made structures

Jetty systems

- Creation of a dataset with 116 jetties
- Adjust shoreline and local element size function so they can be included in the minimum resolution of the model
- Only implemented for resolutions ~tens of meters.
- Open land boundary conditions

$M_2$ tidal constituent

Abs. Err [cm]: $-5 - 3 - 1 0 + 1 + 3 + 5$
Rel. Err [%]: $-40 - 20 - 5 0 + 5 + 20 + 40$

120 m Min. Res.

30 m Min. Res.
Key N°2B: Man-made structures

**Levees**

- USACE dataset for federal levees
- Snap the centerlines to the wet/dry interface based on the minimum resolution of the model
- Constraint levees nodes during the floodplain generation
- Weir boundary condition
- Adjustment of bathymetry to ensure clean wet/dry interface

**Historical storms - Gustav 2008**

![Map of Gustav 2008 storms](image)

- Max. Water Elevation [m]
- Relative Error HWM [%]
- Historical storms
  - Gustav 2008

![Graphs of water level](image)

- Water level comparison for different locations

*St Charles Parish, LA*

- USACE levees survey 03/06/202
  - 3.5 Km
  - Levees ibtype = 24
  - Levees end ibtype = 20
Key N°3: Correct bathymetry

**Topo-bathymetric databases**

- USGS Gulf of Maine 3 arc-sec
- NOAA local DEMs 10 m
- Northern Ocean (Data Mountain 3D) 1 meridian N.Am.
- USGS CoNED 1 m
- USACE JABTEX 3m
- NOAA NCEI-CUDEM 1/9 arcsec
- NOAA NCEI 1/3 arcsec
- Hand-edited South FL

**Bathymetric errors**

**Lack of data**

Particularly in upper sections of rivers
Conclusions – future work

• Key for developing efficient channel-to-basin scale hydrodynamics models:
  • Clear wet/dry interface
  • High-resolution only where it is needed
  • Clear conveyance of river network
  • Addition of hydrodynamically relevant man-made structures

• Future work:
  • Incorporate riverine flow inputs from NWM
Thanks for your attention!
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